

https://dspace.org/

Identifying and addressing factors affecting academic success of at-risk biology students: attitudes, work-habits and metacognitive knowledge

Ayayee, Ellis Koe

2012-09-05
http://hdl.handle.net/10539/11887

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Identifying and addressing factors affecting academic success of at-risk biology students: Attitudes, work-habits and metacognitive knowledge

Ellis Koe Ayayee

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand, in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Johannesburg, May 2012

ABSTRACT

Successful tertiary-level education in the biological sciences is crucially important in providing a high-level work force for a number of careers. The government of South Africa has realised the strong positive correlation that exists between the availability of scientific and technical human resources, the viability of the economy, and the well-being of its people. However, despite government policies and tertiary institutions efforts in South Africa to increase enrolment and improve students' throughput, the pass rates at first-year university level remains low, a source of concern for the government and affected institutions. The low pass rates of first-year students in the biological sciences at tertiary institutions constituted the problem which prompted the study.

The aim of this study was to identify factors perceived to be essential for academic success in first-year biological sciences at the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa, and to investigate the effects of a computer-based programme designed to address some of the factors. The programme was developed to help students increase their awareness of appropriate attitudes, metacognitive knowledge and work-habits, all of which contribute to achieving academic success.

The study had two main phases, a "diagnostic" and a "therapeutic" phase. The research methods adopted in this mixed-methods study included the use of interviews and questionnaires to elicit information from various stakeholders.

In the diagnostic phase, interviews were used first to elicit the perceptions of 10 lecturers teaching first-year biology courses, 8 Honours students, and 17 undergraduates, at the University of the Witwatersrand, about factors they believed influenced academic success. The five top-ranked factors influencing academic success were *being motivated, using appropriate study habits, having positive attitudes to studies, asking for help and clarification*, and *managing time effectively*. Whilst the above-mentioned were the common factors identified by the three samples, each stakeholder group made its distinctive contributions. Secondly, to obtain a wider perspective of first-year students' views, a questionnaire focussing on attitudes, work-habits and metacognition was administered to two large groups of students (n=145; n=100) at the end of two consecutive years. The three top-ranked factors in both samples had to do with academic behaviours: *attending all lectures; taking accurate notes*; and *asking for help and clarification when a topic was not understood*.

Eighty-three first-year students provided data on students' changing perceptions (at the beginning and end of the year) which were required to determine if, without an intervention, a year at the university influenced students' perceptions about appropriate factors influencing academic success. Rasch analytical techniques applied to 27 items in the questionnaire yielded 11 responses which were statistically significantly different. These responses were later addressed in the package because students needed this information from the start of their studies.

During the therapeutic phase a computer-based instructional programme, *Bioskills*, was designed to inform student users about attitudes, behaviours and metacognitive factors the literature and stakeholders said were important for academic success. Six experts and 75 first-year students provided comments as part of a formative evaluation during its development. These were used to modify the screen design, user interface and content of the alpha version of the package. *Bioskills* proved very easy to use, even for first-time computer users.

A case-study approach was used to explore the experiences of eight students with *Bioskills*, in particular its influence on their attitudes, metacognition and academic behaviours. All eight students made positive comments, including that it was relevant, informative and encouraging. Six out of the eight recommended it be used much earlier in the year, whilst two said it took too much time to work through. Without trying to imply causation, the four students who used *Bioskills* three or four times passed the first-year course they were doing.

Students' metacognitive gains were reported in terms of metacognitive knowledge (what students know about successful learning) and metacognitive control (their application of the knowledge). The small sample size (n=8) made it difficult to detect trends. However, individual students mentioned new attitudes (*being more determined*, showing more *interest* in the topic and applying more *positive attitudes* to their studies) which they claimed they learned from using *Bioskills*.

Attribution is traditionally explained as *a search for understanding of causes*. Students' attributions on specific academic tasks are therefore important in the remediation efforts by educators. Of the 38 factors identified by the eight students, as causes for poor performance in their June examination, before they used *Bioskills*, almost two-thirds, when categorized using attribution dimensions, were of *internal* but *unstable* dimensions. The literature suggests it is easier to remediate causes that are internal and unstable rather than external and stable. After using *Bioskills*, four of the eight students who performed well at the end of a teaching block ascribed their success to the effort they had put in, whilst two of the four who did not do well, blamed external factors (the length of the test, the question structure) as contributing to their poor results.

