


The Effect of Social Support on the Relationship between Emotional Labour and Wellbeing in Call Centre Employees

Danica Liebenberg

A research project submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MA by coursework and Research Report in the field of Industrial Psychology in the Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 9 February, 2011.

I declare that this research report is my own, unaided work. It has not been submitted before for any other degree or examination at this or any other University



Danica Liebenberg
9 February 2011

Word Count: 15713

ABSTRACT

In order to examine different types of social support (emotional and instrumental) from different sources of social support (colleague and supervisor) as potential moderators of the relationship between emotional labour and psychological wellbeing and intention to leave in call centre employees, a study involving 184 call centre employees within a prominent Johannesburg financial institution in the banking sector was conducted. Participants voluntarily completed four questionnaires, namely Brotheridge and Lee's (2003) Emotional Labour Scale, Caplan, Cobb, French, Van Harrison and Pinneau's (1980) Social Support Scale, the twelve-item version of the General Health Questionnaire (Goldberg, 1972) and O'Driscoll and Beehr's (1994) Turnover Intentions Scale. Moderated Multiple Regression Analyses were used to explore possible main and interaction effects of the independent and moderator variables.

Results indicate significant main effects of each type and source of support on the dependent variables of psychological wellbeing and intention to leave. With regard to support as a potential moderator, both emotional and instrumental support from supervisors were found to have had moderating effects on the relationship between emotional labour and intention to leave. In particular, support from supervisors altered the direction of the relationship between emotional labour and intention to leave by inverting it to a positive value, suggesting that in the event of the experience of emotional labour, supervisor support increases the intention of the individual to leave the organisation. Therefore findings of the current research offer that despite prior literature to the contrary, emotional labour may not always serve to increase employee turnover intentions. In addition, the role of supervisor social support in mitigating working conditions and employee turnover intentions is questioned.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to the following people whose role was integral in the completion of this research report:

- My supervisor, Colleen Bernstein, for her encouragement, guidance, patience and unwavering support.
- My friends, family and fiancée for their unconditional belief, love and support and for always encouraging me to follow my dreams.
- My heavenly father, for walking this journey by my side.
- The call centre manager and employees who allowed me to share in their experience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction and Research Outline	1
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. Research Outline.....	2
Chapter 2: Theoretical and Conceptual Background	3
2.1. Stress.....	3
2.1.1. Introduction.....	3
2.1.2. Models of Stress.....	3
2.1.3. The Transactional Model of Stress.....	4
2.1.4. The Call Centre Environment.....	7
2.2. Emotional Labour and Psychological Wellbeing.....	8
2.3. Emotional Labour and Intention to Leave.....	9
2.4. Social Support.....	10
2.4.1. Introduction- Defining Social Support.....	10
2.4.2. Types of Social Support.....	11
2.4.3. Sources of Social Support.....	11
2.4.4. The Main Effect of Social Support.....	12
2.4.5. Moderating Effect of Social Support.....	13
2.4. Research Objective.....	14
Chapter 3: Methodology	18
3.1. Research Design.....	18
3.2. The Model.....	18
3.3. Sample.....	19
3.4. Measures.....	21
3.5. Procedure.....	23
3.6. Scoring Procedure.....	24
3.7. Statistical Analysis.....	24
3.7.1. Moderated Multiple Regression.....	24
3.7.2. Assumptions of Moderated Multiple Regression.....	26
3.7.2.1. Relationship of Biographical Variables.....	26
3.7.2.2. Absence of Outliers.....	26

3.7.2.3. Absence of Multicollinearity.....	26
3.7.2.4. Normality, Linearity and Homoscedasticity of Residuals.....	27
3.7.2.5. Measurement Error.....	27
Chapter 4: Results.....	28
4.1. Tests of Assumptions of Moderated Multiple Regression.....	28
4.1.1. Relationship of Biographical Variables.....	28
4.1.2. Absence of Outliers.....	31
4.1.3. Normality, Linearity and Homoscedasticity of Residuals.....	31
4.1.4. Measurement Error.....	31
4.1.5. Absence of Multicollinearity.....	32
4.2. Results of Moderated Multiple Regression Analyses.....	34
4.2.1. Psychological Wellbeing.....	34
4.2.2. Intention to Leave.....	39
4.3. Results Summary.....	44
Chapter 5: Discussion.....	46
5.1. Limitations and Directions for Future Research.....	54
5.2. Conclusion.....	57
References.....	58
Appendices.....	67
Appendix A- Survey.....	67
Appendix B- Request for Access Letter.....	73
Appendix C- Participant Information Sheet.....	74

List of Figures

Figure 1	The Transactional Model.....	6
Figure 2	Main and Moderating Effect of Social Support.....	14
Figure 3	The Transactional-Michigan Model of Stress.....	16

List of Tables

Table 1	Demographic Details of Sample.....	20
Table 2	Independent Samples T-tests Comparison of Gender for Psychological Wellbeing and Intention to Leave.....	28
Table 3	One-way Analyses of Variance Comparisons of Education, Marital status and Ethnicity for Psychological Wellbeing and Intention to Leave.....	29
Table 4	Pearson Product-Moment Correlations between Age, Number of Dependents, Psychological Wellbeing and Intention to Leave.....	30
Table 5	Cronbach's Alpha Internal Consistency Estimates for Measurement Instruments.....	32
Table 6	Pearson Product-Moment Correlations between Emotional Labour, Social Support, Psychological Wellbeing and Intention to Leave.....	33
Table 7	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Emotional Support.....	34
Table 8	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Instrumental Support.....	35
Table 9	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Instrumental Support- No Interaction Term.....	36

Table 10	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Supervisor-Emotional Support.....	36
Table 11	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Supervisor-Emotional Support - No Interaction Term.....	37
Table 12	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Supervisor-Instrumental Support.....	38
Table 13	Moderated Multiple Regression for Psychological Wellbeing on Emotional Labour and Supervisor-Instrumental Support - No Interaction Term.....	39
Table 14	Moderated Multiple Regression for Intention to Leave on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Emotional Support.....	39
Table 15	Moderated Multiple Regression for Intention to Leave on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Emotional Support- No Interaction Term.....	40
Table 16	Moderated Multiple Regression for Intention to Leave on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Instrumental Support.....	41
Table 17	Moderated Multiple Regression for Intention to Leave on Emotional Labour and Colleague-Instrumental Support- No Interaction Term.....	42
Table 18	Moderated Multiple Regression for Intention to Leave on Emotional Labour and Supervisor-Emotional Support.....	43
Table 19	Moderated Multiple Regression for Intention to Leave on Emotional Labour and Supervisor-Instrumental Support.....	44