

Disparities in access to treatment in relation to quality of life in people diagnosed with Parkinson's disease in the South African healthcare setting

S Harris,¹ BA (Hons Psyc), MA (SPR) ; Z Katz,² MB BCh, FCN (SA) ; M Smith,³ MB ChB, FCN (SA) 
A Mochan,² FCP (SA) Neurology, FRCP (London) ; A Ferreira-Correia,¹ MA ClinPsyc, PhD 

¹ Department of Psychology, School of Human and Community Development, Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

² Division of Neurology, Department of Neurosciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

³ Wits Donald Gordon Medical Centre and Mediclinic Sandton, Johannesburg, South Africa

Corresponding author: A Ferreira-Correia (Aline.FerreiraCorreia@wits.ac.za)

Background. South Africa's divide between the public and private healthcare sectors has implications for healthcare access and quality of life (QoL) outcomes. This exploratory study aimed to identify the types of treatments used by people with Parkinson's disease (PWPd) in two different healthcare settings (public and private), and their perception of treatment satisfaction. Additionally, this study compared the QoL experienced between these healthcare settings, and investigated whether the number of treatments, internet access and income level were associated with QoL.

Methods. Cross-sectional questionnaires assessing demographic variables and QoL were administered to PWPd across two different healthcare settings in the city of Johannesburg (public $n=42$, private $n=38$).

Results. PWPd in the private healthcare setting had greater access to treatment options and better QoL outcomes than PWPd in the public healthcare group ($p \leq 0.05$), who relied almost exclusively on medication to treat PD symptoms. The QoL psychological domain was the lowest across both healthcare settings. No significant differences were observed in treatment satisfaction.

Conclusion. PWPd using the public healthcare setting had reduced access to PD treatments and poorer QoL than PWPd using private healthcare. The number of treatments, household income and internet access were strongly correlated with QoL outcomes.

Keywords: Parkinson's disease, quality of life, treatment access, household income, internet access, healthcare disparities

S Afr Med J 2025;115(3):e2297. <https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2025.v115i3.2297>

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative illness caused by the loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc).^[1] Characteristic PD motor symptoms include bradykinesia, tremor, postural instability and rigidity, as well as non-motor symptoms such as sensory abnormalities, autonomic dysfunction, behavioural changes, fatigue, and sleep, mood and psychiatric disturbances.^[2] Symptoms manifest differently in every person with PD (PWPd), and subsequently impact quality of life (QoL).^[2] Motor symptoms are managed primarily by pharmacotherapy, specifically levodopa, which is focused on the restoration of dopamine activity in the striatum.^[4] However, prolonged use of levodopa treatment can have adverse effects such as fluctuations in motor response, which impact on QoL.^[5a] While there are also medications available to treat non-motor symptoms, such as cognitive impairment, sleep disorders and depression, these treatments only provide symptomatic relief due to the incurable nature of PD.^[2] Adjunct therapies such as deep brain stimulation (DBS) surgery,^[6] physiotherapy,^[7] occupational therapy (OT),^[8] speech therapy,^[9] bright light therapy (BLT),^[10] psychiatric and psychological therapy,^[11] nutritional and dietary interventions,^[12] cannabidiol (CBD) therapy,^[13] traditional healing therapy^[6] and botulinum toxin therapy^[14] have all been used to manage motor and non-motor PD symptoms with the aim of improving QoL of PWPd.

The World Health Organization WHO Quality of Life Group^[15] defines QoL as 'an individual's perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live

and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns'. In PD, QoL has been linked to the progression and severity of both motor and non-motor symptoms.^[16] In South Africa (SA), QoL of PWPd is further threatened by exorbitant socioeconomic inequalities,^[17] characterised by deep disparities in access to basic needs, employment, quality education and adequate healthcare, which are mainly suffered by black African citizens living in rural areas.^[18] A striking divide in the quality of healthcare received exists between the minority of the population who can afford private health insurance, and the majority who cannot.^[19] Those who cannot afford private medical aid face greater barriers to receiving appropriate diagnosis, holistic treatment and adequate management. These discrepancies are further aggravated by other socioeconomic stresses and the progressive nature of the disease's chronicity and growing disability, such as the costs of chronic medication, travelling and specialised care.^[20] This significantly increases the economic burden for the patient, their family and society,^[6] while negatively affecting the QoL of PWPd and their families.