The important contribution this study made was the theoretical framework developed which expanded the existing attitude-behaviour models by adding additional constructs and showing the relationships between them. In addition, a summary of factors mentioned by the stakeholders and supplemented with views from the literature have been used to propose a conceptual model of factors influencing academic success. The thesis concludes by discussing the implications of the constructs in the model for improved academic performance and as an area for further research.

DECLARATION

I declare that, apart from the assistance acknowledged, this is my own work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of the Witwatersrand, and has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at any other university.

Ayayee EK

_____ **14th_day of _May_2012**

Ellis Koe Ayayee

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to the incoming biological sciences Extended Curriculum and Academic Development programme students and lecturers. I hope the computer programme, which was developed as part of this work, contributes to an early appreciation of the factors that promote improved academic performance at this University, and also leads to the further appreciation of the affective factors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

But for the concerted effort of the following organisations and individuals this thesis would not have seen the light of day.

Professor Martie Sanders who guided the design and completion of this project, thank you, for not giving up on the project.

Professor Kevin Balkwill, the Head of the School of Animal, Plant and Environmental Sciences, who, so that the thesis could be completed, supported my application for extended time-off leave.

I wish to acknowledge an initial NRF grant which enabled me to undertake the PhD study, and two time-off sabbaticals granted by the School which were funded by grants from the Carnegie Foundation (2007) and Mellon Foundation (2009).

The support and input from the following people are acknowledged:

- Mr David Auku, a Christian brother and systems analyst, who offered support and direction in the use of Macromedia Dreamweaver.
- Mr Tyler Morgan, who hosted *Bioskills* on the University's website and later, when the University's domain was faulty, kindly hosted it on his personal website for my external examiners to view.
- Dr John Fletcher, whose knowledge and skill in using Rasch (Winsteps), contributed to an easier analysis of the data.
- The six experts whose views were used in the validation of the package are gratefully acknowledged.
- Professor Shirley Hanrahan, my mentor, so considerate and supportive as I worked through the chapters.
- Ms Carol Still, my colleague in the Extended Curriculum programme, who gave up semesters of well-deserved leave in order that this study might be concluded.
- The unwavering support of my children Eyram, Ayitey, Korkoi and my dearest wife Effie as the "chapters" were being written is appreciated.
- The unsung, unheralded well-wishers who spared a thought for me, herein lies the thesis, that your sweet thoughts gave wing to.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract ..... **iii**
Declaration ..... **v**
Dedication ..... **vi**
Acknowledgements ..... **vii**
List of Chapters ..... **ix**
List of Tables ..... **xvii**
List of Figures ..... **xx**
List of Appendices ..... **xxii**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY AND ITS CONTEXT 1

1.1 AN OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY 1
1.2 THE IMPORTANCE OF TERTIARY-LEVEL BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES COURSES 2
1.3 THE PROBLEMS WHICH MOTIVATED THIS STUDY 3
1.3.1 Cancellations of registrations during the year 3
1.3.2 Inability to meet assessment criteria 4
1.3.3 The low pass rates in university education 5
1.3.4 Low throughput rates to complete degrees 6
1.4 FACTORS INFLUENCING EARLY WITHDRAWAL OF STUDENTS FROM INSTITUTIONS 8
1.5 FACTORS INFLUENCING ACADEMIC SUCCESS AT TERTIARY LEVEL 9
1.5.1 Factors operating at a personal level 9
Educational background of students 9
Quality of schooling 9
Prior academic achievement 11
Learning strategies and behaviours 12
Metacognition 12
Work habits and study skills 13
Approaches to learning 14
Psychological factors 15
Psychological health 15
Personality traits and students' responsibility for their learning 15
Social factors 16
Cultural capital 16
Student alienation 16
Maturity of students 17
Extracurricular activities 18
Cultural factors influencing academic success 18
Cultural values and beliefs of families or societies 18
Communication skills in the medium of instruction 18
Affective factors 19

