

**Identifying and addressing factors affecting academic  
success of at-risk biology students: Attitudes, work-  
habits and metacognitive knowledge**

**Ellis Koe Ayayee**



**A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of  
the Witwatersrand, in fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.**

**Johannesburg, May 2012**



## ABSTRACT

Successful tertiary-level education in the biological sciences is crucially important in providing a high-level work force for a number of careers. The government of South Africa has realised the strong positive correlation that exists between the availability of scientific and technical human resources, the viability of the economy, and the well-being of its people. However, despite government policies and tertiary institutions efforts in South Africa to increase enrolment and improve students' throughput, the pass rates at first-year university level remains low, a source of concern for the government and affected institutions. The low pass rates of first-year students in the biological sciences at tertiary institutions constituted the problem which prompted the study.

The aim of this study was to identify factors perceived to be essential for academic success in first-year biological sciences at the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa, and to investigate the effects of a computer-based programme designed to address some of the factors. The programme was developed to help students increase their awareness of appropriate attitudes, metacognitive knowledge and work-habits, all of which contribute to achieving academic success.

The study had two main phases, a "diagnostic" and a "therapeutic" phase. The research methods adopted in this mixed-methods study included the use of interviews and questionnaires to elicit information from various stakeholders.

In the diagnostic phase, interviews were used first to elicit the perceptions of 10 lecturers teaching first-year biology courses, 8 Honours students, and 17 undergraduates, at the University of the Witwatersrand, about factors they believed influenced academic success. The five top-ranked factors influencing academic success were *being motivated, using appropriate study habits, having positive attitudes to studies, asking for help and clarification, and managing time effectively*. Whilst the above-mentioned were the common factors identified by the three samples, each stakeholder group made its distinctive contributions. Secondly, to obtain a wider perspective of first-year students' views, a questionnaire focussing on attitudes, work-habits and metacognition was administered to two large groups of students (n=145; n=100) at the end of two consecutive years. The three top-ranked factors in both samples had to do with academic behaviours: *attending all lectures; taking accurate notes; and asking for help and clarification when a topic was not understood*.

Eighty-three first-year students provided data on students' changing perceptions (at the beginning and end of the year) which were required to determine if, without an intervention, a year at the university influenced students' perceptions about appropriate factors influencing academic success. Rasch analytical techniques applied to 27 items in the questionnaire yielded 11 responses which were statistically significantly different. These responses were later addressed in the package because students needed this information from the start of their studies.

During the therapeutic phase a computer-based instructional programme, *Bioskills*, was designed to inform student users about attitudes, behaviours and metacognitive factors the literature and stakeholders said were important for academic success. Six experts and 75 first-year students provided comments as part of a formative evaluation during its development. These were used to modify the screen design, user interface and content of the alpha version of the package. *Bioskills* proved very easy to use, even for first-time computer users.

A case-study approach was used to explore the experiences of eight students with *Bioskills*, in particular its influence on their attitudes, metacognition and academic behaviours. All eight students made positive comments, including that it was relevant, informative and encouraging. Six out of the eight recommended it be used much earlier in the year, whilst two said it took too much time to work through. Without trying to imply causation, the four students who used *Bioskills* three or four times passed the first-year course they were doing.

Students' metacognitive gains were reported in terms of metacognitive knowledge (what students know about successful learning) and metacognitive control (their application of the knowledge). The small sample size (n=8) made it difficult to detect trends. However, individual students mentioned new attitudes (*being more determined*, showing more *interest* in the topic and applying more *positive attitudes* to their studies) which they claimed they learned from using *Bioskills*.

Attribution is traditionally explained as *a search for understanding of causes*. Students' attributions on specific academic tasks are therefore important in the remediation efforts by educators. Of the 38 factors identified by the eight students, as causes for poor performance in their June examination, before they used *Bioskills*, almost two-thirds, when categorized using attribution dimensions, were of *internal* but *unstable* dimensions. The literature suggests it is easier to remediate causes that are internal and unstable rather than external and stable. After using *Bioskills*, four of the eight students who performed well at the end of a teaching block ascribed their success to the effort they had put in, whilst two of the four who did not do well, blamed external factors (the length of the test, the question structure) as contributing to their poor results.

