

DECLARATION

I declare that this dissertation is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Master of Science in the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before any degree or examination in any other University.

(Signature of Candidate).....

.....day of.....2009

ABSTRACT

The *Mycobacteria* are a genus of bacteria which are acid-fast, non-motile, grampositive rods. The genus comprises several species classified into three main groups. Firstly, the major group of these organisms, which poses the biggest threat, is the *M. tuberculosis* complex which can cause tuberculosis-like disease. These include *M. bovis*, *M. africanum* and *M. microti*. Members of the *M. tuberculosis* complex are not found in the environment. The second group is *M. leprae* which is the causative agent of leprosy. The last group constitutes the nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM), which are all the environmental mycobacteria that can cause various diseases resembling tuberculosis. Due to the importance of environmental mycobacteria, 15 mycobacteria isolates were isolated from environmental samples such as soil, water and drinking water biofilms. After PCR amplification of the *hsp65* gene using genus specific primers *hsp65*, the isolates revealed sequences similarities when compared with the well characterized mycobacteria in the GenBank. Alignment of the nucleotide sequences and homology analysis were done with Clustall. It has been suggested that mycobacteria-associated phages (mycobacteriophages) may make an important contribution to the evolution of pathogenic mycobacteria. Spontaneous induction of phage associated with mycobacteria isolates using overlay and indicator plate methods was not successful to detect the presence of any inducible phage. A phage was isolated from soil samples that was designated the name A22. After purification and characterization. A22 phage was compared morphologically to well characterized L5 phage using electron microscopy. Morphological studies revealed that A22 mycobacteriophage had a non-contractile tail approximately 150 nm long with an isometric head approximately 60 nm, the phage could be assigned to the family *Siphoviridae*. According to these criteria, both of the phages (A22 and L5) belong to the order *Caudovirales* (tailed bacteriophages). Based on PCR amplification of A22 phage DNA using L5 gp71 specific primers and the infection of *M. smegmatis* L5 lysogen, we believe that this novel A22 phage differs from L5 phage.

KEYWORDS: Environmental mycobacteria; Mycobacteriophages; Spontaneous induction

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the important people that made this research possible.

I am indebted to my supervisor, Dr Steven I. Durbach, for his guidance and patience throughout this project. His commitment was a support to me when I felt despondent about progress. I thank him also for his continued communications when elsewhere; those e-mails were an invaluable means of advice and encouragement to me.

At Wits University, I owe a huge thanks to Belinda Lea Spillings and Noma Gcebe for all their assistance in laboratory and technical matters. Conversation and time out with Molecular Biology staff and postgraduates has been enjoyable and occasionally very helpful for my work.

I would like to thank Dr Feza Mulunda from the University of Johannesburg Mycotoxin Lab for supplying the soil samples.

Financial support was provided by National Research Foundation (NRF) - Thanks

CONTENTS	Page
DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	x

CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

1.	Introduction.....	1
1.2.	Literature review.....	2
1.2.1.	Environmental mycobacteria.....	2
1.2.1.1.	Soil-associated NTM.....	2
1.2.1.2.	Water and surface-associated NTM.....	3
1.2.2.	Non-Tuberculosis Mycobacterial (NTM) Diseases.....	5
1.2.3.	The associated mycobacteriophages.....	7
1.2.3.1.	Bacteriophages.....	7
1.2.3.2.	Mycobacteriophages.....	11
1.3.	Aims, research hypothesis and objectives.....	15
1.3.1.	Main objective.....	16
1.3.2.	The specific objectives will then be as follows:.....	16

CHAPTER TWO - ISOLATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MYCOBACTERIA

2.1.	Introduction.....	17
2.2.	Aims and Objectives.....	19
2.3.	Materials and Methods.....	19
2.3.1.	Sampling.....	19
2.3.2.	Recovery of mycobacteria from soil and water samples and growth on solid media.....	19
2.3.3.	Biochemical identification of mycobacteria	20
2.3.4.	Liquid culturing of mycobacteria.....	21

2.3.5.	Mycobacteria identification with <i>hsp65</i> genus-specific PCR.....	21
2.3.5.1.	DNA Sample preparation	21
2.3.5.2.	Genus-specific PCR Amplification of <i>hsp65</i>	22
2.3.5.3.	Agarose gel electrophoresis.....	22
2.3.5.4.	Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analysis.....	23
2.4.	Results.....	23
2.4.1.	Isolation of mycobacteria.....	23
2.4.2.	Genus-specific PCR analysis of acid-fast isolates.....	23
2.4.3.	<i>hsp65</i> gene sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.....	24
2.4.4.	Liquid culture analysis of mycobacteria isolates.....	25
2.5.	Discussion and Conclusion.....	27

