Teaching evolution in a new curriculum: Life Sciences teachers' concerns and needs Nonyameko Ngxola OF THE WITH THE SRAND OHANNESBURG A research report submitted to the Faculty of Science in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science Johannesburg, April 2012

DECLARATION

I declare that this research report is my own unaided work, except as indicated in the acknowledgements, the text and the references. It is being submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at any other institute.

_____ day of ______ 2012

Nonyameko Ngxola

ABSTRACT

This research was motivated by introduction of the topic of evolution in the Grade 12 curriculum in South African schools in 2008. Overseas research shows that evolution is a very controversial topic to teach, raising serious concerns for teachers. The need for appropriate professional development was urgent, but many *Life Sciences* had been dissatisfied with government workshops they had attended in preparation for the new curriculum. Furthermore, the teachers were sceptical about their ability to explain the theory of evolution because they did not have adequate content knowledge to teach the subject. Curriculum change theory dealing with 'stages of concern' suggests that teachers implementing a new curriculum move through a series types of concern, and that if their initial concerns are not addressed teachers will be slow to move on to more task-related matters.

This study aimed to identify the early concerns and needs of teachers who had to teach evolution for the first time in a new *Life Sciences* school curriculum, in order to provide crucial information for service providers who have to design appropriate support workshops.

Data were gathered using seven activity-based questionnaires, from a convenience sample of two groups of teachers (n = 45 and n = 74) from various districts in Gauteng, attending 2007 and 2008 inservice training workshops on the teaching of evolution. The data were analyzed using open coding and frequency counts. The data revealed that teachers had concerns on different levels, the majority of concerns identified being 'self-concerns' dealing with personal worries and need for information. Late concerns were less prevalent. A further analysis of self-assessed knowledge levels of teachers as well as actual levels (based on definitions of biological evolution provided by the teachers and results of an evolution quiz designed to diagnose possible misconceptions) was done. The data showed that the knowledge of most teachers was poor, and that many teachers over-estimated the adequacy of their own knowledge. This information is useful for the teachers themselves, curriculum developers and those involved in professional development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Martie Sanders for her unwavering support, time and tolerance through a very challenging journey, thank you.

A word of thanks goes to the *Life Sciences* teachers for willingly participating in the study. Without your contributions, this study would not exist.

To my friends, Thlogi Molefe, Thabo Khoali, and Majabulile Ndhlovu thank you for always being there to listen. Your moral support made this study possible, ndiyabulela!

My special gratitude goes to my family, my parents, three sisters Nozibaya, Zukiswa and Nondyebo, for your patience and constant support. To my lovely two nieces Bukeka and Paluxa, the support and love you gave my son during my academic journey is highly appreciated. My son Lizo, for tolerating my erratic availability and for making such huge sacrifices while I studied, I am eternally grateful.

I acknowledge the funding by the Biology Education Research Group of Martie Sanders and some financial support from the Gauteng Department of Education.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research report to my parents, Mnyamezeli Ndoda Ngxola and Shona Ngxola whose continual encouragement and belief in my potential sustained me.

