

**EXPLORING THE MEANINGS ATTACHED TO THE SEXUAL IDENTITY OF
BLACK WOMEN-LOVING-WOMEN (WLW) IN SOWETO**

by
Nomancotsho Pakade
Supervisor by Professor Gillian Finchilescu

A mini dissertation submitted to the faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts (Research Psychology)

Johannesburg, 2013

Declaration

I declare that this research report is my own unaided work. It is being submitted for the degree of Master of Arts in Psychology by Coursework and Research Report at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any other degree or examination at any other university.

Nomancotsho Pakade (513466)

August, 2013

ABSTRACT

Women's bodies and sexualities across history and race have been subjected to policing by the state, society and men. However, within the context of Black sexuality, black women's bodies have been subject to silencing during the colonial encounter. This exploratory study set to document the subjective meanings associated with the Black WLW sexual identity of 50 women in Soweto. This study seeks to contribute to the recently emerging body of literature which has documented Black women's same-sex sexualities as means to render their identities and experiences visible. Furthermore, to capture the terms used to describes same-sex practicing women. The Q methodology was used to capture the various expressions along with their subjective representation. Two main distinct accounts emerged from the analysis. The Q analysis results indicated that there are two dominant forms of gender expressions and perceptions of what constitutes a Black WLW sexual identity in Soweto. Factor A distinguished itself through conceptualising this sexual identity in a 'closed construction' of attraction, with distinct gender roles. As such women in this factor identified with a sense of being domineering in the relationship, with potential partners expected to cook and clean. On the other hand, women who defined Factor B had a more inclusive construction of the Black WLW. These women placed emphasis on being comfortable with the bodies, particularly their breasts. Additionally, they valued the notion of equality in their relationships from sharing household chores to believing that sex should be about both partners pleasuring each other. The study also unpacked the meaning of self-identifying terms used by WLW in Soweto. In the absence of historically positive terms for same-sex sexualities, specifically indigenous terms, labelling becomes a site that does not prioritise meaning but reflects the negotiation of an oppressed sexual identity. What is in a name becomes a continuous struggle of reclaiming existence and visibility with oneself, the lesbian and gay community and the broader society.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I give thanks to uMvelinqangi, aMancotshe namaYirha for carrying me through life.

A warm heartfelt thank you to my colleagues and comrades at the Gay and Lesbian Memory in Actions (GALA) for their continuous faith in me and for allowing me time to brew my ideas.

I would like to thank Peter Alexander with the year's funding from the South African Research Chair for Social Change at the University of Johannesburg.

Thank you so much to Claire Ceruti, Claudia Ortu, Shannon Walsh and Marcelle Dawson for bringing shape into my ideas. I would also like to thank Luke Sinwell for holding my hand at the last hour and to the SARCHI 2010-2011 cohort for their intellectual engagements.

To my supervisor Gillian Finchilescu, thank you for not giving up on the project and your invaluable support especially the last year.

To the many Black women who participated and supported me during this project, ndiyabulela for sharing elements of your lives with me and for teaching me more about my sexual identity. Without you this project would not have been possible.

The love and support of my family Nonkazimlo Mpumela, Mnoneleli Pakade, Camagwini Pakade and Bathandwa Pakade who remain my anchor. A special thank you to Mosa Phadi for allowing my scattered thoughts to be, ndiyabulela Yonela Twaku and to the many comrades who continue to challenge the system and dare push me beyond myself. Finally, I would like to dedicate this thesis to uNdala 'Bra' Mawethu Pakade.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1. Orientation, problem statement and research objectives.....	1
1.1.Aims and Objectives.....	6
1.2 Chapter Outline.....	6
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.1.1 Exploring the Social Identity Approach.....	8
2.1.2 Locating Sexual Identity as a Social Identity.....	10
2.2 Brief History of Homosexuality in South Africa: Locating Women’s same-sex identities.....	11
2.2.1 Roles within Women’s same sex Relationships.....	17
2.2.2. Gender Expression of Same-sex Practicing Women.....	18
2.3 What is in a Term? Women’s same-sex Labels.....	22
2.4 Understanding Black WLW through Black Feminism.....	24
2.4.1 Basic Assumptions Underlying Black Feminism.....	25
2.4.2 Black Feminist Approach to Sexual Identity.....	27
2.4.3 Limitations of Black Feminism.....	29
2.5 Conclusion.....	30
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD.....	31
3.1 Research Design.....	31
3.2A Brief Introduction: Q Methodology.....	32
3.3 Procedure.....	33
3.3.1 Step 1: Establishing the Concourse.....	33
3.3.2 Step 2: Developing the Q statements.....	36
3.3.3 Step3: Sampling Method.....	36
3.3.4 Step4: Administering the Q sorts.....	39
3.3.5 Step5: Data Analysis and Interpretation of.....	40
3.4 The Demographics Questionnaire.....	42

3.5 Limitations of Q Methodology.....	43
3.6 Author’s Involvement in the Field of Enquiry.....	44
3.6.1 A Scene on the Field.....	44
3.7. Ethical Considerations.....	46
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS.....	47
4.1 Introduction.....	47
4.2 Correlation and Factor Analysis.....	47
4.3 Factor Interpretation: Factor A.....	49
4.4 Factor Interpretation: Factor B.....	52
4.5 Differences and Similarities of Both Factors.....	55
4.6 Background of the Two Main Factors: A&B.....	60
4.7The Demographic Data.....	62
4.7.1 Review of Factor A&B Demographics in relation to the Sample Group.....	63
4.7.2 Employment Status of WLW.....	63
4.7.3 Sexual Debut of Participants.....	64
4.7.4 Language as more than a Methodological Tool.....	65
4.7.8. Labels as Signifiers of Sexual Identity.....	66
4.8 Conclusion.....	71
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION.....	73
5.1 Summary of Research Findings.....	70
5.2 Research Questions 1: What are the various ways in which Black WLW Express their Sexual Identity?.....	74
5.2.1 Factor A: Black WLW Sexual Identity Anchored in Female Masculine Expressions.....	74
5.2.1.1 WLW as Lovers.....	75
5.2.1.2. Self-introspection: The Understanding of One’s Sexual Identity.....	77
5.2.1.3. The Politics of Naming.....	79
5.2.1.3.1 The Unpopularity of the Feminist ideology Amongst Black WLW Women	79
5.2.2. Factor B: An Inclusive Construction of Black WLW.....	82
5.3 Research Question 2: What Terms are used to Self-Identify as WLW in Soweto? And what are the meanings attached to these terms?.....	85
5.3.1 Stabane/Istabane.....	85
5.3.2 ‘I-Lesbian yi-Lesbian, You know Mos’.....	86
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION.....	90
6.1Key Findings.....	90
6.2 Strengths of the Study.....	91
6.3 Limitations of the Study.....	91
6.3 Recommendations for Future Research.....	92

REFERENCE LIST.....	
Appendix I: First list of Q Statements.....	105
Appendix II: Participation Information Sheet.....	109
Appendix III: Informed Consent.....	112
Appendix IV: Declaration by participant	114.
Appendix V: Demographics.....	115
Appendix VI: Grid sheet.....	128
Appendix VII: Correlation Matrix Between Sorts.....	129
Appendix VII: Correlation Between Sorts continued.....	134
Appendix VIII: Individual Q Sort Loading for each Factor.....	131