The important contribution this study made was the theoretical framework developed which expanded the existing attitude-behaviour models by adding additional constructs and showing the relationships between them. In addition, a summary of factors mentioned by the stakeholders and supplemented with views from the literature have been used to propose a conceptual model of factors influencing academic success. The thesis concludes by discussing the implications of the constructs in the model for improved academic performance and as an area for further research.

## DECLARATION

I declare that, apart from the assistance acknowledged, this is my own work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of the Witwatersrand, and has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at any other university.

*Ayayee Ek*

---

14<sup>th</sup>\_day of \_May\_2012

**Ellis Koe Ayayee**

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work to the incoming biological sciences Extended Curriculum and Academic Development programme students and lecturers. I hope the computer programme, which was developed as part of this work, contributes to an early appreciation of the factors that promote improved academic performance at this University, and also leads to the further appreciation of the affective factors.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

But for the concerted effort of the following organisations and individuals this thesis would not have seen the light of day.

Professor Martie Sanders who guided the design and completion of this project, thank you, for not giving up on the project.

Professor Kevin Balkwill, the Head of the School of Animal, Plant and Environmental Sciences, who, so that the thesis could be completed, supported my application for extended time-off leave.

I wish to acknowledge an initial NRF grant which enabled me to undertake the PhD study, and two time-off sabbaticals granted by the School which were funded by grants from the Carnegie Foundation (2007) and Mellon Foundation (2009).

The support and input from the following people are acknowledged:

- Mr David Auku, a Christian brother and systems analyst, who offered support and direction in the use of Macromedia Dreamweaver.
- Mr Tyler Morgan, who hosted *Bioskills* on the University's website and later, when the University's domain was faulty, kindly hosted it on his personal website for my external examiners to view.
- Dr John Fletcher, whose knowledge and skill in using Rasch (Winsteps), contributed to an easier analysis of the data.
- The six experts whose views were used in the validation of the package are gratefully acknowledged.
- Professor Shirley Hanrahan, my mentor, so considerate and supportive as I worked through the chapters.
- Ms Carol Still, my colleague in the Extended Curriculum programme, who gave up semesters of well-deserved leave in order that this study might be concluded.
- The unwavering support of my children Eyram, Ayitey, Korkoi and my dearest wife Effie as the "chapters" were being written is appreciated.
- The unsung, unheralded well-wishers who spared a thought for me, herein lies the thesis, that your sweet thoughts gave wing to.





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Declaration .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Dedication.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Chapters .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>List of Appendices .....</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY AND ITS CONTEXT</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 AN OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY.....	1
1.2 THE IMPORTANCE OF TERTIARY-LEVEL BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES COURSES .....	2
1.3 THE PROBLEMS WHICH MOTIVATED THIS STUDY .....	3
1.3.1 Cancellations of registrations during the year .....	3
1.3.2 Inability to meet assessment criteria .....	4
1.3.3 The low pass rates in university education.....	5
1.3.4 Low throughput rates to complete degrees .....	6
1.4 FACTORS INFLUENCING EARLY WITHDRAWAL OF STUDENTS FROM INSTITUTIONS .....	8
1.5 FACTORS INFLUENCING ACADEMIC SUCCESS AT TERTIARY LEVEL.....	9
1.5.1 Factors operating at a personal level .....	9
Educational background of students .....	9
<i>Quality of schooling</i> .....	9
<i>Prior academic achievement</i> .....	11
Learning strategies and behaviours.....	12
<i>Metacognition</i> .....	12
<i>Work habits and study skills</i> .....	13
<i>Approaches to learning</i> .....	14
Psychological factors .....	15
<i>Psychological health</i> .....	15
<i>Personality traits and students' responsibility for their learning</i> .....	15
Social factors.....	16
<i>Cultural capital</i> .....	16
<i>Student alienation</i> .....	16
<i>Maturity of students</i> .....	17
<i>Extracurricular activities</i> .....	18
Cultural factors influencing academic success .....	18
<i>Cultural values and beliefs of families or societies</i> .....	18
<i>Communication skills in the medium of instruction</i> .....	18
Affective factors .....	19

