

Declaration

I Hilde Doris Hendrik declare that this research report is submitted for the MScMed (Bioethics & Health Law) course is my own unaided work except where I have explicitly indicated otherwise. I have followed the required conventions in referencing the thoughts and ideas of others. It is being submitted for the degree of MScMed (Bioethics and Health Law) in the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before any degree of examination at this or any other university.

Signature *Hilde*

1 day of August, 2014

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to Professor CEE Noffke and Professor EJ Raubenheimer.

For their endless support and encouragement.

Abstract:

For centuries, dental practitioners have used radiographs as their primary diagnostic tools. (Lorenzoni *et al.*, 2012: 1-10). Cone-beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) was introduced to the dental profession in early 2000's and represented a convenient alternative to the higher radiation dose Medical Computed Tomography (CT) to be used as a dental radiological diagnostic tool (Friedland, 2009: 58). The dental profession accepted this new technology, however the criteria for using CBCT technology is far more complex than that for conventional radiographs (Noffke *et al.*, 2011: 262-266). According to Noffke *et al.*, this complexity is in terms of radiation dosage requirements, clinical indications, and radiological interpretation of the images (2011:263). Dental practitioners are currently faced with a predicament; guiding principles and safety precautions that were once applicable to conventional radiographic imaging techniques are no longer adequate or sufficient in regards this new technology (Holroyd & Gulson, 2009: 1-10). Currently in South Africa, limited guidance and training is available for the dental practitioner regarding CBCT (Noffke *et al.*, 2011: 263). Dental practitioners, who may not have received adequate training regarding the safe and effective use of this innovative technology, are presently making use of this diagnostic modality in South Africa (*op cit*). The only training currently available locally is limited to the procedural aspects of CBCT technology offered by the businesses which market the equipment (*op cit*). This research report explores the multitude of ethical and legal issues concerning the use of CBCT technology by South African dental practitioners as a diagnostic tool.

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of Prof. CEE. Noffke and Prof. EJ. Raubenheimer. Thank you for your guidance and support and thank you for believing in me. I am so grateful to have been able to learn from the very best.

Many thanks to my supervisor, Dr.NM.Tsotsi who read my numerous revisions and helped me along the way and to Dr.D. Mladenova for encouraging me to enrol for this study.

A special feeling of gratitude to my loving parents, Ana and Coloman Hendrik, whose support and encouragement have guided me through the years. Thank you for allowing me to follow my dreams.

And finally, thanks to my husband, Garry Miniggio who has been with me every step of the way, always offering support and love and to my two daughters, Diana and Gabriella who have brought so much joy to my life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
 CHAPTER 1:	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Harm principle and radiation exposure	3
1.2 Problem statement	5
1.3 Research methodology	9
1.4 Ethical considerations	9
 CHAPTER 2:	
2.0 .LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE USE OF CBCT TECHNOLOGY	10
2.1 Biological effects of ionizing radiation	10
2.2 Brief history of CBCT	11

2.3 Training and certification for CBCT	12
2.4 Duties of healthcare practitioners	16
CHAPTER 3:	
3.1 LEGAL ISSUES PERTAINING TO THE USE OF CBCT TECHNOLOGY	21
3.1.1 Legal measures that control the use of X-ray equipment	20
3.1.2 The right to a safe environment	21
3.1.3 Professional negligence and medical malpractice in South Africa	22
3.2 CODES OF ETHICS	24
3.2.1 Professionalism and competence in healthcare	26
3.2.2 Overservicing	30
3.3 ETHICAL PRINCIPLES	31
3.3.1 Principlism	31
3.3.2 The ethical principles of autonomy and beneficence	32
3.3.3 Ethical principle of non-maleficence	32
3.3.4 Ethical principle of justice	33
3.4 INFORMED CONSENT IN HEALTHCARE	34
3.4.1 Informed consent and autonomy	35

3.4.2 Informed consent and beneficence	36
3.4.3 Elements of informed consent	37
3.4.4 Legal aspects of informed consent	39
3.4.5 Informed consent and CBCT	41
3.4.6 Informed consent and vulnerable groups	42
3.4.7 Informed consent with regard to children	44
CHAPTER 4:	
4.1 CONCLUSION	46
4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	47
REFERENCES	49
APPENDICES	
Waiver letter from university of Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (medical)	57
Figure 1	58
Figure 2	59

