

Chapter V

The Development of the System

Since Brownlee's establishment in Caffraria Lord Charles Somerset had been desirous of inducing another missionary to join him. He had made overtures to Kaffir in this respect, but that latter had refused (1). The reason for an additional missionary was not altogether in the interests of forwarding the Christian faith amongst the tribes in Caffraria. It is true that Brownlee had written from Caffraria on 9th August, 1821 that "several of the other chiefs are desirous to have teachers sent among them" (2). But in view of evidence previously consulted we are forced to conclude that Somerset had grown impatient at Brownlee's obvious lack of response towards his precise instructions. Since Brownlee had been situated in the vicinity of Galka, Colonial Secretary Bird had deprecated.

"There has been received from him not a single observation ... this is much to be lamented, as it is of vital importance that the frontier magistrate and the Colonial Government should be regularly and frequently apprised of the transactions of their agents in Caffraria" (3).

Somerset's presence in England gave him a chance to discuss with Dr Thom, the colonial ministerial recruiting agent, who was also in England at the time, the subject of a suitable candidate to John Brownlee (4). The choice fell on William Richie Thomson, a young Scot, of the Glasgow Missionary Society (5), who had expressed a desire earlier in the year to come and minister among the Kaffirs (6). Thomson having been selected, Somerset lost no time in informing Lord Bathurst, the British Secretary for War and the Colonies, that a suitable candidate to assist Brownlee in his

/mission ...

- 
- (1) Macmillan (C.C.Q.), p. 125.
  - (2) C.O. 142/47, Thomson to Colonial Secretary (Brownlee's letter quoted herein), 4th September, 1821.
  - (3) C.O. 4845, p. 66, Colonial Secretary to Thomson, 3rd September, 1821.
  - (4) Theseal (Records), XIII, p. 404, Thom to Somerset, 16th February, 1821.
  - (5) Ibid.
  - (6) Ibid., p. 365, Memorial of the G.M.S. to Earl Bathurst, 9th January, 1821.

mission amongst the Kaffirs had been found, and pointing out that

"Mr. W.R. Thomson should be given clearly to understand, that his being continued in the service of the Colonial Government must entirely depend upon the success that may attend his and Brownlee's exertions in Kaffraria" (1).

Such a stipulation was probably not unacceptable to Thomson, who, through the Glasgow Missionary Society had expressed his willingness to conduct his work

"in the most peaceful manner and with a spirit of loyalty and goodwill to the British Government" (2).

When Thom was informed that the appointment had been annulled, his attention was directed to Somerset's conditions of service (3). This is the first time that such a rigid tenor is to be observed in the conditions of service concerning missionaries entering Kaffirland. In the case of Williams and Brownlee no ultimatum was given where non-compliance with the wishes of the Colonial Government was evident. Now the open threat was apparent. There seems no doubt about what Somerset meant by "exertions in Kaffraria" (4). Unfortunately the evangelical spirit was still too strong to prevent Somerset from saying directly that the post would be relinquished should insufficient information - such as had been required of Brownlee - be forthcoming. Possibly Dr Thom had interviewed Thomson on the subject and found him willing to co-operate (5). Whatever the truth of the matter, it is certain that Thomson was not given specific instructions while still in Britain as to his role in Kaffirland, for he asked for these instructions on arrival at the Cape (6). Bird had received no specific instructions from

/the ...

- (1) Theol (Records). XIII, p. 406, Somerset to Gouldburn, 21st February, 1821.
- (2) Theol (Records), XIII, p. 365.
- (3) C.O. 142/44 (Enclosures), Gouldburn to Thom, 15th March, 1821.
- (4) To Colonial Secretary Bird, at least. See the way in which he interpreted this phrase: below, p. 69.
- (5) The week way in which Thomson received his instructions on arrival at Cape Town indicates that he was not completely unfamiliar with their nature.
- (6) C.O. 142/44, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 31st July, 1821.

the Colonial Office in London, and had to act upon Gouldburn's letter written on 15th March, 1821 to Thom (1). He referred Thomson to Brownlee's instructions of 30th December, 1818, stating that it was not necessary for Thomson to alter them in any way (2). In his letter to Thomson, Bird drew attention to Brownlee's shortcomings as a Government Agent (3). It appears that Somerset's trust in Bird to carry out his policy was the cause of the non-receipt of instructions from Somerset to Bird regarding Thomson. Bird had therefore acquitted himself well; he and Somerset saw sufficiently eye to eye to enable continuity in policy, regarding the mission-aries, at least (4).

