

**NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS' TRANSITION FROM DONOR FUNDING
TO SELF SUSTENANCE: A CASE OF LIFELINE NAMIBIA**

A report on a research study presented to

The Department of Social Work

School of Human and Community Development

Faculty of Humanities

University of the Witwatersrand

In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Arts in Social Development

By

SIMBARASHE MUDEZERI

February 2015



DECLARATION

I solemnly declare that this work is my own and no plagiarism whatsoever was done. Every source used has been acknowledged and authors of such work have been referenced in this research report.

Signature:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank God for being the source of strength throughout the process of working on this research project. I also give gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Victor Chikadzi for all the assistance he rendered to help me complete this project. To Lifeline/ Childline Namibia and Namibia Non-Governmental Organization Forum (NANGOF), thank you so much for availing participants for this research, I wish you well in your missions. Ada Ama-Njoku, no words can express how grateful I am, God bless you with all your heart desires. To the Mudezeri family, thank you so much for your support, I love you all.

KEYWORDS: social enterprise, philanthropy, Nongovernmental Organisation, donor funding, non-profit, for profit, sustainability, Lifeline.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
KEYWORDS	III

CHAPTER ONE

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY	1
1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	2
1.3 RATIONAL FOR THE STUDY	3
1.4 AIM OF THE STUDY	3
1.5 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	3

CHAPTER TWO

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION	5
2.2 WHAT IS SOCIAL ENTERPRISE?	5
2.2 THE EMERGENCE OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISE GLOBALLY	8
2.3.1 United States	8
2.3.2 Africa	8
2.3.3 Western Europe	9
2.3.4 Eastern Europe	10

2.3.5 Latin America	11
2.4 FACTORS INFLUENCING THE SHIFT TO SOCIAL ENTERPRISE	12
2.4.1 Decreasing donor funding	12
2.4.2 The need for commercialisation	12
2.5 SOCIAL ENTERPRISE MODELS	15
2.5.1 Embedded Social Enterprise model	15
2.5.2 Integrated Social Enterprises model	15
2.5.3 External Social Enterprise model	16
2.5.4 Employee-Focused Social Enterprise model	16
2.5.5 Customer-Focused Social Enterprise model	16
2.6 OPERATIONAL MODELS OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISE	17
2.6.1 Wijnberg's 4 elements model	17
2.6.2 Forth Sector's 7 stage model	18
2.7 BENEFITS OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISE	19
2.7.1 Autonomy	19
2.7.2 Self reliance	20
2.7.3 Sustainability	20
2.7.4 Other advantages of Social Enterprise	20
2.8 CHALLENGES OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISE	23
2.8.1 Lack of enterprise culture	23
2.8.2 Inadequate social enterprise theory	23
2.8.3 Personal liability and lack of expertise	23
2.8.4 Other challenges of Social Enterprise	24
2.9 SUMMARY	25

CHAPTER THREE

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 INTRODUCTION	26
3.2 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	26
3.3 RESEARCH APPROACH	26
3.4 RESEARCH DESIGN	26
3.5 SAMPLING PROCEDURES	27
3.5.1 Research population	27
3.5.2 Sampling	27
3.5.3 Research tools	28
3.5.4 Pre-testing of the research tool	28
3.6 DATA COLLECTION	29
3.7 DATA ANALYSIS	29
3.7.1 Collecting and recording of data	29
3.7.2 Managing data	30
3.7.3 Reading and writing memos	30
3.7.4 Describing, classifying and interpreting	30
3.7.5 Representing and visualising	30
3.8 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	30
3.8.1 Informed consent	31
3.8.2 Beneficence	31
3.8.3 Voluntary participation and the right to withdraw from the study	31

3.8.4 Avoidance of harm or non-maleficence	32
3.8.5 Violation of privacy/anonymity/confidentiality	32
3.8.6 Approval of studies by institutional ethics committee	32
3.8.7 Publication of findings and feedback given to participants	33
3.8.8 Limitations of the study	33
3.8.9. Strategies to ensure trustworthiness of the research	33
<i>3.8.9.1 Credibility</i>	34
<i>3.8.9.2 Transferability</i>	34
<i>3.8.9.3 Dependability</i>	34
<i>3.8.9.4 Confirmability</i>	34
3.9. SUMMARY	34