Students' perceptions 19
Attitudes 20
Academic intentions 20
Motivation 21
1.5.2 Factors operating at the institutional level 22
Pedagogical environment 22
Cognitive demands 22
Social support 23
Social integration 23
Institutional culture of learning 23
Financial support and bursaries 24
1.5.3 Factors operating at the national level 25
1.5.4 Interactions of factors influencing academic achievement 26
1.6 SUPPORT AT THE UNIVERSITY FROM THE 1970S TO THE PRESENT: A REVIEW 26
1.6.1 The deficit model 27
1.6.2 The change model 28
1.6.3 The development model 30
1.6.4 Institutional support in the Faculty of Science 31
1.7 THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY 32
1.8 RESEARCH QUESTIONS 33
1.9 ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS 34

CHAPTER 2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE STUDY: AFFECTIVE FACTORS AND ACADEMIC SUCCESS 35

2.1 WHAT A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK IS AND WHY IT IS IMPORTANT 35
2.2 SHORTCOMINGS IN THE RESEARCH ON AFFECTIVE FACTORS 36
2.2.1 Paucity of research on affective factors 36
2.2.2 Lack of agreement about definition of terms 36
2.2.3 Lack of a coherent theoretical framework 37
2.3 CONSTRUCTS: DEFINITIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS 37
2.3.1 Attitudes and attitudinal constructs influencing behaviour 41
2.3.2 Behaviours and behavioural intentions 44
2.3.3 Motivation and motivational variables 45
History of studies on motivation 46
Self-efficacy beliefs and academic success 47
Attributions and academic success 47
For success situations 48
For failure situations 48
Intrinsic motivation and academic success 49
Goal orientations and academic success 50
2.3.4 Metacognition and metacognitive development 50
2.4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS 51

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH APPROACH AND METHODS: DIAGNOSTIC PHASE 53

3.1 THE PURPOSE OF THE DIAGNOSTIC PHASE 53
3.1.1 Research questions 53
3.2 RESEARCH PARADIGMS 54
3.3 RESEARCH DESIGN 57
3.4 DATA-GATHERING STRATEGIES 57
3.4.1 Interviews 57
Benefits of using interviews 58
Limitations of using interviews 59
Designing well-structured interviews 59
Designing the interview schedules 59
Face validation of the interview schedules 60
Piloting the interviews 61
Conducting the interviews 61
Sample selection for the interviews 62
Sample 1: Undergraduate students 62
Sample 2: Honours students 63
Sample 3: Lecturers teaching first-year biology students 63
Analysis of the interview data 64
3.4.2 Questionnaires 64
Development of the questionnaire 65
Reviewing the literature on questionnaire development 65
Drawing up the statements and questions 66
Face-validation of the questionnaire 66
Piloting the questionnaire 66
Sample of students given the questionnaire 66
Analyses of the questionnaire data 67
Analysis of the open-ended data 67
Analysis of the closed-ended data 67
METHOD 1: Indices of Agreement/Disagreement 67
METHOD 2: Rasch analysis to determine significance of differences between scores 68
3.5 ISSUES RELATING TO RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY 69
3.6 RESEARCH ETHICS 70
3.7 CONCLUDING REMARKS 71

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: DIAGNOSTIC PHASE 72

4.1 EXPERTS' PERCEPTIONS OF FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO ACADEMIC SUCCESS 72
4.1.1 Who are the "experts"? 72
4.1.2 The views of the experts 73
Affective factors 74
Being motivated 74
Having positive attitudes to studies 76
Being committed to one's work 78

Being self-confident 78
Work habits 79
Managing time effectively 80
Asking for help 80
Discussing and studying in groups 80
Preparing for lectures and practicals 81
Textbook reading and working consistently 81
Other factors 82
Reasons why some students fail at university 82
Concluding remarks on the interviews with the experts 84

4.2 FIRST-YEAR STUDENTS' VIEWS ON FACTORS THAT INFLUENCED ACADEMIC SUCCESS. 84

4.2.1 Results from the interviews with first-year students 85
Affective factors 85
Motivation 85
Interest and enjoyment of the subject 86
Having positive attitudes 87
Self-efficacy 87
Work habits 87
Asking for help and clarification 87
Working consistently 88
Preparing for lectures 88
Other factors 89
Understanding the work 89
Having a quiet area to study 90
Financial problems of the family 90
Staying at the residence 91
Summary of the interview results from the three samples 91
4.2.2 Results of the open-ended responses from the questionnaire 92
4.2.3 Students' responses to Likert-format items in the questionnaire 93
Results from questionnaire, closed-ended question 2 93
Taking accurate notes 94
Asking for help and clarification 95
Results from questionnaire, closed-ended question 3 97
4.2.4 Changes in perceptions of students after a year at university 100
Results from open-ended questionnaire statement 100
Attending all lectures 101
Doing extra reading from the textbook 102
Keeping up to date 102
Making your own notes 102
Results from closed-ended statements in the questionnaire 103
Setting academic goals and working hard to achieve them 104
Planning well ahead and working conscientiously to complete class assignments on schedule 104
Understanding and writing assignments properly 105

