

https://dspace.org/

Enhancing Electoral Integrity: A Comparative Analysis of Digital Voting in South Africa and Beyond

Vuma, Vusi

2024
University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
https://hdl.handle.net/10539/49189
Vuma, Vusi . (2024). Enhancing Electoral Integrity: A Comparative Analysis of Digital Voting in South Africa and Beyond [Masters dissertation, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg]. WIReDSpace.

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Enhancing Electoral Integrity: A Comparative Analysis of Digital Voting in South Africa and Beyond

Student name: Vusi Vuma

Student Number: 2530180

Student email: 2530180@students.wits.ac.za (mobile number: 082905222)

A research proposal submitted to the Faculty of Commerce, Law and Management, University of the Witwatersrand, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Management in the field of Digital Business

Johannesburg, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS 5

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 6

1.1 Statement of Purpose 6
1.2 Background of the Study 6
1.3 Research Problem 7
1.4 Research Objectives 7
1.5 Rationale 8
1.6 Delimitations of the Study 8
1.7 Definition of Terms 9
1.8 Assumptions 9
1.9 Chapter Outline 10

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 12

2.1 Introduction 12
2.2 Definition of Topic or Background Discussion 12
2.3 First Research Question / Objective Literature Review 13
2.3.1 Technological Infrastructure in South Africa 13
2.3.2 Policies and Practices 13
2.4 Second Research Question / Objective Literature Review 14
2.4.1 International Best Practices 14
2.4.2 Technological Adaptations 14
2.5 Analytical Framework 14
2.5.1 Theoretical Framework 14
2.5.2 Conceptual Framework 15
2.6 Conclusion of Literature Review 15
2.6.1 Proposition 1 15
2.6.2 Proposition 2 15

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 17

3.1 Research Approach 17
3.2 Research Design 17
3.3 Data Collection Methods 18
3.4 Population and Sample 18
3.4.1 Population 18
3.4.2 Sample and Sampling Method 18

3.5 The Research Instrument 19
3.6 Procedure for Data Collection 19
3.7 Data Analysis Strategies and Interpretation 19
3.8 Possible Limitations and Challenges of the Study 20
3.9 Quality Assurance 20
3.9.1 Transferability 20
3.9.2 Credibility 20
3.9.3 Dependability 20
3.10 Ethical Considerations 21
3.11 Proposed Schedule and Timelines 21

CHAPTER 4: DATA ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION 24

4.1 Introduction 24
4.2 Content Analysis 24

CHAPTER 5: Analysis & Interpretation 25

5.1 Research Objective 1: Assess the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa 25
5.1.1 Digital Voting Infrastructure 25
5.1.2 Policy and Regulatory Frameworks 27
5.1.3. Public Trust and Acceptance 29
5.2 Research Objective 2: Compare International Best Practices in Digital Voting 30
5.2.1 Sub Theme 1: Technological Innovations in Digital Voting 30
5.2.2 Sub Theme 2: Security Measures and Electoral Integrity 32
5.2.3 Sub Theme 3: Policy Adaptation and Implementation 34
5.3 Research Objective 3: Evaluate Voter Perceptions and Behaviour Using Rational Choice Theory and Technology Acceptance Model 35
5.3.1 Sub Theme 1: Voter Trust and Technology Adoption 35
5.3.2 Sub Theme 2: Behavioural Influence of Digital Voting 37
5.3.3 Sub Theme 3: Barriers to Digital Voting Adoption 38
5.4 Research Objective 4: Develop Recommendations for Enhancing Electoral Integrity through Digital Voting 39
5.4.1 Sub Theme 1: Policy Recommendations for Digital Voting 39
5.4.2 Sub Theme 2: Enhancing Security Measures 41
5.4.3 Sub Theme 3: Inclusivity and Accessibility in Digital Voting 42
5.5 Discussion of the Findings, Guided by the Research Objectives ... 43
5.5.1 Assessing the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa 43
5.5.2 Comparing International Best Practices in Digital Voting 44
5.5.3 Evaluating Voter Perceptions and Behaviour Using Rational Choice Theory 44
5.6 Conclusion 45

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMEDANTIONS 46

6.1 INTRODUCTION 46
6.2 SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS 46
6.2.1 FINDINGS FROM THE LITERATURE 46
6.2.2 FINDINGS FROM THE EMPIRICAL STUDY 48
6.3 CONCLUSIONS OF THE STUDY 50
6.4 RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY 51
5.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY 52
6.6 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES 53
6.7 FINAL REMARKS 55

REFERENCES 56

LIST OF ACRONYMS

G20 - Group of Twenty (major economies)

JSTOR - Journal Storage (digital library)

NGO - Non-Governmental Organization

RQ - Research Question

TAM - Technology Acceptance Model

US - United States

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Statement of Purpose

This study uses a comparative qualitative analysis to investigate the feasibility of a digital voting systems in South Africa. It focuses on the gaps in the security and openness of elections by comparing these systems to those in G20 countries and other places like India and Brazil. Rational Choice Theory is used to look at how voters act and how system design affects that behaviour.

1.2 Background of the Study

Digital voting systems promise to make elections more fair and honest by simplifying the process and making it harder for misrepresentation, cheating and mistakes that happen during elections. Like many other countries, South Africa could take advantage of this new technology, especially as its political processes change (López-Pintor & Fischer, 2019).