Thomson's official status and the position of his mission station in relation to the Colony were defined by Major-General Bourke some years later. He looked upon Chumie as

"a mission station supported by a missionary society and on Mr Thomson as a missionary of the society, though receiving a salary from the Government, as Government Agent" (5).

Thomson's receipt of salary (1,000 Rixdollars (6)) seems to have been conditional, therefore, upon the receipt by the Government of certain services rendered, mainly in the form of information which was to be supplied about the tribes in the interior. Accompanying Thomson was John Bennie, another missionary from the Glasgow Missionary Society (7). He, too, had been instructed by the Secretary of the Society

"to conform in the most careful manner to the

/Regulations ...

(1) C.O. 4845, p. 66, Colonial Secretary to Thomson, 3rd September, 1821.

(2) Ibid.

(3) Ibid.

(4) This kinship enabled Somerset's policy regarding the missionaries on the Eastern Frontier to be carried on without a break throughout the Governorship of Donkin (See above, pp.65-66).  
Minute Book, p. 49, 3rd January, 1828.

(5) C.O. 4845, p. 66, Colonial Secretary to Thomson, 3rd September, 1821.

(7) Bennie, with Thomson, asked to be allowed to do missionary work "within or near the ... Cape of Good Hope ... and particularly in the Caffre country" (These Records), XIII, p.365). Thomson appears to have superseded Brownlee in authority at Chumie by virtue of his position as Government Agent and Bennie appears to have been subordinated to Thomson by virtue not only of the fact that Thomson was Government Agent but because Thomson was a minister and Bennie an elder (See below, p.84).

regulations of the Colonial Government as observed by Mr. Brownlee" (1).

Thomson was not unaware of the spiritual requirements which the Government demanded of his services, however much lip-service that institution paid to them. He understood that it was the

"particular wish of the Colonial Government, to introduce among the natives, a knowledge of the useful arts of civilized life and to train them in the habits of industry; as well as to instruct them in the principles of the Christian religion . . ." (2).

But in spite of this hopeful sentiment there is a cheerless finality. From a liberal missionary point of view, in the business-like letter which Thom wrote to Bird in April, 1821. He described Thomson as "a steady, able and learned young man", regularly ordained, who had belonged to the Glasgow Missionary Society but who had been "given up by them to the Colonial Government" (3). He also introduced Dennis. "I have . . . instructed Mr Dennis to be very careful to submit to the regulations of the Government." (4).

In the meantime Brownlee had defended himself against the charge laid against him by the Colonial Government of not corresponding frequently enough. He pointed out to Bird that nothing had happened along the frontier except the frequent depredations of the tribes and the Officer Commanding knew of these in any case (5). But in the light of later actions on Brownlee's part we shall see that this was but an excuse; the reason for his reluctance was that he did not consider that he was doing justice to the missionary cause by playing the role of informer on behalf of the Government (6).

Government favour continued to smile upon Thomson. He was granted 800 Rixdalers to cover expenses accrued until he arrived at Chamle in addition to a passage in a Government brig to Port Elizabeth (7). On landing no difficulty was experienced in removing

/to ...

- (1) C.O. 142/44 (Enclosures), Love, and others, to Governor or Acting-Governor, 22nd March, 1821.
- (2) *Ibid.*, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 31st July, 1821.
- (3) C.O. 142/51. Thom to Colonial Secretary, 23rd April, 1821.
- (4) *Ibid.*
- (5) C.O. 142/69, Brownlee to Colonial Secretary, 23rd November, 1821.
- (6) See below, pp. 85-86.
- (7) C.O. 4848/66, Colonial Secretary to Thomson, 3rd September, 1821.

to Chumle as he was met by seven wagons which loaded his luggage (1) which must have included much of the formidable list of articles and provisions which he had submitted to the Governor before leaving Cape Town (2). These demands, ranging from ploughs to potatoes, were regarded as Government property and housed in a store specially built for the purpose (3).