"Not sure" responses 105
They enjoy finding meanings of scientific words in biology 105
Making meaning cues, mind maps or tables to help memorization 106
Having a good command of the English language 107
4.3 CONCLUDING REMARKS 107

CHAPTER 5 THERAPEUTIC PHASE: PACKAGE DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH DESIGN 109

5.1 RATIONALE FOR USING COMPUTERS AS THE VEHICLE OF INSTRUCTION 109
5.1.1 Needs assessment 110
5.2 THEORIES AND PRINCIPLES UNDERPINNING THE DESIGN OF THE INSTRUCTIONAL MODULE 110
5.2.1 Multimedia instructional design 111
Screen design elements 112
Media design elements 112
User interface design elements 112
Ease of navigation 113
Reducing cognitive load 114
5.2.2 Pedagogical design elements 116
Situated cognition theory 116
Preferred learning styles and multiple intelligences 117
Learning styles 117
Multiple intelligences 119
Behavioural change: conceptual framework for modifying attitudes, work-habits (behaviours) of students 119
Theory of planned behaviour 120
Designing instructional messages to influence behaviour 122
The Transtheoretical model of behaviour change 122
Defining features of each stage of change as applied to the educational setting 123
Stage 1: Precontemplation 123
Stage 2: Contemplation 124
Stage 3: Preparation 124
Stage 4: Action 124
Stage 5: Maintenance 125
5.3 DEVELOPING THE *BIOSKILLS* INSTRUCTIONAL MODULES 125
5.3.1 Designing the storyboard 125
5.3.2 Choosing the authoring software 125
5.3.3 Developing the *Bioskills* software 126
Developing the alpha version of the package 127
Web hosting and technical support 132
5.4 PURPOSE OF THE THERAPEUTIC PHASE 132
5.5 RESEARCH DESIGN FOR THE THERAPEUTIC PHASE 133
5.6 FORMATIVE EVALUATION OF *BIOSKILLS* 135
5.6.1 Expert review 135

Questionnaire for expert reviewers 135
Results of the expert review: Experts' comments and opinions 136
Concluding remarks about experts' views 139
5.6.2 Usability testing of the package 139
Sample of students users 139
Administration of questionnaire 140
Analysis of data from students who used the alpha version of the package 141
Students' perceptions, at 30-minute intervals, of the ease of use of Bioskills . 141
Students' opinions, at 30-minute intervals, of Bioskills 142
Students' perceptions, at 30-minute intervals, of the usefulness of Bioskills 143
Students' observations about the screens of *Bioskills*.. 144
5.6.3 Trialing of the Beta version of the package 145
5.7 CONCLUDING REMARKS 145

CHAPTER 6 THERAPEUTIC PHASE: RESEARCH DESIGN (INVESTIGATION OF EFFECTIVENESS) 146

6.1 INVESTIGATION OF EFFECTIVENESS OF *BIOSKILLS* 146
6.1.1 Research questions 146
6.2 RESEARCH DESIGN 147
6.2.1 Participants in the study 147
6.3 DATA-GATHERING STRATEGIES 149
6.3.1 Activity-based questionnaires 149
Activity-based questionnaire 1: *Taking action to improve your marks* 149
Activity-based questionnaire 2: *Tell us what you learnt from using Bioskills* 153
Purpose of the activity-based questionnaire 153
Description of the questionnaire 153
Activity-based questionnaires 3 and 4: *Getting ready for Block* 3 154
Purpose of the activity-based questionnaires 154
Activity-based questionnaire 3: *Setting goals for Block* 3 154
Task: Preparing your weekly "To-do-list" 154
Task: Week-by-week planning of activities 154
Activity-based questionnaire 4: *Preparing for practicals* 155
Activity-based questionnaire 5: *Bioskills and you* 155
Purpose of the activity-based questionnaire 5 155
Description of activity-based questionnaire 5 156
Administration of the questionnaires 156
6.3.2 Designing the interviews on the influence of *Bioskills* 157
Purpose of the interviews 157
Development of the interview schedule 157
Description of the interview sessions 158
6.4 VALIDITY ISSUES 158
6.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS 159