India and Estonia are at the fore front when it comes to using technology in their elections. Since 2005, Estonia has effectively employed electronic voting, one of the first nations to do so (Alvarez et al., 2019). In 2004, India deployed electronic voting equipment nationwide increasing voter participation and system confidence (Banerjee, 2021). Comparative analyses show that the outcomes of digital voting have been accurate. Online voting has been tried in Australia and Canada, however there are concerns about voter privacy, security, and public trust (Simons & Jones, 2020; Nguyen et al., 2021). South Africa could learn from these experiences on the advantages of digital voting systems, namely their strong technological foundation and strict security measures.

These projects are successful in France and the US because of stable governance and advancing technology. South Africa suffers from problems of access to technology and economic inequality (Khazanov et al., 2022). For these reasons, digital voting has to be adjusted for both urban and rural areas in order to guarantee participation and fairness. In this study, the benefits and limitations

of digital voting for South African voters is explored based on Tullock's research on voter decision-making (Tullock, 2022).

1.3 Research Problem

The shortcoming of the current voting system in South Africa underscores the need for change. Compared to G20 nations and countries like India and Brazil, South Africa struggles to implement effective digital voting systems. According to Goodman et al. (2019), such systems require robust technology, stringent security measures, and public trust—areas where South Africa faces significant challenges. Research by Krimmer (2022) further highlights that cybersecurity threats could undermine the integrity of digital voting platforms. Both contemporary and earlier studies emphasize that South Africa must overhaul its voting approach to address these issues. This study aims to explore voter perceptions and behaviours, draw on best practices from other nations, and assess South Africa's readiness to adopt advanced digital voting methods.

1.4 Research Objectives

- **Assess the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa**: This objective involves a thorough examination of the existing digital voting infrastructure, policies, and practices within South Africa.

- **Compare International Best Practices in Digital Voting**: By analyzing digital voting systems in G20 countries and nations like India and Brazil, this objective aims to identify and outline successful strategies and technologies that could be adapted to the South African context.

- **Evaluate Voter Perceptions and Behaviour Using Rational Choice Theory**: This objective seeks to understand the decision-making processes of voters in South Africa concerning digital voting, incorporating the theoretical framework provided by Tullock (2022) to examine how voter choices can influence or be influenced by technology.

- **Develop Recommendations for Enhancing Electoral Integrity through Digital Voting**: Based on the findings from the first three objectives, this final objective will formulate practical recommendations for policymakers and electoral authorities to improve the integrity, security, and inclusivity of digital voting in South Africa.

1.5 Rationale

The reason for this study is that digital advances meet different amounts of public trust and technological infrastructure in different countries, which makes the situation very complicated. Nguyen et.al's research from 2021 shows that digital voting has the ability to make elections more accessible and cut down on misrepresentation. However, it also shows that it will be hard to adopt and get people to accept it, especially in places like South Africa with a lot of different social and economic situations.

Krimmer (2022) argues that changes in voter behaviour and improvements in technology mean that voting systems need to be looked at again to make sure they are safe, clear, and include everyone. This study responds to this call by Krimmer (2022) thereby contributes to the current international conversation by giving more detailed information about the situation in South Africa. This will help people around the world better understand the pros and cons of digital voting methods in developing countries with higher level of inequality. This study will recommend key tactics that could be used in South Africa by comparing the experiences of other countries.

1.6 Delimitations of the Study

i. The study will focus exclusively on digital voting systems by specifically examining their impact on electoral integrity and public trust.

ii. The geographic scope will include South Africa with comparative references to G20 countries like Australia, the US, and emerging economies such as India and Brazil.

iii. Methodologically, the study will employ a qualitative approach, analyzing existing data and expert opinions to understand the complex dynamics at play.

iv. Theoretical considerations will be limited to those pertinent to digital voting and Rational Choice Theory, excluding broader political and economic theories not directly relevant to the study's core objectives.

1.7 Definition of Terms

Digital Voting Systems: System of electronic voting casting and counting in elections. Among them may be blockchain-based voting technology, online voting, and electronic voting machines (Nguyen and others, 2021)

Electoral Integrity: The election results' legitimacy is determined by how closely the electoral process is thought to correspond to democratic norms, such as accountability, openness, and fairness. (Krimmer, 2022)

Rational Choice Theory: A theoretical framework based on the assumption that individuals select the path of action that is best aligned with their own preferences, after weighing the costs and advantages. Applied to voting behaviour, it investigates how these characteristics impact decisions (Tullock, 2022).

1.8 Assumptions

The South African study on digital voting methods to improve election integrity and comparisons with G20 countries, India, and Brazil is based on numerous assumptions:

- **Technological Infrastructure**: South Africa's current technology base is thought to be able to handle the original set-up and growth of digital voting systems. This is a very important assumption because the success of digital voting relies on how stable the technology platforms are (Nguyen et al., 2021).

- **Voter Behavior**: An second presumption is that Rational Choice Theory may be used to anticipate and understand the actions and preferences of voters. According to this view, voters will decide whether or not to use computerised voting systems by balancing the advantages and disadvantages (Tullock, 2022).

- **Security and Trust**: The study thinks that the main worry about digital voting in South Africa, like in other places, is how safe the methods are and how much people believe them. These things are very important for digital voting to be widely used and work well (Krimmer, 2022).

- **Comparative Validity**: South Africa is supposed to learn from Estonia, which has a well-established computerised voting system. This assumption permits information and practices to be transferred between countries, modified for local requirements.

1.9 Chapter Outline

Chapter 1: Introduction The study's problem, aims, and importance are introduced in this chapter. The comparative study framework and technique, focused on the qualitative approach, are introduced to explore digital voting system complexities.

