

| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Table of Contents</b>  | <b>I</b>    |
| <b>Abstract</b>   | <b>IV</b>   |
| <b>Declaration</b>  | <b>V</b>    |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>   | <b>VI</b>   |
| <b>Dedication</b>   | <b>VII</b>  |
| <b>List of acronyms used in the study</b>                                 | <b>VIII</b> |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 1: Introduction and Background to the study</b>                | <b>1</b>    |
| <br>  |             |
| 1.1 Introduction and Rationale  | 1           |
| 1.2 Purpose and Objectives of the Study                                   | 3           |
| 1.2.1 Purpose of the Study  | 3           |
| 1.2.2 Objectives of the Study   | 6           |
| 1.3 Statement of the Research Problem                                     | 6           |
| 1.4 Significance of the Study   | 7           |
| 1.5 Context of the Study  | 8           |
| 1.6 Participants in the Study   | 9           |
| 1.6.1 The Researcher  | 9           |
| 1.6.2 The Teacher   | 10          |
| 1.6.3 The School  | 11          |
| 1.6.4 The Learners  | 12          |
| 1.7 Organization of the Study   | 12          |
| 1.8 Chapter Summary   | 14          |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 2: Review of Relevant Literature and Theoretical Framework</b> | <b>14</b>   |
| <br>  |             |
| 2.1 Review of Relevant Literature   | 14          |
| 2.1.1 Why the need for an STS approach to the teaching of Science?        | 14          |

|   |   |           |
|---|---|-----------|
| 2.1.2   | STS as an appropriate teaching approach for Science in the new millennium       | 16        |
| 2.2   | Theoretical Framework   | 19        |
| 2.2.1   | The Traditional Nature of Science   | 19        |
| 2.2.2   | Teaching and Learning of Science as seen through the theories of Constructivism | 22        |
| 2.2.2.1   | The Cognitive Learning Theory   | 22        |
| 2.2.2.2   | Socio-Cultural Perspective  | 28        |
| 2.2.2.3   | Situated Learning Perspective   | 30        |
| 2.2.2.4   | Teaching and Learning Science in a Constructivist Perspective                   | 32        |
| 2.3   | Chapter Summary   | 39        |
| <b>Chapter 3: Research Design and Methodology</b> |   | <b>39</b> |
| 3.1   | Research Design   | 39        |
| 3.2   | Data Collection Techniques  | 41        |
| 3.3   | Population Research Sample  | 44        |
| 3.4   | Ethical Considerations  | 44        |
| 3.5   | Chapter Summary   | 45        |
| <b>Chapter 4: Data Analysis</b>                   |   | <b>46</b> |
| 4.1   | Introduction  | 46        |
| 4.2   | Qualitative Data based on Likert Scale Responses                                | 47        |
| 4.3   | Qualitative Data from the Questionnaire   | 48        |
| 4.4   | Learner Performance in the Test   | 51        |
| 4.5   | Classroom Observation   | 53        |
| 4.6   | Learner Interviews  | 57        |
| 4.7   | Educator Interviews   | 60        |
| 4.8   | Chapter Summary   | 61        |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>Chapter 5: Discussion, Recommendations and Conclusion</b> | <b>62</b> |
| 5.1 Introduction   | 62        |
| 5.2 Answers to Research Questions                            | 62        |
| 5.3 Incidental Findings aside from Research Questions        | 67        |
| 5.4 Implications and Recommendations                         | 69        |
| 5.5 Areas for further Research                               | 72        |
| 5.6 Limitations of the Study                                 | 73        |
| 5.7 Conclusion   | 74        |
| <b>References</b>  | <b>74</b> |
| <b>List of Appendices</b>                                    | <b>82</b> |

## **ABSTRACT**

The findings of the TIMMS study show that “South African learners have a very poor self-concept in Mathematics and Science compared to pupils internationally” (Howie, 2001, p. 37). This implies that South African learners perceive themselves as not talented enough to do well in either of the subjects, that the two subjects are difficult and that they cannot find any joy in learning them. Research has shown that the notion of self-concept has an influence on attitudes towards learning (Young, 1998).

This study aimed at investigating whether the use of context-based teaching methodology in the teaching of Science is able to assist learners in developing interest and positive attitudes towards the learning of Science, and whether these could lead to improvement in the performance of learners in Science. The study further looked at the kinds of difficulties that could be faced by educators attempting to use the context-based approach in their daily teaching. The latter was investigated because it is difficult to examine how learning takes place in the classroom in isolation from teaching.

The results of the study indicate that learners seem to become more interested in Science learning when learning is based on everyday contexts. They seem also to enjoy participation in different types of group work in the classroom. The level of enthusiasm in the classroom, as observed during the course of the study as well as the interviews held with learners at the end of the intervention, also indicates the willingness (which could be perceived as a change in attitude) that was generated on the side of the learners to participate in Science learning activities, such as practical work. The performance of learners in the test given after the intervention also improved slightly compared to their performance in the test given just before the intervention.

## DECLARATION

I declare that

INVESTIGATING THE EFFECTS OF CONTEXT-BASED TEACHING APPROACH  
ON LEARNER INTEREST AND PERFORMANCE IN SCIENCE: AN  
INTERPRETIVE STUDY ON SULPHUR AND SULPHUR COMPOUNDS IN GRADE  
11 is my own work, submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of the  
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science in Science Education.

---

(Candidate's signature)

---

Date of Submission

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The success of this research study would not have been possible without the knowledge and support of my supervisor, Dr Moyra Keane. Her encouragement, guidance, helpful advice and belief in me, even during the difficult time of writing this report, are greatly appreciated. I also owe my sincere thanks to the following:

- Learners of Grade 11-D who took part in this study.
- The Science teacher at the school where the study was conducted for agreeing to co-teach with me during the intervention.
- The Gauteng Department of Education and the principal of the participating school for granting me permission to conduct my study at the school.
- The National Research Foundation for financial assistance.

## **DEDICATION**

This study is dedicated to my wife, Tintswalo, for her unfailing support throughout the process of writing this report and my beloved children, Masingita, Kulani and Masana.

## **LIST OF ACRONYMS USED IN THE STUDY**

### **Acronyms used to Classify/Code Learner Responses in the Questionnaire**

NIG – Not interested Group

QLTG – Quality Learning and Teaching Group

SBG – Science Benefit Group

SOG – Social Oriented Group

SCG – Subject Content Group

### **Acronyms used to Classify/Code Learner Levels of Motivation during Group Work**

COL – Co-operative Learning

CPS – Collaborative Problem Solving

SIT – Situated

SOP – Socio-political

### **General Acronyms used in the Study**

BSc – Bachelor of Science

FET – Further Education and Training

GDE – Gauteng Department of Education

GET – General Education and Training

HDE – Higher Diploma in Education

HSRC – Human Sciences Research Council

IRE – Initiate-Response-Evaluate

LPP – Legitimate Peripheral Participant

NCS – National Curriculum Statement

REDOX – Reduction-Oxidation Reactions

RNCS – Revised National Curriculum Statement

SMT – School Management Team

STS – Science, Technology and Society

TIMSS – Third International Mathematics and Science Study

USA – United States of America

ZPD – Zone of Proximal Development