After arrival at Chumle Thomson was restless in discharging his duties as Government Agent. Letters reached the Colonial Office at frequent intervals giving detailed accounts of the events on the frontier. With this information is found much concerning the spiritual progress which was being made, as well as the missionaries' progress with the study of the Khasa language, the study of which was commenced immediately on arrival (4).

Not long after Thomson's arrival Galka visited him, accompanied by his "train of wives" (5). No reason is given for Galka's visit, but it provided Thomson with an admirable opportunity to size up the man with whom he was to deal for some years to come. "He (Galka) for a short time noted the character of a Kaffer chief with tolerable accuracy, but he afterwards fell from his dignity and displayed some of the manner & passions of mind" (6). Thomson took a strong liking from the beginning. If the purpose of Galka's visit was to determine what sort of man the Government Agent was (7), then Thomson was not prepared to allow him to leave with the impression that the new arrival was weak in approach. "I find a firm determined manner absolutely necessary with him", he wrote to Bird (8). Further, Thomson was from the start determined that he was not going to be indebted in any way towards Galka. Before

/Thomson's

-----

- (1) C.O. 142/70. Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 24th November, 1821.
- (2) C.O. 142/47. Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 22nd August, 1821.
- (3) C.O. 142/70. Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 24th November, 1821.
- (4) Ibid.
- (5) Ibid. (This portion of the letter is reprinted in Theal (Records), XIV, pp. 160-165).
- (6) Ibid.
- (7) It is possible that Galka knew of Thomson's purpose. A peculiar bush telegraph seems to have worked in the case of Melville's trip to Griqua Town (See below, p. 88 ).
- (8) Theal (Records), XIV, p. 165.

Thomson's arrival Brownlee had made arrangements with Galka for the loan of his house to Thomson until ~~the~~<sup>later</sup> could erect one himself. Thomson would, however, occupy the house no longer than was necessary. "that our obligation may be amply repaid" (1). Such an attitude could hardly be conducive to amicable relations.

Thomson had only resided at Chumle a few months when events occurred which caused him to become involved in the customary frontier troubles. In January, 1822, Kagamo descended upon the mission natives and removed 274 head of cattle. This action was in retaliation for the removal of two horses from Kagamo by a native acquainted with the Chumle mission. The horses had been recognized by him while visiting Kagamo's place, but their removal took place without Thomson's knowledge (2). Cory suggests that the mission native who removed the animals and returned them to their former owner was perhaps acting "under the influence of that good man's leading" (3). Negotiations with Galka followed in an attempt to regain the stolen cattle. But his conduct and language convinced Thomson that Galka had "no design or wish that his son should restore the cattle", and a promise for the return of the cattle was at length "extorted" from Galka by fear of Captain Altheason's threat to retaliate (Captain Altheason had been sent for from Port Willshire during the heat of the excitement) (4).

By February 23rd, 1822, 201 head of cattle had been returned and Thomson pointed out to Lord Charles Somerset that it would be detrimental to the future of the institution to use force in regaining the remainder. He considered that an important point had been gained by conveying to Galka that Chumle was under the protection of the Colonial Government and that though the people at the institutions were still his

/subjects ...

-----

- (1) G.O. 142/70. Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 24th November, 1821.
  - (2) Thael (Records), XIV, pp. 244-245, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 19th January, 1822.
  - (3) Cory, II, p. 142.
  - (4) Cory bases this assumption on his own statement, presumably, that the Karfir was "residing at the Chumle mission station". Thomson's letter to 31rd, (2) above, on the other hand, describes him as someone who "occasionally resides here".
- (4) Thael (Records), XIV, pp. 245-246.

subjects he was not at liberty to oppress them (1). Naturally Gaike resented this. He argued that the mission natives were his subjects, within his country and he had a natural right to do what he pleased with them "without interference from a foreign power" (2).