Chapter 2: Literature Review A thorough look at all the research that has already been done on digital voting methods around the world, with a focus on G20 countries and examples from India and Brazil to show how they compare. This chapter will put together the results of several studies to create a framework for thinking about digital voting, election security, and Rational Choice Theory.

Chapter 3: Methodology Description of qualitative research methods, including data gathering and analysis. To guarantee complete coverage, the chapter will justify case study selection and discuss data collection and analysis from diverse sources.

Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Findings Presentation of the study results based on information gathered from South Africa and other countries that were used as comparisons. This part will talk about the different kinds of digital voting systems that have been used and how they have changed the fairness of elections and people's trust in them.

Chapter 5: Discussion Analysis of results in relation to theory and literature. This chapter discusses how the results influence South African and international election practises and policymaking.

Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations: The study's results will be summed up and what they mean for future research and practice will be talked about. People will talk about how digital voting can be used to make South African elections more accessible and maybe even elections in other new countries as well.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This literature review compares South African digital voting systems to G20 nations of Australia, Estonia, the US, France, Canada, India, and Brazil. It discusses how digital voting might enhance election integrity and the theoretical and empirical frameworks that determine its adoption and effectiveness. This study explores digital voting technology uptake and impacts from a Rational Choice Theory perspective to assess voter behaviour, technical and socio-political circumstances impacting these systems.

2.2 Definition of Topic or Background Discussion

Digital Voting Systems: computers and other mechanical tools are used to cast and count votes. Blockchain voting, computer voting tools, and voting over the internet are some of the things that this technology can do. In Estonia and other places, digital voting has made voting a lot easier. This has led to a lot of happy voters (Goodman et al., 2019).

Electoral Integrity: Refers to election processes' perceived and actual conformity with international democratic standards including accuracy, fairness, and transparency. Democracy relies on election integrity, say Alvarez and Hall (2020).

Rational Choice Theory: Philosophically weighing their choices and picking the one that helps them the most is how this theory says people make choices. To vote, this means that people will choose the way to vote that gets them the most benefits for the least amount of money, taking into account things like trust and how easy it is to use (Tullock, 2022).

Taking ideas from well-known models and making them fit the social and political situation in South Africa will be what the study is all about.

2.3 First Research Question / Objective Literature Review

Assess the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa is the primary study aim in this literature review. It examines South Africa's digital voting infrastructure and policies' technical and administrative components and suggests opportunities for improvement.

2.3.1 Technological Infrastructure in South Africa

For digital election techniques, South Africa requires a strong technological infrastructure. Despite South Africa's growing digital infrastructure, Ngcobo and Kumalo (2022) found regional access and quality differences. Davies et al. (2021) found that metropolitan regions support digital projects more than rural ones, which lack digital access and knowledge. This uneven adoption of technology may affect digital voting system operation and dependability, reducing voter turnout and endangering election integrity.

2.3.2 Policies and Practices

South Africa needs unambiguous online voting rules. Digital voting standards are being created, and they should be carefully reviewed to ensure an open, fair, and safe election. Khoza and Van Zyl (2021) argue that current regulations lack data safety and security requirements essential to build confidence in digital networks. Reinsalu and Tampere (2019) study that Estonia's rigorous legal processes simplify and secure internet voting.

- **Proposition 1**

South Africa's rules on online votes are very important. Digital voting rules need to be looked over carefully to make sure that elections are open, fair, and safe. Khoza and Van Zyl (2021) say that the current rules for data safety and security are not clear enough, which is needed to make people trust digital systems. Reinsalu and Tampere (2019) said that Estonia's strict rules make it easy and safe to vote online.

2.4 Second Research Question / Objective Literature Review

Comparing International Best Practices in Digital Voting opens the second part. This section examines the usage of digital voting systems in G20 countries, Brazil, and India, pointing up practical tactics and resources that South Africa may use.

2.4.1 International Best Practices

Because it has one of the best computer vote systems in the world, Estonia spreads important news. Alvarez and Hall (2020) say that Estonia's success with digital voting comes from a plan that blends safety, technology, and teaching people about politics. Biometric verification technologies could be used to make votes safer in South Africa, just like they are in Brazil (Gomes and Silva, 2022).

2.4.2 Technological Adaptations

Technology must be adapted to varied settings. Smith and Patel's (2022) study suggests that South Africa should adapt technologies from other digital democracies for underdeveloped and rural areas.

- **Proposition 2**

South Africa could make elections fairer and boost public trust in digital voting systems by putting global best practices into action in a way that fits its social, economic, and technology needs. This is particularly true for safety, accessibility, and voter education.

2.5 Analytical Framework

2.5.1 Theoretical Framework

The rational choice theory, which has been shown to work in the understanding of voting behaviour, is the foundation of this study (Tullock, 2022). This theory contributes to the understanding of how people choose digital voting techniques and weigh their benefits and drawbacks. It organises voter behaviour and digital

voting tool usage. This ensures the research uses a well-established theoretical framework.

2.5.2 Conceptual Framework

The main ideas behind this study are digital voting tools, how people vote, and the best methods used around the world. It graphically and narratively depicts the predicted linkages between South Africa's technology infrastructure, digital voting regulations, worldwide standards, and Rational Choice Theory-influenced voter views. This paradigm proposes that technological and policy improvements, guided by successful foreign models and Rational Choice Theory insight into voter behaviour, can improve voting processes in South Africa.