CHAPTER THREE - ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MYCOBACTERIA ASSOCIATED PHAGES

3.1.	Introduction.....	30
3.2.	Aims and Objectives.....	32
3.2.1.	Specific objectives.....	32
3.3.	Materials and Methods.....	33
3.3.1.	Spontaneous prophage induction.....	34
3.3.1.1	Culture conditions.....	34
3.3.1.2.	Preparation of phage filtrates.....	34
3.3.2.	Phage quantification.....	34
3.3.2.1.	Plaque overlay method	35
3.3.2.2.	Indicator plate.....	35
3.3.3.	Phage purification.....	35
3.3.4.	Isolation of mycobacteriophages directly from soil.....	36
3.3.5.	Preparation of Large Scale phage.....	36
3.3.6.	Isolation of phage DNA	37
3.3.7.	Restriction analysis and estimation of size of restricted fragments of digested phage genomic DNA.....	37
3.3.8.	Epifluorescence Microscopy (EFM) to detect free phage particles	38
3.3.9.	PCR amplification of phage DNA using L5 gp71 specific primers.....	39
3.3.10.	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).....	39

3.4.	Results.....	40
3.4.1.	Isolation of mycobacteria-associated prophages.....	40
3.4.2.	Epifluorescence Microscopy (EFM) analysis.....	40
3.4.3.	Phage isolation.....	42
3.4.4.	Host range of A22 and L5 mycobacteriophages in <i>M. smegmatis</i> and other mycobacteria isolates.....	43
3.4.5.	Differentiation of A22 and L5 phage by infecting <i>M. smegmatis</i> mc ² 155 L5 lysogen.....	44
3.4.6.	PCR amplification of gp71 gene in A22 and L5 phage using L5gp71 specific primers.....	45
3.4.7.	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) comparison of A22 and L5 phages morphology.....	45
3.4.8..	Restriction digests of A22 DNA.....	46
3.5.	Discussion and conclusion.....	49

CHAPTER FOUR - CONCLUDING REMARKS

Concluding Remark.....	53
------------------------	----

REFERENCES.....	55
------------------------	-----------

APPENDIX A

Source of chemical and suppliers.....	69
---------------------------------------	----

APPENDIX B

General media and Buffers.....	70
--------------------------------	----

APPENDIX C

Growth curve analysis of environmental mycobacteria.....	72
--	----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: A and B. Agarose gel of PCR amplified isolate DNA with <i>hsp65</i> mycobacteria genus-specific primers.....	24
Figure 2: DNA sequence alignment of the different mycobacteria isolates.....	25
Figure. 3: Epifluorescence Microscopy of mycobacteria colony filtrates.....	42
Figure 4: A22 and L5 on <i>M. smegmatis</i> mc ² 155 and L5 lysogen derivative.	44
Figure 5: Agarose gel electrophoresis of L5gp71-specific PCR.	45
Figure 6: Transmission Electron Micrographs of A22 virion.	46
Figure 7: Restriction digest analysis of A22 DNA.....	47

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Environmental sites where mycobacteria have been isolated.....	5
Table 2: Mycobacterial diseases and source of contamination.....	7
Table 3: Host range of mycobacteriophages.....	14
Table 4: Recovery of ZN-positive isolates from different sources.....	24
Table 5: Growth rate analysis of different mycobacteria isolates.....	26
Table 6: Bacteria strains, bacteriophage and Oligonucleotides used in this study...	33
Table 7: Host range of A22 in comparison to L5.....	43
Table 8: Table of A22 and L5 digest fragment lengths.....	48

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A22	Mycobacteriophage A22 isolates
D29	Mycobacteriophage D29
EFM	Epifluorescence microscopy
L5	Mycobacteriophage L5
MAC	<i>Mycobacterium avium</i> complex
NTM	Non-tuberculous mycobacteria
OD	Optical density
ORFs	Open reading frames
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
PFU	Plaque forming Unit
Phage	Bacteriophage
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TM4	Mycobacteriophage TM4
ZN	Ziehl-Neelsen