It was not a mere matter of cattle which was at stake; it was the existence of the Kaffir people as an integrated social unit on land which they held by right of habitation. The missions were viewed as the vanguard of the approaching Colony:

"I find," Thomson had written a little earlier, "that we and the institution are looked upon with a jealous eye, by the Kaffirs in general, and particularly by some of the chiefs; and I believe the safety of our persons and property depends more upon their fear than their respect" (3).

In view of this Thomson had good reason for self-congratulation about the impression that had been made upon Gaike that Chumle was under Government protection; but his warning to Somerset not to use force against Gaike in regaining the cattle could not have been received until after that event had occurred. On 22nd February Somerset ordered a commande to be sent to seize Gaike should he fail to return the outstanding cattle. Somerset was convinced

"that the present moment should be seized to prove to Gaike, that this Government will not be trifled with" (4).

Gaike escaped and fled to the hills (5). It is unfortunate that Somerset promoted this action against Gaike, especially as a short while previously Thomson and Brownlee were required to deliver messages to Gaike from Lieut-Colonel Scott to the effect that the latter wished to meet him at Fort Wiltshire (6). The close connection between the two events must have forced Gaike to believe that there was a necessary link between the mission station and

/Government ...

- (1) *Thael (Records)*, XIV, pp. 298-299, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 23rd February, 1822.
- I find no evidence to support Thael's observation that Gaike was informed that Thomson and Brownlee of Government Agents were under Government protection (*Thael (History)*, I, p. 385).
- (2) *Thael (Records)*, XIV, p. 299.
- (3) *Ibid.*, p. 298, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 17th January, 1822
- (4) *Ibid.*, p. 298, Somerset to Lieut-Colonel Scott, 22nd February, 1822.
- (5) For full account of the incident see Cary, II, pp. 149 ff.
- (6) *Thael (Records)*, XIV, p. 299, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 23rd February, 1822.

Government punitive action. Not that Thomson was the cause of this action, by any means; at best he was but one of the instruments. But it cannot be doubted that suspicion must have fallen upon him and the missionary station in general.

The missionaries in general and Thomson in particular must, however, be blamed for the method by which they sought to drive home to Gaike that any unacceptable action by him towards the missionary institution or the Colony would be met with immediate and violent retribution (1). It had become necessary during the course of the operations against Gaike to execute a Kaffir who was guilty of murder. The execution was carried out and Colonel Scott departed. Thereupon the Kaffir was buried "in a conspicuous spot" and Brownlee delivered an address on the law of murder (2).

"By this time Gaike's people had nearly all disappeared except a few who remained on the top of a neighbouring hill to witness the ceremony" (3).

There was colonial aggression and the missionary institutions indisputably linked in the mind of the Kaffir. It is unlikely that they interpreted the incident in the light of the moral it was supposed to represent.

If there had ever been any disposition on the part of Gaike to be friendly towards Williams and Brownlee before the arrival of Thomson, that amiable bent had undergone a fair change. The lamentable events discussed above are not solely to blame for this. Thomson's attitude towards the Kaffirs seems to have contributed a great deal towards the rupture of friendly relations. At his first meeting with Gaike he had taken up a "firm, determined manner" towards him (4). He had refused to acquiesce to Gaike's continued demands for presents on that occasion and Gaike had gone off in a "fit of silliness" (5). It is difficult to judge a man's actions

/In ...

---

(1) Especially in view of Thomson's previous reluctance to allow Somerset to use force against Gaike in this special case.  
(2) Theol (Records), XIV, p. 519, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 23rd March, 1852.

(3) *Ibid.*

(4) See above, p. 71.

(5) Theol (Records), XIV, p. 165.

in such a situation, but the evidence does seem to indicate that Thomson had no innate sympathy for the Kaffirs and had worked up an early resistance towards them. It seems as though he had come to the Frontier determined by force, rather than persuasion to root out evil tendencies among them. His official satisfaction at the chastisement which Galka had received is evident in the letter to Bird, dated 23rd March, 1822:

"Galka appears now to be both terrified and intimidated by the decisive measures which have been adopted ... It will, I hope, have a salutary influence upon his future conduct" (1).