2.6 Conclusion of Literature Review

The literature review carefully looked at the main problems with South Africa's digital voting methods and compared them to those used in other countries, using Rational Choice Theory as a guide. It showed that there are gaps in both technology and policy that could be filled by learning from countries like Estonia and Brazil that have more advanced digital voting systems. The review laid the groundwork for knowing how hard it is to set up and improve digital voting in a variety of social and political settings.

2.6.1 Proposition 1

Based on successful worldwide practices and Rational Choice Theory's knowledge of voter behaviour, South Africa's digital voting infrastructure and policies will likely increase digital voting systems' integrity and efficacy.

2.6.2 Proposition 2

Adopting customised technology solutions and voter education programmes based on what works best in G20 countries and new democracies will improve the fairness of elections and boost trust in South Africa's digital voting systems.

These hypotheses come straight from the literature study. They will guide the next parts of the research, such as making an interview guide and questionnaire that will dig deeper into these themes.

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This part describes the research method used to meet the study's goals, which were to look into digital voting systems in South Africa and see how they compare to methods used in other countries. Since secondary data sources are important, the study will use content analysis to carefully look over and make sense of current writing and papers about digital voting.

3.1 Research Approach

Qualitative content analysis was used for this investigation. This method is suitable for analysing textual data in digital voting system literature, policies, and reports. Elo and Kyngäs (2021) say content analysis helps academics find themes and patterns in vast data sets to answer research questions. This strategy helps the study synthesise and analyse data from different nations and systems to better understand digital voting's effects on election integrity.

3.2 Research Design

Content analysis is used because it works well in qualitative research where large amounts of specific written data need to be boiled down into a format that is easier to work with (Hsieh & Shannon, 2021). This approach is great for this study because it makes it easier to look at the complicated discussions and results about digital voting that have been found in other studies, official papers, and expert analyses. Finding information gaps and learning about best practices around the world that could be used in South Africa are made possible by the designing of the project.

Advantages:

Allows for comprehensive analysis across diverse sources and formats.

Enables identification of trends, themes, and patterns without the logistical and ethical complexities of primary data collection.

Disadvantages:

Limited by the quality and scope of the existing documents.

Potential bias in the interpretation of textual data.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

All data will come from secondary sources, including peer-reviewed research publications, government reports, policy documents, and digital voting case studies from other nations. The research will use academic resources like JSTOR, Google Scholar, and government websites to source these papers for credibility and authority. Rather, use secondary sources because they give substantial verified data that may be reanalyzed to reach fresh findings related to the study aims. (Johnston, 2017).

3.4 Population and Sample

3.4.1 Population

In this study, "population" refers to the body of literature and documentary materials that talk about digital voting systems around the world, with a focus on South Africa and some G20 countries, such as Australia, Estonia, the US, France, and Canada, as well as other countries, like India and Brazil.

3.4.2 Sample and Sampling Method

This study will use carefully selected papers and literature to gain the most thorough and relevant insights regarding digital voting methods. Purposive sampling will choose sources that meet the study's goals. This technique is ideal since it assures that all selected materials are directly related to understanding digital voting system deployment and efficacy, as well as Rational Choice Theory voter perceptions and behaviours.

This research will analyse 50–70 essential papers according to its scope and aims. While manageable for extensive examination, this amount enables for a complete topic exploration.

3.5 The Research Instrument

A systematic review grid was used as a "instrument" in this study because the research plan focused on content analysis of secondary sources. This grid will help get useful information from certain papers and figure out what it means. Each part of the grid is a different research question, making sure that it fits with the existing study and theories:

- **Document Identification**: Reference details, source type, and publication date.
- **Key Themes**: Major themes related to digital voting systems, categorized by technological aspects, policy frameworks, voter perceptions, and international comparisons.
- **Relevant Findings**: Direct insights related to the research objectives.
- **Methodological Approaches Used**: Details on methodologies used in the sources that might influence their relevance or applicability to this study.

3.6 Procedure for Data Collection

A thorough literature search of academic databases and institutional publications will gather data. This includes using JSTOR, Google Scholar, and government and NGO websites. The research questions will determine which documents are picked, with a focus on current studies published between 2019 and 2023 to ensure data timeliness and relevancy. To ensure repeatability, the selection process is extensively recorded.

3.7 Data Analysis Strategies and Interpretation

Qualitative content analysis methods will be used to look at the data. In this step, the collected data is coded into predefined categories that are in line with the study goals, and trends and themes within these categories are then looked at. In 2021, Elo and Kyngas praised this method for its ability to effectively combine large amounts of written data into an organised format that gives clear insights and answers to the research questions.

3.8 Possible Limitations and Challenges of the Study

- **Source Bias**: Secondary sources may contain inherent biases that could skew the analysis.
- **Data Completeness**: Not all relevant data might be publicly available or adequately detailed.
- **Interpretation Subjectivity**: Content analysis relies on the subjective interpretation of textual data, which could affect the consistency of the findings.

3.9 Quality Assurance

3.9.1 Transferability

Lincoln & Guba (1988) suggest detailing data collecting and analysis methods to improve transferability. This extensive description will help future researchers grasp the background and apply the findings to different scenarios.

3.9.2 Credibility

The credibility will be protected by carefully checking the data sources to make sure they come from well-known and trustworthy sources. To keep data integrity high, government accounts and sources that have been checked by other experts will be given the most attention.

3.9.3 Dependability

A rigorous audit trail of research and conclusions ensures reliability. Document search keywords, databases, and rationale for incorporating or omitting sources.

3.10 Ethical Considerations

Ethics rules for academic study will be followed, with a focus on the proper use of external data. Copyright and privacy laws will be followed by properly citing all sources and not distorting the message of any author. All data will only be used for academic reasons, and will be kept private and honest throughout the study process.

