

Table 18 (a): Public Sector: Primary Reasons for “Never” Providing (Re) Habilitation Services

PUBLIC SECTOR	Public Sector: Reasons												Total (n=)	
	No Equipment		No Caseload		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Language Barrier		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Hearing Implant Habilitation	3	5.35%	42	75.00%	8	14.28%	3	5.35%	56	100%
Hearing Implant Rehabilitation	3	5.08%	43	72.88%	9	15.25%	4	6.77%	59	100%
Behavior Management	1	3.84%	11	42.30%	12	46.15%	1	3.84%	.	.	1	3.84%	26	100%
Manual Rehabilitation	5	9.09%	22	40.00%	25	45.45%	1	1.81%	.	.	2	3.63%	55	100%
Assessing Related to Technology Impact of Hearing	.	.	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.66%	6	100%
Language Therapy with a Hearing Impaired Child	.	.	4	36.36%	2	18.18%	1	9.09%	1	9.09%	3	27.27%	11	100%
Manual Communication Skills	.	.	6	16.66%	24	66.66%	6	16.66%	36	100%
Teach Reading	1	5.00%	5	25.00%	6	30.00%	5	25.00%	1	5.00%	2	10.00%	20	100%
Behavioral Training	1	14.28%	1	14.28%	1	14.28%	1	14.28%	.	.	3	42.85%	7	100%

Table 18(b): Private Sector: Primary Reasons for “Never” Providing (Re) Habilitation Services

PRIVATE SECTOR	Private Sector: Reasons												Total (n=)	
	No Equipment		No Caseload		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Language Barrier		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Hearing Implant Habilitation	2	3.70%	33	61.11%	12	22.22%	7	12.96%	54	100%
Hearing Implant Rehabilitation	3	5.35%	34	60.71%	13	23.21%	6	10.71%	56	100%
Behavior Management	1	5.88%	4	23.52%	7	41.17%	1	5.88%	.	.	4	23.52%	17	100%
Manual Rehabilitation	1	1.88%	22	41.50%	23	43.39%	2	3.77%	.	.	5	9.43%	53	100%
Assessing Related to Technology Impact of Hearing	.	.	1	16.66%	1	16.66%	1	16.66%	.	.	3	50.00%	6	100%
Language Therapy with a Hearing Impaired Child	.	.	17	39.53%	5	11.62%	6	13.95%	1	2.32%	14	32.55%	43	100%
Manual Communication Skills	.	.	22	37.93%	25	43.10%	1	1.72%	1	1.72%	9	15.51%	58	100%
Teach Reading	.	.	16	44.44%	8	22.22%	3	8.33%	.	.	9	25.00%	36	100%
Behavioral Training	.	.	9	47.36%	2	10.52%	2	10.52%	.	.	6	31.57%	17	100%

Table 6: Sample Distribution across Workplace Setting

<i>Primary Workplace</i>	<i>Currently Practicing As</i>										Total Count
	Audiologist		Speech Language Therapist		Speech Language & Hearing Therapist		Community Speech & Hearing Worker		None of the above		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Autonomous Private Practice	27	50.00%	51	45.95%	25	30.86%	1	11.12%	.	.	104
District Health Area/Clinic	2	3.70%	.	.	6	7.41%	2	22.23%	.	.	10
Hearing Aid Company	5	9.26%	1	6.67%	6
Not currently practicing the profession	1	1.85%	1	0.90%	11	73.33%	13
Private Hospital	.	.	1	0.90%	2	2.47%	3
Private Practice owned/co-owned by ENT specialist	6	11.12%	3	2.70%	4	4.94%	13
Specialized school	.	.	29	26.13%	14	17.28%	2	22.23%	1	6.67%	46
State Hospital	10	18.52%	16	14.41%	26	32.10%	3	33.34%	.	.	55
Tertiary Education Institution	1	1.85%	7	6.31%	2	2.47%	.	.	1	6.67%	11
The Military	1	11.12%	.	.	1
Other	2	3.70%	3	2.70%	2	2.47%	.	.	1	6.67%	8
TOTAL	54	100%	111	100%	81	100%	9	100%	15	100%	<u>270</u>

Table 14(a): Public Sector: Primary Reasons for “Never” Providing Hearing Conservation and Prevention Services

PUBLIC SECTOR	Public Sector: Reasons												Total	
	No Equipment		No Caseload		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Language Barrier		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Community Outreach Screening (Adults & Children)	3	13.04%	2	8.69%	.	.	14	60.86%	.	.	4	17.39%	23	100%
Implementation of a Neonatal Screening Programme	11	32.35%	13	38.23%	1	2.94%	7	20.58%	1	2.94%	1	2.94%	34	100%
Industrial Audiology	5	10.41%	32	66.66%	1	2.08%	5	10.41%	.	.	5	10.41%	48	100%
Neurotoxicity Monitoring	5	13.15%	9	23.68%	12	31.57%	6	15.78%	.	.	6	15.78%	38	100%

Table 14 (b): Private Sector: Primary Reasons for “Never” Providing Hearing Conservation and Prevention Services