But in spite of the fervour with which Thomson looked upon strict punishment meeting the crime (2), he was becoming increasingly aware that the connection with the colonial authorities was tending to be a disadvantage:

"These late events have, I am assured, rather increased than diminished the former jealousy existing towards the institutions in the minds of many and will for a time operate against us no regards the extension of our influence among the people. By constant perseverance in our duties I hope we shall be able to overcome the prejudices and conciliate their minds" (3).

And by "duties" Thomson meant Christian duties. At this stage he seems to have been trying to force himself to believe that the preaching of Christianity and the formation of Government Agent could be combined satisfactorily. He felt that the duties of Government Agent restricted his religious duties (4). But he did not voice his objections officially. He is entitled to sympathy. To preach at all in Kaffirland entailed sacrificing certain liberties and he preferred, for the time being, to submit himself to the dualism. As for Galka, he shut himself away in the interior, prohibiting intercourse between the missionaries and himself except

Through ...

- (1) Theal (Records), XIV, pp. 318-319.
- (2) Thomson showed this attitude on more than one occasion. In 1820 a member of Chambe's institution was sentenced to forty strokes and ignominious ejection from the mission station because of a sexual misdemeanour (Minute Book, p. 69, 10th July, 1820).
- (3) Theal, op. cit., p. 319, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 23rd March, 1822.
- (4) No. 503-1822, p. 187, Question 1576. Evidence of Andries Steenkensstrom. There is no indication of when Thomson informed Steenkensstrom that this was the case. It may be reasonably assumed that such a feeling would date from March, 1822 (see above, p. 74) but at that stage Thomson was not publicly acknowledging his failure to carry both offices successfully.

through the medium of a person in the neighbourhood appointed by himself to receive and carry messages (1).

The impression which had been made on the minds of Kaffirs in the Chumbe area that the missionary institution was under the protection of the Government continued to grow during 1822. Towards the end of the year the missionaries received a reproachful note from the Governor gently rebuking them for the alleged offense of harbouring certain runaway slaves and Hottentots from the Colony (2). Thomson pointed out that none were being harboured (3).

"Our sentiments are so generally known that I believe this to be the last place in Kaffirland where a convert would take sanctuary" (4).

The tendency for colonial "protection" for Chumbe to become colonial "patronage" was evident, and the Kaffirs sensed the change in the attitude of the missionaries (5). Patronage, in this case, was a more intense degree of protection; it is understandable that the Kaffirs would resent more adversely towards the institution as the erstwhile appendage to the Colony gradually assumed the character of being part and parcel of it.

Gaika was doing his best to make the situation difficult for the missionaries at Chumbe, without actively taking up arms against them. Thomson proposed a journey into Temboctoulé and informed Gaika of the intention. Gaika replied that the missionaries were to remain at the institution. After all, he said, the missionaries were his "ears which he could not do without" (6). That Gaika's reply was to the missionary retort that Brownlee would remain at Chumbe to be his "ears" is unknown, but the missionaries took the hint and remained. Thomson, however, headstrong as ever, decided

/that ...

-----

- (1) C.O. 143/84, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 23rd March, 1822.
- (2) C.O. 4847, p. 153, Colonial Secretary to Thomson, 20th September, 1822.
- (3) C.O. 143/73, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 5th October, 1822.
- (4) *Ibid.*
- (5) By 1827 Thomson was referring to Chumbe as being "under the patronage of the Colonial Government" (C.O. 323/3, (Enclosures), Report from Chumbe for 1826).
- (6) C.O. 184/1, Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 20th December, 1822.

that he would nevertheless make a journey in a S.E. direction to show Galke that the missionaries did not consider themselves under his restraint (2). It was obvious that the underlying tensions were increasing by the day.

-----

(1) C.O. 164/1. Thomson to Colonial Secretary, 20th December, 1892.