

**FORMULATION OF AN EVALUATION INSTRUMENT  
AND FACILITATOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR  
PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING**

**Melanie Lack**

**A THESIS submitted to the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the  
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree  
of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Nursing**

**Johannesburg, 2016**

## DECLARATION

I, Melanie Lack declare that this thesis is my own unaided work. It is being submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Nursing at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at this or any other University.

---

**Melanie Lack**

\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 20

**‘THE AUTHORITY OF THOSE WHO TEACH IS  
OFTEN  
AN OBSTACLE TO THOSE WHO LEARN’**

**(CICERO)**

# **ABSTRACT**

## **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this four-phased study was to develop a standards based self-assessment tool and a curriculum for the development of PBL facilitators.

## **BACKGROUND**

The success of a PBL approach to teaching and learning is determined by the facilitator who requires the knowledge and skills to function effectively.

## **METHODS**

A quantitative research approach was used as the overarching design in this four-phased study. In Phase-One data were collected using a Delphi Survey and a panel of experts to identify items representing facilitator characteristics. An analytic hierarchy process was carried out on the relevant items referred to as constructs. The development of an evaluation instrument commenced. In Phase-Two a diagrammatic model was developed based on the information gathered in the previous phase. Phase-Three led to the development of performance standards and indicators relative to the constructs identified in Phase-One and included in the evaluation instrument. The standards based evaluation instrument referred to as the FE-SAT (facilitator evaluation self-assessment tool) was completed. In Phase-Four the content on the FE-SAT informed the development of a modular curriculum to be used in the development of PBL facilitators.

## **MAIN FINDINGS**

Three constructs were identified with Educational Ethics receiving the highest weighting followed by Management and Interpersonal skills. Weighting provides information on how learning time could be allocated relative to that weighting. The Phase-Two study model illustrated the inter-relationship between each construct. It showed the positive and negative effects these constructs had on each other. The curriculum provides information for workshops together with a self-directed learning program.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The FE-SAT needs to be validated by the institution where the research was conducted and other institutions using PBL. Further research can be conducted to test the curriculum. A study can be repeated using a larger sample. The development of a stress scale for university students that is South African specific. The FE-SAT and curriculum can provide direction to induction and orientation programs.

## **CONCLUSION**

This four-phased study led to the development of a standards' based evaluation instrument referred to as the FE-SAT for facilitator self-assessment. A curriculum was developed based on the content of the FE-SAT. Furthermore the curriculum provides content for workshops and short courses.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to acknowledge the contribution of the following people without whose help, this study would not have materialised:

Assoc. Professor Patricia McInerney for her guidance and supervision from conception to completion of this study

Professor Pieter Becker for his support in statistical analysis and interpretation in the early part of the study

Professor Judith Bruce for 25years of friendship, encouragement and support throughout my academic career

Dr Shelley Schmollgruber for many years of friendship and academic support

The academics making up the expert panel

Correspondence received from the following institutions:

Mc Master University, Canada

Edinburgh University, United Kingdom

Dublin University, Ireland

Maastricht University, Netherlands

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
DECLARATION	ii	
DEDICATION	iii	
ABSTRACT	iv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi	
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv	
LIST OF TABLES	xvi	
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix	
LIST OF ANNEXURES	xx	
<b>CHAPTER ONE: ORIENTATION TO THE STUDY</b>		
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY	1
1.2.1	Teaching and Learning Philosophy of PBL	3
1.2.2	Teaching and Learning Philosophy of Constructivism	4
1.2.3	Teaching and Learning Philosophy of Andragogy	4
1.2.4	Government Policy on Higher Education	5
1.2.5	University Senate Policy on Teaching and Learning	6
1.2.6	University Student	6
1.3	PROBLEM STATEMENT	8
1.4	RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY	8
1.5	PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	9
1.6	THE RESEARCH PROCESS	9
1.7	RESEARCH QUESTIONS	10

