

https://dspace.org/

The adjustment of first year female engineering students into university: an evaluation

Mokone, Mpho

2009-09-03T12:14:36Z
http://hdl.handle.net/10539/7185

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction..... 1
1.1 Overview..... 1
1.2 Focuses of this Research..... 2
1.3 Rationale……2
1.4 Aims and Operationalisation of the Study..... 3
1.5 Research Questions……4
1.6 Summary..... 6
1.7 Glossary of Terms..... 7
Chapter 2: Background to and Context of this Study..... 9
2.1 The Context of the Study..... 9
2.2 Potter and Van Der Merwe's Work…….……10
2.3 Historical Overview of Education in South Africa……..……13
2.4 Gender Differences in Spatial Ability..... 15
2.5 Implications of the Current Study..... 16
Chapter 3 Literature Review..... 17
3.1 Piaget's Theories of Perception and Imagery……..……17
3.2 Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development……18
3.3 Feuerstein's Theory of Mediated Learning……..19
3.4 Stereotype Threat Theory……20
3.5 Career Self-Efficacy Theory..... 21
3.6 Aptitudes..... 22
3.7 Spatial Ability, Perception and Visualisation..... 23
3.8 Gender Differences in Spatial Ability..... 24
3.9 Factors Affecting the Spatial Ability of Females..... 27
3.9.1 Environmental Factors, Gender and Spatial Ability..... 27
3.9.2 Biological Factors, Gender and Spatial Ability..... 28
3.9.3 Age and Experience as Factors Influencing Spatial Ability..... 29
3.10 Women's Access into Engineering and Low Academic Performance in Women studying Engineering..... 29
3.11 Summary..... 31
Chapter 4 Research Methodology..... 33
4.1 Research Design..... 33
4.2 Samples of Students..... 33
4.2.1 Sample Used in the Qualitative Side of the Study..... 33
4.2.2 Sample Used in the Quantitative Side of the Study..... 34
4.3 Instruments..... 34
4.3.1 Qualitative Instruments..... 34
4.3.2 Piloting the Interview Schedule..... 39
4.3.3 The Quantitative Instruments..... 39
4.4 Procedure..... 39
4.5 Data Collection and Organisation..... 40
4.6 Data Analysis..... 41

4.6.1 A Multimethod Approach 41
4.6.2 Quantitative Data Analysis 42
4.6.3 Qualitative Data Analysis 43
4.7 Summary 43
Chapter 5 Quantitative Results 47
5.1 Introduction 47
5 .2 Statistical Analyses to address Research Question One 47
5.3 Descriptive Statistics 49
5.4 Trends in Academic Performance for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants 50
5.5 Assumptions of a Correlation 51
5.6 Assumptions of Backward Stepwise Regression 54
5.7 Potential Problematic Characteristics of a Backward Stepwise Regression: Collinearity 55
5.8 Assumptions of Fisher's Z transformation 60
5.9 Assumptions of Two Independent Sample t tests 62
5.10 Assumptions of an ANCOVA 64
5.11 Summary 66
5.12 Discussion 69
Chapter 6: Qualitative Data Analysis 71
6.1 Introduction 71
6.2 Research Questions 71
6.3 Content analysis to address Research Question Two 71
6.4 The Type of Content Analysis Conducted in this Study 72
6.4.1 Thematic Content Analysis 72
6.5 Method of Theme Extraction 73
6.6 Results 73
6.6.1 Research Question One - How do female Engineering students cope with their studies? 74
6.6.2 Sub Question b: Are similar strategies effective in studying all first year Engineering subjects, or are different strategies necessary in studying Engineering Graphics? 78
6.6.3 Sub Research Question c: Do male Engineering students use similar strategies to female students in coping with the demands of their first year courses? 79
6.6.4 Sub research question d: What coping strategies are necessary for female Engineering students who have poorly developed two dimensional and three dimensional spatial abilities as opposed to well developed two dimensional and three dimensional spatial abilities? 80
6.7 Summary 90
Chapter 7: Integration Chapter 91
7.1 Introduction 91
7.2 Quantitative and Qualitative Findings: Core Themes and Issues 91
7.2.1 The Majority of First Year Female Engineering Students have Spatial Perceptual Difficulties 91
7.2.2 The Majority of First Year Female Engineering Students have Low Career Self Efficacy 92

7.2.3 Stereotype Threat affects the Decisions of Female Students to Follow Engineering as a Career 95
7.2.4 Themes indicating stereotyping as viewed through the eyes of Females. 96
7.2.5 Themes indicating stereotyping as viewed through the eyes of Males 98
7.3 Instructional Needs of Female Engineering Students 100
7.4 Conclusion 102
Chapter 8: Conclusions, Limitations and Needs for Further Research 103
8.1 How do female Engineering students cope with their studies? 103
8.2 Is three dimensional spatial perception an influence on how female Engineering students cope with their studies? 104
8.3 Conceptual Limitations 104
8.4 Methodological Limitations 105
8.5 Recommendations for further research 106
8.6 Conclusion 107
Reference List 109
Appendices 120

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Questions in the Interview Schedule, and their Relationship with the Literature Review 35
Table 4.2 Research Design Table 44
Table 5.0 Results Summary 48
Table 5.1 Descriptive Statistics for Gender and Group for the Special Programme, Mainstream and Overall Engineering Participants 49
Table 5.2 Descriptive Statistics for F test scores, H test scores and June Exam Marks for Special Programme, Mainstream and all the Engineering Participants 50
Table 5.3 Correlation between Two Dimensional Spatial Perception, Three Dimensional Spatial Perception and Academic Performance for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants 53
Table 5.4 Pearson Correlation Coefficients 56
Table 5.5 Collinearity Diagnostics 56
Table 5.6 Backward Stepwise Regression for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants 58
Table 5.7 Pearson Correlation and Fisher's Z Transformation Between Academic Performance & Three Dimensional Spatial Perception for Males and Females 60
Table 5.8 T Tests for Gender and Group for Special Programme, Mainstream and all Engineering Participants 63
Table 5.9 ANCOVA for Gender and Group in the Overall Engineering Sample with Two Dimensional Spatial Perception as a Covariate 65
Table 5.10 ANCOVA for Gender and Group in the Overall Engineering Sample with Three Dimensional Spatial Perception as a Covariate 66
Table 6.1 Study Methods by Gender and Group 79
Table 6.2 Strategies Suggested by Special Programme Males as Compared to Those Suggested by Mainstream Males 86

Table 6.3 Strategies Suggested by Mainstream Females as Compared to those Suggested by special programme females..... 87

List of Figures

Fig 5.1 Normality Assumption 52
Figure 5.2 Normality of Distribution and Equality of Variance..... 57
Fig 5.3 Assumption of Normality for Fisher's Z transformation..... 60