Declarationi
Abstract ii
Acknowledgements iii
Dedication iv
Table of contents v
List of tables ix
List of figures x
List of appendices xi
CHAPTER 1: An introduction to the study and its context 1
1.1 OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY 1
1.2 THE INTRODUCTION OF THE NEW SCHOOL CURRICULUM IN SOUTH AFRICA 1
1.2.1 A brief history 1
1.2.2 Inclusion of the new topic of evolution in the <i>Life Sciences</i> curriculum 2
1.3 WHY EVOLUTION IS IMPORTANT IN BIOLOGY
1.3.1 Evolution as a unifying theme
1.3.2 Evolution has broad explanatory powers
1.3.3 Evolution underpins technological advances
1.3.4 Evolution is a powerful problem-solving tool
1.4 WHY EDUCATORS WANT EVOLUTION INCLUDED IN BIOLOGY CURRICULA 8
1.5 PROBLEMS WITH TEACHING EVOLUTION
1.5.1 Evolution is a controversial topic to teach
1.5.2 Teachers' poor content knowledge 11
1.5.3 Teachers are inadequately trained to teach evolution
1.5.4 Teachers' concerns about teaching evolution
1.6 THE SPECIFIC PROBLEM WHICH MOTIVATED THE STUDY
1.7 AIM OF THE STUDY14
1.8 RESEARCH QUESTIONS
1.9 CONCLUDING REMARKS 15
CHAPTER 2: The theoretical framework for the study 16
2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE CHAPTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS AND THEIR IMPORTANCE IN RESEARCH	16
2.3 CHOOSING AN APPROPRIATE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR RESEARCH EDUCATION	
2.4 STAGES OF CONCERN THEORY	17
2.4.1 Fuller's introduction of the theory	17
2.4.2 Expanded stages of concern theory	19
2.4.3 Implications of the stages of concern theory	22
2.5 SUBJECT MATTER KNOWLEDGE	23
2.5.1 The importance of teachers' subject matter knowledge	23
2.5.2 Subject matter knowledge – the structure of the construct	25
2.6 CONCLUDING REMARKS	25
CHAPTER 3: Research methods and design	26
3.1 OVERVIEW OF CHAPTER	26
3.2 RESEARCH DESIGN	
3.2.1 Research paradigm	
3.2.2 Research approach used in this study	29
3.3 DATA-GATHERING INSTRUMENTS: Activity-based questionnaires	29
3.3.1 Advantages of questionnaires	
3.3.2 Disadvantages of using questionnaires	
3.3.3 Advice about designing effective questionnaires	31
3.3.4 Development of the activity-based questionnaires for this study	33
3.3.5 Face validation of the questionnaires	
3.3.6 Pilot testing	
3.3.7 Administration of the questionnaires	
Context of data collection	
How administration of the questionnaires was done	
3.4 SAMPLING	40
3.5 DATA ANALYSIS	41
3.6 RIGOUR	42
3.6.1 Reliability	42
3.6.2 Validity	42
3.7 ETHICAL ISSUES	43
3.8 CONCLUDING REMARKS	43

CHA	PTER 4: Results and discussion	45
4.1	OVERVIEW OF THE CHAPTER	45
4.2	PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN ANALYZING THE TEACHERS' CONCERNS	45
4.3	IDENTIFYING TEACHERS' CONCERNS	46
	4.3.1 Teachers' positive feelings	47
	4.3.2 Teachers' negative feelings	50
	4.3.3 Feelings continuum about teaching of evolution	52
	4.3.4 What the teachers were worried about	53
4.4	IN WHAT 'STAGE OF CONCERN' DO TEACHERS' CONCERNS CLUSTER?	62
	4.4.1 Non-concerns	63
	4.4.2 Self-concerns	63
	4.4.3 Task concerns	64
	4.4.4 Impact concerns	64
4.5	IDENTIFYING THE TEACHERS' NEEDS	65
	4.5.1 The teachers' needs	65
	4.5.2 Teachers' change of concerns	68
4.6	TEACHER'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONCEPT OF EVOLUTION	70
	4.6.1 Teachers' perceptions about the adequacy of their content knowledge	71
	4.6.2 Teachers' explanation of biological evolution	72
4.7	TEACHERS' MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT EVOLUTION	76
	4.7.1 Misconceptions contained in the definitions	76
	4.7.2 Misconceptions identified from the quiz	77
	4.7.3 The extent of misconceptions held by each teacher	. 81
4.8	THE ACCURACY OF TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS ABOUT THE ADEQUACY OF THEIR CONTENT KNOWLEDGE	82
4.9	CONCLUDING REMARKS	83
CHA	PTER 5: Summary and discussion of the findings, and recommendations	85
5.1	OVERVIEW OF THE CHAPTER	85
5.2	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	85
	5.2.1 Lack of piloting of the instruments	85
	5.2.2 Possible problems of teachers responding with ideas that were not their own	86
	5.2.3 Loss of subjects or data	86
	5.2.4 Use of convenience sampling	86