Another variable linked to QoL in PD is access to information about the disease and its management, as reduced or lack of access to information has been associated with delayed, incorrect, or absent diagnoses,^[21] which can potentially lead to poor management and accelerated decline. Communication technologies have enabled access to health education and services, and have the potential to redefine healthcare,^[22] however, inequalities regarding internet

access can limit or preclude connections between patients and web-based education sites as well as other support structures, such as communities of peers and telehealth services.^[23] Therefore, access to health-related information may be affected by the availability and capacity to use diverse communication technologies and the internet. With this background, our study aimed to (i) explore treatment access and QoL of PWPd who use public or private healthcare facilities; and (ii) investigate whether the number of treatments used by PWPd, internet access and income level are associated with QoL.

Methods

Data collection took place over 6 months (January 2022 - June 2022) in Johannesburg, SA, using purposive homogenous sampling.^[24] A total of 80 adult participants diagnosed with PD by a neurologist were recruited. Participants were receiving neurological care for PD in the private ($n=38$) or public ($n=42$) healthcare sectors, namely Sandton Mediclinic and Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital, respectively. Treating neurologists from these services informed PWPd of the research, who then either voluntarily consented or declined to be interviewed by the principal investigator of the study. Exclusion criteria included a history of traumatic brain injury or stroke, as well as active alcohol or drug use.

A demographic questionnaire was used to collect sociodemographic information, treatment modalities and internet access. QoL was assessed using the WHO Quality of Life instrument (WHOQOL-BREF) containing 26 5-point Likert items. This is the abbreviated version of the original 100-item instrument (WHOQOL), which is recommended for studies on patient-reported QoL when time is restricted due to the burden of a disease or disability, which should be minimised.^[15,25] These instruments were administered in one session of approximately 30 minutes. In the public healthcare setting, participants opted for face-to-face administrations of the questionnaires, while most participants in the private healthcare facility requested telephonic administrations of the questionnaires.

The Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) of the University of the Witwatersrand granted ethical clearance for the study (ref. no. M210934). Volunteers received an information sheet with full disclosure of the research aims and their rights, as well as access to the results upon completion of the study. The researchers honoured the Declaration of Helsinki^[26] and the Singapore Statement on Research Integrity.^[27]

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using SAS version 9.4 for Windows (Microsoft, USA), with a significance level of 5%. Descriptive statistics, including frequency, percentages, median and ranges, were used to summarise the data (overall and by group). The χ^2 test was used to assess the relationships between categorical variables and the healthcare sector, while Fisher's exact test was employed when the assumptions for the χ^2 test were not met. The relationship between continuous variables and healthcare sector was assessed by the independent samples t -test. If the data did not meet the assumptions for this test, the Wilcoxon rank sum test, a non-parametric alternative, was applied. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to explore the association between QoL scores and the number of treatments, household income and internet access, as the data did not meet the assumptions for a one-way ANOVA. Analysis of the proposed model for QoL as a function of the number of treatments, income and internet access was not possible because all three independent variables were highly correlated (Cramer's $V>0.50$) and could not be included in the same model. Given the sample size

of 80, with an effect size of $d=0.7$, only large effect sizes, if present, would be detectable.

Results

Table 1 presents a summary of the demographic data. Most (62.5%) participants were male, and the median age of all participants was 68 years. The large majority (92.1%) of PWPd in the private healthcare group were on a medical aid, whereas only one person in the public healthcare group had health insurance. The private ($n=38$) and public ($n=42$) healthcare sector groups were homogenous in terms of age, gender distribution, relationship status and employment, but they were significantly different in several demographic variables ($p<0.05$), i.e. home language, level of education, household income and access to medical aid and internet. Most participants in the public sector had <11 years of education, whereas the majority of the participants in the private sector had matric and above, with 44.74% having postgraduate education levels. Most (92%) participants in the private group earned >ZAR20 000 per month, as opposed to the majority (81%) of PWPd from the public group, who received monthly household incomes of $\leq$ ZAR3 500. Income disparities were so wide, in fact, that 42% of PWPd in the private group had household incomes of >ZAR50 000 per month, more than the large majority of PWPd in the public group received in a year. As anticipated, the public healthcare sector group had significantly lower access to internet and medical aid, when compared with the private healthcare group.

The groups were similar in terms of the variables that characterised disease duration, such as time since diagnosis and duration of symptoms before the diagnosis. Most of the participants had been diagnosed in the past 10 years and had received a diagnosis a few months after experiencing their first symptoms (Table 1).