1.8	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	11
1.9	DEFINITION OF TERMS	12
1.10	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	15
1.10.1	The Determination and Quantification of Content Validity	15
1.10.2	The Subjective Judgement Model	16
1.11	INSTRUMENT	16
1.12	OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	16
1.12.1	Phase One	16
1.12.1.1	Population and Sample	17
1.12.1.2	Data Collection Methods	17
1.12.1.3	Data Analysis	17
1.12.2	Phase-Two	17
1.12.3	Phase-Three	18
1.12.4	Phase-Four	18
1.13	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	18
1.14	CONCLUSION	19
1.15	OUTLINE OF THE STUDY	19
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>		
2.1	INTRODUCTION	21
2.2	PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING	21
2.3	ADULT LEARNING THEORY	24
2.4	CONSTRUCTIVISM	25
2.5	FACILITATOR WITHIN PBL	31
2.5.1	Facilitator Challenges	35
2.5.2	Facilitator Evaluation	35
2.5.3	Facilitator Evaluation Instruments	36
2.5.4	Facilitator Development Training Workshops	36

2.6	CONCLUSION	37
<b>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY (PHASE-ONE)</b>		
3.1	INTRODUCTION	39
3.2	CONTEXT OF STUDY	39
3.3	RESEARCH PARADIGM	40
3.4	PHASE-ONE: INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT	41
3.5	RESEARCH DESIGN	41
3.6	RESEARCH METHODS PHASE-ONE	41
3.7	POPULATION AND SAMPLE	41
3.7.1	Target Population	41
3.7.2	Sample	42
3.7.3	The Sampling Method (Constructs)	42
3.7.4	The Inclusion criteria	42
3.7.5	The Sample Selection (Constructs)	42
3.8	DATA COLLECTION TECHNIQUES	44
3.8.1	The Determination and Quantification of Content Validity (CVI)	44
3.8.2	The Subjective Judgement Model (SJM)	46
3.8.2.1	Paired Comparison Analysis	49
3.8.2.2	Visual Analogue Scales	50
3.8.3	The Delphi Technique	53
3.8.4	Data Collection Procedure	55
3.8.5	Stage One	55
3.8.5.1	Round One Delphi Survey (Constructs)	55
3.8.5.2	Round Two Delphi Survey (Constructs)	56
3.8.5.3	Round Three Delphi Survey (Constructs)	56
3.8.5.4	Round Four Delphi Survey (Constructs)	57
3.8.6	Stage Two	58



3.8.6.1	Round One Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct A)	58
3.8.6.2	Round Two Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct A)	58
3.8.6.3	Round One Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct B )	59
3.8.6.4	Round Two Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct B)	59
3.8.6.5	Round Three Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct B)	59
3.8.6.6	Round One Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct C)	60
3.8.6.7	Round Two Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct C)	60
3.8.6.8	Round Three Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct C)	60
3.8.6.9	Round One Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct D)	60
3.8.6.10	Round Two Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct D)	60
3.8.6.11	Round One Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct E)	61
3.8.6.12	Round Two Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct E)	61
3.8.6.13	Round Three Delphi Survey (sub-items within Construct E)	61
3.9	APPROACH TO DATA ANALYSIS	62
3.10	VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY PHASE-ONE	63
3.11	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	64
3.12	CONCLUSION	65

#### **CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS: PHASE 1**

4.1	INTRODUCTION	66
4.2	SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION	66
4.3	THE STUDY RESULTS	67
4.3.1.	Stage One	67
4.3.1.1	Delphi Survey Round One	68
4.3.1.2	Delphi Survey Round Two	75
4.3.1.3	Delphi Survey Round Three	77
4.3.1.4	Delphi Survey Round Four	78
4.3.2	Stage Two	81

4.3.2.1	Delphi Survey Round One – EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	82
4.3.2.2	Delphi Survey Round Two - EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	84
4.3.3.1	Delphi Survey Round One – MANAGEMENT	86
4.3.3.2	Delphi Survey Round Two - MANAGEMENT	88
4.3.3.3	Delphi Survey Round Three - MANAGEMENT	88
4.3.4.1	Delphi Survey Round One – INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	90
4.3.4.2	Delphi Survey Round Two - INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	92
4.3.4.3	Delphi Survey Round Three – INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	93
4.3.5.1	Delphi Survey Round One - PROFESSIONALISM	95
4.3.5.2	Delphi Survey Round Two - PROFESSIONALISM	98
4.3.6.1	Delphi Survey Round One - KNOWLEDGE	100
4.3.6.2	Delphi Survey Round Two - KNOWLEDGE	101
4.3.6.3	Delphi Survey Round Three - KNOWLEDGE	102
4.4	CONCLUSION	107

