

Practitioners' Perceptions on the Value of Cognitive Assessment Measures within the South African Context

By

Nomsa Palesa Radebe

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of

Master of Education in
Educational Psychology

In the Faculty of Humanities

At the

University of the Witwatersrand, 2016



Supervisor:
Adri Vorster

DECLARATION

I, Nomsa Palesa Radebe, declare that this research report entitled “Practitioners’ Perceptions on the Value of Cognitive Assessment Measures within the South African Context” is my own, unaided work and that all the sources that I have used or quoted have been indicated by means of complete references.

Signed the ____ day of _____ 2017

Signature: _____

Student Number: _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DEDICATION

During the course of collecting data and writing up of this report, my family and I went through some trying times with my father suffering a stroke as well as the death of my paternal grandfather and maternal cousin within a month of each other. While emotionally taxing, and at times overwhelming, I managed to undertake in data collection, analysis and completion of this study in due time. In so doing, I would like to acknowledge my mother and brother by thanking them for their unwavering support throughout the duration of the year. Thank you for having given me the time to attend to my academic responsibilities while you both attended to the responsibilities at home.

To my supervisor, Adri Vorster, thank you for pulling through with me. In between us sending the paper back and forth, you never gave up or lost patience with my limited research abilities. Your assistance is highly appreciated. Baie dankie, Mevrou. Jy is die beste!

This is dedicated to my day 1s: Papa Simon Radebe, Jemina Moleboheng Radebe and Thato Radebe, this one is for you guys. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

Intelligence testing is defined as a measure of evaluating an individual's cognitive functioning through the use of both standardized and ecological tools (Ortiz, Lella, & Canter, 2010), and as explained by Cockcroft (2013), is used to understand the "developed academic potential" (pp. 48) of a child. Through this explanation one is able to infer the purpose of such testing as being rooted at "gauging" the potential of any given individual insofar as cognitive abilities and processing are concerned. A report compiled by Foxcroft, Paterson, Le Roux and Herbst (2004), looked at the various psychological assessments utilised in South Africa for the measuring of cognitive ability in children and adolescences. This report indicated that, at the time of publication, the various assessments available and in high rotation within the Republic for purposes of intellectually based testing (both internally and externally normed), a large number of those used were not normed for the South African population. An interesting, and controversial result as this brings into question the suitability of outcomes for a multicultural context such as South Africa. Furthermore, the degree to which such assessments can be utilised as valid measures of the potential of the South African learner over outcomes obtained on South African assessments such as the JSAIS and SSAIS? Given the high rotation of non-normed assessment measures, this research looked to investigate the perceptions of psychological practitioners regarding not only the value of psychological assessments within the South Africa context, but to gain insight into the factors that influence preference of some measures over others. Where beliefs, attitudes and perceptions often inform one's overt behaviour (Argyle, 1994; Fishbein & Ajzen, 2010), future behaviour becomes predictable through locating the rationale behind its expression. In understanding the perceptions, thus behaviour of practitioners, elements within learning and development that require revision may be identified so as to progress psychological practice in the Republic towards a more global comparative standing.

Key words: context, cognition, cognitive assessments, intelligence, practitioner perception.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH</u>	pg.1 –13
1.1 – Introduction.....	pg. 1 - 3
1.2 – Rationale.....	pg. 3-7
1.3 – Aim of Research.....	pg. 7
1.4 – Research Questions.....	pg. 7
1.5 – Clarification of Research Concepts.....	pg. 7 - 9
1.5.1 – Cognition.....	pg. 8
1.5.3 – Intelligence.....	pg. 8
1.5.3 – Conventional assessments.....	pg. 8 - 9
1.5.4 – Information processing assessments.....	pg. 9
1.5.5 – Context.....	pg. 9
1.6 – Theoretical Framework: Theory of Perception.....	pg. 9 -12
1.6.1 – Beliefs.....	pg. 9- 10
1.6.2 – Attitudes.....	pg. 10
1.6.3 – Behaviour.....	pg. 10 - 11
Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA).....	pg. 11 -12
1.7 - Outline of Research Report.....	pg. 13
1.7.1 – Structure of report.....	pg. 13
<u>CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW</u>	pg. 14 - 49
2.1 – Intelligence as a Measure of Cognitive Ability.....	pg. 16 - 17
2.2 - What Is Intellectual Testing.....	pg. 17 – 20
2.3 – Education in South Africa.....	pg. 21 - 26
2.3.1 – Education spanning Pre-colonial to Apartheid Era.....	pg. 21 - 23
2.3.2 – Post Apartheid Education.....	pg. 23 - 26
2.4 – Contextual Inequalities and Language.....	pg. 26 - 37
2.4.1 – Generational impacts of environmental context on development.....	pg. 26 – 29
2.4.2 – Impacts of language in context.....	pg. 29 – 34
2.4.3 – Societal impacts of context on development.....	pg. 34 – 36
2.5 – Moving Forward: Renegotiating Impacts of the Past.....	pg. 37 – 39
2.5.1 – ANC implementation plan for education.....	pg. 37 –39
2.5.2 – White Papers: Pathway to inclusive education.....	pg. 39 - 40
2.6 – A Look at Cognitive Assessments: South African Perspective.....	pg. 40 - 49
2.6.1 – Learning disabilities in the South African context.....	pg. 40 - 41
2.6.2 – Cognitive assessments used in South Africa.....	pg. 41 – 43
2.6.3 – Appropriation of these assessments on South African children.....	pg. 43 – 46
2.6.4 – Impression of practitioners to date: South African normed assessments.....	pg. 46 – 49
2.7 – Conclusion.....	pg. 49
<u>CHAPTER 3 – METHODOLOGY</u>	pg. 50 - 61
3.1 – Research Paradigm: Interpretivist.....	pg. 51 - 52
3.2 – Research Design: Explorative and Descriptive.....	pg. 52 - 53
3.2.1 – Exploratory.....	pg. 52
3.2.2 – Descriptive.....	pg. 53
3.3 – Sampling.....	pg. 53 – 55
3.3.1 – Criteria for participation.....	pg. 53 – 55
3.4 – Instruments.....	pg. 55 - 57
3.4.1 – Advantages and disadvantages of semi-structured interviews.....	pg. 55 - 57

