



**DSPACE**

<https://dspace.org/>

**Practising in a posttruth world Pandemic ethics can inform patient autonomy and clinical communication**

**Liam Brannigan; Harriet Etheredge; Aina Lundgren; June Fabian**

<https://hdl.handle.net/10539/39090>

WOS

# **The Role of Gold as a Hedge or Safe Haven in African Stock Markets during the COVID-19 Pandemic**

*Damien KUNJAL<sup>1</sup>*  
*Delane Deborah NAIDU<sup>2</sup>*

DOI: 10.24818/mer/2023.10-09

---

## **ABSTRACT**

*This study seeks to investigate the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven against African stock movements during the COVID-19 pandemic by using a two-state Markov Regime-Switching approach. The findings suggest that gold serves as a weak hedge in Malawi, Morocco, Nigeria, Tunisia, and Zambia, a weak safe haven in Ghana, Kenya, Mauritius, Rwanda, Uganda, and Zimbabwe, and a strong safe haven in Botswana and Tanzania. Furthermore, the findings reveal that gold co-moves with the South African stock market regardless of the market regime. These findings imply that, on average, gold offers limited protection for African equity investors.*

**KEYWORDS:** *COVID-19, gold, hedge, Safe Haven, stock market.*

**JEL CLASSIFICATION:** *G01, G11, G15.*

---

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Countries around the world are experiencing economic turmoil due to the widespread transmission of COVID-19. The World Health Organisation (WHO) declared COVID-19 to be a global pandemic on March 11, 2020 (WHO, 2020). According to WHO (2022), two years later, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases exceeded 455 million globally whilst the number of COVID-19 deaths exceeded 6 million as of March 13, 2022. In Africa alone, there were more than 8 million confirmed COVID-19 cases, including more than 170 000 deaths, as at March 13, 2022 (WHO, 2022). In order to curb the spread of this virus, governments across the globe have implemented strict social distancing policies and restrictions on travel (Ashraf, 2020). Whilst strict quarantine policies may help to ‘flatten the curve’, these policies have negatively affected the global economy, especially developing and emerging African markets (Kunjal, 2021).

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has predicted that, in 2020, the global economy will contract by 3 percent (IMF, 2020). This contraction in the global economy is fuelled by: disruptions in global supply chains, reductions in import and export activities, reductions in international capital flows, and volatility in commodity prices, all of which have been brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic. According to Fernandes (2020), strict quarantine policies have disrupted global supply chains, subsequently leading to the closure of several companies around the world. As a result, there has been an increase in unemployment, subsequently, causing a decrease in consumer spending and consumption (Nicola et al., 2020). This decrease in consumer spending and consumption has led to a reduction in the future cash flows expected by companies (Mazur et al., 2020). Consequently, stock prices have experienced large declines because the value of stocks has been reevaluated by the market

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: North-West University, South Africa, e-mail: [Damien.Kunjal@nwu.ac.za](mailto:Damien.Kunjal@nwu.ac.za)

<sup>2</sup> University of Witwatersrand, South Africa, e-mail: [delane.naidu@wits.ac.za](mailto:delane.naidu@wits.ac.za)

(Mazur et al., 2020). Hence, Mazur et al. (2020) argue that, in March 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic induced a crash in international stock markets. The COVID-19 pandemic has, therefore, exacerbated the volatility and uncertainty of financial markets. According to Zhang et al. (2020), the COVID-19 pandemic has created an unexpected level of market risk, which has caused investors to suffer significant losses.

Investor loss aversion refers to the tendency of investors to avoid losses rather than seek equivalent gains (Tversky and Kahneman, 1991). As a result, during periods of increased market volatility, investors' loss aversion leads them to seek shelter in safe assets. Safe assets are those assets that are less sensitive to market return and volatility and, therefore, these assets have low exposures to traditional risk factors (Kopyl and Lee, 2016). There is evidence that safe assets may include, government bonds (Flavin et al., 2014; Von Hagen et al., 2011), currencies (Grise and Nitschka, 2015; Rinaldo and Söderlind, 2010), cryptocurrencies (Bouri et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019), and gold (Areal et al., 2015; Beckmann et al., 2015). According to Dar and Maitra (2017) and Ji et al. (2020), gold is one of the most popular assets that is used as a hedge or safe haven against stock market volatility during periods of increased market stress. For instance, in the aftermath of the 2008-2009 global financial crisis, investors shifted away from equities towards gold, subsequently, causing gold prices to rise by 156% from November 2008 to September 2011 (Laing, 2020). Trück and Liang (2012) argue that gold has performed well during crises such as market collapses, inflation hikes, currency failure, rising national debt, and even social unrest.

Regarding the properties of gold during the COVID-19 pandemic, Ji et al. (2020) report that, during the COVID-19 pandemic, gold serves as safe havens for stock markets in China, Europe and the United States. Similarly, AlAli (2020) reports that gold acts as safe havens for the United States, China, Tokyo, Germany, Australia, and the United Kingdom stock markets. On the contrary, Kumar (2020) finds that the COVID-19 pandemic has compromised the safe haven status of gold for the equity markets in India, China, the United States, and France. Similarly, Cheema et al. (2020) report that gold loses its safe haven status during the COVID-19 pandemic for the stock markets in Brazil, China, Canada, Germany, France, India, Japan, United Kingdom, Italy, and the United States. Interestingly, Akhtaruzzaman et al. (2020) examine stock market indices in the United States, Europe, Tokyo, and China and report that gold serves as a safe haven only in the initial stages of COVID-19 and, thereafter, loses its safe haven properties when governments intervene with fiscal and monetary stimulus policies to combat the pandemic. Additionally, Akhtaruzzaman et al. (2020) find evidence that, during the COVID-19 crisis, gold is an effective hedge for portfolios in the surveyed markets. Based on the aforementioned studies, it is evident that existing research has provided inconsistent results regarding the ability of gold to serve as a safe asset during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, none of these studies have examined the effectiveness of gold as a safe haven or hedge during the COVID-19 pandemic for African stock markets. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the ability of gold to serve as a safe haven or hedge during the COVID-19 pandemic for the stock markets in African countries.

The motivation for this study surrounds the growing need for investors to diversify their portfolios, particularly, during periods of increased market stress such as the COVID-19 pandemic. In recent years, the demand for gold has increased, primarily, because of its role as a diversifier, safe haven, or hedge against stock market declines (Ghazali et al., 2020). According to Shakil et al. (2018), including gold in their portfolios allows investors the possibility to obtain greater portfolio diversification, decreased risk volatility, smoother returns, greater price predictability, and the possibility of generating higher returns. Recently,

AlAli (2020) and Ji et al. (2020) have confirmed the safe haven status of gold in the observed stock markets during the COVID-19 pandemic. On the contrary, Cheema et al. (2020) and Kumar (2020) have found that, during the COVID-19 pandemic, gold has lost its safe haven status. However, none of these studies has evaluated the role of gold as a safe asset during the COVID-19 pandemic from the perspective of African countries. The results of Akhtaruzzaman et al. (2020), AlAli (2020), Cheema et al. (2020), Ji et al. (2020), and Kumar (2020) cannot be applied to African markets because these studies have focused mainly on developed and emerging markets while Africa consists of emerging and frontier markets with greater financial instability and higher fluctuations in commodity prices (Thampanya et al., 2020). Moreover, Adewuyi et al. (2019) argue that the gold-stock nexus has been examined in virtually all regions across the globe, except Africa, thereby, necessitating the need for further research. Therefore, the main contribution of this study is that it provides information on the ability of gold to serve as a safe haven or hedge during the COVID-19 pandemic for investors trading in African stock markets.

