

## Corrections on MSc Eng Research Report – AC Cipolat

| Section         | Page No. | Correction or Improvement suggested   | Correction made  |
|-----------------|----------|---|--|
| Introduction    | 4        | First two objectives need to be addressed   | Explanation added under bullet points  |
| Introduction    | 2        | Section 1.2 first sentence requires reference.  | Reference added  |
|                 |          | Abbreviations used only few times should not be abbreviated.                              |  |
| All occurrences | -        | CRQ vs Central Research Question  | All CRQ abbreviations changed to Central Research Question   |
| All occurrences | -        | LE vs Large enterprises   | All LE abbreviations changed to Large Enterprise   |
| All occurrences | -        | Abbreviation consistency: O/M vs owner/manager use in same paragraph.                     | All occurrences of owner/manager changed to O/M  |
| All occurrences | -        | Referencing consistency: All occurrences of “et al” should be italicised.                 | Corrected  |
| Research Method | 31 & 32  | Address reasons for usage of qualitative research and case study methodology.             | Elaboration on these topics added.   |
| Research Method | 35 & 36  | Explanation of how repeatability and triangulation methodology were employed.             | Triangulation already explained para 5 of Section 3.7<br>Additional para inserted in Section 3.7 explaining repeatability. |
| Research Method | 41       | Elaboration on the use of content analysis  | Final paragraph of Section 3.7 edited to include this explanation.   |
| Research Method | 30       | Move Development of Research Method and Research Method to Chapter 1.                     | Changed  |
| Data & Analysis | 58       | Review AMC scores in Table 6  | Scores have been corrected   |
| All occurrences | -        | All tables spanning more than one page should have table headings at the top of each page | Table headings added   |

|                      |     |   |  |
|----------------------|-----|---|--|
| Research Method      | 35  | Section 3.5 and 3.6 should be integrated                                      | Not changed – these should be treated as two separate sections |
| Research Method      | 38  | Section 3.6.4 and 3.5 to be integrated  | Not changed – these should be treated as two separate sections |
| Data & Analysis      | 43  | Table 5 should be moved to methodology section                                | Changed  |
| Declaration          | ii  | Remove “proposal”   | Removed  |
| Abstract             | iii | Paragraph 3 and 4 to be consolidated.   | Changed  |
|                      |     | Review tenses of paragraphs:  |  |
| Introduction         | 2   | Paragraph 4   | Changed  |
| Introduction         | 3   | Paragraph 2   | Changed  |
| Literature Review    | 25  | Paragraph 5   | Changed  |
| Research Method      | 36  | Paragraph 4   | Changed  |
| Research Method      | 37  | Paragraph 1   | Changed  |
| Conclusions          | 77  | Paragraph 1   | Changed  |
| Results & Discussion | 64  | Review paragraph 3 as it is repetitive.                                       | Reviewed   |
| All occurrences      | -   | Page references add to all Figures and Tables not on the same page.           | Page references added  |
| Research Method      | 33  | Section 3.3 should include a number to quantify “a few people in management”. | Inserted   |
| Results & Discussion | 65  | Paragraph 1 should not include colloquial term ‘plant standing’.              | Changed  |