	<i>Students' perceptions</i> .....	19
	<i>Attitudes</i> .....	20
	<i>Academic intentions</i> .....	20
	<i>Motivation</i> .....	21
1.5.2	Factors operating at the institutional level .....	22
	Pedagogical environment .....	22
	<i>Cognitive demands</i> .....	22
	<i>Social support</i> .....	23
	Social integration .....	23
	Institutional culture of learning .....	23
	Financial support and bursaries .....	24
1.5.3	Factors operating at the national level.....	25
1.5.4	Interactions of factors influencing academic achievement .....	26
1.6	SUPPORT AT THE UNIVERSITY FROM THE 1970S TO THE PRESENT: A REVIEW .....	26
	1.6.1 The deficit model .....	27
	1.6.2 The change model .....	28
	1.6.3 The development model .....	30
	1.6.4 Institutional support in the Faculty of Science .....	31
1.7	THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY .....	32
1.8	RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....	33
1.9	ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS .....	34
<b>CHAPTER 2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE STUDY: AFFECTIVE FACTORS AND ACADEMIC SUCCESS</b>		<b>35</b>
2.1	WHAT A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK IS AND WHY IT IS IMPORTANT .....	35
2.2	SHORTCOMINGS IN THE RESEARCH ON AFFECTIVE FACTORS .....	36
	2.2.1 Paucity of research on affective factors .....	36
	2.2.2 Lack of agreement about definition of terms .....	36
	2.2.3 Lack of a coherent theoretical framework.....	37
2.3	CONSTRUCTS: DEFINITIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS .....	37
	2.3.1 Attitudes and attitudinal constructs influencing behaviour .....	41
	2.3.2 Behaviours and behavioural intentions .....	44
	2.3.3 Motivation and motivational variables .....	45
	History of studies on motivation.....	46
	Self-efficacy beliefs and academic success .....	47
	Attributions and academic success .....	47
	<i>For success situations</i> .....	48
	<i>For failure situations</i> .....	48
	Intrinsic motivation and academic success .....	49
	Goal orientations and academic success .....	50
	2.3.4 Metacognition and metacognitive development.....	50
2.4	SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	51

<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH APPROACH AND METHODS: DIAGNOSTIC PHASE</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1 THE PURPOSE OF THE DIAGNOSTIC PHASE.....	53
3.1.1 Research questions .....	53
3.2 RESEARCH PARADIGMS .....	54
3.3 RESEARCH DESIGN .....	57
3.4 DATA-GATHERING STRATEGIES .....	57
3.4.1 Interviews .....	57
Benefits of using interviews.....	58
Limitations of using interviews .....	59
Designing well-structured interviews .....	59
<i>Designing the interview schedules</i> .....	59
<i>Face validation of the interview schedules</i> .....	60
<i>Piloting the interviews</i> .....	61
<i>Conducting the interviews</i> .....	61
Sample selection for the interviews .....	62
<i>Sample 1: Undergraduate students</i> .....	62
<i>Sample 2: Honours students</i> .....	63
<i>Sample 3: Lecturers teaching first-year biology students</i> .....	63
Analysis of the interview data.....	64
3.4.2 Questionnaires .....	64
Development of the questionnaire .....	65
<i>Reviewing the literature on questionnaire development</i> .....	65
<i>Drawing up the statements and questions</i> .....	66
<i>Face-validation of the questionnaire</i> .....	66
Piloting the questionnaire .....	66
Sample of students given the questionnaire.....	66
Analyses of the questionnaire data .....	67
<i>Analysis of the open-ended data</i> .....	67
<i>Analysis of the closed-ended data</i> .....	67
<i>METHOD 1: Indices of Agreement/Disagreement</i> .....	67
<i>METHOD 2: Rasch analysis to determine significance of differences</i> <i>between scores</i> .....	68
3.5 ISSUES RELATING TO RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY.....	69
3.6 RESEARCH ETHICS .....	70
3.7 CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	71
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: DIAGNOSTIC PHASE</b>	<b>72</b>
4.1 EXPERTS' PERCEPTIONS OF FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO ACADEMIC SUCCESS .....	72
4.1.1 Who are the “experts”? .....	72
4.1.2 The views of the experts .....	73
Affective factors .....	74
<i>Being motivated</i> .....	74
<i>Having positive attitudes to studies</i> .....	76
<i>Being committed to one’s work</i> .....	78