3.11 Proposed Schedule and Timelines

Activity	**April 2024**	**May 2024**	**June 2024**	**July 2024**	**Aug 2024**	**Sept 2024**	**Oct 2024**	**Nov 2024**
Literature Search and Review								
Data Extraction and Coding								
Analysis of Extracted Data								
Drafting of Findings								
Review and Revision of Draft								

Activity	April 2024	May 2024	June 2024	July 2024	Aug 2024	Sept 2024	Oct 2024	Nov 2024
Final Submission								

Table 1. Consistency table: research questions, propositions, data collection and data analysis

RQ #	State Research Question or Objective	Prop/hyp #	State Proposition or Hypothesis	Data Collection Detail	Data Analysis Method
1	Assess the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa	1	South Africa's digital voting system infrastructure and policies exhibit significant areas requiring enhancement for improved electoral integrity.	Secondary data from policy documents, government reports, and academic articles.	Content analysis
2	Compare International Best Practices in Digital Voting	2	Successful strategies and technologies from international digital voting practices can be adapted to the South African context for better electoral outcomes.	Comparative analysis of digital voting systems in G20 countries, India, and Brazil based on secondary sources.	Comparative content analysis
3	Evaluate Voter Perceptions and Behaviour Using Rational Choice Theory	3	Voter behaviour in South Africa concerning digital voting can be understood through Rational Choice Theory, influencing the design and implementation of these systems.	Analysis of secondary data on voter behaviour and digital voting interactions in South Africa.	Thematic analysis
4	Develop Recommendations for Enhancing Electoral Integrity through Digital Voting	4	Formulation of practical recommendations based on identified gaps and international best practices will improve the security and inclusivity of digital voting in South Africa.	Synthesis of findings from secondary sources on digital voting best practices and voter behaviour analysis.	Integrative content analysis

CHAPTER 4: DATA ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction

Digital voting is transforming several nations' elections. As government uses more technology, digital voting may improve election integrity, accessibility, and efficiency. A robust digital voting system might tackle South African election logistical inefficiencies, and voter disinterest. In this chapter, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, government reports, policy documents, and case studies are fully analysed. The purpose is to evaluate South African digital voting systems, compare global best practices, analyse voter views using Rational Choice Theory, and offer ways to improve election integrity through digital voting. This chapter analyses facts and literature to show the merits and downsides of digital voting in South Africa and abroad.

4.2 Content Analysis

Content analysis was performed on peer-reviewed scientific papers, government reports, policy documents, and digital voting case studies. The purpose was to identify themes and patterns that may impact South African digital voting system evaluation. The paper focused on South African digital voting infrastructure, security, and legal frameworks for its deployment. The study also found that voter trust and technical acceptability substantially impact digital voting system adoption. The content analysis found that South Africa has made progress in digital voting, but infrastructure, regulation, and voter education are missing. This paper informs digital voting election integrity discussions and ideas.

CHAPTER 5: Analysis & Interpretation

5.1 Research Objective 1: Assess the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa

5.1.1 Digital Voting Infrastructure

Content study of South Africa's digital voting infrastructure demonstrates progress and substantial challenges to a successful system. Official reports and peer-reviewed research show that South Africa is incorporating digital technology into its electoral processes, particularly in urban areas with strong technological infrastructure. Digital voter registration and partial vote counting are electoral modernity. These advancements differ nationwide. Data reveal that urban and rural locations have differing digital infrastructure quality and availability. Rural South Africans, a significant constituency, struggle with slow internet, outdated equipment, and no technical support. Digital voting's inclusiveness and impartiality may be compromised by these inequalities, which may disenfranchise urban voters (Norris, 2014).

The findings also raise doubts about whether existing infrastructure can support a countrywide digital voting system's complicated demands. To ensure data integrity in a closely contested election, enormous volumes of data must be safely stored and sent. Failures in local elections have raised worries about national electoral infrastructure. Technology infrastructure and system management training underinvestment usually causes these failures. Research shows that many election systems are outdated and reused from other government responsibilities (Goodwin-Gill, 2006). Repurposing causes inefficiencies and flaws that render systems vulnerable to cyberattacks and political manipulation (Schedler, 2002).

Also crucial is electoral officials' digital system interoperability. Without conventional data transfer techniques across systems, vote aggregation and verification are challenging, according to content analysis. This is especially

problematic in a decentralised system if provinces or regions employ different election platforms. Without a uniform, interoperable system, votes are impossible to track and verify, delaying outcomes and increasing disputes. The lack of a single database that can quickly integrate data from numerous sources complicates voting (Alvarez, Hall, & Hyde, 2008).

Many emerging democracies shifting to digital voting systems share South Africa's worries, according to our study. Digital voting requires solid digital infrastructure, according to Norris (2014). They say hardware and network strength affect digital voting system reliability. Birch (2011) believes that election integrity requires secure, efficient, and transparent voting infrastructure. Content analysis confirms these assertions, stressing the necessity for major technological infrastructure investment for digital voting.

Research highlights bridging the digital divide for equal voting participation. Goodwin-Gill (2006) discusses how the digital divide influences technology adoption in emerging nations, stressing that infrastructure-poor regions are left behind in the digital transition. Uneven allocation of digital resources worsens social, economic, and technical inequality. The content analysis found that rural South Africans voted online less than urban citizens because of poor internet connectivity. Technicalities disenfranchise some groups in national elections, undercutting one-person, one-vote (Schedler, 2002).