3.5 – Procedure and Time Frame.....	pg. 57 - 58
3.6 – Data Analysis.....	pg. 58 – 59
3.6.1 – Thematic content analysis.....	pg. 58 - 59
3.7 – Ethical Considerations.....	pg. 59 - 61

CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....pg. 62 - 100

4.1 – Analysis of Results.....	pg. 62 - 69
4.1.1 – Step 1: Familiarization.....	pg. 62
4.1.2 – Step 2: Generating codes.....	pg. 63
4.1.3 – Step 3: Developing categories and themes.....	pg. 64
4.1.4 – Step 4: Identifying a thematic framework.....	pg. 64 - 66
4.1.4.1 – Primary themes.....	pg. 65
4.1.4.2 – Secondary themes.....	pg. 66
4.1.5 – Step 5: Naming and defining themes.....	pg. 66 - 67
4.1.6 – Step 6: Preparing the analysis report.....	pg. 68
4.2 – Credibility and Dependability.....	pg. 68
4.3 – Reflexivity.....	pg. 68 - 70
4.4 – Discussion.....	pg. 70 – 101
4.4.1 – Language in context	pg. 70 – 79
4.4.2 – South African education system: Education in South Africa is a big problem ...	pg. 79 – 87
4.4.3 – Finances: Accessibility for all.....	pg. 87 – 92
4.4.4 – School placement.....	pg. 92 – 95
4.4.5 – Development of new South African assessments.....	pg. 95 – 100
Conclusion.....	pg. 100

CHAPTER 5 – CONCLUSION.....pg. 101 - 110

5.1 – Discussion.....	pg. 101 – 105
5.1.1 – Research Method: Semi-structured interviews.....	pg. 101 - 102
5.1.2 – Overview of findings.....	pg. 102 – 103
5.1.3 – Findings in relation to theories.....	pg. 104 - 105
5.2 – Limitations and Recommendations.....	pg. 105 - 109
5.2.1 – Sample size.....	pg. 106 - 107
5.2.2 – Demographic of sample: Gender and race	pg. 107
5.2.3 – Location of sample.....	pg. 107 - 108
5.2.4 – Research methods.....	pg. 108 - 109
5.3 – Strengths and contributions of research.....	pg. 110

CONCLUSION.....pg. 110 - 112

REFERENCES.....pg. 113 - 123

FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1.1 – TRA flow of reasoning.....	pg. 11
Figure 1.2 – Developed attitudes and beliefs (social norms) influence one’s thoughts/feelings thus leading to an aligned behaviour.....	pg. 12
Table 2.3 – Registered tests that are used by practitioners (Consolidated list).....	pg. 43
Figure 4.1 - Step 2 (Generating Codes).....	pg. 63
Figure 4.2 – Step 4 (Identifying a thematic framework).....	pg. 65

APPENDICES

- A – Interview questions
- B – Information sheet
- C – Consent forms: Participation in interviews and Audio recordings and Transcriptions
- D – Transcript: Participant 1
- E – Transcript: Participant 2
- F – Transcript: Participant 3
- G – Transcript: Participant 4
- H – Transcript: Participant 5
- I – Transcript: Participant 6
- J – Transcript Guideline

ADDITIONS

- 1 – Statistic: Violence against children in South Africa (2006/07 – 2009/10)
- 2 – Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement (CAPS) promotional requirements (2014)
- 3 – Statistic: Children living in poverty in South Africa (2008)
- 4 – Statistic: Unemployment as factor of poverty in South African households (2009)