Table 2 summarises a variety of treatments reported by our participants. It is unsurprising that no significant differences (>0.99) were found between the private and public healthcare group in terms of the use of medication, as it was the primary therapy for PD-related symptoms of the majority of the sample (98.75%). Only five (11.9%) PWPd from the public hospital listed adjunct therapies (physiotherapy $n=3$ and speech therapy $n=2$), whereas the majority of PWPd in the private group were using a wider range of options to treat and manage PD symptoms, such as physiotherapy ($n=20$), DBS ($n=12$) and mental health services ($n=6$). No participant in the study received light therapy or traditional healing therapy to manage their symptoms. Notably, the groups were homogenous in terms of the use of medication as a primary treatment, and the low use of adjunct services, such as speech therapy, OT and dietician. The main significant differences ($p<0.05$) between the private and public healthcare groups were their access to DBS, physiotherapy and mental health services, exposing a huge discrepancy in how symptoms are managed in both sectors.

Descriptive and comparative statistics (Wilcoxon rank sum test) revealed that the public healthcare sector group had significantly poorer QoL than the private health sector group across all domains ($p\leq0.05$) (Table 3). This indicates that the functional capacity and state of health of PWPd in the public sector is low in comparison to those who can afford healthcare in the private sector.

To investigate this further, a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to examine whether the number of treatments, income level and internet access were associated with QoL. As shown in Table 4, individuals who received ≥ 2 types of treatment had significantly higher median total QoL scores, as well as higher median scores in the social and environment domains. However, no significant relationship was found between the physical and psychological QoL domains and the number

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and comparisons between the private and public healthcare sectors for demographic and disease duration variables (N=80)

Characteristic	Overall, n (%) ^a	Private healthcare sector, n=38, n (%) ^a	Public healthcare sector, n=42, n (%) ^a	p-value
Demographic variable				
Age (years), median (IQR), range	68 (56 - 73), 24 - 84	70 (60 - 75), 45 - 84	64 (55 - 72), 24 - 79	0.097
Male	50 (62.5)	22 (57.98)	28 (66.67)	0.49
Home language				<0.0001
English	29 (36.25)	27 (71.05)	2 (4.76)	
Zulu	12 (15)	2 (5.26)	10 (23.81)	
Sotho	12 (15)	0 (0)	12 (28.57)	
Afrikaans	9 (11.25)	8 (21.05)	1 (2.38)	
Xhosa	3 (3.75)	0 (0)	3 (7.14)	
Other	15 (18.75)	1 (2.63)	14 (33.33)	
Relationship status				0.0085
Married/cohabiting	47 (58.75)	28 (73.68)	19 (45.24)	
Single/divorced/widowed	33 (41.25)	10 (26.32)	23 (54.76)	
Employed ^b	15 (18.75)	10 (26.32)	5 (11.90)	0.15
Highest level of education				<0.0001
Primary school	10 (12.5)	0 (0)	10 (23.81)	
High school (without matric)	28 (35)	2 (5.26)	26 (61.90)	
Matric	11 (13.75)	7 (18.42)	4 (9.52)	
Undergraduate qualification	14 (17.5)	12 (31.58)	2 (4.76)	
Postgraduate qualification	17 (21.25)	17 (44.74)	0 (0)	
Household income per month				<0.0001
<ZAR3 500	34 (42.5)	0 (0)	34 (80.95)	
ZAR3 500 - ZAR10 000	7 (8.75)	2 (5.26)	5 (11.90)	
ZAR10 000 - ZAR20 000	4 (5)	1 (2.63)	3 (7.14)	
ZAR20 000 - ZAR50 000	19 (23.75)	19 (50)	0 (0)	
> ZAR50 000	16 (20)	16 (42.11)	0 (0)	
Internet access	46 (57.5)	34 (89.47)	12 (28.57)	<0.0001
Medical aid	36 (45)	35 (92.11)	1 (2.38)	<0.0001
Disease duration variables				
Time since diagnosis				0.073
>15 years	9 (11.25)	3 (7.89)	6 (14.29)	
10 - 15 years	8 (10)	4 (10.53)	4 (9.52)	
In the last 10 years	53 (66.25)	29 (76.32)	24 (57.14)	
Very recently	10 (12.5)	2 (5.26)	8 (19.05)	
Duration of symptoms before diagnosis				0.03
A few months	46 (57.5)	17 (44.74)	29 (69.05)	
1 year	13 (16.25)	10 (26.32)	3 (7.14)	
≥2 years	21 (26.25)	11 (28.95)	10 (23.81)	

IQR = interquartile range.