Boako and Alagidede (2018) acknowledge that research on the response of African markets to global crises is scant. The ability of gold to serve as a hedge or safe haven during the 2008-2009 global financial crisis has been explored by Gürgün and Ünalmış (2014) and Seetharam and Bodington (2015) for a few African stock markets. However, Ji et al. (2020) argue that the underlying forces of the current COVID-19 crisis differ substantially from previous crises and are more complicated than previous crises. Therefore, the ability of gold to serve as a safe asset in African countries during the COVID-19 crisis requires further research. Hence, the second contribution of this study is that it provides insight into the response of African markets to the global COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, Goodell (2020) notes that research on how financial markets have been impacted by the COVID-19 market remain scanty. Hence, the third contribution of this study is that it sheds light on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on financial markets, specifically, the market for gold.

From a methodological perspective, another contribution of this study is that it adopts a model that reduces biases associated with exogenous dummy variables. In particular, many related studies adopt Baur and McDermott's (2010) GARCH approach which relies on dummy variables to separate the data based on their quantiles of the return distribution in order to identify a safe haven (Bahloul et al., 2022; Chen and Wang, 2019; Gürgün and Ünalmış, 2014). However, the drawback of this approach is that the separation of the sample is exogenous, which could invalidate the results due to the sample selection bias (Li and Miu, 2023). Instead, this study adopts a Markov Regime-Switching approach in which the sample segmentation process is endogenously completed based on the market return data. Therefore, the advantage of this approach is that the determination of different volatility regimes is internally done, thus, reducing any biases associated with exogenous dummy variables (Li and Miu, 2023). To the authors' knowledge, there are only a few studies which adopt regime-switching models to examine the hedge or safe haven properties of gold; however, these studies were conducted prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (Boubaker et al., 2020; Chkili, 2017; He et al., 2018;) or limited to Islamic stock markets (Chkili, 2022). Therefore, this is the first study to employ Markov Regime-Switching models to investigate the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven in African stock markets during the COVID-19 pandemic to the authors' knowledge. Overall, the results of this study are of significant importance for investors seeking to diversify their portfolios, for investment management companies that guide investment decisions, for researchers in the field of portfolio and risk management, and for policymakers who are responsible for maintaining the stability of financial markets in Africa.

This paper is outlined as follows: Section 2 of this study provides a review of the existing literature surrounding the use of gold as a hedge or safe haven during periods of market stress. Section 3 outlines the data and methodology used by this study. Section 4 presents and discusses the results obtained.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

During periods of high market volatility and uncertainty, investors tend to shift away from stock markets towards safe assets. This movement is commonly described as a flight-to-quality or flight-to-safety (Baele et al., 2020). As such, theories on investor behaviour during extreme market movements are of particular importance for this study, specifically, the Ellsberg paradox put forward by Ellsberg (1961) and the Prospect theory introduced by Kahneman and Tversky (1979). The Ellsberg paradox is based on uncertainty avoidance and describes the tendency of individuals to prefer known risks over unknown risks (Ellsberg, 1961). During periods of uncertainty, individuals give too much weight to the ‘worst’ possibility (Ellsberg, 1961). Accordingly, Ghazali et al. (2015) argue that investors view gold as the best alternative in a worst-case scenario because a single regulator or central bank cannot influence the value of gold. The prospect theory is based on loss aversion and describes the tendency of investors to avoid losses rather than seek equivalent gains (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). Therefore, during periods of high market volatility, gold gains a considerable amount of attention because they are expected to offset the losses from stock market declines (Ji et al., 2020). Other reasons for investing in gold include: protection against inflation hikes (Emmrich and McGroarty, 2013), increased portfolio diversification (Alkhazali and Zoubi, 2020), higher risk-adjusted returns (Arouri et al., 2015), higher price predictability (Shakil et al., 2018), and research on gold is less time-consuming (Shakil et al., 2018). There are several ways to invest in gold, including: purchasing gold jewellery, buying gold coins or bullion bars, and investing in gold exchange traded funds (ETFs) (Guha and Bandyopadhyay, 2016; Sharma, 2016). As a safe asset, gold can be classified either as a hedge or a safe haven, both of which are discussed in the following sections.

### 2.1 Gold as a Hedge

Baur and McDermott (2010) define a weak (strong) hedge as an asset that, on average, is uncorrelated (negatively correlated) with another asset, portfolio, or variable. Gold has been documented as a hedge against many economic variables, including: inflation (Bampinas and Panagiotidis, 2015; Aye et al., 2017) and currency movements (Joy, 2011; Reboredo and Rivera-Castro, 2014). For investors, there is evidence that gold acts as a hedge against movements in the stock market because, on average, gold is negatively correlated or uncorrelated with the stock market (Baur and Lucey, 2010; Beckmann et al., 2015; Ghazali et al., 2020). However, during periods of market turmoil, a hedge may not reduce losses because the hedge may exhibit a negative or zero correlation on average, but a positive correlation during times of market stress (Baur and Lucey, 2010). This may be because, during periods of market turmoil, investors may be influenced by herding or contagion effects, which causes investors to sell many different assets at the same time (Calvo and Mendoza, 2000). As a result, gold, which is expected to hedge against stock market declines, may co-move with stocks (Kopyl and Lee, 2016).

### 2.2 Gold as a Safe Haven

According to Ji et al. (2020), in order for an asset to be classified as a safe haven asset, the asset needs to maintain or increase its value during times of market declines. This being so, a weak (strong) safe haven asset is an asset that is uncorrelated (negatively correlated) with

another asset or portfolio during periods of market declines or crises (Baur and Lucey, 2010). Although a safe haven asset is negatively correlated or uncorrelated with another asset or portfolio during market declines, it is possible that, on average or during normal market conditions, the safe haven may be positively correlated with the asset or portfolio (Hood and Malik, 2013). There is evidence that gold serves as a safe haven for changes in economic variables, including: changes in oil prices (Reboredo, 2013a; Selmi et al., 2018) and movements in currencies (Iqbal, 2017; Reboredo, 2013b). For investors, gold has been documented as a safe haven against adverse changes in the stock market (Chkili, 2017; Shahzad et al., 2020).

The main difference between a hedge and a safe haven lies in the length of the correlation. Specifically, a hedge is negatively correlated or uncorrelated on average, but a safe haven is negatively correlated or uncorrelated during times of falling market conditions (Baur and McDermott, 2010). A differentiation between the weak and strong properties of hedges and safe havens is also important. A hedge or safe haven is strong when it is negatively correlated with the other asset or portfolio (Baur and McDermott, 2010). As such, a strong hedge or safe haven displays positive returns when the return on the other asset declines.

### **2.3 Review of Empirical Studies**

In recent years, the role of gold as a safe haven and hedge against stock market declines has been vastly studied. The role of gold as a hedge and safe haven against the stock market has been investigated for investors in Europe (Coudert and Raymond, 2011; Shahzad et al., 2020), America (Hood and Malik, 2013; Lucey and Li, 2015), Asia (Aftab et al., 2019; Ghazali et al., 2013), Australia (Baur and McDermott, 2010; Beckmann et al., 2015), and Africa (Adewuyi et al., 2019; Seetharam and Bodington, 2015). The role of gold as a hedge and safe haven for Islamic equity markets has also been documented by Ghazali et al. (2015) and Chkili (2017). One of the first studies to examine the role of gold as a hedge against the stock market was conducted by Baur and Lucey (2010). Baur and Lucey (2010) employ asymmetric GARCH models to analyse data on stock and gold prices from November 1995 to November 2005. The results of Baur and Lucey (2010) reveal that on average, gold serves as a hedge for stocks trading in the United Kingdom and the United States, but not in Germany. Baur and Lucey (2010) also found evidence that gold is a stronger hedge against stocks during bear market conditions relative to bull market conditions.