## **CHAPTER FIVE: DEVELOPMENT OF MODELS - PHASE-2**

5.1	INTRODUCTION	108
5.2	UNDERSTANDING A MODEL	108
5.3	BASIS OF A MODEL	109
5.4	PURPOSE OF A MODEL	110
5.5	CONSTRUCTION OF A MODEL	110
5.6	EDUCATIONAL ETHICS (Constructs)	111
5.6.1	Ethical Principles	112
5.6.2	Adult Learning Principles	114
5.6.3	Learning Environment	115
5.6.4	Principles of Andragogy	117
5.6.4.1	Goal and relevancy orientated	117
5.6.4.2	Readiness to learn	117

5.6.4.3	Self-directed Learner	117
5.6.3.4	Life experiences a resource for learning	118
5.6.4.5	Internally motivated	118
5.6.4.6	Need for respect	119
5.6.5	The study model (Educational Ethics)	119
5.7	MANAGEMENT (Construct)	121
5.7.1	Stress (sub-item)	122
5.7.2	Conflict Management (sub-item)	126
5.7.3	Motivation (sub-item)	128
5.7.4	Group Dynamics (sub-item)	132
5.7.5	The study model (Management)	135
5.8	INTERPERSONAL SKILLS (Construct)	137
5.8.1	Communication	138
5.8.1.1	Verbal communication	141
5.8.1.2	Non-verbal communication	143
5.8.1.3	Cross-cultural communication	145
5.8.1.4	Barriers to communication	148
5.8.2	The study model (Interpersonal Skills)	151
5.9	SUMMARY	153
5.10	DISCUSSION ON FINAL STUDY MODEL	157
5.11	CONCLUSION	158
 <b>CHAPTER SIX: DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS (PHASE-THREE)</b>		
6.1	INTRODUCTION	159
6.2	STANDARDS	159
6.2.1	Formulation of Standards	159
6.2.1.1	Methodology	161
6.3	DELPHI SURVEY ROUND ONE	161

6.3.1	Delphi Survey Round One Results	164
6.4	CONCLUSION	171

## **CHAPTER SEVEN: CURRICULUM FOR A FACILITATOR DEVELOPMENT**

### **PROGRAM (PHASE-FOUR)**

7.1	INTRODUCTION	172
7.2	FACULTY DEVELOPMENT IN PBL FACILITATION	172
7.3	CURRICULUM MODEL	174
7.3.1	Introduction	174
7.3.2	Philosophy and Educational framework	176
7.3.3	Educational aim of the Curriculum	176
7.3.4	Goals for Development of the Curriculum	176
7.3.5	Curriculum Development Principles	176
7.3.6	Policy Guidelines	176
7.3.7	Target Group	177
7.3.8	Structure of Curriculum	177
7.3.9	Short Course	177
7.3.10	Teaching and Learning Methods	178
7.3.11	Educational Strategies in Development of the Curriculum	179
7.3.12	Organisational Strategies in Development of the Curriculum	179
7.3.13	Course Content	179
7.4	CURRICULUM MODULES	181
7.4.1	FE-SAT and Module 1: Philosophy	181
7.4.2	FE-SAT and Module 2: Educational Ethics	187
7.4.3	FE-SAT and Module 3: Management	191
7.4.4	FE-SAT and Module 4: Interpersonal Skills	195
7.4.5	Experts' Opinion	198
7.4.6	Assessment	199

7.4.7	Workshop	199
7.5	CONCLUSION	199
<b>CHAPTER EIGHT: DISCUSSION, MAIN FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS</b>		
8.1	INTRODUCTION	200
8.2	SUMMARY OF THE STUDY	200
8.3	MAIN FINDINGS	201
8.3.1	Educational Ethics	204
8.3.2	Management	204
8.3.3	Interpersonal Skills	205
8.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS: PHASE-ONE	206
8.4.1	Stage One	206
8.4.2	Stage Two	207
8.4.2.1	Educational Ethics	207
8.4.2.2	Management	209
8.4.2.3	Interpersonal Skills	213
8.5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS: PHASE -TWO	215
8.6	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS: PHASE -THREE	216
8.7	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS: PHASE -FOUR	217
8.8	RECOMMENDATIONS	218
8.8.1	Recommendations for Education	218
8.8.2	Recommendations for Future Research	219
8.9	STUDY LIMITATIONS	219
8.10	IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY	220
8.11	CONCLUSION	220
	REFERENCE LIST	222
	ANNEXURES	238

