

To be completed by the Proposal Evaluation Committee. For your information only.

Criteria	Type ¹	Criterion	Description (as appearing in the call for proposals)	Source of confirmation	Scoring (0 to 10) ² (type B criteria)	References for the evaluation (type B criteria)			
K1	A	Young and Emerging Evaluator based in Africa (Young-35 years/under by 31.12.23, Emerging-less than 5 years of experience in M&E)	Requirements	CV					
K2	A	Postgraduate degree in Social sciences or related fields and qualifications.	Requirements	CV					
K5	B	Understanding of the context of task undertaking (diagnostic/assessment tools, National Evaluation Systems and Evaluation capacity Development)	Written Essay			0-3 Points: Very basic manifestation of understanding of diagnostic /assessment tools and their importance in contributing to strengthening National Evaluation Systems as part of Evaluation Capacity Development.	3-7 Points: Fair manifestation of understanding of diagnostic/assessment tools and their importance in contributing to strengthening National Evaluation Systems as part of Evaluation Capacity Development	7-10 Points: Very clear and elaborate manifestation of understanding of diagnostic /assessment tools and their importance in contributing to strengthening National Evaluation Systems as part of Evaluation Capacity Development.	100%

(a) Technical score of the proposal³: #WERT!

(b) Economic part of the proposal (total costs as specified in the Price Sheet, in US dollars): \$ -

(c) Overall score of the proposal (a)/(b)⁴: #WERT!

Notes:

- (1) Type A criteria are exclusive.
- (2) 0 being the lowest score, and 10 being the highest score.
- (3) Fulfillment of all the exclusive criteria (Yes=1/No=0) x weighted criteria K6 and K7 median.
- (4) The proposal with the highest overall score shall be selected. Five decimal points are deleted for comparison purposes.