^aUnless otherwise indicated.

^bEmployment includes self-employment, part-time and full-time employment.

Significant differences highlighted in bold.

of treatment types. Additionally, the median QoL scores varied significantly across income levels for all QoL measures. For both the total QoL and the social and environment domains, individuals earning ≥ZAR20 000 had higher median scores than those with lower incomes. In the physical and psychological domains, those earning ≥ZAR20 000 also had higher median scores than those earning ≤ZAR3 500. Furthermore, individuals with internet access had significantly higher median QoL scores across all measures, with the most pronounced difference observed in the environment subdomain.

This study aimed to examine the significant disparities between PWPd who use public v. private healthcare services. In brief, the results indicate that PWPd using public healthcare services in our

sample have less access to treatment and experience lower QoL, which seem to be driven by lack of treatment availability, and mostly by the detrimental effects of poverty.

Specifically, PWPd using the public healthcare system have significantly less access to diverse treatment options compared with participants in the private system, with most patients in the public sector relying primarily on medication to manage PD symptoms. Available evidence indicates that treatments beyond pharmacotherapy play a crucial role in significantly improving the functional capacity of individuals with PD.^[28] Interventions such as DBS,^[6] physiotherapy, OT^[7] and speech therapy^[9] have been shown to alleviate symptoms and enhance motor and cognitive

Table 2. Descriptive statistics and comparisons between the private and public healthcare sectors for Parkinson's disease treatment-related variables (N=80)

	Overall, n (%)	Private, n=38, n (%)	Public, n=42, n (%)	p-value
Treatment	79 (98.75)	38 (100)	41 (97.62)	>0.99
Medication	22 (27.5)	19 (50)	3 (7.14)	<0.0001
Physiotherapy	12 (15)	12 (31.58)	0 (0)	<0.0001
DBS	7 (8.75)	7 (18.42)	0 (0)	0.004
Psychiatry/psychotherapy	5 (6.25)	3 (7.89)	2 (4.76)	0.66
Speech therapy	4 (5)	4 (10.53)	0 (0)	0.047
Occupational therapy	2 (2.5)	2 (5.26)	0 (0)	0.22
Dietician	2 (2.5)	2 (5.26)	0 (0)	0.22
CBD therapy	7 (8.75)	7 (18.42)	0 (0)	0.004
Other*				
Treatments, n	49 (61.25)	10 (26.32)	39 (92.86)	<0.0001
1	14 (17.5)	13 (34.21)	1 (2.38)	
2	9 (11.25)	7 (18.42)	2 (4.76)	
3	8 (10)	8 (21.05)	0 (0)	
≥4				
Current treatment	49 (61.25)	10 (26.32)	39 (92.86)	<0.0001
Medication only	31 (38.75)	28 (73.68)	3 (7.14)	
Medication plus other treatment(s)				
Treatment satisfaction	38 (47.5)	15 (39.47)	23 (54.76)	0.12
Treatment works very well	23 (28.75)	15 (39.47)	8 (19.05)	
Treatment works well	17 (21.25)	8 (21.05)	9 (21.43)	
Treatment does not work as well as it used to	2 (2.5)	0 (0)	2 (4.76)	
Other				

DBS = deep brain stimulation; CBD = cannabidiol.

*Largely botulinum toxin therapy.

Significant differences highlighted in bold.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics and comparisons between the private and public healthcare sectors for quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF) total and domain scores (N=80)

Quality of life domains	Overall, median (IQR), range	Private, n=38, median (IQR)	Public, n=42, median (IQR)	p-value
Total	85 (75 - 101), 46 - 122	101 (90 - 111)	76 (66 - 84)	0.0016
Physical domain	63 (56 - 69), 25 - 88	69 (63 - 75)	56 (44 - 69)	0.0001
Psychological domain	50 (44 - 63), 19 - 81	63 (44 - 69)	44 (31 - 56)	<0.0001
Social relationships domain	69 (56 - 81), 19 - 100	81 (75 - 94)	69 (50 - 69)	<0.0001
Environment domain	69 (50 - 94), 19 - 100	94 (88 - 100)	50 (44 - 63)	<0.0001

IQR = interquartile range.