Baur and McDermott (2010) used GARCH (1,1) models to analyse a sample of developed and emerging stock markets from 1979 to 2009. Baur and McDermott (2010) report that gold acts as both a hedge and a safe haven against stocks trading in the United States and major European stock markets; however, gold is neither a hedge nor safe haven for stocks in Canada, Japan, Australia, and emerging BRIC countries. Baur and McDermott (2010) also discover that gold serves as a strong safe haven for most developed stock markets during times of market crises. Similarly, Coudert and Raymond (2011) employ the ARMA-GARCH model and document that gold acts as a safe haven against stocks from the United States, the United Kingdom, Germany, France, and G7 countries, even during crisis periods. Additionally, Coudert and Raymond (2011) discover that gold is a hedge for losses in most of the surveyed stock markets, but the results are less clear cut.

Ibrahim and Baharom (2011) examine the Malaysian market using EGARCH models and find evidence that, from August 2001 to November 2005, gold serves as a hedge and safe haven against stock market losses. However, from December 2005 to March 2010, gold is neither a safe haven nor a hedge. Ibrahim (2012) also examines the Malaysian market using GARCH-

type models and reports that gold serves as an effective hedge against the stock market during times of stock market declines. Nguyen et al. (2016) employ various copula techniques and find that, during times of markets crashes, gold serves as a safe haven for stocks in Malaysia, Thailand, Singapore, the United States, and the United Kingdom, but not for stocks in Philippines, Japan, and Indonesia. Notably, by using probit and quantile regression models, Dee et al. (2013) find that, for Chinese investors, gold is an effective hedge against the stock market but only for long-term investments and not for short-term investments. Dar and Maitra (2017) report that gold is a weak hedge and a weak safe for investors in the major gold-consuming countries, that is, China, India and the United States. More recently, Thampanya et al. (2020) examined the Thai market from 2000 to 2019 using the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) framework, and discovered that gold does not act as a hedge against the stock market. Ghazali et al. (2020) find that gold hedges for stocks in the United States, China, and India, but not in Malaysia and the United Kingdom. Additionally, Ghazali et al. (2020) report that gold is a strong safe haven during periods of market turmoil for stocks in India, the United Kingdom, and the United States but not for stocks in Malaysia.

With regards to studies that have included African countries in their samples, Gürgün and Ünalımsı (2014) examine the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven in several developed and emerging countries by applying GARCH models. The African markets included in their sample were Egypt, Kenya, Morocco, and South Africa. When the price of gold and equities is quoted in their domestic currencies, Gürgün and Ünalımsı (2014) find that, for the full sample period, gold is a weak hedge in Morocco. Additionally, Gürgün and Ünalımsı (2014) find that gold is a safe haven during extreme market declines for Egypt and Kenya. Gürgün and Ünalımsı (2014) also find that gold was a weak hedge during the global financial crisis for Morocco and a weak safe haven for Kenya. However, when gold and equity prices are quoted in the U.S. dollar, Gürgün and Ünalımsı (2014) find that gold is a strong safe haven for Egypt and a weak safe haven for Kenya, Morocco, and South Africa. Additionally, when gold and equity prices are quoted in the U.S. dollar, gold is found to be a strong hedge for Morocco and a strong hedge for Egypt during the global financial crisis. Overall, Gürgün and Ünalımsı (2014) conclude that gold is not a hedge for equity investments in South Africa.

Beckmann et al. (2015) employed smooth transition regressions (STR) and report that, from January 1970 to March 2012, gold is a strong hedge against the stock markets in Russia, Turkey, and Indonesia, and a weak hedge for stock markets in Australia, Canada, Egypt, France, India, Italy, Japan, Korea, South Africa, Switzerland, and the United States. Interestingly, Seetharam and Bodington (2015) find that, for South African investors, gold provides a hedge against local equities but not foreign equities. However, Seetharam and Bodington (2015) report that, during the 2008-2009 global financial crisis, gold acts as a safe haven for both local and international equities. Bekiros et al. (2017) employ GARCH models and discover that gold does not act as a hedge or safe haven for stocks in BRICS countries. Wen and Cheng (2018) employ copula functions to analyse the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven for stock in emerging markets (South Africa, Chile, Brazil, Czech Republic, Thailand, Malaysia, India, Russia, and China). The results of Wen and Cheng (2018) reveal that gold is a safe haven for stock in emerging markets; however, the ability of gold to serve as a hedge weakened during the 2008-2009 global financial crisis. Remarkably, Adewuyi et al. (2019) employ VARMA-BEKK-AGARCH and quantile regression models to analyse the Nigerian and South African markets, and report that gold is an effective hedge against the stock market in Nigeria but not in South Africa. Naeem et al. (2022) report that gold is the strongest safe haven and hedge for African equity markets relative to platinum and palladium; however, the study did not consider the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Regarding the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven during the COVID-19 pandemic, Ji et al. (2020) assess the tail stability of the return sequences and discover that, during the COVID-19 pandemic, gold serves as safe havens for stock markets in China, Europe, and the United States. Similarly, AlAli (2020) estimated OLS regressions and reports that gold acts as safe havens for the United States, China, Tokyo, Germany, Australia, and United Kingdom stock markets. On the contrary, Kumar (2020) employed GARCH-type models and finds that the COVID-19 pandemic has compromised the safe haven status of gold for equity markets in India, China, United States, and France. Likewise, Cheema et al. (2020) apply GARCH-type models and report that, even though gold was a safe haven during the 2008-2009 global financial crisis, gold loses its safe haven status during the COVID-19 pandemic for the stock markets in Brazil, China, Canada, Germany, France, India, Japan, United Kingdom, Italy, and the United States. Interestingly, Akhtaruzzaman et al. (2020) examine stock market indices in the United States, Europe, Tokyo, and China using GARCH-type models and report that gold serves as a safe haven only in the initial stages of COVID-19 and, thereafter, loses its safe haven properties when governments intervene with fiscal and monetary stimulus policies to combat the pandemic. Additionally, Akhtaruzzaman et al. (2020) find evidence that, during the COVID-19 crisis, gold is an effective hedge for portfolios in the surveyed markets. Moreover, Nkrumah-Boadu et al. (2022) report that gold provides safe haven and hedging benefits to African stock market investors, especially in Ghana, during the COVID-19 pandemic; however, their study is limited to four African stock markets. On the contrary, using a DCC-GARCH framework, Omane-Adjepong and Alagidede (2021) finds that gold offers no sanctuary for emerging African stock markets during the COVID-19 pandemic.

It is evident from the survey of existing literature that research on the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven, especially during periods of increase market stress, has provided mixed results. Moreover, research on the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven in African markets is limited. Therefore, this study fills a gap in the existing literature by examining the role of gold as a hedge and safe haven for African stock markets during times of market turmoil, specifically, the COVID-19 pandemic.

### 3. DATA

This study surveys 16 African equity markets which includes stock markets in Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Mauritius, Morocco, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Tunisia, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Each country's stock market is represented by its broad market index, as described in Table 1. Daily data on the stock market indices and the spot price of gold are obtained from EquityRT. Returns for the stock market indices and gold are computed as the natural logarithm of the ratio of the current and previous prices multiplied by 100, that is,  $R_t = \ln(P_t/P_{t-1}) \times 100$ . It is noteworthy that each African country reported their first case of COVID-19 on different dates, therefore, the sample period for this study commences on the date that the WHO declared COVID-19 to be a global pandemic, that is, 11 March 2020. The sample period for this study ends on 10 March 2022.