CHAPTER FOUR

THE PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS

4. 1 INTRODUCTION	35
4.2. OBJECTIVE 1	35
To explore factors influencing Lifeline Namibia's transition from NGO donor funding to self sustenance	
4.2.1. Withdrawal of donor funding	35
4.2.2 Search for sustainable funding sources	37
4.2.3 Realisation of business opportunity inherent in organisational services	38
4.3. OBLECTIVE 2	40

To examine the change management strategy informing Lifeline Namibia’s transition from philanthropy to social enterprise

4.3.1 Adjustments in Lifeline’s structure of management 41

4.3.2 Creation of additional posts 43

4.3.3 An inclusive management structure 44

4.3.4 Hiring experts to do consultancy work to help the organisation 46

4.4. OBJECTIVE 3 47

To explore the challenges being faced by Lifeline Namibia in the transition process

4.4.1 Gaps in expertise 47

4.4.2 Loss of manpower 49

4.4.3 Burdened management 50

4.5. OBJECTIVE 4 51

To explore lessons that can be learnt from Lifeline Namibia’s transition process from donor funding to social enterprise

4.5.1 Learning from mistakes 51

4.5.2 The need for partnerships 52

4.5.3 Developing strategies related to organisation’s mission 53

5. SUMMARY 55

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARISING FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

5. 1 INTRODUCTION	56
5.2 FACTORS INFLUENCING LIFELINE NAMIBIA’S TRANSITION FROM NGODONOR FUNDING TO SELF SUSTENANCE	56
5.3. THE CHANGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY INFORMING LIFELINENAMIBIA’S TRANSITION FROM PHILANTHROPY TO SOCIAL ENTERPRISE	57
5.4. CHALLENGES BEING FACED BY LIFELINE NAMIBIA IN THE TRANSITION PROCESS	59
5.5. LESSONS LEARNT FROM LIFELINE NAMIBIA’S TRANSITION PROCESS FROM DONOR FUNDING TO SOCIAL ENTERPRISE	60
5.6 RECOMMENDATIONS	61
5.7 CONCLUSION	62
REFERENCES	63
APPENDICES	71

CHAPTER ONE

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

This study explored the process of Non-governmental organizations' (NGOs) transition from being donor funded to the concept of self-sustenance also known as social enterprise. In the past, NGOs have been known to be dependent on donor funding but of late this has been changing. According to Thomas (2013), the European Union (EU) currently contributes aid to around 140 developing countries; but of these developing countries those considered as middle income have had their aid cut and channelled to developing countries considered as least developed. As a result of these developments, NGOs especially those in middle income countries, are forced to come up with income generating projects in the form of the social enterprise model to be able to continue with their operations. The social enterprise model being adopted is not an entirely new concept as several authors point to its existence since time immemorial. It was, however, not popular compared to today as non-profit organizations mainly depended on charity.

Namibia was classified as an upper middle income country in the year 2010. This has impacted negatively on donor funding for NGOs operating in Namibia. This means that NGOs in Namibia are now pressed to come up with alternative strategies to raise funds for their continued operation. To this end, embracing the social enterprise model of operation has become a necessity for most NGOs in Namibia. Adopting the social enterprise model of operation will help NGOs to be self-reliant and that can in the long run ensure sustainability in the provision of social services to the general populace. However, the switch from relying on donated income to social enterprise is not an easy one. Scholars such as Bull (2006); Borzaga and Defourny (2001), point that when NGOs transit to social enterprise they face a myriad of challenges. These include among other things, lack of skills and expertise necessary in the operation of social enterprise.

This study sought to explore the transition process that NGOs undertake from relying entirely on donated income to the adoption of social enterprise. In relation to South Africa, Wijnberg (2012) writes, "In light of shrinking donor funds, many NGOs are recognizing the appeal of the social enterprise model to donors, and for their own sustainability are asking "should we, and can we, make the transition from NGO to social enterprise? (p. 3)" It can be noted that

this shift is still filled with uncertainty as it is a new path being taken. This is the same trend in Namibia where external donor funding is on the decline following the classification of the country as an upper middle-income country. This might actually result in the decline in operations or closure of some NGOs if they don't come up with alternate sources of funding or income.