Significant differences highlighted in bold.

functioning. Additionally, mental healthcare (i.e. psychiatric and psychological services)^[11,29] have proven beneficial in addressing burdensome non-motor symptoms, further improving the QoL for PWPd. Lastly, botulinum toxin injections^[14] have been used to manage a myriad of localised symptoms. Together, these treatments underscore the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to managing PD and enhancing patient wellbeing. Nutritional interventions and CBD therapy^[6] are also available to those in the private sector, though their efficacy remains debated.^[30,31] No participants in our sample used traditional healing therapy to manage their symptoms, which is in contrast with other findings in the country and continent.^[32,33] This could be attributed to a sample bias, as we only included patients under neurological care.

Overall, our results reinforce the idea that discrepancies in treatment access are associated with income inequality,^[34] which is a marked and rising trend in sub-Saharan Africa,^[35] where multidisciplinary treatments are rare or completely unavailable in most government-run healthcare settings.^[33]

Unexpectedly, we found no significant differences in treatment satisfaction between the two groups. The majority of participants reported that their treatment works well (28.75%) or very well (47.5%). This contrasts with other evidence that reveals, first, lower treatment satisfaction in PWPd,^[36] and second, significant associations between treatment satisfaction in PD and QoL.^[37,38] A study conducted in SA suggests significant cultural variability in attitudes toward PD, which may influence perceptions of treatment efficacy,^[32] and could explain the misalignment with international literature.

Considering the established benefits of treatments such as DBS, physiotherapy and OT in alleviating PD symptoms,^[7,39,40] it is evident that PWPd in the public healthcare group are not receiving optimal care, as it is not aligned with the scientific literature that supports the use of different treatment modalities at different stages of the disease.^[41] This likely contributes to the significantly lower QoL across all domains observed in the public healthcare group when compared with the private sector.

Notably, significantly higher scores ($p \leq 0.0001$) in the environment domain of QoL were observed in the private healthcare group,

Table 4. Descriptive statistics and group comparisons for Quality of Life (WHOQOL-BREF) domains for public healthcare, private healthcare and total sample (N=80)

Variable	Quality of life domain, median (IQR)					
	Total	Physical	Psychological	Social relationships	Environment	
Treatments, n						
1	49	80 (68 - 89)	63 (56 - 69)	50 (38 - 63)	69 (56 - 75)	56 (44 - 69)
2	14	97 (81 - 109)	69 (56 - 75)	60 (44 - 69)	75 (69 - 81)	94 (81 - 100)
≥3	17	97 (87 - 04)	63 (56 - 69)	56 (44 - 69)	75 (69 - 81)	94 (88 - 100)
p-value	0.001	0.57	0.27	0.017	<0.0001	
Income						
<ZAR3 500	34	75 (66 - 81)	56 (44 - 69)	44 (31 - 56)	69 (56 - 69)	50 (44 - 60)
ZAR3 500 - ZAR20 000	11	84 (68 - 90)	63 (56 - 69)	50 (31 - 63)	69 (44 - 81)	63 (50 - 81)
ZAR20 000 - 50 000	19	102 (94 - 109)	63 (56 - 75)	63 (50 - 69)	81 (75 - 94)	94 (88 - 100)
>ZAR50 000	16	103 (87 - 114)	75 (63 - 81)	60 (44 - 75)	78 (69 - 94)	94 (88 - 100)
p-value	<0.0001	0.0030	0.0004	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Access to internet						
Yes	49	97 (81 - 104)	66 (56 - 75)	56 (44 - 69)	75 (69 - 81)	88 (75 - 100)
No	14	77 (67 - 85)	56 (44 - 69)	44 (31 - 56)	69 (56 - 75)	53 (44 - 63)
p-value	<0.0001	0.0097	0.0032	0.0038	<0.0001	

IQR = Interquartile range.

Significant differences highlighted in bold.

reflecting greater financial resources, better living conditions, greater access to healthcare services, transportation, and educational opportunities, and increased participation in leisure activities. This shows how poverty and inequality are intrinsically linked to QoL and healthcare access,^[42] a reality with an underlying racialised nature in SA^[43] and globally.^[44] Both groups, however, faced significant challenges in the psychological domain of QoL, which includes aspects such as emotional wellbeing, self-esteem, body image and spirituality. PWPD commonly experience depression, anxiety, stress and apathy, which severely affect QoL.^[45-47] Despite the profound impact of these non-motor symptoms, they are often left untreated, as evidenced by our sample, where only 7.5% of participants accessed psychiatric or psychological services. Addressing mood disorders is essential for improving QoL in PD.^[9] However, mental health services remain scarce and underfunded in SA, making them inaccessible to most PWPD,^[48] which likely contributes to the low QoL scores in this domain.