**Table 1. African Stock Markets Surveyed in the Study**

| No. | Country       | Stock Exchange             | Broad Market Index                 |
|-----|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1   | Botswana      | Botswana Stock Exchange    | Botswana Domestic Company Index    |
| 2   | Côte d'Ivoire | Ivory Coast Stock Exchange | Ivory Coast BRVM - Composite Index |
| 3   | Ghana         | Ghana Stock Exchange       | Ghana Composite Index              |

| No. | Country      | Stock Exchange               | Broad Market Index             |
|-----|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4   | Kenya        | Nairobi Stock Exchange       | Nairobi All Share Index        |
| 5   | Malawi       | Malawi Stock Exchange        | Malawi All Share Index         |
| 6   | Mauritius    | Mauritius Stock Exchange     | Mauritius SEMDEX Index         |
| 7   | Morocco      | Casablanca Stock Exchange    | Casablanca All Share Index     |
| 8   | Namibia      | Namibian Stock Exchange      | Namibia FTSE JSE Overall Index |
| 9   | Nigeria      | Nigerian Stock Exchange      | NGX All Share Index            |
| 10  | Rwanda       | Rwanda Stock Exchange        | Rwanda All Share Index         |
| 11  | South Africa | Johannesburg Stock Exchange  | FTSE/JSE All Share Index       |
| 12  | Tanzania     | Dar es Salaam Stock Exchange | Tanzania All Share Index       |
| 13  | Tunisia      | Tunisia Stock Exchange       | Tunis TUNINDEX                 |
| 14  | Uganda       | Uganda Securities Exchange   | Uganda ALSI Index              |
| 15  | Zambia       | Lusaka Stock Exchange        | Zambia All Share Index         |
| 16  | Zimbabwe     | Zimbabwe Stock Exchange      | Zimbabwe All Share Index       |

Source: Authors' own work

#### 4. METHODOLOGY

The key step to identifying the optimal methodological approach for this study is to define a hedge and a safe haven. Several definitions exist in current research; however, the majority of studies agree that a strong (weak) hedge is an asset that is negatively correlated (uncorrelated) with another asset on average whilst a strong (weak) safe haven is negatively correlated (uncorrelated) with another asset in durations of market stress (Bouri et al., 2017; Chemkha et al., 2021; Madani and Ftiti, 2022). This study adopts a two-state Markov Regime-Switching approach, introduced by Hamilton (1989), which distinguishes between bull and bear market regimes based on the return distribution. The bear market regime is associated with periods of high volatility and, thus, market stress (Kunjai et al., 2021). Therefore, gold would need to be negatively correlated (uncorrelated) with the stock market in the bear regime in order to be considered a strong (weak) safe haven. On the contrary, the bull market regime is associated with periods of low volatility. Thus, if gold is negatively correlated (uncorrelated) with the stock market in the bull regime, it would be regarded as a strong (weak) hedge.

The univariate regression used to examine the association between gold and stock markets is adapted from the seminal work of Baur and McDermott (2010) as follows:

$$R_{Gold,t} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 R_{Stock,t} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

In Equation (1), the dependent variable,  $R_{Gold,t}$ , is the return on gold on day  $t$  while the independent variable,  $R_{Stock,t}$ , is the return on the stock market index on day  $t$ . Additionally,  $\alpha_0$  is a constant term,  $\beta_1$  captures the influence of the independent variable, and  $\varepsilon_t$  is the error term. However, the Markov Regime-Switching model assumes that all variables in the model are dependent on the regime and, as a result, Equation (1) is modified into the following Markov Regime-Switching equation:

$$R_{Gold,t} = \alpha_{St} + \beta_{St} R_{Stock,t} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha_{St}$  is a state-dependent intercept and  $\beta_{St}$  is a state-dependent slope coefficient, whilst  $\epsilon_t$  is the error term which is normally and independently distributed. Given that there are two states in this analysis, the parameter estimates are  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  in State 1 and  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$  in State 2. In order to identify the bull and bear regimes, the state-dependent standard deviations ( $\sigma_{St}$ ) need to be examined. In particular, the state with the higher standard deviation would be regarded as having higher volatility, and, thus, the bear regime. A negative and significant (insignificant)  $\beta_{St}$  in the bull market would suggest that gold is a strong (weak) hedge, whilst a negative and significant (insignificant)  $\beta_{St}$  in the bear market would suggest that gold is a strong (weak) safe haven.

The unobserved state variable,  $St$ , is based on a first-order Markov-switching process which assumes that the probability of moving from Regime  $m$  in period  $t - 1$  to Regime  $n$  in period  $t$  is as follows:

$$\text{prob}(S_t = n | S_{t-1} = m) = p_{m,n} \quad (3)$$

The average duration of Regime  $m$  is computed using the formula below:

$$D = \frac{1}{1 - p_{m,m}} \quad (4)$$

When  $m$  or  $n$  is equal to 1 or 2, the transition matrix is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prob}(S_t = 1 | S_{t-1} = 1) &= p \\ \text{prob}(S_t = 2 | S_{t-1} = 1) &= 1 - p \\ \text{prob}(S_t = 2 | S_{t-1} = 2) &= q \\ \text{prob}(S_t = 1 | S_{t-1} = 2) &= 1 - q \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are fixed transition probabilities of being in Regime 1 or Regime 2.

The Markov Regime-Switching model presented in Equation (2) is estimated for each of the 16 African stock markets outlined in Table 1.

## 5. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

Prior to the estimation of the Markov Regime-Switching models, the stationarity of the series was confirmed.

### 5.1 Identification of Regimes

In order to identify the regime that represents periods of market stress or high market volatility, it is important to differentiate between the bull and bear market regimes based on their state-dependent standard deviations. The implied standard deviation for each regime is presented in Table 2. The regime with the higher standard deviation is associated with periods of high market volatility and, therefore, represents the bear market regime. On the contrary, the regime with the lower standard deviation is associated with periods of low market volatility and, subsequently, represents the bull market regime. For each country, Table 2 aligns the respective state-dependent standard deviation with the associated market regime (that is, bull or bear) which is needed to proceed with the analysis of the Markov Regime-Switching models.

**Table 2. Identification of Regimes**

| Country       | Regime 1 |                  | Regime 2 |                  |
|---------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|
|               | Std. Dev | Market Condition | Std. Dev | Market Condition |
| Botswana      | 5.0923   | Bear             | 1.5752   | Bull             |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 1.5663   | Bull             | 5.6624   | Bear             |
| Ghana         | 1.5978   | Bull             | 5.6114   | Bear             |
| Kenya         | 5.7644   | Bear             | 1.5759   | Bull             |
| Malawi        | 5.7351   | Bear             | 0.9517   | Bull             |
| Mauritius     | 1.5953   | Bull             | 6.6417   | Bear             |
| Morocco       | 1.5115   | Bull             | 4.3939   | Bear             |
| Namibia       | 4.2062   | Bear             | 1.4883   | Bull             |
| Nigeria       | 5.5992   | Bear             | 1.5923   | Bull             |
| Rwanda        | 5.6148   | Bear             | 1.5878   | Bull             |
| South Africa  | 3.7024   | Bear             | 1.4328   | Bull             |
| Tanzania      | 1.5377   | Bull             | 4.8183   | Bear             |
| Tunisia       | 1.5918   | Bull             | 5.8562   | Bear             |
| Uganda        | 5.9407   | Bear             | 1.5839   | Bull             |
| Zambia        | 5.5753   | Bear             | 1.5757   | Bull             |
| Zimbabwe      | 1.5674   | Bull             | 5.6672   | Bear             |