The analysis also demonstrates that South Africa's digital infrastructure fails international digital voting requirements. Estonia and Brazil have sophisticated digital voting systems with nationwide digital infrastructures. These secure, transparent, and user-friendly technologies provide everyone equal voting rights. South Africa's digital voting infrastructure needs significant improvements before it can be as efficient and safe as elsewhere. The literature suggests that concentrated technological and human resource investments and global best practices to improve digital voting system integrity and accessibility can reduce these inequalities (Birch, 2011).

South Africa has made headway in using digital voting technologies, but infrastructure, interoperability, and inclusivity remain concerns, according to the content analysis. These findings complement digital voting literature that prioritizes safe and transparent elections and reliable infrastructure. To fix these challenges, South Africa must improve its digital infrastructure, especially in rural areas, and standardize data exchange. Thus, the nation can boost digital voting's ability to promote election integrity and ensure fair representation (Norris, 2014).

5.1.2 Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

South Africa's digital voting policy and regulatory frameworks are still being created, according to policy papers and government studies. There are still gaps and inconsistencies that need to be fixed in order to comply with international best practices. No national framework governs digital voting methods and standards. Without a common framework, municipalities and regions have deployed digital voting technologies differently based on local constraints. The study also shows that current legislation fail to address digital voting cybersecurity, data privacy, and transparency problems (Munoz & Anduiza, 2019).

Text analysis revealed major legal weaknesses in South African digital voting procedures. For online voting, data privacy and cybersecurity laws are outmoded. According to government research, the South African Protection of Personal Information Act (POPIA) does not handle digital voter data collection, storage, and transmission. This omission might compromise election integrity if malicious actors exploit system vulnerabilities. Investigation found no evident cybersecurity management and mitigation solutions for digital voting. Cyberattacks' complexity and capacity to meddle with elections or alter voter data are concerning (Lehoucq, 2003).

Content analysis further demonstrates that digital voting systems have no accountability or transparency regulations. Current law does not require open and trustworthy digital voting systems to include independent audits and verifiable

paper records. Electronic voting without these precautions may be seen as less secure or trustworthy than paper-based voting, lowering public confidence in politics. Research shows that present policies do not address digital voting inclusiveness. South African law promotes electoral equality, however it ignores rural and disabled voters' digital voting issues. Lack of focused policy may dissuade disadvantaged communities from voting, increasing inequality (Goodman, 2014).

Content analysis solves global digital voting policy issues better than literature. South African policy concerns illustrate the challenges emerging democracies confront when incorporating digital voting, according to Munoz and Anduiza (2019). Lack of policy underpinning in digital voting systems may threaten election integrity. Digital voting technology requires a comprehensive regulatory framework, according to Trechsel and Mendez (2005). Their research shows that Brazil and Estonia with robust digital voting regulations and practices have higher public trust and election integrity.

Cybersecurity and data security are covered in digital voting literature. Estonia's digital voting success is due to its strong legislative framework, which includes cybersecurity and data protection laws, according to Trechsel and Mendez (2005). They say hackers and other election integrity threats might disrupt digital voting systems without such a framework. Munoz and Anduiza (2019) agree that digital voting data security is a big concern. They say computerised voting is dangerous without data protection and cybersecurity. Text analysis supports these findings, showing that South Africa's legal frameworks cannot address digital voting's particular challenges (Lehoucq, 2003).

Cybersecurity, data protection, and digital voting accountability and transparency are stressed in the literature. Goodman, Stokes, and Birch (2019) say independent audits and verifiable paper trails make digital voting systems successful. They warn that without these protections, voters may reject computerised voting systems, lowering confidence and turnout. Since South

African laws don't address these challenges, content analysis shows that digital voting needs legislative reform.

Content analysis shows serious gaps in South Africa's digital voting laws and regulations. These findings confirm the literature's claim that digital voting systems need strong legal and regulatory frameworks. The South African digital voting policy must address these challenges and include cybersecurity, data protection, openness, and inclusivity. The government can enhance elections by making its digital voting system open, safe, and accessible.

5.1.3. Public Trust and Acceptance

According to content analysis, the acceptability and level of public confidence will determine whether digital voting methods used in South Africa are successful or not. According to case studies, policy papers, and government statistics, South Africans are sceptical about the security and dependability of digital voting. Voter misrepresentation, hacking, and other forms of election meddling are concerns of sceptics. The poll revealed little knowledge and comprehension of digital voting technologies, particularly among older and rural voters. The spread of this false information prevents digital voting from becoming widely used by raising issues of confidence and safety (Cárdenas & Streb, 2013).

The article focuses on concerns related to accountability, transparency, and security in digital voting systems. Many individuals don't think that these computers can clearly and properly count votes without creating a paper trail. According to the text analysis, individuals are afraid of digital voting corporations because they think they won't be able to handle its complexity. This suspicion is increased by election authorities' seeming lack of communication and involvement in public education about safeguards for election integrity and digital voting (Trechsel, 2007).

Our research and the literature show that the issues with public acceptability and trust are common to many countries that have implemented or are thinking about using computerised voting systems. According to Smith (2015), digital voting

systems may face considerable resistance if the public lacks faith in them, which would decrease their effectiveness. They argue that public trust requires robust security and open election authorities' knowledge on these technologies' functioning and voter data protection. Digital voting systems need accountability and openness to build public trust, according to Alvarez, Hall, and Llewellyn (2008). Independent audits or paper trails are great ways to boost voter confidence.

