


DECLARATION

I declare that this dissertation is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Masters by coursework and research report in Industrial Psychology, in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before any other degree or examination in any other university.



Sarika Kara

JULY 2011

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to:

- ❖ My supervisor, Fiona Donald, who has not only provided guidance and insight with respect to this research, but who has also offered me support and encouragement throughout the entire process. Her wisdom and empathetic approach was instrumental in motivating me to complete this research especially during my personal challenges.
- ❖ The Managing Director of Thornhill Associates (Pty) Ltd who, graciously permitted access to the data and questionnaire used in this study.
- ❖ Mr. Mike Greyling for his statistical advice and assistance.
- ❖ My husband, parents, sister, extended family, and dear friends without whose love and encouragement, this study would not have been possible. Their unwavering support and faith in me has motivated me to reach completion in the face of adversity.
- ❖ Special mention to my grandmother, who through fighting her illness, has always put things into perspective and reminded me to have faith and continue working to the end.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Aims	3
2. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	4
2.1 The 360-Degree Feedback Method	4
2.2 The Nature of 360-Degree Feedback Ratings	11
2.3 Leadership and the 360-Degree Feedback Method	22
2.4 Research Questions	25
2.5 Conclusion	25
3. METHODOLOGY	27
3.1 Design	27
3.2 Sample	28
3.3 Research procedure	31
3.4 Measuring Instruments	32
3.5 Analysis	35
3.6 Ethics	38

4. RESULTS	40
4.1 Questionnaire Evaluation	40
4.2 Distribution Analysis	92
4.3 Research Questions 1 and 2	93
4.4 Summary of Key Findings	101
5. DISCUSSION	102
5.1 Key Findings	102
5.2 Limitations of the Study	106
5.3 Recommendations for Future Research	107
6. CONCLUSION	110
REFERENCE LIST	113
APPENDICES	123

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Summary of total participants and respondents of selected sample	30
Table 2.	Questionnaire reliabilities for each group	41
Table 3.	Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionnaire (self) (Leadership 3)	42
Table 4.	Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionnaire (colleague) (Leadership 3)	45
Table 5.	Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionnaire (manager) (Leadership 3)	48
Table 6.	Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionnaire (subordinate) (Leadership 3)	51
Table 7.	Factor loadings – Varimax rotation (self)	55
Table 8.	Factor loadings – Varimax rotation (colleague)	58
Table 9.	Factor loadings – Varimax rotation (manager)	62
Table 10.	Factor loadings – Varimax rotation (subordinate)	65
Table 11.	Goodness of fit indicators	75
Table 12.	Standardised parameter estimates/Standardised path coefficients – Model 3 (self)	76

Table 13. Standardised parameter estimates/Standardised path coefficients – Model 1 (colleague)	79
Table 14. Standardised parameter estimates/Standardised path coefficients – Model 2 (manager)	81
Table 15. Standardised parameter estimates/Standardised path coefficients – Model 4 (subordinate)	84
Table 16. Modification indices for extra paths	87
Table 17. Distribution analyses of ratings for all rater groups	92
Table 18. Correlations for participants (self-ratings)	93
Table 19. Correlations for colleagues	94
Table 20. Correlations for managers	94
Table 21. Correlations for subordinates	95
Table 22. Comparisons using Fisher's z transformations	96
Table 23. Components of the HLM analysis	98
Table 24. HLM post hoc analyses	99
Table 25. HLM post hoc analysis type means	100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Scree plot of Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionaire (self) (Leadership 3)	45
Figure 2.	Scree plot of Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionnaire (colleague) (Leadership 3)	48
Figure 3.	Scree plot of Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionnaire (manager) (Leadership 3)	51
Figure 4.	Scree plot of Eigen values for 360-degree feedback questionaire (subordinate) (Leadership 3)	54
Figure 5.	Structural model of the 360-degree feedback questionnaire (Leadership 3)	70
Figure 6.	Distribution of residuals	73
Figure 7.	Distribution of standardised residuals	74
Figure 8.	Distribution of residuals (b)	100