

**THE *RAND DAILY MAIL* AND THE 1976 SOWETO RIOTS. AN EXAMINATION
OF THE TRADITION OF LIBERAL JOURNALISM IN SOUTH AFRICA AS
ILLUSTRATED BY THE *RAND DAILY MAIL* COVERAGE OF THE SOWETO
UPRISING ON JUNE 16, 1976.**

Submitted by Samantha Keogh

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Arts, University of the Witwatersrand,
Johannesburg, South Africa, in partial fulfilment of the degree of Master of Arts in
Journalism and Media Studies.

Johannesburg 2005

ABSTRACT

This research examined the notion of liberal journalism in South Africa during apartheid as practiced at the *RDM*. It considered whether the paper, facing government scrutiny and restrictive laws, adhered to the principals of liberal journalism and how successfully it did so. The 1976 Soweto Uprising was used to assess the newspaper's performance and the merits of arguments for and against the notion of it being a successful example of a liberal newspaper. Content analysis and interviews with *RDM* staff members, was used to assess the paper's conduct. These primary sources were examined in conjunction with available literature and criticisms against the English press and *RDM* presented in testimonies at the TRCMH to assess the paper's reportage and how valid criticisms against it were. The researcher concluded that, due to serious shortcomings, which included its reporting of the uprising, the *RDM* was not a successful liberal newspaper.

DECLARATION

I declare that this dissertation is my own unaided work. It is submitted for the degree of Master of Arts in Journalism and Media Studies to the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. It has not been submitted before for any other degree or examination in any other university.

Samantha Keogh

This 31st day of January 2005

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend my thanks to the following people, without whom the completion of this work would not have been possible.

- My parents, Kevin and Avril Keogh, for their help, love and support and the encouragement they gave me throughout.
- My grandmothers, Jean Wesson for her infinite faith in me and her support during the research and writing of this thesis and Joyce Keogh for proof reading the work and showing such interest in and enthusiasm for the project.
- Supervisors, Prof Anton Harber and Prof Peter Delius for their guidance.
- My friends Kerry-Lee Schwikkard, Rian Pretorius and Nico Fouche for their support and encouragement.
- The interviewees who agreed to share their memories and time with me.
- The staff at the Wits library – African Collection and Historical papers for their help in finding and accessing secondary research material needed for this research.
- The staff of the South African National Library (Pretoria) for all their help in accessing copies of the *Rand Daily Mail* and helping with the photocopying of newspaper content.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Liberalism	3
1.2 Liberal Journalism	4
1.3 Liberalism in South Africa and at the <i>RDM</i>	5
1.4 Literature	6
1.5 Methodology	8
1.5.1 The Truth and Reconciliation Media Hearings	8
1.5.2 Content analysis	10
1.5.3 Interviews	13
1.5.4 Reliability and validity of interview transcripts	16
1.6 Chapter breakdown	17
Chapter 2: Critiques of the <i>Rand Daily Mail</i>	19
2.1 Editorial department	21
2.1.1 Editors	21
2.1.2 Editorial policy	22
2.1.3 Views of Liberal Journalism	25
2.2 Advertising and readership	26
2.3 Owner and management control	30
2.4 Prisons stories and trial	30
2.5 Opposition press	33
Chapter 3: Critiques of the <i>RDM</i>	35
3.1 Legislation	36
3.2 Human rights violations	37
3.3 Working conditions	39
3.4 Detained	40
3.5 Training	41
3.6 <i>Township Extra</i>	42
3.7 Principals	42
Chapter 4: How valid were these critiques?	46
4.1 Effects of government legislation	46
4.2 <i>Township Extra</i>	51
4.3 Spies	56
4.4 Human rights violations	58

4.5 Working conditions and separate amenities	60
4.6 Training	61
4.7 Fired while in jail	64
4.8 Media's role in apartheid society	65
Chapter 5: The <i>RDM</i> in action	66
5.1 Research design	66
5.2 What could be expected from the <i>RDM</i> ?	70
5.3 The lead up to the Soweto Uprising	71
5.3.1 Warnings	73
5.3.2 Fair and adequate coverage	75
5.3.3 Language	78
5.3.4. Police Brutality	79
5.3.5 Treatment of headlines and photos	81
5.4 Coverage of the Soweto Uprising	83
5.4.1 Fair and accurate reporting	84
5.4.2 Language	86
5.4.3 Police brutality	87
5.4.4 Student violence	90
5.4.5 Treatment of headlines and photos	91
Chapter 6: Conclusion	96
6.1 Meeting the challenge	97
6.2 Falling short	101
6.3 A final analysis	104
References	107
Bibliography	112
Appendix A: Laws that bound newspapers	121
Appendix B: Interviews	133
B1. Gordon Amos	135
B2 Doc Bakitsha	146
B3 Patrick Laurence	158
B4 Raymond Louw	166
B5 Peter Magubane	204
B6 John Mojapela	214
B7 Benjamin Pogrand	223

B8 Gavin Stewart	245
B9 Gabu Tugwana	252
B10 Transcript comparison	266
Appendix C: Content analysis	267

ABBREVIATIONS

The following is a list of abbreviations used in this research:

- ANC – African National Congress.
- NP – National Party (also known as the Nats).
- NPU – Newspaper Press Union.
- PP – Progressive Party (also known as the Progs).
- RDM – Rand Daily Mail.
- SAAN – South African Associated Newspapers.
- SAHRC – South African Human Rights Commission.
- TRCMH – Truth and Reconciliation Commission Media Hearings.
- UP – United Party.