	<i>Being self-confident</i> .....	78
	Work habits.....	79
	<i>Managing time effectively</i> .....	80
	<i>Asking for help</i> .....	80
	<i>Discussing and studying in groups</i> .....	80
	<i>Preparing for lectures and practicals</i> .....	81
	<i>Textbook reading and working consistently</i> .....	81
	Other factors.....	82
	Reasons why some students fail at university.....	82
	Concluding remarks on the interviews with the experts.....	84
4.2	FIRST-YEAR STUDENTS' VIEWS ON FACTORS THAT INFLUENCED ACADEMIC SUCCESS.....	84
4.2.1	Results from the interviews with first-year students.....	85
	Affective factors.....	85
	<i>Motivation</i> .....	85
	<i>Interest and enjoyment of the subject</i> .....	86
	<i>Having positive attitudes</i> .....	87
	<i>Self-efficacy</i> .....	87
	Work habits.....	87
	<i>Asking for help and clarification</i> .....	87
	<i>Working consistently</i> .....	88
	<i>Preparing for lectures</i> .....	88
	Other factors.....	89
	<i>Understanding the work</i> .....	89
	<i>Having a quiet area to study</i> .....	90
	<i>Financial problems of the family</i> .....	90
	<i>Staying at the residence</i> .....	91
	Summary of the interview results from the three samples.....	91
4.2.2	Results of the open-ended responses from the questionnaire.....	92
4.2.3	Students' responses to Likert-format items in the questionnaire.....	93
	Results from questionnaire, closed-ended question 2.....	93
	<i>Taking accurate notes</i> .....	94
	<i>Asking for help and clarification</i> .....	95
	Results from questionnaire, closed-ended question 3.....	97
4.2.4	Changes in perceptions of students after a year at university.....	100
	Results from open-ended questionnaire statement.....	100
	<i>Attending all lectures</i> .....	101
	<i>Doing extra reading from the textbook</i> .....	102
	<i>Keeping up to date</i> .....	102
	<i>Making your own notes</i> .....	102
	Results from closed-ended statements in the questionnaire.....	103
	<i>Setting academic goals and working hard to achieve them</i> .....	104
	<i>Planning well ahead and working conscientiously to complete class     assignments on schedule</i> .....	104
	<i>Understanding and writing assignments properly</i> .....	105

“Not sure” responses.....	105
<i>They enjoy finding meanings of scientific words in biology</i> .....	105
<i>Making meaning cues, mind maps or tables to help memorization</i> .....	106
<i>Having a good command of the English language</i> .....	107
4.3 CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	107
<b>CHAPTER 5 THERAPEUTIC PHASE: PACKAGE DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH DESIGN</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1 RATIONALE FOR USING COMPUTERS AS THE VEHICLE OF INSTRUCTION .....	109
5.1.1 Needs assessment .....	110
5.2 THEORIES AND PRINCIPLES UNDERPINNING THE DESIGN OF THE INSTRUCTIONAL MODULE .....	110
5.2.1 Multimedia instructional design.....	111
Screen design elements .....	112
Media design elements .....	112
User interface design elements .....	112
<i>Ease of navigation</i> .....	113
<i>Reducing cognitive load</i> .....	114
5.2.2 Pedagogical design elements .....	116
Situated cognition theory.....	116
Preferred learning styles and multiple intelligences .....	117
<i>Learning styles</i> .....	117
<i>Multiple intelligences</i> .....	119
Behavioural change: conceptual framework for modifying attitudes, work-habits (behaviours) of students.....	119
<i>Theory of planned behaviour</i> .....	120
<i>Designing instructional messages to influence behaviour</i> .....	122
<i>The Transtheoretical model of behaviour change</i> ..	122
<i>Defining features of each stage of change as applied to the educational setting</i> .....	123
<i>Stage 1: Precontemplation</i> .....	123
<i>Stage 2: Contemplation</i> .....	124
<i>Stage 3: Preparation</i> .....	124
<i>Stage 4: Action</i> .....	124
<i>Stage 5: Maintenance</i> .....	125
5.3 DEVELOPING THE <i>BIOSKILLS</i> INSTRUCTIONAL MODULES .....	125
5.3.1 Designing the storyboard .....	125
5.3.2 Choosing the authoring software.....	125
5.3.3 Developing the <i>Bioskills</i> software.....	126
Developing the alpha version of the package .....	127
Web hosting and technical support.....	132
5.4 PURPOSE OF THE THERAPEUTIC PHASE .....	132
5.5 RESEARCH DESIGN FOR THE THERAPEUTIC PHASE .....	133
5.6 FORMATIVE EVALUATION OF <i>BIOSKILLS</i> .....	135
5.6.1 Expert review.....	135