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1 The Problem-Based Learning Characteristics	3
1.2 Tutorial Performance Evaluator	7
1.3 The Research Process	10
3.1 Example of a Visual Analogue Scale	51
3.2 Conceptual Framework on two theoretical models	52
3.3 Delphi technique sequence	62
5.1 Constructs and their relative weights	108
5.2 Components of a practice model	109
5.3 Ethical Theories	111
5.4 Principles of Ethics	112
5.5 Weighting of sub-items (Educational Ethics)	113
5.6 Principles of Adult Education (Knowles)	114
5.7 Study components associated with Education	115
5.8 Study components associated with Learning Environment	116
5.9 Study Model on EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	120
5.10 Weighting of sub-items (Management)	121
5.11 Relationship between eustress and distress	124
5.12 Stages of the General Adaptation Syndrome	125
5.13 Work overload and work underload	126
5.14 Inter-relationship between assertive and cooperative behaviour	127
5.15 Chief parameters of conflict management strategy	128
5.16 Diagrammatic representation of motivational theories	130

5.17	Diagrammatic representation of the Porter Lawler Model	130
5.18	Motivation and performance	131
5.19	Tuckman's Model	135
5.20	Study Model on MANAGEMENT	136
5.21	Weighting of sub-items (Interpersonal Skills)	138
5.22	Aristotle model of communication	139
5.23	Shannon Weaver model of communication	140
5.24	Berlo model of communication	140
5.25	Signals associated with verbal communication	142
5.26	Signals associated with non-verbal communication	143
5.27	Seinfeld Primary function of non-verbal communication	144
5.28	Ten tips for effective cross cultural communication	146
5.29	Ethnic, Gender and Organisational culture and communication	147
5.30	Noise in the communication process	149
5.31	Obstacles in the communication process	149
5.32	Organisational barriers in communication	151
5.33	Study Model on INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	152
5.34	Constructs and their relative weights	154
5.35	IPSEM TRIANGLE	155
5.36	FINAL STUDY MODEL	156
7.1	Overview of four phases of the Facilitator Development Program	175
7.2	Modular Framework of the Curriculum	178
7.3	Curriculum Development Process	180
8.1	IPSEM TRIANGLE	203

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1 Overview of the Research Methodology	12
3.1 Codes and academic qualifications on the sample selection	43
3.2 Codes and academic qualifications on the sample change (Constructs)	44
3.3 Number of experts endorsing Item or Instrument is as content validity	46
4.1 Codes and academic qualifications on sample selection (Constructs)	67
4.2 Round One Delphi Survey expert lists	69
4.3 Round One Delphi Survey emerging themes	70
4.4 Round Two Delphi Survey ratings	75
4.5 Round Three Delphi Survey ratings	77
4.6 Visual Analogue Scales	78
4.7 Matrix and measurements in mm. of constructs on VAS	80
4.8 Standard weights percentages and coefficients on constructs	80
4.9 Hierarchy of standard weights percentages on constructs	81
4.10 Codes and academic qualifications on sample selection (sub-items)	82
4.11 Formatted themes- EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	82
4.12 Round One Delphi Survey ratings - EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	83
4.13 Visual Analogue Scales- EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	85
4.14 Matrix and measurements in mm. of sub-items on VAS	85
4.15 Standard weights percentages and coefficients on sub-items	86
4.16 Hierarchy of standard weights - EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	86
4.17 Sub-items - MANAGEMENT	87
4.18 Round One Delphi Survey ratings – MANAGEMENT	87
4.19 Round Two Delphi Survey ratings - MANAGEMENT	88
4.20 Visual Analogue Scales- MANAGEMENT	89
4.21 Matrix and measurements in mm. of sub-items on VAS	89