Source: Authors' own work

## 5.2 Transition Probabilities and Durations

The first-order Markov-switching process used in this study assists with the computation of probabilities for transitioning across different market regimes. The benefit of this computation is that interested participants are able to determine the likelihood of a particular state or regime and its respective duration. Table 3 provides the Markov Regime-Switching transition probabilities, whilst its associated durations are provided in Table 4. For each country, there is a higher probability of being in a bull regime, with the probability of transitioning from a bull regime to bull regime equal to approximately 0.99 for all countries. Based on the results, Ghana has the highest probability of transitioning from bull to bull (0.9952). Moreover, the probabilities of transitioning from bear market conditions to bull market conditions is higher than transitioning from bull market conditions to bear market conditions. For instance, Mauritius displays the highest probability of transitioning from bear to bull (0.1319), which exceeds its likelihood of transitioning from bull to bear (0.0062). Together, the results of the transition probabilities imply that markets are more likely to be in bull regimes, that is, periods of low volatility. It is noteworthy that the markets stay in bull regimes at least 6 times longer than bear regimes based on the estimated durations in Table 4. Remarkably, the expected duration of a bull regime (155.1034 days) exceeds the expected duration of a bear regime (8.3693 days) more than 18 times for Uganda. These durations suggest that the markets are characterised by bullish conditions, on average, thereby, supporting the evaluation of bull regimes to identify any possible hedges, since hedging assesses asset correlations on average. Overall, the estimated transition probabilities and duration suggest that periods of low market volatility were more prominent in African markets during the COVID-19 pandemic relative to high market volatility.

**Table 3. Markov Regime-Switching Transition Probabilities**

| Country       | <i>Prob</i><br>(Bull, Bull) | <i>Prob</i><br>(Bull, Bear) | <i>Prob</i><br>(Bear, Bull) | <i>Prob</i><br>(Bear, Bear) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Botswana      | 0.9946                      | 0.0054                      | 0.0435                      | 0.9565                      |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 0.9945                      | 0.0055                      | 0.0506                      | 0.9494                      |
| Ghana         | 0.9952                      | 0.0048                      | 0.0464                      | 0.9536                      |
| Kenya         | 0.9947                      | 0.0053                      | 0.0523                      | 0.9477                      |
| Malawi        | 0.9946                      | 0.0054                      | 0.0496                      | 0.9504                      |
| Mauritius     | 0.9938                      | 0.0062                      | 0.1319                      | 0.8681                      |
| Morocco       | 0.9873                      | 0.0127                      | 0.0759                      | 0.9241                      |
| Namibia       | 0.9892                      | 0.0108                      | 0.0797                      | 0.9203                      |
| Nigeria       | 0.9947                      | 0.0053                      | 0.0467                      | 0.9533                      |
| Rwanda        | 0.9951                      | 0.0050                      | 0.0432                      | 0.9568                      |
| South Africa  | 0.9845                      | 0.0155                      | 0.0928                      | 0.9072                      |
| Tanzania      | 0.9927                      | 0.0073                      | 0.0548                      | 0.9452                      |
| Tunisia       | 0.9949                      | 0.0051                      | 0.0527                      | 0.9473                      |
| Uganda        | 0.9936                      | 0.0064                      | 0.1195                      | 0.8805                      |
| Zambia        | 0.9947                      | 0.0053                      | 0.0512                      | 0.9488                      |
| Zimbabwe      | 0.9941                      | 0.0059                      | 0.0518                      | 0.9482                      |

*Source:* Authors' own work.

**Table 4. Markov Regime-Switching Durations**

| Country       | Bull     | Bear    |
|---------------|----------|---------|
| Botswana      | 185.0268 | 22.9762 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 183.0751 | 19.7495 |
| Ghana         | 207.7952 | 21.5387 |
| Kenya         | 187.4183 | 19.1163 |
| Malawi        | 183.6830 | 20.1623 |
| Mauritius     | 160.8661 | 7.5826  |
| Morocco       | 78.7418  | 13.1686 |
| Namibia       | 92.2677  | 12.5409 |
| Nigeria       | 188.8838 | 21.4260 |
| Rwanda        | 202.0381 | 23.1448 |
| South Africa  | 64.6786  | 10.7769 |
| Tanzania      | 137.3257 | 18.2524 |
| Tunisia       | 194.6627 | 18.9689 |
| Uganda        | 155.1034 | 8.3693  |
| Zambia        | 187.9069 | 19.5260 |
| Zimbabwe      | 170.7031 | 19.2961 |

*Source:* Authors' own work

### 5.3 Results for the Bull Regime

The parameter estimates for the bull regimes that are needed to evaluate the role of gold as a hedge are provided in Table 5. The results show that there exist negative relationships

between gold returns and returns of stock markets in Malawi, Morocco, Nigeria, Tunisia, and Zambia. Given that these negative relationships are not statistically significant, this finding implies that gold serves as a weak hedge against stock movements in Malawi, Morocco, Nigeria, Tunisia, and Zambia. On the contrary, gold does not serve as a hedge against stock market movements in the remaining African countries. Remarkably, the results suggest that there are significant co-movements between the returns on gold and the returns on the South African and Tanzanian stock markets. These results are discussed further in Section 6.

**Table 5. Markov Regime-Switching Parameter Estimates for the Bull Regime**

| Country       | Coeff.    | Std. Error | Z-statistic | Prob.  |
|---------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Botswana      | 0.5904    | 0.4888     | 1.2078      | 0.2271 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 0.0594    | 0.1084     | 0.5475      | 0.5840 |
| Ghana         | 0.0400    | 0.0809     | 0.4943      | 0.6211 |
| Kenya         | 0.0565    | 0.0827     | 0.6833      | 0.4944 |
| Malawi        | -0.0495   | 0.1507     | -0.3286     | 0.7424 |
| Mauritius     | 0.0848    | 0.0970     | 0.8742      | 0.3820 |
| Morocco       | -0.0361   | 0.1453     | -0.2484     | 0.8038 |
| Namibia       | 0.0049    | 0.0101     | 0.4838      | 0.6285 |
| Nigeria       | -0.0684   | 0.0969     | -0.7063     | 0.4800 |
| Rwanda        | 0.9226    | 0.6749     | 1.3669      | 0.1717 |
| South Africa  | 0.1611**  | 0.0714     | 2.2575      | 0.0240 |
| Tanzania      | 0.2253*** | 0.1258     | 1.7909      | 0.0733 |
| Tunisia       | -0.1134   | 0.1878     | -0.6039     | 0.5459 |
| Uganda        | 0.0108    | 0.0760     | 0.1425      | 0.8866 |
| Zambia        | -0.0872   | 0.0978     | -0.8916     | 0.3726 |
| Zimbabwe      | 0.0084    | 0.0320     | 0.2634      | 0.7923 |

Notes: \*, \*\*, \*\*\* denotes significance at a 1%, 5%, and 10% level of significance, respectively.