Questionnaire for expert reviewers .....	135
Results of the expert review: Experts' comments and opinions .....	136
Concluding remarks about experts' views .....	139
5.6.2 Usability testing of the package .....	139
Sample of students users .....	139
Administration of questionnaire .....	140
Analysis of data from students who used the alpha version of the package .....	141
<i>Students' perceptions, at 30-minute intervals, of the ease of use of Bioskills</i> ..	141
<i>Students' opinions, at 30-minute intervals, of Bioskills</i> .....	142
<i>Students' perceptions, at 30-minute intervals, of the usefulness of</i> <i>Bioskills</i> .....	143
Students' observations about the screens of <i>Bioskills</i> .. ..	144
5.6.3 Trialing of the Beta version of the package .....	145
5.7 CONCLUDING REMARKS.....	145
<b>CHAPTER 6 THERAPEUTIC PHASE: RESEARCH DESIGN</b>	
<b>(INVESTIGATION OF EFFECTIVENESS)</b>	<b>146</b>
6.1 INVESTIGATION OF EFFECTIVENESS OF <i>BIOSKILLS</i> .....	146
6.1.1 Research questions.....	146
6.2 RESEARCH DESIGN.....	147
6.2.1 Participants in the study.....	147
6.3 DATA-GATHERING STRATEGIES .....	149
6.3.1 Activity-based questionnaires.....	149
Activity-based questionnaire 1: <i>Taking action to improve your marks</i> .....	149
Activity-based questionnaire 2: <i>Tell us what you learnt from using Bioskills</i> .....	153
<i>Purpose of the activity-based questionnaire</i> .....	153
<i>Description of the questionnaire</i> .....	153
Activity-based questionnaires 3 and 4: <i>Getting ready for Block 3</i> .....	154
<i>Purpose of the activity-based questionnaires</i> .....	154
Activity-based questionnaire 3: <i>Setting goals for Block 3</i> .....	154
<i>Task: Preparing your weekly "To-do-list"</i> .....	154
<i>Task: Week-by-week planning of activities</i> .....	154
Activity-based questionnaire 4: <i>Preparing for practicals</i> .....	155
Activity-based questionnaire 5: <i>Bioskills and you</i> .....	155
<i>Purpose of the activity-based questionnaire 5</i> .....	155
<i>Description of activity-based questionnaire 5</i> .....	156
<i>Administration of the questionnaires</i> .....	156
6.3.2 Designing the interviews on the influence of <i>Bioskills</i> .....	157
Purpose of the interviews .....	157
Development of the interview schedule .....	157
Description of the interview sessions .....	158
6.4 VALIDITY ISSUES.....	158
6.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	159