4.22	Standard weights percentages and coefficients on SUB-ITEMS	90
4.23	Hierarchy of standard weights - MANAGEMENT	90
4.24	Formatted themes – INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	91
4.25	Round One Delphi Survey ratings - INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	91
4.26	Round Two Delphi Survey ratings- INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	93
4.27	Visual Analogue Scales- INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	94
4.28	Matrix and measurements in mm. of sub-items on VAS	94
4.29	Standard weights percentages and coefficients	95
4.30	Hierarchy of standard weights - INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	95
4.31	Formatted themes – PROFESSIONALISM	96
4.32	Round One Delphi Survey ratings - PROFESSIONALISM	96
4.33	Visual Analogue Scales- PROFESSIONALISM	98
4.34	Matrix and measurements in mm. of sub-items on VAS	99
4.35	Standard weights percentages and coefficients	99
4.36	Hierarchy of standard weights - PROFESSIONALISM	100
4.37	Formatted themes – KNOWLEDGE	100
4.38	Round One Delphi Survey ratings - KNOWLEDGE	101
4.39	Round Two Delphi Survey ratings - KNOWLEDGE	102
4.40	Visual Analogue Scales- KNOWLEDGE	103
4.41	Matrix and measurements in mm. of sub-items on VAS	104
4.42	Standard weights percentages and coefficients	105
4.43	Hierarchy of standard weights – KNOWLEDGE	105
4.44	Hierarchy of constructs and sub-items on the FE-SAT	106
5.1	Personal barriers in communication	150
6.1	Delphi Survey Round One ratings (Philosophy)	164
6.2	Delphi Survey Round One ratings (Educational Ethics)	165
6.3	Delphi Survey Round One ratings (Management)	166
6.4	Delphi Survey Round One ratings (Interpersonal skills)	166

6.5	FE-SAT (Philosophy)	168
6.6	FE-SAT (Educational Ethics)	169
6.7	FE-SAT (Management)	170
6.8	FE-SAT (Interpersonal skills)	171
8.1	Percentage difference of Weighted Constructs (n=5)	202
8.2	Percentage difference of Weighted Constructs (n=3)	202
8.3	Percentage of Weighted Sub-Items Educational Ethics	204
8.4	Percentage of Weighted Sub-Items Management	204
8.5	Percentage of Weighted Sub-Items Interpersonal skills	205
8.6	Weighting of constructs	207

## ABBREVIATIONS

<b>CVI:</b>	Content Validity Index
<b>EV</b>	Eigen Vector
<b>FE-SAT</b>	Facilitator Evaluation-Self Assessment Tool
<b>GM</b>	Geometric Mean
<b>IPS</b>	Interpersonal skills
<b>IPSEM</b>	Inter Personal Skills Ethics Management
<b>LLSM</b>	Logarithmic least squares method
<b>MSc</b>	Master of Science
<b>MB.BCh</b>	Bachelor in Medicine Bachelor in Surgery
<b>MCDA</b>	Multi criteria decision analysis
<b>PhD</b>	Doctor of Philosophy
<b>PBL</b>	Problem-Based Learning
<b>SJM</b>	Subjective Judgement Model
<b>VAS</b>	Visual Analogue Scale
<b>WC</b>	Weight of Construct
<b>WI</b>	Weight of Item
<b>WS</b>	Weight of Ordinal Scale

## LIST OF ANNEXURES

		<b>Page</b>
ANNEXURE A	Nursing Department Approval Letter	238
ANNEXURE B	Protocol Approval Letter	239
ANNEXURE C	Ethics Clearance Certificate and Protocol Number	240
ANNEXURE D	Information for Participation in PhD Study	241
ANNEXURE E	Round-One Delphi Survey	242
ANNEXURE F	Round-Two Delphi Survey Questionnaire	243
ANNEXURE G	Round-Three Delphi Survey Questionnaire	246
ANNEXURE H	Round-Four Delphi Survey VAS	248
ANNEXURE I	Round-One Questionnaire MANAGEMENT	251
ANNEXURE J	Round-Two Questionnaire MANAGEMENT	253
ANNEXURE K	Round-Three VAS MANAGEMENT	256
ANNEXURE L	Round-One Questionnaire KNOWLEDGE	258
ANNEXURE M	Round-Two Questionnaire KNOWLEDGE	260
ANNEXURE N	Round-Three VAS KNOWLEDGE	263
ANNEXURE O	Round-One Questionnaire INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	265
ANNEXURE P	Round-Two Questionnaire INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	267
ANNEXURE Q	Round-Three VAS INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	270
ANNEXURE R	Round-One Questionnaire EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	272
ANNEXURE S	Round-Two VAS EDUCATIONAL ETHICS	275
ANNEXURE T	Round-One Questionnaire PROFESSIONALISM	277
ANNEXURE U	Round-Two VAS PROFESSIONALISM	279
ANNEXURE V	Round-One List: Expert 1	282
ANNEXURE W	Round-One List: Expert 2	283
ANNEXURE X	Round-One List: Expert 3	285
ANNEXURE Y	Round-One List: Expert 4	286

ANNEXURE Z	Round-One List: Expert 5	287
ANNEXURE AA	Standards Questionnaire	288