5.2.5 Time as a constraint in gathering information	87
5.3 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS	87
5.3.1 Teachers' concerns about having to teach evolution	87
What teachers said they were worried about	. 87
In what 'stage of concern' do teachers' concerns cluster?	. 90
5.3.2 Teachers' needs regarding having to teach evolution	91
Support material for teachers	. 91
Support material for learners	92
Help with improving knowledge	92
In-service training	92
5.3.3 Teachers' understanding of the concept of evolution	92
Teachers' perceptions about the adequacy of their content knowledge	92
Teachers' definition of biological evolution	93
Teachers' misconceptions about evolution (analyzed by frequency per teacher)	94
The extent of teachers' misconceptions (analyzed by frequency of misconceptions in the sample)	. 94
Accuracy of teachers' perceptions about the adequacy of their content knowledge	95
5.4 RECOMMENDATIONS	95
5.4.1 Recommendations for service providers	96
In-service workshops	96
5.4.2 Recommendations for curriculum developers	97
5.4.3 Recommendations for further research	98
5.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS	98
REFERENCE LIST	99

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Evolution-related content extracts from the <i>Natural Sciences</i> Revised National Curriculum Statement Grade R-9 (Department of Education, 2002)	
Table 2:	A summary of evolution-related concepts covered in different content areas in the <i>Life Sciences</i> (Department of Education, 2005)	5
Table 3:	Common misconception related to evolution	12
Table 4:	The expanded stages of concern theory of Hall and Loucks (1978)	20
Table 5:	Advice on the general layout and format of the questionnaires	32
Table 6:	Advice on wording questions for questionnaires	32
Table 7:	Advice about the order of the questions in questionnaires	33
Table 8:	Advice on how to ensure appropriateness of the questionnaires	33
Table 9:	Questionnaires and information related to the questionnaires	35
Table 10:	Problems encountered during classification of concerns	
Table 11:	Summary of teachers' positive feelings about having to teach evolution (n = 52)	47
Table 12:	Summary of teachers' negative feelings about having to teach evolution $(n = 47)$	51
Table 13:	The positive/negative feelings continuum	53
Table 14:	Summary of teachers' concerns about having to teach evolution $(n = 91)$	54
Table 15:	Stages of concern associated with 229 comments from 91 teachers	62
Table 16:	Summary of teachers' needs about having to teach evolution $(n = 24)$	66
Table 17:	Change of concerns associated with 30 comments from 28 teachers	69
Table 18:	Summary of teachers' self-assessed content knowledge (n = 70)	71
Table 19:	Accuracy of the teachers' biological explanations of evolution $(n = 86)$	73
Table 20: '	Thirteen errors incorporated in the definitions of biological evolution of 86 teachers	76
Table 21:	Extent of teachers' misconceptions (n = 86)	81
Table 22:	Accuracy of teachers' perceptions about adequacy of their content knowledge ($n = 56$)	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Representation of Fuller's stages of concern	18
Figure 2:	Changing concerns of teachers with different levels of experience, as an innovation progresses (Hall and Hord, 2006: 143)	22
Figure 3:	Flow chart showing the overall research design of the study	27
Figure 4:	Example of the "speech-bubble" format used to solicit teachers' concerns	35
Figure 5:	The Likert-response format used for Activity-based questionnaire 2	36
Figure 6:	An outline of aspects covered in the September 2007 and February 2008 workshops	39
Figure 7:	The frequency of misconceptions in the 2007 and 2008 groups of teachers	77
Figure 8:	Summary of steps taken to develop three categories used to judge teachers' self-rated knowledge	82

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendices follow immediately after the list of references after page 106

- Appendix A: Explanations of the requirements of the new South African curricula
- Appendix B: A summary of evolution-related concepts covered in different content areas in the revised FET *Life Sciences* curriculum
- Appendix C: Activity-based questionnaires
- Appendix D: Workshop invitation letter (2008)
- Appendix E: Ethics clearance letter
- Appendix F: Evolution definitions from six biology dictionaries and the comments of an "evolution expert'