CHAPTER 7 THERAPEUTIC PHASE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (INVESTIGATION OF EFFECTIVENESS) 160

7.1 VALIDITY OF STUDENTS' ANSWERS 160

7.2 VIGNETTES OF STUDENTS WHO WERE INTERVIEWED 161

7.3 CONCERNS ABOUT STUDENTS' LEVEL OF COMPUTER EXPERIENCE..... 164

7.4 THE METACOGNITIVE GAINS STUDENTS DERIVED FROM USING *BIOSKILLS* 165

7.4.1 Gains in metacognitive knowledge 166

Students' knowledge about factors affecting academic success before using *Bioskills* 167

Students' knowledge about factors affecting academic success after using *Bioskills* 170

7.4.2 Gains in metacognitive control 177

FACTOR 1: Metacognitive control in implementing behavioural intentions 178

Implementation of behavioural intentions before using *Bioskills* 178

Implementation of behavioural intentions after using *Bioskills* 180

FACTOR 2: Metacognitive control of behaviours promoting academic success.... 181

Implementation of appropriate behaviours before using *Bioskills* 181

Implementation of appropriate behaviours after using *Bioskills* 187

FACTOR 3: Metacognitive control of attitudes likely to promote academic success 190

Students' attitudes before using *Bioskills* 191

Development of appropriate attitudes after using *Bioskills* 193

FACTOR 4: Metacognitive control of attributions 195

Attributions of success and failure by students before using *Bioskills* 195

Attributions of success and failure by students after using *Bioskills* 200

7.5 STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS BEFORE AND AFTER USING *BIOSKILLS* 201

7.5.1 Students' academic' performance before using *Bioskills* 202

7.5.2 Changes in students' performance after using *Bioskills* 204

7.6 STUDENTS' OPINIONS ABOUT *BIOSKILLS* 205

7.7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 211

CHAPTER 8 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY, GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS 213

8.1 VALIDITY CONSIDERATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THE STUDY..... 213

8.1.1 Timing. 213

8.1.2 Subject attrition 214

8.1.3 Experimenter effect 215

8.1.4 Missing data..... 215

8.1.5 Problem of not using the same data-gathering tools before and after *Bioskills* … 216

8.1.6. Shortcomings of the package, *Bioskills* 216

8.2 SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS, AND THE RESULTING CONCEPTUAL MODEL 216
8.2.1 The diagnostic phase of the research 217
Research question 1: What factors do the lecturers teaching first-year biology, Honours students, and first-year biological science students, perceive to be important for academic success in first-year biological sciences? 217
Items with high consensus indices for all three groups of stakeholders 217
Items for which only lecturers and Honours students provided high consensus values 219
Items mentioned by more than half of only one group of stakeholders 220
Items from the first-year students' responses in the open-ended section of the questionnaire 221
Relationship between the data from my study and the summary of factors from the literature reviewed 221
Conceptual model of factors influencing academic success 224
8.2.2 Answering research question 227
Research question 2: What changes in students' perceptions occur after a year at the university? 227
8.2.3 The therapeutic phase of the research 230
Research question 3: What metacognitive gains did the students derive from using *Bioskills*? 230
Research question 3a: What metacognitive knowledge did the students say they have about factors promoting academic success, before and after using *Bioskills?* 231
Research question 3b: What metacognitive control (application of metacognitive knowledge) did students say they use before and after working with *Bioskills*? 232
Changes in students' attributions 234
Research question 4: What are the students' opinions about *Bioskills* as a teaching tool targeting academic success? 235
8.3 IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY 236
8.3.1 The need for research into the affective factors that affect academic success 236
8.3.2 The importance of increasing success rates is crucial for the country 237
8.3.3 Support for this university's equity, transformation and expansion initiatives 237
8.3.4 The development of a research-based instructional resource 238
8.4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE USE AND FURTHER RESEARCH 238
8.4.1 Research on *Bioskills* 238
8.4.2 Areas of *Bioskills* requiring further development and modification 239
8.4.3 Recommendations for using *Bioskills* as a teaching tool in the School of Biology 239
8.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS 240
APPENDICES 242
REFERENCES 307