Source: Authors' own work

#### 5.4 Results for the Bear Regime

The coefficient estimates for the bear regimes which are needed to evaluate the role of gold as a safe haven are provided in Table 6. There are several differences in the results obtained for the bear markets, which is consistent with the findings of Baur and Lucey (2010) who report that the role of gold varies in bull and in bear markets. For instance, the stock market in Tanzania exhibits a significant, positive relationship with gold in the bull market but a significantly negative relationship in the bear market. Therefore, whilst gold co-moves with the Tanzanian stock market in bullish market conditions, gold serves as a strong safe haven during periods of bearish market conditions. Overall, gold exhibits negative relationships with stock markets in Botswana, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritius, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. However, these negative relationships are only statistically significant for Botswana and Tanzania. This implies that gold serves as a strong safe haven against stock movements in Botswana and Tanzania but a weak safe haven in Ghana, Kenya, Mauritius, Rwanda, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. Similar to the bull market, gold display significant co-movements with the South African stock market in the bear market. Additionally, gold exhibits significant, positive relationships with the stock market in Morocco and Namibia. These results are discussed further in Section 6.

**Table 6. Markov Regime-Switching Parameter Estimates for the Bear Regime**

| Country       | Coeff.    | Std. Error | Z-statistic | Prob.  |
|---------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Botswana      | -9.9068** | 4.1929     | -2.3627     | 0.0181 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 0.3542    | 1.6107     | 0.2199      | 0.8259 |
| Ghana         | -2.6907   | 1.7527     | -1.5352     | 0.1247 |
| Kenya         | -0.1763   | 0.5176     | -0.3406     | 0.7334 |
| Malawi        | 2.6838    | 3.4837     | 0.7704      | 0.4411 |
| Mauritius     | -0.4283   | 0.4241     | -1.0099     | 0.3125 |
| Morocco       | 0.8822*   | 0.2957     | 2.9830      | 0.0029 |
| Namibia       | 0.5754*   | 0.1473     | 3.9073      | 0.0001 |
| Nigeria       | 0.3413    | 0.6704     | 0.5091      | 0.6107 |
| Rwanda        | -34.2684  | 39.2755    | -0.8725     | 0.3829 |
| South Africa  | 0.8495*   | 0.1607     | 5.2869      | 0.0000 |
| Tanzania      | -1.7387*  | 0.6635     | -2.6205     | 0.0088 |
| Tunisia       | 0.4626    | 0.7680     | 0.6024      | 0.5469 |
| Uganda        | -0.0007   | 0.5310     | -0.0013     | 0.9990 |
| Zambia        | 1.8022    | 3.6255     | 0.4971      | 0.6191 |
| Zimbabwe      | -0.1150   | 0.4975     | -0.2311     | 0.8173 |

Notes: \*, \*\*, \*\*\* denotes significance at a 1%, 5%, and 10% level of significance, respectively.

Source: Authors' own work

## 6. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Although gold is generally perceived as an effective hedge or safe haven, the results of this study prove otherwise for African stock markets during the COVID-19 period. The results of this study highlight three important findings. Firstly, gold acts as a weak hedge against stock market movements in Malawi, Morocco, Nigeria, Tunisia, and Zambia. Similarly, Naeem et al. (2022) find that gold has hedging capabilities in Morocco. Contrary to Gürgün and Ünalmış (2014) who report that gold is a hedge for most emerging and developing stock markets, the findings of this study suggest that gold does not act as a hedge for most African stock markets, but rather its hedging capabilities are weak and limited to a few African stock markets. This finding implies that, on average, African stock market investors may not be able to offset their losses by investing in gold.

Secondly, gold acts as a strong safe haven against equity movements in Botswana and Tanzania, and a weak safe haven in Ghana, Kenya, Mauritius, Rwanda, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. This finding implies that domestic equity investors in these African countries can seek refuge in gold markets because they can minimise their losses by taking positions in gold markets. In Botswana and Tanzania, however, investors will be able to offset their losses and generate additional returns because a 1% decrease in the stock market is associated with a 9.91% and 1.74% increase in gold returns, respectively. Gold, however, does not provide safety protection on Africa's largest stock markets (South Africa and Nigeria). Similarly, Omane-Adjepong and Alagidede (2021) also report that gold does not provide safety nets in South Africa and Nigeria during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Thirdly, gold co-moves with stock markets in South Africa and Tanzania during bull markets and in South Africa, Morocco, and Namibia during bear markets. Bekiros et al. (2017) also

report that gold is neither a hedge nor a safe haven for the South African stock market. The inability of gold to provide protection for South African investors may be a result of the significant cross-market shock spill-over from the stock market to the gold market (Adewuyi et al., 2019). This finding is an important regulatory concern because volatility shocks are transmitted from gold markets to some African stock markets, and vice versa (Nkrumah-Boadu et al., 2022).

According to Baur and Lucey (2010), in theory, gold may exhibit a negative relationship with stock movements on average (in periods of market stress) but a positive relationship in periods of high volatility (low volatility). The results of this study agree with this notion. In particular, the results indicate that gold co-moves with the stock market during periods of low volatility but becomes a safe haven during periods of high volatility – as is the case in Tanzania. On the contrary, gold has hedging capabilities during periods of low volatility, but co-move with the stock market in periods of high volatility – as found for Morocco. Furthermore, gold does not serve as both a hedge and a safe haven in any of the African stock markets. The role of gold in African stock markets is summarised in Table 7.

**Table 7. Summary of the Role of Gold**

| Country       | Role of Gold      |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Botswana      | Strong Safe Haven |
| Côte d'Ivoire | -                 |
| Ghana         | Weak Safe Haven   |
| Kenya         | Weak Safe Haven   |
| Malawi        | Weak Hedge        |
| Mauritius     | Weak Safe Haven   |
| Morocco       | Weak Hedge        |
| Namibia       | -                 |
| Nigeria       | Weak Hedge        |
| Rwanda        | Weak Safe Haven   |
| South Africa  | -                 |
| Tanzania      | Strong Safe Haven |
| Tunisia       | Weak Hedge        |
| Uganda        | Weak Safe Haven   |
| Zambia        | Weak Hedge        |
| Zimbabwe      | Weak Safe Haven   |

Source: Authors' own work.

These findings have important implications for investors and policymakers. Ultimately, these findings indicate that the effectiveness of gold as a hedge or safe haven has deteriorated for African stock markets. In most cases, gold is a weak hedge or weak safe haven, with the exception of Botswana and Tanzania, where gold is a strong safe haven. As a result, investors in Botswana and Tanzania should include gold in their portfolios in order to achieve better performance during periods of increased market uncertainty and volatility. However, for investors in other African countries, adding gold to their portfolios will not necessarily offset their losses and optimise their portfolios. This inability of gold to serve as an effective hedge or safe haven may be due to the increasing financialisation of commodity markets, which has improved the accessibility to gold investments, thus causing gold to behave like stocks (Bekiros et al., 2017). Therefore, investors need to explore alternative assets which may provide safety protection on average or in periods of market stress – based on their investment objectives. These findings may inform policymakers and governments to regulate commodity

markets to enhance their hedging and safe haven capabilities or reduce their co-movement with stock markets.