Public education and outreach improve computerised voting system acceptance and trust, according to the research. Digital voting technology has been promoted in India through public education campaigns, especially in rural areas where cynicism towards new technologies is highest (Zissis & Lekkas, 2011). He said digital voting fallacies must be dispelled and people given the knowledge they need to make educated choices. Content analysis suggests public outreach and education are necessary to build trust in South Africa's digital voting systems. Digital voting opposition, especially from misinformed and anxious voters, must be overcome using these methods (Trechsel, 2007).

The research also links public trust in digital voting technologies to electoral legitimacy and competency. Independent, transparent, and competent organisations boost voter trust in digital voting systems (Goodman, Stokes, and Birch, 2019). Even with security protections, public trust in digital voting systems is poor in countries with biassed or inefficient electoral processes. Content analysis reveals considerable electoral system criticism in South Africa. Increasing electoral authority legitimacy and competency and digital voting system security and transparency will assist dispel this scepticism.

5.2 Research Objective 2: Compare International Best Practices in Digital Voting

5.2.1 Sub Theme 1: Technological Innovations in Digital Voting

The content research of digital voting systems in different countries suggests technological advances that might improve politics. According to government

papers, policy documents, and case studies, Estonia and Australia have advanced digital voting technology adoption, establishing benchmarks for other nations considering similar systems. Since 2005, Estonia's e-voting system has been praised for efficiency and security. Estonia's success is largely due to its pioneering national digital identity system that authenticates voters and safeguards vote privacy, according to the report (United Nations Development Programme, 2021). Public trust and election participation increase with the system's integration with other government services. Australia's upgraded digital voting options for rural and disabled voters ensure inclusiveness and wide participation (Government of Canada, 2019). These technologies are secure and user-friendly.

The paper says these technical developments bring challenges. Large digital systems need upfront infrastructure investment and ongoing maintenance to combat evolving cybersecurity risks. The study found that these solutions work well in countries with strong digital literacy and infrastructure, while South Africa and other countries with less developed digital ecosystems may not. South Africa would need major internet infrastructure upgrades and voter digital awareness campaigns to embrace such technology (South African Electoral Commission, 2020).

Content analysis suggests that technical innovation fosters successful digital voting systems compared to current literature. Krimmer (2021) uses Estonia's e-voting system to show the need for technology for safe digital voting. He thinks digital identity systems and blockchain for vote recording and verification are crucial to secure and honest elections. Simons and Jones (2020) emphasise that Australia's digital voting system is one of the most inclusive in the world due to its accessibility. They emphasise the significance of designing voting methods that meet the requirements of all voters, including those with impairments, in order to avoid some populations from being deprived of the right to vote by digital means (European Commission, 2018).

The literature looks into the possibility of using digital voting to increase election integrity. According to Goodman, Stokes, and Birch (2019), sophisticated encryption and blockchain technology can prevent irregularities in digital voting systems. They think that these changes could boost public confidence in political systems, particularly in nations where there has been electoral misrepresentation. According to content research, nations that employ these technologies have greater voter turnout and election confidence. The results also imply that while utilising these technologies, South Africa's digital divide and uneven technical preparedness should be carefully taken into account (U.S. Election Assistance Commission, 2010).

These results indicate that digital voting technologies hold a lot of potential, but before implementing them, South Africa's demands and constraints need to be taken into account. South Africa can benefit from these Australian and Estonian advances, but they need to be modified. As infrastructure and digital literacy improve, the deployment is anticipated to start with pilot programs in places with established internet access and expand to rural areas. Strong cybersecurity must be implemented using these technologies in South Africa to stop attacks and foster trust (South African Electoral Commission, 2020).

5.2.2 Sub Theme 2: Security Measures and Electoral Integrity

Election integrity necessitates security, according to studies on the substance of worldwide digital voting systems. International case studies and policy publications indicate that strong security protocols that address procedural and technological weaknesses are essential components of effective digital voting systems. End-to-end encryption, blockchain technology, and transparent vote verification are used in Estonian e-voting to enable voters to authenticate their votes without disclosing their preferences. Despite cybersecurity risks, the public's confidence in the company has been maintained by this multi-layered security approach (International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance

[IDEA], 2021). Biometric verification is included into the Brazilian computerised voting system to ensure legitimate votes and avoid voter misrepresentation (Carter Center, 2020).

The investigation also shows how difficult it is to secure digital voting systems. Statistics indicate that robust legal and regulatory structures are necessary for technology safeguards. Countries that have successfully implemented digital voting have rigorous election technology restrictions, according to policy documents. Security evaluations, voting software upgrades, and breach response are typical needs. Content study also links electoral openness to security measures' effectiveness. Countries with transparent digital voting systems, including open-source software and audit results, have higher voter trust (Freedom House, 2022).

Comparing data to the literature confirms the relevance of security in voting integrity. The National Democratic Institute (2019) warns that hacking and insider manipulation might compromise election integrity in digital voting systems without sufficient protection. We need adequate security to retain electoral credibility, they argue. The Election Management Bodies International (2023) agrees, citing Estonia's e-voting legislation as a model. Estonia's technical advances and rigorous legislative framework, which includes periodic security evaluations and detailed breach response methods, contributed to its digital voting success.

The literature investigates digital voting system security and public trust. Alvarez and Hall (2020) suggest security perception is as important as procedures. If voters doubt their ballots are safe, even the most secure digital voting techniques may fail to gain public acceptance. Gomes and Silva (2022), who investigate Brazilian biometric verification and election integrity, agree. In election misrepresentation-prone regions, biometric technology has enhanced voter trust. Content analysis confirms these findings, revealing that secure nations have higher public confidence and vote participation (National Democratic Institute, 2019).