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1 Student registrations in the Faculty of Science showing trends in the proportions of the racial groups registered 29

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1 Range of definitions of constructs mentioned in this phase of the study 39

Table 2.2 Definitions developed for this study 40

Table 2.3 Academic achievement attributions classified by locus, controllability, and stability dimensions 48

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1 Perceptions of lecturers and Honours students of factors important for academic success in first-year biology 73

Table 4.2 Reasons suggested by lecturers and Honours students why some students fail their first-year courses in biology 83

Table 4.3 Factors identified by first-year students' (n= 17) during the interview 86

Table 4.4 Top ten factors influencing academic success identified in interviews by the experts, and first-year students 91

Table 4.5 Frequency and ranking of factors influencing academic success identified, in an open-ended questionnaire, by first-year biology students (n=83) 93

Table 4.6 Extent of agreement of two samples of students about the importance of ways of studying, contributed at the end of year 95

Table 4.7 Indices of Agreement and Disagreement, and ranks for statements about attitudes and work-habits top students of biology are believed by these samples to adopt 98

Table 4.8 The strategies of studying which students believed contributed to academic success, mentioned by them as they entered and as they completed first year biology (n=83) 100

Table 4.9 Summary of statements that were significantly different at end of year (n=83) 104

Table 4.10 Summary of the extent of "not sure" responses (n=83) 106

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1 Guidelines for the screen design environment of a programme 112

Table 5.2 Some principles of multimedia design and suggestions for their use 114

Table 5.3 Elements of situated cognition and their implementation in *Bioskills* 118

Table 5.4 List of inappropriate academic behaviours, and the acceptable outcomes this study targeted 123
Table 5.5 Navigational guidelines from the literature and their application in *Bioskills* 128
Table 5.6 Main features of the sections in *Bioskills*, with the instructional tasks and aims 130
Table 5.7 Instruments, time administered, and participants in the formative and investigation of effectiveness stages of the study 133
Table 5.8 Instructions, feedback and comments from experts during formative evaluation, and resulting modification: Screen design 136
Table 5.9 Instructions, feedback and suggestions from experts, and resulting modification during formative evaluation: Media elements 137
Table 5.10 Instructions, feedback and comments from experts, and resulting modification during formative evaluation: Cognitive load 137
Table 5.11 Questions, feedback and suggestions from experts, and resulting modification during formative evaluation: User interface 138
Table 5.12 Computer skills and experience of the College of Science sample 140
Table 5.13 Major activities for which College of Science students used computers 140
Table 5.14 Experienced users and new users' perceptions over time about the ease of use of *Bioskills* 141
Table 5.15 Students' indications, at 30-minute intervals, of their "like" or "dislike" for *Bioskills* 142
Table 5.16 Students' perceptions, at 30-minute intervals, of the usefulness of *Bioskills* 143
Table 5.17 Summary of observations made by students (n=61) about the screens of *Bioskills* 144

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1 Research activities and the week in which they were conducted during the eight-week period 157
Table 6.2 Characteristics of students who participated in the final interview 158

CHAPTER 7

Table 7.1 Computer usage and experience of the eight students 165
Table 7.2 Students' knowledge of appropriate academic behaviours 167
Table 7.3 Outline of what is in *Bioskills* and what students (n=8) learned on first using *Bioskills* 171
Table 7.4 Outline of what is in *Bioskills* and what students (n=8) remembered during the interview 174
Table 7.5 Summary of what students (n=53) learned immediately from using *Bioskills* 177

Table 7.6 Summary of students' academic intentions at the start of the year 179
Table 7.7 Students' (n=8) reflections on their work-habits for the first half of the year 183
Table 7.8 Summary of factors students (n=8) said influenced their June marks (open-ended question) 196
Table 7.9 Summary of factors students selected as having influenced their June marks 197
Table 7.10 Biology class record and examination marks of students who participated in the interviews 203
Table 7.11 Accuracy of students' (n=8) prediction of their June mark 204