Our analysis revealed significant correlations ($p \leq 0.0001$) between income, internet access and all QoL scores, further supporting the notion that household income is a predictor of access to treatment for PD.^[34] In contrast, the number of treatments utilised by participants showed a significant correlation with the total QoL score, and with the social relationship and environment domains. Although our data were insufficient to disentangle the relationship between number of treatments and QoL, it may illustrate not only the impact of poverty on treatment access and overall QoL,^[34] but also the financial burden of PD on patients and their families not living in poverty, which exacerbates disparities in healthcare engagement.^[33]

Participants in our study had diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, with stark differences in household income between those in the public and private healthcare sectors. Most participants in the public sector reported a monthly household income of <ZAR3 500, a sum largely allocated to food expenses, making out-of-pocket medical expenses impossible because the coverage of basic needs is already at a bare minimum.^[49] Moreover, the absence of free multidisciplinary services in both urban and rural areas is a critical shortcoming in the SA healthcare system, as well as in other parts of sub-Saharan Africa,^[33,50] which directly contributes to the low QoL

observed in PWPD,^[44] but could mitigate the effects that poverty has on healthcare access and general wellbeing for the majority of the SA population.^[50] In short, our study highlights the urgent need to address the intricate impact that socioeconomic factors such as poverty have on QoL and treatment availability for PWPD in SA.

Conducting research on PD in the African context presents considerable challenges, with several limitations arising from constrained resources.^[51] In our study, the sample size was inadequate to disentangle the relationships between specific demographic and treatment variables and QoL. The use of two different administration modalities may have introduced unintended variability, but we believe the primary limitation was that all data collection was conducted in English, a language that was not the first language of all participants. This may have influenced how participants understood and responded to the assessment protocol.^[52] Future research should strive for a more representative sample of the SA PD population, incorporating a wider range of linguistic and sociocultural diversity. Furthermore, it should offer a more nuanced examination of treatment protocols and the subjective experiences of PWPD, particularly focusing on QoL and treatment satisfaction.

Conclusion

PWPD using the public healthcare sector had reduced access to PD treatment and poorer QoL than those using the private healthcare sector. The number of treatments, household income and internet access were strongly correlated with QoL outcomes. Our results emphasise the need for better healthcare in the public sector for people with PD to improve their QoL, and we join the call for improving equitable access to multidisciplinary treatment for PD motor and non-motor symptoms.

Data availability. The anonymised data set that supports the findings of this study is available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author (AFC).

Declaration. This study was conducted as part of the first author (SH)'s Master's in Research Psychology degree from the University of the Witwatersrand.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to all the participants who volunteered their time to be part of this study. We give thanks to Dr Petra Gaylard for the statistical support.

Author contributions. SH: study concept and design, data collection, quality control of the data, data interpretation, writing of manuscript, author of the Master's thesis reported in this article. ZK: assistance with data collection, review of the manuscript for important input. MS: assistance with data collection, review of the manuscript for important input. AM: assistance with data collection, review of the manuscript for important input. AFC: study concept and design, quality control of the data, data analyses, data interpretation, writing of manuscript; supervisor of Master's thesis reported in this article.

Funding. None.

Conflicts of interest. None.

