

DECLARATION

I, Arthee Roopnarain, declare that this research report is my own, unaided work. It has not been submitted before for any other degree or examination at this or any other university. All sources have been correctly referenced using the APA format of referencing. Furthermore, I acknowledge and accept that plagiarism is wrong.

Signed: _____

Dated: _____

CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION, RATIONALE AND AIMS	1
1.1. Introduction and rationale	1
1.2. Research aims	3
1.3. Chapter outline	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Employment trends and the link to burnout	5
2.2. Burnout	7
2.3. Occupational health psychology	9
2.4. Burnout and radiation therapists	10
2.4.1. Practical Stressors	14
2.4.2. Emotional Stressors	15
2.5. Intervention development	17
2.5.1. Individual level interventions	17
2.5.2. Organisational level interventions	20
2.6. Barriers to intervention development	22
2.6.1. Implementation/ design (macroprocesses) factors	23
2.6.1.1. Initiation	23
2.6.1.2. Targeting the correct factors	24
2.6.1.3. Learning from past intervention failures	24
2.6.1.4. Employee participation	25
2.6.1.5. Support from senior management	27
2.6.1.6. Support from middle management:	29
2.6.1.7. Support from consultants:	30
2.6.1.8. Communication	31
2.6.2. Mental models (microprocesses)	33
2.6.3. Context	35

2.8. Research questions	38
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	39
3.1. Study design	39
3.2. Sampling strategy	39
3.3. Sample description	40
3.5. Data collection	41
3.6. Procedure	42
3.7. Data analysis	43
3.8. Ethical consideration	44
3.9. Reflexivity	45
3.10. Quality assurance	46
CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS	48
Theme 1: The source of the intervention as a barrier to intervention development	49
1.1. Management as the source of the intervention	49
1.2. External researcher as the source of the intervention	58
Theme 2: The institution of time and work as barriers to intervention development	60
2.1. Understanding time as a barrier to intervention development	60
2.2. Commitment to the profession as a barrier to intervention development	65
2.3. The nature of work as a barrier to intervention development	67
Theme 3: Resistance by management to intervention development	72
3.1. Defending the organisation	72
3.2. Individual responsibility towards the intervention	74
3.3. Understanding the dominance of individual-levelled interventions:	75
THEME 4: Resistance from employees towards the intervention	76
4.1. Experiencing burnout as an individual problem	76
4.2. Individual assumptions concerning the intervention	79
4.3. Past experience with interventions	80

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	84
Reference list:	89
Appendix A: Hospital Permission Letter	101
Appendix B: Participant Information Sheet	103
Appendix C: Participant Consent Form	105
Appendix D: Interview recording consent form – Participant	106
Appendix E: Participant interview schedule- Initial visit	107
Appendix F: Participant Schedule: Counselling Visit	108
Appendix G: Participant interview schedule- Follow-up visit	111
Appendix H: Ethics Form	112
Appendix I: Transcription notation	113

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary of the research participants

40

ABSTRACT

The Trials of Improved Practice (TIPs) methodology was used (partially) to initially provide the framework for a proposed intervention to reduce burnout among radiation therapists. However during the initial visit it was apparent that the radiation therapists were not willing to consider the implementation of an intervention. Thus no intervention was actually conducted with the sample. Consequently the aim of the study shifted. This shift was not based on the reason of convenience, but was considered important to both the literature on TIPs and understanding resistance and barriers to interventions aimed at reducing burnout. Based on the wealth of information gained from the radiation therapists, this study focuses on understanding and exploring the barriers that affect the development and the implementation of interventions aimed at reducing burnout among the sample. The primary aim of the study was thus to firstly explore barriers inherent to interventions aimed at reducing burnout among radiation therapists. The second aim was to provide recommendations for others (i.e., researchers and practitioners alike), who may be developing interventions aimed at reducing burnout among radiation therapists. The core design of the study was qualitative in nature. Semi structured interviews were utilised to collect data on two occasions. In total 15 semi structured interviews were conducted. Thematic content analysis was utilised to identify, analyse and report themes and patterns that emerged from the data collected. The findings that emerged in this study highlighted barriers inherent to interventions aimed at reducing burnout among radiation therapists. Some unique themes, which were specific to the South African context, also emerged. This ultimately gave radiation therapists a voice, allowed for a fuller picture of their everyday experiences, and assisted with the establishment of recommendations for professionals, (researchers and practitioners alike), who may be interested in developing interventions aimed at reducing burnout among radiation therapists

Keywords: Radiation therapists, burnout, intervention development, barriers