<b>CHAPTER 7 THERAPEUTIC PHASE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (INVESTIGATION OF EFFECTIVENESS)</b>	<b>160</b>
7.1 VALIDITY OF STUDENTS' ANSWERS .....	160
7.2 VIGNETTES OF STUDENTS WHO WERE INTERVIEWED .....	161
7.3 CONCERNS ABOUT STUDENTS' LEVEL OF COMPUTER EXPERIENCE.....	164
7.4 THE METACOGNITIVE GAINS STUDENTS DERIVED FROM USING <i>BIOSKILLS</i> .....	165
7.4.1 Gains in metacognitive knowledge .....	166
Students' knowledge about factors affecting academic success before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	167
Students' knowledge about factors affecting academic success after using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	170
7.4.2 Gains in metacognitive control .....	177
FACTOR 1: Metacognitive control in implementing behavioural intentions .....	178
Implementation of behavioural intentions before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	178
Implementation of behavioural intentions after using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	180
FACTOR 2: Metacognitive control of behaviours promoting academic success....	181
Implementation of appropriate behaviours before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	181
Implementation of appropriate behaviours after using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	187
FACTOR 3: Metacognitive control of attitudes likely to promote academic success .....	190
Students' attitudes before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	191
Development of appropriate attitudes after using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	193
FACTOR 4: Metacognitive control of attributions .....	195
Attributions of success and failure by students before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	195
Attributions of success and failure by students after using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	200
7.5 STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS BEFORE AND AFTER USING <i>BIOSKILLS</i> .....	201
7.5.1 Students' academic' performance before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	202
7.5.2 Changes in students' performance after using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	204
7.6 STUDENTS' OPINIONS ABOUT <i>BIOSKILLS</i> .....	205
7.7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	211
 <b>CHAPTER 8 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY, GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	 <b>213</b>
8.1 VALIDITY CONSIDERATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THE STUDY .....	213
8.1.1 Timing. ....	213
8.1.2 Subject attrition .....	214
8.1.3 Experimenter effect .....	215
8.1.4 Missing data.....	215
8.1.5 Problem of not using the same data-gathering tools before and after <i>Bioskills</i> ...	216
8.1.6. Shortcomings of the package, <i>Bioskills</i> .....	216

8.2 SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS, AND THE RESULTING CONCEPTUAL MODEL .....	216
8.2.1 The diagnostic phase of the research .....	217
<b>Research question 1:</b> What factors do the lecturers teaching first-year biology, Honours students, and first-year biological science students, perceive to be important for academic success in first-year biological sciences?.....	217
Items with high consensus indices for all three groups of stakeholders .....	217
Items for which only lecturers and Honours students provided high consensus values .....	219
Items mentioned by more than half of only one group of stakeholders.....	220
Items from the first-year students' responses in the open-ended section of the questionnaire.....	221
Relationship between the data from my study and the summary of factors from the literature reviewed .....	221
Conceptual model of factors influencing academic success. ....	224
8.2.2 Answering research question .....	227
<b>Research question 2:</b> What changes in students' perceptions occur after a year at the university? .....	227
8.2.3 The therapeutic phase of the research .....	230
<b>Research question 3:</b> What metacognitive gains did the students derive from using <i>Bioskills</i> ? .....	230
<b>Research question 3a:</b> What metacognitive knowledge did the students say they have about factors promoting academic success, before and after using <i>Bioskills</i> ? .....	231
<b>Research question 3b:</b> What metacognitive control (application of metacognitive knowledge) did students say they use before and after working with <i>Bioskills</i> ? .....	232
Changes in students' attributions .....	234
<b>Research question 4:</b> What are the students' opinions about <i>Bioskills</i> as a teaching tool targeting academic success?.....	235
8.3 IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY ....	236
8.3.1 The need for research into the affective factors that affect academic success .....	236
8.3.2 The importance of increasing success rates is crucial for the country .....	237
8.3.3 Support for this university's equity, transformation and expansion initiatives .....	237
8.3.4 The development of a research-based instructional resource.....	238
8.4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE USE AND FURTHER RESEARCH .....	238
8.4.1 Research on <i>Bioskills</i> .....	238
8.4.2 Areas of <i>Bioskills</i> requiring further development and modification. ....	239
8.4.3 Recommendations for using <i>Bioskills</i> as a teaching tool in the School of Biology	239
8.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	240
APPENDICES.....	242
REFERENCES .....	307



# LIST OF TABLES

## CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1	Student registrations in the Faculty of Science showing trends in the proportions of the racial groups registered .....	29
-----------	---	----

## CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1	Range of definitions of constructs mentioned in this phase of the study .....	39
Table 2.2	Definitions developed for this study .....	40
Table 2.3	Academic achievement attributions classified by locus, controllability, and stability dimensions .....	48

## CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1	Perceptions of lecturers and Honours students of factors important for academic success in first-year biology .....	73
Table 4.2	Reasons suggested by lecturers and Honours students why some students fail their first-year courses in biology .....	83
Table 4.3	Factors identified by first-year students' (n= 17) during the interview .....	86
Table 4.4	Top ten factors influencing academic success identified in interviews by the experts, and first-year students .....	91
Table 4.5	Frequency and ranking of factors influencing academic success identified, in an open-ended questionnaire, by first-year biology students (n=83) .....	93
Table 4.6	Extent of agreement of two samples of students about the importance of ways of studying, contributed at the end of year .....	95
Table 4.7	Indices of Agreement and Disagreement, and ranks for statements about attitudes and work-habits top students of biology are believed by these samples to adopt .....	98
Table 4.8	The strategies of studying which students believed contributed to academic success, mentioned by them as they entered and as they completed first year biology (n=83) .....	100
Table 4.9	Summary of statements that were significantly different at end of year (n=83) .....	104
Table 4.10	Summary of the extent of "not sure" responses (n=83) .....	106

## CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1	Guidelines for the screen design environment of a programme .....	112
Table 5.2	Some principles of multimedia design and suggestions for their use .....	114
Table 5.3	Elements of situated cognition and their implementation in <i>Bioskills</i> .....	118

Table 5.4	List of inappropriate academic behaviours, and the acceptable outcomes this study targeted .....	123
Table 5.5	Navigational guidelines from the literature and their application in <i>Bioskills</i> .....	128
Table 5.6	Main features of the sections in <i>Bioskills</i> , with the instructional tasks and aims.....	130
Table 5.7	Instruments, time administered, and participants in the formative and investigation of effectiveness stages of the study .....	133
Table 5.8	Instructions, feedback and comments from experts during formative evaluation, and resulting modification: Screen design .....	136
Table 5.9	Instructions, feedback and suggestions from experts, and resulting modification during formative evaluation: Media elements.....	137
Table 5.10	Instructions, feedback and comments from experts, and resulting modification during formative evaluation: Cognitive load .....	137
Table 5.11	Questions, feedback and suggestions from experts, and resulting modification during formative evaluation: User interface .....	138
Table 5.12	Computer skills and experience of the College of Science sample .....	140
Table 5.13	Major activities for which College of Science students used computers .....	140
Table 5.14	Experienced users and new users' perceptions over time about the ease of use of <i>Bioskills</i> .....	141
Table 5.15	Students' indications, at 30-minute intervals, of their "like" or "dislike" for <i>Bioskills</i> .....	142
Table 5.16	Students' perceptions, at 30-minute intervals, of the usefulness of <i>Bioskills</i> .....	143
Table 5.17	Summary of observations made by students (n=61) about the screens of <i>Bioskills</i> .....	144

## CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1	Research activities and the week in which they were conducted during the eight-week period .....	157
Table 6.2	Characteristics of students who participated in the final interview .....	158

## CHAPTER 7

Table 7.1	Computer usage and experience of the eight students.....	165
Table 7.2	Students' knowledge of appropriate academic behaviours .....	167
Table 7.3	Outline of what is in <i>Bioskills</i> and what students (n=8) learned on first using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	171
Table 7.4	Outline of what is in <i>Bioskills</i> and what students (n=8) remembered during the interview.....	174
Table 7.5	Summary of what students (n=53) learned immediately from using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	177

Table 7.6	Summary of students' academic intentions at the start of the year .....	179
Table 7.7	Students' (n=8) reflections on their work-habits for the first half of the year.....	183
Table 7.8	Summary of factors students (n=8) said influenced their June marks (open-ended question) .....	196
Table 7.9	Summary of factors students selected as having influenced their June marks.....	197
Table 7.10	Biology class record and examination marks of students who participated in the interviews .....	203
Table 7.11	Accuracy of students' (n=8) prediction of their June mark.....	204

## CHAPTER 8

Table 8.1	Views of lecturers, Honours students and two groups of first-year students about factors important for academic success in first-year biology (reported as consensus indices).....	218
Table 8.2	Summary of average number of new things students said they learned per section of <i>Bioskills</i> .....	232

# LIST OF FIGURES

## CHAPTER 1

- Figure 1.1 Number of first-year biology students cancelling their registrations in the Faculty of Science ..... 4
- Figure 1.2 Percentage passes in a first-year biology course ..... 5
- Figure 1.3 Factors operating at national, institutional and personal levels, and affecting academic success..... 10