- Bae YI, Kim JM, Sohn CH, et al. Imaging the substantia nigra in Parkinson disease and other Parkinsonian syndromes. *Radiology* 2021;300(2):260-278. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2021203341>
- Dong J, Cui Y, Li S, Li W. Current pharmacological treatments and alternative therapies of Parkinson's disease. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 2016;14(4):339-355. <https://doi.org/10.2174/15701519X14666151120123025>
- Hornykiewicz O. L-DOPA: From a biologically inactive amino acid to a successful therapeutic agent. *Amino Acids* 2002;23:65-70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-001-0111-9>
- Schulz GM, Grant MK. Effects of speech therapy and pharmacologic and surgical treatments on voice and speech in Parkinson's disease: A review of the literature. *J Commun Disord* 2000;33(1):59-88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9924\(99\)00025-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9924(99)00025-8)
- Tumbaco N, Romoli M, Calabresi P. Levodopa in Parkinson's disease: Current status and future developments. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 2018;16(8):1239-1252. <https://doi.org/10.2174/15701519X15666170510143821>
- Li S, Dong J, Cheng C, Li W. Therapies for Parkinson's disease: Alternatives to current pharmacological interventions. *J Neural Transm* 2016;123(11):1279-1299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-016-1603-9>
- Radder DL, Sturkenboom III, van Nimwegen M, Keus SH, Bloem BR, de Vries NM. Physical therapy and occupational therapy in Parkinson's disease. *Int J Neurosci* 2017;127(10):930-943. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207179.2016.1275617>
- Sturkenboom III, Hendrika JC, Graff MJ, et al. Economic evaluation of occupational therapy in Parkinson's disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Mov Disord* 2015;30(8):1059-1067. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26217>
- Beitz JM. Parkinson's disease: A review. *Front Biosci-Scholar* 2014;6(1):65-74.
- Troiti LM, Blitwie DL. Treatment of the sleep disorders associated with Parkinson's disease. *Neurotherapeutics* 2014;11(1):68-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-013-0236-z>
- Kampling II, Brendel LK, Mittag O. (Neuro)Psychological interventions for non-motor symptoms in the treatment of patients with Parkinson's disease: A systematic umbrella review. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2019;29(2):166-180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-019-09409-4>
- Lange KW, Nakamura Y, Chen N, et al. Diet and medical foods in Parkinson's disease. *Food Sci Hum Wellness* 2019;8(2):83-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2019.03.006>
- Chagas MTIN, Zuardi AW, Tumas V, et al. Effects of cannabidiol in the treatment of patients with Parkinson's disease: An exploratory double-blind trial. *J Psychopharmacol* 2014;28(11):1088-1098. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881114550355>
- Jocson A, Lew M. Use of botulinum toxin in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2019;59:57-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.12.002>
- World Health Organization Quality of Life Group. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. *Psychol Med* 1998;28(3):551-558.
- Rahman S, Griffin JJ, Quinn NP, Jahanabadi M. Quality of life in Parkinson's disease: The relative importance of the symptoms. *Mov Disord* 2008;23(10):1428-1434. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21667>
- Alexander P, Ceruti C, Motseke K, Phadi M, Wale K. Class in Soweto. Durban: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press; 2013.
- Harris B, Goudge J, Ataguba JE, McIntyre D, Nxumalo N, Jikwasa S, Chersich M. Inequities in access to health care in South Africa. *J Pub Health Pol* 2011;32(1):S102-S123. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jph.2011.35>
- Burger R, Christian C. Access to health care in post-apartheid South Africa: Availability, affordability, acceptability. *J Health Econ* 2020;15(1):43-55. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744133118000300>
- Dekker MC, Coulbaly T, Barden S, Ross OA, Carr J, Komolafe M. Parkinson's disease research on the African continent: Obstacles and opportunities. *Front Neurol* 2020;11:512. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.00512>
- Fothergill-Misbah N, Walker R, Kwasa J, Hooker J, Hampshire K. 'Old people problems', uncertainty, and legitimacy: Challenges with diagnosing Parkinson's disease in Kenya. *Soc Sci Med* 2021;282:114148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114148>
- Demiris G, Afrin LB, Speedie S, et al. Patient-centered applications: Use of information technology to promote disease management and wellness. A white paper by the AMIA knowledge in motion working group. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2008;15(1):4-13. <https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M2492>
- Lim SY, Tan AI, Fox SH, Evans AI, Low SC. Integrating patient concerns into Parkinson's disease management. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2017;17(1):1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-017-0717-2>
- Fisher I, Musa SA, Alhassan BS. Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *Am J Theor Appl Stat* 2016;5(1):1-4. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.2016050111>
- Shevington SM, Lofly M, McConnell KA. The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. *Qual Life Res* 2004;13(2):299-310. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:QURE.0000018486.91360.00>
- Declaration of Helsinki. Recommendations guiding doctors in clinical research. Adopted by the World Medical Association in 1964. *Wis Med J* 1967;66:25-26.