CHAPTER 8

Table 8.1 Views of lecturers, Honours students and two groups of first-year students about factors important for academic success in first-year biology (reported as consensus indices) 218
Table 8.2 Summary of average number of new things students said they learned per section of *Bioskills* 232

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1 Number of first-year biology students cancelling their registrations in the Faculty of Science 4

Figure 1.2 Percentage passes in a first-year biology course 5

Figure 1.3 Factors operating at national, institutional and personal levels, and affecting academic success 10

CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1 The relationships between various constructs affecting academic performance 42

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1 A summary of the research design used for the diagnostic phase 58

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1 Affective factors contributed by lecturers and Honours students, ranked clockwise 74

Figure 4.2 Major work-habits identified by experts as important for academic success 79

Figure 4.3 Affective factors mentioned by first-year students as influencing academic success 87

Figure 4.4 Views of students as they “entered” and as they “completed” their first year (n= 83) 101

CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1 Sources of information that informed the design of *Bioskills* 111

Figure 5.2 The relationships among factors that predict academic behaviour 121

Figure 5.3 Schematic diagram of stages in the transtheoretical model of behaviour change 123

Figure 5.4 Home section of *Bioskills* showing tabs, buttons and navigational aids 127

Figure 5.5 Mind map of the initial five sections of *Bioskills* 129

Figure 5.6 Screen from *Bioskills* highlighting the tone and relevant graphics used to create authentic context and motivate students 129

Figure 5.7 A video clip in a screen from *Bioskills* highlighting an authentic classroom context and used to motivate students 131

Figure 5.8 Screen from *Bioskills* showing students how goals are set 131

Figure 5.9 Diagram showing portions of how the transtheoretical theory was implemented in *Bioskills* 132

Figure 5.10 Flow chart of the research plan for the therapeutic phase 134

CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1 Distribution of mid-year marks of students (n=60) attending Academic Development tutorials in the second half of the year 147

Figure 6.2 Flow chart of the research design of the investigation of the effectiveness in the therapeutic phase 148

Figure 6.3 Introduction to activity-based questionnaire 1 150

Figure 6.4 The first task in activity-based questionnaire 1 150

Figure 6.5 Extract from the second task in activity-based questionnaire 1 151

Figure 6.6 Extract from the third task in activity-based questionnaire 1 151

Figure 6.7 Fourth task from activity-based questionnaire 1 152

Figure 6.8 Extract from the fifth task in activity-based questionnaire 1 152

Figure 6.9 A sample of the call-out box on task 1 in activity-based questionnaire 2 153

Figure 6.10 Extract from a check-list included in questionnaire 4 155

Figure 6.11 Example of a task in activity-based questionnaire 5, *Bioskills* and you 156

CHAPTER 8

Figure 8.1 The major factors that the stakeholders mentioned which influence academic success 222

Figure 8.2 Conceptual model of factors influencing academic success 225

Figure 8.3 Statements responded to at the start and end of the year, which showed significant differences (p=0.05) in students' level of agreement, measured in Rasch units (n=83) 228

Figure 8.4 Attitudes and behaviours of top students which showed significant differences in students' perceptions at the start and end of the year (n=83) 230

Figure 8.5 Students intentions and the number of students who did or did not implement their intentions during semester one, before using *Bioskills* 233

Figure 8.6 Attribution dimensions for poor performance in the June examination based on students' reported causes from 38 statements (n=8) 235

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Three interview schedules (A1, A2 & A3)	242
Appendix B	The questionnaire for Introductory Life Sciences students	250
Appendix C	Paper presented at the SAARMSTE 2008 Conference (Fletcher, Sanders & Ayayee, 2008)	254
Appendix D	Two questionnaires for experts: Your views and comments about *Bioskills*	262
Appendix E	Two questionnaires for first-year students	268
Appendix F	Activity-based questionnaire 2: Tell us what you learnt from using *Bioskills*	275
Appendix G	Activity-based questionnaire 1: Taking action to improve your marks	279
Appendix H	Activity-based questionnaire 3: Setting goals for Block 3	284
Appendix I	Activity-based questionnaire 4: Preparing for practicals	295
Appendix J	Activity-based questionnaire 5: *Bioskills* and you	298
Appendix K	Semi-structured interview schedule	301