## 7. CONCLUSION

Global crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, create volatility in financial markets causing investors to seek alternative investments to minimise their losses. Traditionally, investment in gold is a prominent risk management tool due its tendency to perform well during financial crises. However, the COVID-19 pandemic differs significantly from previous financial crises and, therefore, further research into the efficiency of gold is needed. Therefore, this study seeks to investigate the role of gold as a hedge or safe haven against stock movements in African markets during the COVID-19 pandemic. To achieve its objective, the study assesses stock markets in 16 African countries over a two-year period from 11 March 2020 to 10 March 2022. A two-state Markov Regime-Switching approach is employed in order to distinguish between bull and bear market regimes. The findings of this study suggest that gold serves as a weak hedge against stock movements in Malawi, Morocco, Nigeria, Tunisia, and Zambia. Gold also serves as a weak safe haven in Ghana, Kenya, Mauritius, Rwanda, Uganda, and Zimbabwe, but a strong safe haven in Botswana and Tanzania. Furthermore, the findings reveal that gold co-moves with the South African stock market regardless of the market regime. These findings imply that, on average, gold offers limited protection for African equity investors.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the editor and anonymous reviewers for their contributions towards the improvement of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Adewuyi, A.O., Awodumi, O.B., and Abodunde, T.T. (2019). Analysing the gold-stock nexus using VARMA-BEKK-AGARCH and Quantile regression models: New evidence from South Africa and Nigeria. *Resources Policy*, 61, 348-362.
- Aftab, M., Shah, S.Z.A., and Ismail, I. (2019). Does gold act as a hedge or a safe haven against equity and currency in Asia?. *Global Business Review*, 20(1), 105-118.
- Akhtaruzzaman, M., Boubaker, S., Lucey, B.M., and Sensoy, A. (2020). Is gold a hedge or safe haven asset during COVID-19 crisis? *Economic Modelling*, forthcoming. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3621358>
- AlAli, M.S. (2020). Safe haven assets: Are they still safe during COVID-19 pandemic period? *European Journal of Economic and Financial Research*, 4(1), 91-98.
- Alkhazali, O.M. and Zoubi, T.A. (2020). Gold and portfolio diversification: A stochastic dominance analysis of the Dow Jones Islamic indices. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 60, 101264.
- Areal, N., Oliveira, B., and Sampaio, R. (2015). When times get tough, gold is golden. *The European Journal of Finance*, 21(6), 507-526.
- Arouri, M.E.H., Lahiani, A., and Nguyen, D.K. (2015). World gold prices and stock returns in China: Insights for hedging and diversification strategies. *Economic Modelling*, 44, 273-282.
- Ashraf, B.N. (2020). Economic impact of government interventions during the COVID-19 pandemic: International evidence from financial markets. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 100371.

- Aye, G.C., Carcel, H., Gil-Alana, L.A., and Gupta, R. (2017). Does gold act as a hedge against inflation in the UK? Evidence from a fractional cointegration approach over 1257 to 2016. *Resources Policy*, 54, 53-57.
- Baele, L., Bekaert, G., Inghelbrecht, K., and Wei, M. (2020). Flights to safety. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 33(2), 689-746.
- Bahloul, S., Mroua, M., Naifar, N., and Naifar, N. (2022). Are Islamic indexes, Bitcoin and gold, still “safe-haven” assets during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis? *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 15(2), 372-385.
- Bampinas, G. and Panagiotidis, T. (2015). Are gold and silver a hedge against inflation? A two century perspective. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 41, 267-276.
- Baur, D.G. and Lucey, B.M. (2010). Is gold a hedge or a safe haven? An analysis of stocks, bonds and gold. *Financial Review*, 45(2), 217-229.
- Baur, D.G. and McDermott, T.K. (2010). Is gold a safe haven? International evidence. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34(8), 1886-1898.
- Beckmann, J., Berger, T., and Czudaj, R. (2015). Does gold act as a hedge or a safe haven for stocks? A smooth transition approach. *Economic Modelling*, 48, 16-24.
- Bekiros, S., Boubaker, S., Nguyen, D.K., and Uddin, G.S. (2017). Black swan events and safe havens: The role of gold in globally integrated emerging markets. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 73, 317-334.
- Boako, G. and Alagidede, P. (2018). African stock markets in the midst of the global financial crisis: Recoupling or decoupling? *Research in International Business and Finance*, 46, 166-180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2018.02.001>
- Boubaker, H., Cunado, J., Gil-Alana, L.A., and Gupta, R. (2020). Global crises and gold as a safe haven: Evidence from over seven and a half centuries of data. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 540, 123093.
- Bouri, E., Molnár, P., Azzi, G., Roubaud, D., and Hagfors, L.I. (2017). On the hedge and safe haven properties of Bitcoin: Is it really more than a diversifier? *Finance Research Letters*, 20, 192-198.
- Bouri, E., Shahzad, S.J.H., and Roubaud, D. (2020). Cryptocurrencies as hedges and safe-havens for US equity sectors. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 75, 294-307.
- Calvo, G.A. and Mendoza, E.G. (2000). Rational contagion and the globalization of securities markets. *Journal of International Economics*, 51(1), 79-113.
- Cheema, M.A., Faff, R.W., and Szulczuk, K. (2020). The 2008 global financial crisis and COVID-19 pandemic: How safe are the safe haven assets? *Covid Economics, Vetted and Real-Time Papers*, 34, 88-115.
- Chemkha, R., BenSaïda, A., Ghorbel, A., and Tayachi, T. (2021). Hedge and safe haven properties during COVID-19: Evidence from Bitcoin and gold. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 82, 71-85.
- Chen, C., Liu, L., and Zhao, N. (2020). Fear sentiment, uncertainty, and bitcoin price dynamics: The case of COVID-19. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56(10), 2298-2309.
- Chen, K. and Wang, M. (2019). Is gold a hedge and safe haven for stock market? *Applied Economics Letters*, 26(13), 1080-1086.
- Chkili, W. (2016). Dynamic correlations and hedging effectiveness between gold and stock markets: Evidence for BRICS countries. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 38, 22-34.
- Chkili, W. (2017). Is gold a hedge or safe haven for Islamic stock market movements? A Markov switching approach. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 42, 152-163.

- Chkili, W. (2022). The links between gold, oil prices and Islamic stock markets in a regime switching environment. *Eurasian Economic Review*, 12(1), 169-186.
- Conlon, T. and McGee, R. (2020). Safe haven or risky hazard? Bitcoin during the COVID-19 bear market. *Finance Research Letters*, 101607.
- Corbet, S., Larkin, C., and Lucey, B. (2020). The contagion effects of the covid-19 pandemic: Evidence from gold and cryptocurrencies. *Finance Research Letters*, 101554.
- Coudert, V. and Raymond, H. (2011). Gold and financial assets: are there any safe havens in bear markets. *Economics Bulletin*, 31(2), 1613-1622.
- Dar, A.B. and Maitra, D. (2017). Is gold a weak or strong hedge and safe haven against stocks? Robust evidences from three major gold-consuming countries. *Applied Economics*, 49(53), 5491-5503.
- Dee, J., Li, L. and Zheng, Z. (2013). Is gold a hedge or a safe haven? Evidence from inflation and stock market. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 2(1), 1-16.
- Ellsberg, D. (1961). Risk, ambiguity, and the savage axioms. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 75(4), 643-669.
- Emmrich, O. and McGroarty, F.J. (2013). Should gold be included in institutional investment portfolios?. *Applied Financial Economics*, 23(19), 1553-1565.
- Fernandes, N. (2020). Economic effects of coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19) on the world economy. Working paper, Available at SSRN 3557504. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3557504>
- Flavin, T.J., Morley, C.E., and Panopoulou, E. (2014). Identifying safe haven assets for equity investors through an analysis of the stability of shock transmission. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 33, 137-154.
- Frush, S. (2008). *Commodities demystified*. McGraw Hill Professional: New York.
- Ghazali, M.F., Lean, H.H., and Bahari, Z. (2013). Is gold a hedge or a safe haven? An empirical evidence of gold and stocks in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 14(3), 428-443.
- Ghazali, M.F., Lean, H.H., and Bahari, Z. (2015). Sharia compliant gold investment in Malaysia: Hedge or safe haven?. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 34, 192-204.
- Ghazali, M.F., Lean, H.H., and Bahari, Z. (2020). Does gold investment offer protection against stock market losses? Evidence from five countries. *The Singapore Economic Review*, 65(2), 275-301.
- Goodell, J.W. (2020). COVID-19 and finance: Agendas for future research. *Finance Research Letters*, 101512.
- Grise, C. and Nitschka, T. (2015). On financial risk and the safe haven characteristics of Swiss franc exchange rates. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 32, 153-164.
- Guha, B. and Bandyopadhyay, G. (2016). Gold price forecasting using ARIMA model. *Journal of Advanced Management Science*, 4(2), 117-121.
- Gürgün, G. and Ünalımsı, İ. (2014). Is gold a safe haven against equity market investment in emerging and developing countries?. *Finance Research Letters*, 11(4), 341-348.
- Hamilton, J.D. (1989). A new approach to the economic analysis of nonstationary time series and the business cycle. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 357-384.
- He, Z., O'Connor, F., and Thijssen, J. (2018). Is gold a Sometime Safe Haven or an Always Hedge for equity investors? A Markov-Switching CAPM approach for US and UK stock indices. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 60, 30-37.
- Hood, M. and Malik, F. (2013). Is gold the best hedge and a safe haven under changing stock market volatility?. *Review of Financial Economics*, 22(2), 47-52.
- Ibrahim, M.H. and Baharom, A.H. (2011). The role of gold in financial investment: A Malaysian perspective. *Economic Computation and Economic Cybernetics Studies and Research*, 45(4), 227-238.