- Resnik JB, Shamoo AE. The Singapore Statement on Research Integrity. *Account Res* 2011;18(2):71-75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2011.557296>
- Giddons JC, Volpe D, Ellis TJ, et al. Delivering multidisciplinary rehabilitation care in Parkinson's disease: An international consensus statement. *J Parkinsons Dis* 2024;14(1):135-166. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD1230117>
- Varanese S, Birnbaum Z, Rosi R, Di Rocco A. Treatment of advanced Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Dis* 2010;40:260. <https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/480260>
- Bianchi VL, Hazi L, Sema F. The role of nutrition on Parkinson's disease: A systematic review. *Nutr Neurosci* 2023;26(7):605-628. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1028415x.2022.2073107>
- Crippa JAS, Hallak JL, Zuardi AW, Guimarães FS, Tumas V, Dos Santos RG. Is cannabidiol the ideal drug to treat non-motor Parkinson's disease symptoms? *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2019;269:121-133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-019-00982-6>
- Mikaya J, Gray WK, Carr J. Beliefs, knowledge and attitudes towards Parkinson's disease among a Xhosa speaking black population in South Africa: A cross-sectional study. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2017;41:51-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2017.05.009>
- Williams U, Bandmann O, Walker R. Parkinson's disease in sub-Saharan Africa: A review of epidemiology, genetics and access to care. *J Mov Disord* 2018;11(2):53.
- Goh ZH, Cheong JL, Marras C, et al. Surveying global availability of Parkinson's disease treatment. *J Parkinsons Dis* 2022;12(3):1023-1034. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD123006>
- Gimba O, Seraj M, Ozdeser II. What drives income inequality in sub-Saharan Africa and its sub-regions? An examination of long-run and short-run effects. *Afr Dev Rev* 2021;33(4):729-741. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12603>
- Nisenzon AN, Robinson ME, Bowers D, Banou E, Malaty I, Okun MS. Measurement of patient-centered outcomes in Parkinson's disease: What do patients really want from their treatment? *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2011;17(2):89-94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.09.005>
- Global Parkinson's Disease Survey (GPDS) Steering Committee. Factors impacting on quality of life in Parkinson's disease: Results from an international survey. *Mov Disord* 2002;17(1):60-67.
- Graessl KA, Graessl DG. Patient-perceived involvement and satisfaction in Parkinson's disease: Effect on therapy decisions and quality of life. *Mov Disord* 2005;20:616-619. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20393>
- Ilabeta JG, Heijmans M, Kuijff ML, Jansen ML, Temel Y, Kubben PL. An update on adaptive deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2018;33(12):1834-1843. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.115>
- Nijkrake MJ, Keus SH, Kalf JG, et al. Allied health care interventions and complementary therapies in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2007;13:S488-S494. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-8020\(08\)70054-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-8020(08)70054-3)
- Olson CW, Stern MB, Sethi K. The scientific and clinical basis for the treatment of Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2009;72(21 suppl_4):S1-S136. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181a1d44>
- Wagstaff A. Poverty and health sector inequalities. *Bull World Health Org* 2002;80:97-105. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2567730/> (accessed 4 March 2025).
- Bowman B, Seedat M, Duncan N, Burrows S. 'Race', social transformation and redress in the South African social and health sciences. In: Stevens G, Franchi V, Swart T, eds. *A Race Against Time: Psychology and Challenges to Deracialisation in South Africa*. Pretoria: Unisa Press; 2006:91-103. <http://hdl.handle.net/10539/13261> (accessed 6 June 2024).
- Majette GR. Access to health care: What a difference shades of color make. *Ann Health L* 2003;12(1):121-142.
- Simpson J, Lekwuwu G, Crawford T. Predictors of quality of life in people with Parkinson's disease: Evidence for both domain specific and general relationships. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014;36(23):1964-1970. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2014.883442>
- Menon B, Nayyar R, Kumar S, et al. Parkinson's disease, depression, and quality-of-life. *Indian J Psychol Med* 2015;37(2):144-148. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.155611>
- Opara JA, Brola W, Leonardi M, Blaszczyk B. Quality of life in Parkinson's disease. *J Med Life* 2012;5(4):375.
- Burns JK. The mental health gap in South Africa: A human rights issue. *Equal Rights Rev* 2011;6(99):99-113.
- Morudu P, Kollamparambil U. Health shocks, medical insurance and household vulnerability: Evidence from South Africa. *PLoS ONE* 2020;15(2):e0228034. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228034>
- Gordon T, Booyens F, Mbonigaba J. Socio-economic inequalities in the multiple dimensions of access to healthcare: The case of South Africa. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:289. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8368-7>
- Dotchin CL, Msuya O, Walker RW. The challenge of Parkinson's disease management in Africa. *Age Ageing* 2007;36(2):122-127. <https://doi.org/10.3389/age.2020.00512>
- Ferreira-Correia A, Banjo II, Israel N. Assessing phonemic verbal fluency in non-WEIRD populations: An example of demographic differences in performance on the Controlled Oral Word Association Test-FAS. *Afr J Psychol Assoc* 2024;6:152. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajopa.v6i0.152>

Received 26 July 2024; accepted 14 January 2025.