

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Overview.....	1
1.2 Focuses of this Research.....	2
1.3 Rationale.....	2
1.4 Aims and Operationalisation of the Study.....	3
1.5 Research Questions.....	4
1.6 Summary.....	6
1.7 Glossary of Terms.....	7
Chapter 2: Background to and Context of this Study.....	9
2.1 The Context of the Study.....	9
2.2 Potter and Van Der Merwe's Work.....	10
2.3 Historical Overview of Education in South Africa.....	13
2.4 Gender Differences in Spatial Ability.....	15
2.5 Implications of the Current Study.....	16
Chapter 3 Literature Review.....	17
3.1 Piaget's Theories of Perception and Imagery.....	17
3.2 Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development.....	18
3.3 Feuerstein's Theory of Mediated Learning.....	19
3.4 Stereotype Threat Theory.....	20
3.5 Career Self-Efficacy Theory.....	21
3.6 Aptitudes.....	22
3.7 Spatial Ability, Perception and Visualisation.....	23
3.8 Gender Differences in Spatial Ability.....	24
3.9 Factors Affecting the Spatial Ability of Females.....	27
3.9.1 Environmental Factors, Gender and Spatial Ability.....	27
3.9.2 Biological Factors, Gender and Spatial Ability.....	28
3.9.3 Age and Experience as Factors Influencing Spatial Ability.....	29
3.10 Women's Access into Engineering and Low Academic Performance in Women studying Engineering.....	29
3.11 Summary.....	31
Chapter 4 Research Methodology.....	33
4.1 Research Design.....	33
4.2 Samples of Students.....	33
4.2.1 Sample Used in the Qualitative Side of the Study.....	33
4.2.2 Sample Used in the Quantitative Side of the Study.....	34
4.3 Instruments.....	34
4.3.1 Qualitative Instruments.....	34
4.3.2 Piloting the Interview Schedule.....	39
4.3.3 The Quantitative Instruments.....	39
4.4 Procedure.....	39
4.5 Data Collection and Organisation.....	40
4.6 Data Analysis.....	41

4.6.1 A Multimethod Approach	41
4.6.2 Quantitative Data Analysis	42
4.6.3 Qualitative Data Analysis	43
4.7 Summary	43
Chapter 5 Quantitative Results	47
5.1 Introduction	47
5.2 Statistical Analyses to address Research Question One	47
5.3 Descriptive Statistics	49
5.4 Trends in Academic Performance for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants	50
5.5 Assumptions of a Correlation	51
5.6 Assumptions of Backward Stepwise Regression	54
5.7 Potential Problematic Characteristics of a Backward Stepwise Regression: Collinearity	55
5.8 Assumptions of Fisher's Z transformation	60
5.9 Assumptions of Two Independent Sample t tests	62
5.10 Assumptions of an ANCOVA	64
5.11 Summary	66
5.12 Discussion	69
Chapter 6: Qualitative Data Analysis	71
6.1 Introduction	71
6.2 Research Questions	71
6.3 Content analysis to address Research Question Two	71
6.4 The Type of Content Analysis Conducted in this Study	72
6.4.1 Thematic Content Analysis	72
6.5 Method of Theme Extraction	73
6.6 Results	73
6.6.1 Research Question One - How do female Engineering students cope with their studies?	74
6.6.2 Sub Question b: Are similar strategies effective in studying all first year Engineering subjects, or are different strategies necessary in studying Engineering Graphics?	78
6.6.3 Sub Research Question c: Do male Engineering students use similar strategies to female students in coping with the demands of their first year courses?	79
6.6.4 Sub research question d: What coping strategies are necessary for female Engineering students who have poorly developed two dimensional and three dimensional spatial abilities as opposed to well developed two dimensional and three dimensional spatial abilities?	80
6.7 Summary	90
Chapter 7: Integration Chapter	91
7.1 Introduction	91
7.2 Quantitative and Qualitative Findings: Core Themes and Issues	91
7.2.1 The Majority of First Year Female Engineering Students have Spatial Perceptual Difficulties	91
7.2.2 The Majority of First Year Female Engineering Students have Low Career Self Efficacy	92

7.2.3 Stereotype Threat affects the Decisions of Female Students to Follow Engineering as a Career.....	95
7.2.4 Themes indicating stereotyping as viewed through the eyes of Females.	96
7.2.5 Themes indicating stereotyping as viewed through the eyes of Males.....	98
7.3 Instructional Needs of Female Engineering Students.....	100
7.4 Conclusion	102
Chapter 8: Conclusions, Limitations and Needs for Further Research	103
8.1 How do female Engineering students cope with their studies?	103
8.2 Is three dimensional spatial perception an influence on how female Engineering students cope with their studies?.....	104
8.3 Conceptual Limitations.....	104
8.4 Methodological Limitations.....	105
8.5 Recommendations for further research.....	106
8.6 Conclusion	107
Reference List	109
Appendices	120

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Questions in the Interview Schedule, and their Relationship with the Literature Review	35
Table 4.2 Research Design Table	44
Table 5.0 Results Summary	48
Table 5.1 Descriptive Statistics for Gender and Group for the Special Programme, Mainstream and Overall Engineering Participants	49
Table 5.2 Descriptive Statistics for F test scores, H test scores and June Exam Marks for Special Programme, Mainstream and all the Engineering Participants.....	50
Table 5.3 Correlation between Two Dimensional Spatial Perception, Three Dimensional Spatial Perception and Academic Performance for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants	53
Table 5.4 Pearson Correlation Coefficients	56
Table 5.5 Collinearity Diagnostics	56
Table 5.6 Backward Stepwise Regression for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants	58
Table 5.7 Pearson Correlation and Fisher's Z Transformation Between Academic Performance & Three Dimensional Spatial Perception for Males and Females	60
Table 5.8 T Tests for Gender and Group for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants	63
Table 5.9 ANCOVA for Gender and Group in the Overall Engineering Sample with Two Dimensional Spatial Perception as a Covariate.....	65
Table 5.10 ANCOVA for Gender and Group in the Overall Engineering Sample with Three Dimensional Spatial Perception as a Covariate.....	66
Table 6.1 Study Methods by Gender and Group	79
Table 6.2 Strategies Suggested by Special Programme Males as Compared to Those Suggested by Mainstream Males	86

Table 6.3 Strategies Suggested by Mainstream Females as Compared to those Suggested by special programme females.....	87
---	----

List of Figures

Fig 5.1 Normality Assumption	52
Figure 5.2 Normality of Distribution and Equality of Variance	57
Fig 5.3 Assumption of Normality for Fisher's Z transformation.....	60