- Ibrahim, M.H. (2012). Financial market risk and gold investment in an emerging market: The case of Malaysia. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 5(1), 25-34.
- Iqbal, J. (2017). Does gold hedge stock market, inflation and exchange rate risks? An econometric investigation. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 48, 1-17.
- Ji, Q., Zhang, D., and Zhao, Y. (2020). Searching for safe-haven assets during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 101526.
- Joy, M. (2011). Gold and the US dollar: Hedge or haven?. *Finance Research Letters*, 8(3), 120-131.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-291.
- Kopyl, K.A. and Lee, J.B.T. (2016). How safe are the safe haven assets?. *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management*, 30(4), 453-482.
- Kumar, A. (2020). Testing safe haven property of bitcoin and gold during COVID-19: Evidence from multivariate GARCH analysis. *Economics Bulletin*, 40(3), 2005-2015.
- Kunjal, D. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on stock market liquidity: Evidence from the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. *African Review of Economics and Finance*, 13(2), 104-123.
- Kunjal, D., Peerbhai, F., and Muzindutsi, P.F. (2021). The performance of South African exchange traded funds under changing market conditions. *Journal of Asset Management*, 22(5), 350-359.
- Laing, T. (2020). The economic impact of the Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-2019): Implications for the mining industry. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 7(2), 580-582.
- Li, L. and Miu, P. (2023). Are cryptocurrencies a safe haven for stock investors? A regime-switching approach. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 70, 367-385.
- Lucey, B.M. and Li, S. (2015). What precious metals act as safe havens, and when? Some US evidence. *Applied Economics Letters*, 22(1), 35-45.
- Madani, M.A. and Ftiti, Z. (2022). Is gold a hedge or safe haven against oil and currency market movements? A revisit using multifractal approach. *Annals of Operations Research*, 313(1), 367-400.
- Mazur, M., Dang, M., and Vega, M. (2020). COVID-19 and the march 2020 stock market crash. Evidence from S&P1500. *Finance Research Letters*, 101690.
- Morema, K. and Bonga-Bonga, L. (2020). The impact of oil and gold price fluctuations on the South African equity market: Volatility spillovers and financial policy implications. *Resources Policy*, 68, 101740.
- Naeem, M.A., Agyemang, A., Chowdhury, M.I.H., Hasan, M., and Shahzad, S.J.H. (2022). Precious metals as hedge and safe haven for African stock markets. *Resources Policy*, 78, 102781.
- Nguyen, C., Bhatti, M.I., Komorníková, M., and Komorník, J. (2016). Gold price and stock markets nexus under mixed-copulas. *Economic Modelling*, 58, 283-292.
- Nicola, M., Alsafi, Z., Sohrabi, C., Kerwan, A., Al-Jabir, A., Iosifidis, C., Agha, M., and Agha, R. (2020). The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus and COVID-19 pandemic: A review. *International Journal of Surgery*, 78, 185-193.
- Nkrumah-Boadu, B., Owusu Junior, P., Adam, A., and Asafo-Adjei, E. (2022). Safe haven, hedge and diversification for African stocks: cryptocurrencies versus gold in time-frequency perspective. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 10(1), 2114171.
- Omane-Adjepong, M. and Alagidede, I.P. (2021). Exploration of safe havens for Africa's stock markets: A test case under COVID-19 crisis. *Finance Research Letters*, 38, 101877.

- Ranaldo, A. and Söderlind, P. (2010). Safe haven currencies. *Review of Finance*, 14(3), 385-407.
- Reboredo, J.C. and Rivera-Castro, M.A. (2014). Can gold hedge and preserve value when the US dollar depreciates? *Economic Modelling*, 39, 168-173.
- Reboredo, J.C. (2013a). Is gold a hedge or safe haven against oil price movements? *Resources Policy*, 38(2), 130-137.
- Reboredo, J.C. (2013b). Is gold a safe haven or a hedge for the US dollar? Implications for risk management. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 37(8), 2665-2676.
- Seetharam, Y. and Bodington, L. (2015). Gold in the South African market: A safe haven or hedge. *Applied Economics Quarterly*, 61(4), 331-352.
- Selmi, R., Mensi, W., Hammoudeh, S., and Bouoiyour, J. (2018). Is Bitcoin a hedge, a safe haven or a diversifier for oil price movements? A comparison with gold. *Energy Economics*, 74, 787-801.
- Shahzad, S.J.H., Bouri, E., Roubaud, D., and Kristoufek, L. (2020). Safe haven, hedge and diversification for G7 stock markets: Gold versus bitcoin. *Economic Modelling*, 87, 212-224.
- Shakil, M.H., Tasnia, M., and Saiti, B. (2018). Is gold a hedge or a safe haven? An application of ARDL approach. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 23(44), 60-76.
- Sharma, R.K. (2016). Forecasting gold price with box jenkins autoregressive integrated moving average method. *Journal of International Economics*, 7(1), 32-61.
- Thampanya, N., Nasir, M.A., and Huynh, T.L.D. (2020). Asymmetric correlation and hedging effectiveness of gold and cryptocurrencies: From pre-industrial to the 4th industrial revolution. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 159, 120-195.
- Trück, S. and Liang, K. (2012). Modelling and forecasting volatility in the gold market. *International Journal of Banking and Finance*, 9(1), 48-80.
- Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1991). Loss aversion in riskless choice: A reference-dependent model. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(4), 1039-1061.
- Von Hagen, J., Schuknecht, L., and Wolswijk, G. (2011). Government bond risk premiums in the EU revisited: The impact of the financial crisis. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 27(1), 36-43.
- Wang, P., Zhang, W., Li, X., and Shen, D. (2019). Is cryptocurrency a hedge or a safe haven for international indices? A comprehensive and dynamic perspective. *Finance Research Letters*, 31, 1-18.
- Wen, X. and Cheng, H. (2018). Which is the safe haven for emerging stock markets, gold or the US dollar?. *Emerging Markets Review*, 35, 69-90.
- WHO. (2020). WHO director general's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020> [Accessed 20 March 2023].
- WHO. (2022). COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update – Edition 83. <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update-on-covid-19---15-march-2022> [Accessed 20 March 2023].