PRIVATE SECTOR	Private Sector: Reasons												Total	
	No Equipment		No Caseload		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Language Barrier		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Community Outreach Screening (Adults & Children)	7	23.33%	7	23.33%	.	.	11	36.66%	1	3.33%	4	13.33%	30	100%
Implementation of a Neonatal Screening Programme	10	27.02%	11	29.72%	.	.	7	18.91%	.	.	9	24.32%	37	100%
Industrial Audiology	3	17.64%	5	29.41%	.	.	2	11.76%	1	5.88%	6	35.29%	17	100%
Neurotoxicity Monitoring	8	22.85%	15	42.85%	3	8.57%	3	8.57%	.	.	6	17.14%	35	100%

Table 12(a): Public sector: Primary Reasons for “Never” Performing Amplification Services

PUBLIC SECTOR	Public Sector: Reasons										Total		
	No Equipment		No Caseload		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Other		Count	Percent	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
Assistive Listening Devices (ALDs)	31	67.39%	7	15.21%	3	6.52%	1	2.17%	4	8.69%	48	100%	
Auditory Brainstem Implants	34	50.00%	17	25.00%	12	17.65%	2	2.94%	3	4.41%	68	100%	
Phone Anchored Devices	24	48.00%	14	28.00%	5	10.00%	.	.	7	14.00%	50	60	100%
Cochlear Implant Mapping	33	55.93%	12	20.33%	10	16.94%	1	1.69%	3	5.08%	59	66	100%

Table 12 (b): Private Sector: Primary Reasons for “Never” Performing Amplification Services

PRIVATE SECTOR	Private Sector: Reasons										Total	
	No Equipment		No Caseload		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Assistive Listening Devices (ALDs)	8	34.78%	9	39.13%	4	19.04%	.	.	2	9.52%	23	100%
Auditory Brainstem Implants	28	39.44%	23	32.39%	13	20.00%	1	1.53%	6	8.45%	71	100%
Phone Anchored Devices	16	34.04%	22	46.80%	2	4.54%	1	2.27%	6	12.77%	47	100%
Cochlear Implant Mapping	32	47.06%	19	27.94%	10	15.87%	1	1.58%	6	8.82%	68	100%

Table 8 (a): Public Sector: Primary Reasons for "Never" Providing Basic Test Battery Services

BASIC TEST BATTERY	Reason										Total	
	No Equipment		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Language Barrier		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Pure Tone Audiometry (Air & Bone Condition)	3	100%	3	100.00%
Tympanometry	9	100%	9	100.00%
Acoustic Reflexes	12	92.30%	1	7.69%	13	100.00%
Speech Discrimination Testing	10	76.92%	3	23.07%	.	.	13	100.00%
Speech Reception Testing	12	63.15%	.	.	1	5.26%	4	21.05%	2	10.52%	19	100.00%
Cerumen Management	1	3.13%	22	68.75%	1	3.13%	.	.	8	25.00%	32	100.00%

Table 8 (b): Private Sector: Primary Reasons for "Never" Providing Basic Battery Services

BASIC TEST BATTERY	Reason								Total	
	No Equipment		Insufficient Training		Time Constraints		Other		Count	Percent
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Pure Tone Audiometry (Air & Bone Condition)	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	4	100.00%
Tympanometry	9	81.81%	2	18.18%	11	100.00%
Acoustic Reflexes	10	83.33%	2	16.66%	12	100.00%
Speech Discrimination Testing	4	66.66%	2	33.33%	6	100.00%
Speech Reception Testing	3	50.00%	.	.	1	16.66%	2	33.33%	6	100.00%
Cerumen Management	8	17.78%	30	66.67%	.	.	7	15.56%	45	100.00%

Table 5: Provincial and Sector Distribution of Employment of Respondents (n= 261, n= 278)

<i>Currently Practicing As</i>	<i>Sector of Employment</i>	<i>Province Employed</i>									<i>Total Count</i>
		<i>North West</i>	<i>Free State</i>	<i>Gauteng</i>	<i>Kwazulu -Natal</i>	<i>Limpopo</i>	<i>Mpumalanga</i>	<i>Eastern Cape</i>	<i>Northern Cape</i>	<i>Western Cape</i>	
		<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Count</i>
Audiologist	Public Sector	1	0	3	1	0	0	2	0	4	11
	Private Sector	2	0	25	4	0	2	2	1	5	41
Speech Language Therapist	Public Sector	2	1	27	12	0	2	2	0	8	54
	Private Sector	3	0	43	3	1	1	3	0	3	57
Speech Language & Hearing Therapist	Public Sector	4	8	20	3	4	5	1	0	3	48
	Private Sector	2	1	20	2	2	2	2	1	2	34
Community Speech & Hearing Worker	Public Sector	1	0	3	1	2	0	1	0	0	7
	Private Sector	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
None of the above	Public Sector	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Private Sector	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
TOTAL (n=261)		15	10	147	27	9	12	12	2	27	261
(Province employed: n= 278)		16	10	152	27	9	14	14	4	27	278