





(Krieger, 1981)

# inner-city ritual centre

T a t e n d a   M a v u n g a

This document is submitted in partial fulfilment for the degree  
Master of Architecture [Professional]  
University of the Witwatersrand,  
Johannesburg,  
South Africa  
2008

**reflect + facilitate**  
c u | t u r e  
c n | t u r e

## declaration

I, Tatenda Mavunga 0202726e am a student registered for the course Master of Architecture [Professional] in the year 2008. I hereby declare the following:

I am aware that plagiarism [the use of someone else's work without permission and/or without acknowledging the original sources] is wrong. I confirm that the work submitted for assessment for the above course is my own unaided work except where I have stated explicitly otherwise. I have followed the required conventions in referencing thoughts, ideas, and visual materials of others. For this purpose, I have referred to the Graduate School of Engineering and the Built Environment style guide. I understand that the University of the Witwatersrand may take disciplinary action against me if there is a belief that this is not my unaided work or that I have failed to acknowledge the source of the ideas or words in my in my own work.

Tatenda Mavunga

date

## acknowledgments

To my family, thank you for understanding and supporting my dream. You have supported, motivated and encouraged me every step of the way.

To Laurence, you have been more than a benefactor; you have been a constant source of inspiration and a brother. To Clara, Mberi and the Afritects team thanks for the crits.

To Prof Lone, Randy and Kiki, thank you for the invaluable guidance and understanding.

To my studio mates, "the outlawz", Coach and "that hater" Kunuka – "spread love, its the BK way"; to our affiliates PeterPan, Tom, Marco, the Don and Sabotage you made this year the greatest

To Mucha, Lez, TC, and all my friends, sorry for going AWOL but we can catch up soon.

To Karabo, Tich, Guy and Ryan, thanks for the help. Special thanks to Dindi.

## theory

### 01 introduction page 07

abstract and intentions

### 02 reflection + facilitation page 11

illustrates that architecture is both product and facilitator of culture

### 03 johannesburg inner city page 21

brief historical overview of marginalisation  
continued forms of cultural marginalisation  
critique of the city's plans for culture  
the call for a new building typology to facilitate the hybrid nature of post colonial culture

### 04 post colonial Architectural hybridity - translation page 45

translation theory  
translation in practice  
a method of practice

## building

### 05 programmatic translation- inclusion of practices page 65

brief and programme development  
site selection  
urban framework, determining the city's requirements for the site  
synthesis of ritual and city requirements, translation  
arrangement of programme on the site urban response

### 06 discursive translation- inclusion of discourses page 97

design development  
discursive dialogues, the development of an architecture  
design drawings

### 07 references page 151





## abstract

The built environment is a product of man's rationales and understandings of space. It is on the basis of these understandings that man builds, to facilitate his ways of life. These "understandings", are the discourses that each individual is born into and the "ways of life" are the cultural practices resulting from these discourses. Architecture being a product of cultural discourse is intended to facilitate cultural practices.

Post 1994 South Africa, has inherited a Johannesburg inner-city built environment, which is predominantly a product of apartheid and colonial discourse. During this era, black people were marginalised and excluded from the inner city, both physically and through architectural representation. The inner city was built in accordance with western (white) discourse to facilitate western cultural practices.

Today the inner city is predominantly inhabited by black people, who were excluded and marginalised in its conception. Post colonial theorists assert that, while black people have embraced "modernity" and "western urbanity", it has not resulted in a complete acceptance or appropriation of western cultural practices and discourses. Due a process termed "post colonial hybridity" these people merge the two seemingly irreconcilable cultural discourses and practices to form new cultural hybrids. The consequence of hybridity in the inner-city is; while the appropriated western cultural practices and discourses are inherently reflected and catered for, the retained aspects of black cultural practice and discourse remain marginalised. The built environment, which is meant to facilitate and reflect, negates and

marginalises aspects of black discourses and cultural practices.

**"To be truly expressive, a building should grow out of its natural, social, and civilization context. It should reflect not only the personal values, needs and interests of its dwellers but also its relation to its natural and architectural site. Thus the formal organization of a building cannot be imposed on a people from the outside; it should originate from the context of human life in the given region. In this origination the process of spatial articulation results from a thought- full grasp of the dynamic interaction between the material elements of the architectural work and the human vision which guides this activity." (Mitias 1994:103)**

In order to make a contribution to the creation of a more inclusive built environment this paper proposes the development of a hybrid building prototype that would facilitate and reflect the hybrid cultural practices and discourses of the city's black inhabitants. The building prototype named the "Inner City Ritual Centre" aims to facilitate some of the marginalised practices of black people living in the city and to reflect some of the excluded spatial understandings of black people. The paper proposes a method of practice that utilises postcolonial hybridity, to include excluded and marginalised practices and discourses into the architectural representation of the city. This paper uncovers and highlights a few of these discourses and practices and demonstrates how the use of postcolonial hybridity in architecture would result in a more inclusive built environment.