## CHAPTER 2

- Figure 2.1 The relationships between various constructs affecting academic performance..... 42

## CHAPTER 3

- Figure 3.1 A summary of the research design used for the diagnostic phase ..... 58

## CHAPTER 4

- Figure 4.1 Affective factors contributed by lecturers and Honours students, ranked clockwise ..... 74
- Figure 4.2 Major work-habits identified by experts as important for academic success ..... 79
- Figure 4.3 Affective factors mentioned by first-year students as influencing academic success ..... 87
- Figure 4.4 Views of students as they “entered” and as they “completed” their first year (n= 83)..... 101

## CHAPTER 5

- Figure 5.1 Sources of information that informed the design of *Bioskills* ..... 111
- Figure 5.2 The relationships among factors that predict academic behaviour..... 121
- Figure 5.3 Schematic diagram of stages in the transtheoretical model of behaviour change..... 123
- Figure 5.4 Home section of *Bioskills* showing tabs, buttons and navigational aids ..... 127
- Figure 5.5 Mind map of the initial five sections of *Bioskills* ..... 129
- Figure 5.6 Screen from *Bioskills* highlighting the tone and relevant graphics used to create authentic context and motivate students ..... 129
- Figure 5.7 A video clip in a screen from *Bioskills* highlighting an authentic classroom context and used to motivate students ..... 131
- Figure 5.8 Screen from *Bioskills* showing students how goals are set ..... 131
- Figure 5.9 Diagram showing portions of how the transtheoretical theory was implemented in *Bioskills* ..... 132
- Figure 5.10 Flow chart of the research plan for the therapeutic phase..... 134

## CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1 Distribution of mid-year marks of students (n=60) attending Academic Development tutorials in the second half of the year.....	147
Figure 6.2 Flow chart of the research design of the investigation of the effectiveness in the therapeutic phase .....	148
Figure 6.3 Introduction to activity-based questionnaire 1 .....	150
Figure 6.4 The first task in activity-based questionnaire 1 .....	150
Figure 6.5 Extract from the second task in activity-based questionnaire 1 .....	151
Figure 6.6 Extract from the third task in activity-based questionnaire 1 .....	151
Figure 6.7 Fourth task from activity-based questionnaire 1 .....	152
Figure 6.8 Extract from the fifth task in activity-based questionnaire 1.....	152
Figure 6.9 A sample of the call-out box on task 1 in activity-based questionnaire 2.....	153
Figure 6.10 Extract from a check-list included in questionnaire 4.....	155
Figure 6.11 Example of a task in activity-based questionnaire 5, <i>Bioskills</i> and you .....	156

## CHAPTER 8

Figure 8.1 The major factors that the stakeholders mentioned which influence academic success.....	222
Figure 8.2 Conceptual model of factors influencing academic success .....	225
Figure 8.3 Statements responded to at the start and end of the year, which showed significant differences (p=0.05) in students' level of agreement, measured in Rasch units (n=83) .....	228
Figure 8.4 Attitudes and behaviours of top students which showed significant differences in students' perceptions at the start and end of the year (n=83) .....	230
Figure 8.5 Students intentions and the number of students who did or did not implement their intentions during semester one, before using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	233
Figure 8.6 Attribution dimensions for poor performance in the June examination based on students' reported causes from 38 statements (n=8) .....	235

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Three interview schedules (A1, A2 & A3) .....	242
Appendix B	The questionnaire for Introductory Life Sciences students .....	250
Appendix C	Paper presented at the SAARMSTE 2008 Conference (Fletcher, Sanders & Ayayee, 2008) .....	254
Appendix D	Two questionnaires for experts: Your views and comments about <i>Bioskills</i> .....	262
Appendix E	Two questionnaires for first-year students.....	268
Appendix F	Activity-based questionnaire 2: Tell us what you learnt from using <i>Bioskills</i> .....	275
Appendix G	Activity-based questionnaire 1: Taking action to improve your marks.....	279
Appendix H	Activity-based questionnaire 3: Setting goals for Block 3.....	284
Appendix I	Activity-based questionnaire 4: Preparing for practicals.....	295
Appendix J	Activity-based questionnaire 5: <i>Bioskills</i> and you.....	298
Appendix K	Semi-structured interview schedule.....	301