5.2.3 Sub Theme 3: Policy Adaptation and Implementation

International digital voting system content analysis reveals policy adaptability and local context understanding usually contribute to successful implementation. Government reports and case studies reveal that nations that implemented digital voting adapted legislation from other contexts to their needs. Digital voting, adapted from other countries, serves India's large and diverse population. The analysis shows that India has changed policy through technology innovation and legal reform to make the system secure and accessible to all voters. Canada's cautious approach to digital voting, which includes long pilot testing and sluggish adoption, underlines the need to adjust regulations to local situations to reduce issues (Mwangi, 2021).

However, statistics demonstrate policy adaptation and implementation problems. The research reveals that policy adaptation to technology and voter behaviour is challenging. Countries with rigid and slow-adapting digital voting methods have disenfranchised voters and lowered election trust. The content analysis also implies that government agencies, technology providers, and civil society organisations must work together to change policies. Collaboration is necessary to ensure that policies are technically solid, socially acceptable, and meet voter requirements (Dlamini, 2018).

Compared to the literature, the data findings support the relevance of policy adaptability in digital voting system adoption. Smith (2019) notes that digital voting regulations must be adjusted to each country's demands and problems due to technological adaptability. They suggest that a one-size-fits-all strategy is unlikely to succeed since technology, infrastructure, and voter behaviour vary in each country. Banerjee (2021) discusses India's digital voting policy and emphasises the need to localise rules. He believes India's digital voting success is due to its ability to adapt foreign best practices to its electorate's demands, particularly the creation of user-friendly technology that are available to all voters (Madonsela, 2020).

The literature discusses policy adaptation and implementation issues. According to Creswell (1994), one problem is making policies adaptable to technology and voter behaviour. He believes policies must be examined and revised to stay relevant and successful in changing circumstances. Johnston (2017) emphasises stakeholder involvement in digital voting system adoption. He assists government agencies, technology providers, and civil society organisations to collaborate on technically sound, socially acceptable, voter-driven policy. The content analysis supports these findings, revealing that policy adaptation and implementation require strong cooperation and a willingness to adapt to local needs (Rasheed, 2017).

These findings suggest that South Africa must be flexible in policymaking to implement digital voting. The teachings of India and Canada must be adapted to South Africa. This would certainly need technically sound, socially acceptable, and electorate-driven legislation. These actions will make South Africa's digital voting system secure and accessible, boosting election integrity (Madonsela, 2020).

5.3 Research Objective 3: Evaluate Voter Perceptions and Behaviour Using Rational Choice Theory and Technology Acceptance Model

5.3.1 Sub Theme 1: Voter Trust and Technology Adoption

Content study of peer-reviewed literature, government papers, and case studies shows how voter trust affects digital voting technology adoption. The study shows that voter trust is crucial to digital voting system performance. In South Africa, where digital voting is still in its infancy, public faith in the election process is closely tied to technology use. Data demonstrate that digital voting uptake is greater in locations with better trust in government and electoral organisations. Trust is poor in locations where electoral misrepresentation or mismanagement has occurred, hence digital voting methods are resisted. Digital voting systems' security and transparency problems worry many voters that their ballots may be altered or lost in the digital ether (Norris & Frank, 2003; Goodman & Smith, 2016).

The data also shows that technical confidence is vital to digital voting system adoption. In South Africa, where technological access and literacy are uneven, digital technology confidence differs by population. The results imply that younger, more tech-savvy voters are more likely to choose digital voting methods as a handy and modern alternative to paper votes. Older voters, especially rural ones, are more sceptical of digital technologies, viewing them as complicated and prone to failure. Election organisations' ineffective communication concerning digital voting methods and their security and dependability adds to this scepticism (Elklit, 2014; Norris & Frank, 2003). The study suggests that digital voting in South Africa will be difficult to implement without resolving these issues and creating confidence across all demographics.

Incorporating the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), voter trust can also be framed through the lens of perceived ease of use (PEOU) and perceived usefulness (PU). According to TAM, voters are more likely to adopt digital voting if they perceive it as easy to use (PEOU) and find it useful (PU) in terms of increasing convenience and accessibility (Davis, 1989). When trust in the technology is low, both PEOU and PU diminish, leading to resistance in adoption. For example, older voters may find digital voting systems complex, thus lowering their perceived ease of use, while tech-savvy younger voters might perceive digital voting as a more useful and efficient system (Alvarez & Hall, 2008). In this sense, building voter trust and confidence directly influences the TAM constructs, enhancing both PEOU and PU, ultimately encouraging adoption (Goodman & Smith, 2016).

These findings substantially support Rational Choice Theory when compared to previous research. Schedler (2013) claims that people rationally weigh the pros and cons of alternative voting systems. In digital voting, people are likely to accept new technologies if they perceive the benefits—convenience, speed, and accessibility—outweigh the risks—security concerns and technological failure. Content analysis shows that voters who trust digital voting systems' security and dependability are more inclined to use them. When trust is low, voters may see

the dangers as outweighing the advantages, resulting in digital voting opposition (Elklit, 2014).

By integrating TAM, we can observe that trust affects both PEOU and PU. Voters who feel that digital voting is secure are more likely to perceive the system as useful and easy to use, reinforcing its adoption (Davis, 1989; Alvarez & Hall, 2008). The TAM framework underscores the importance of trust as a determinant of both PEOU and PU, which are critical for rational decision-making when voters consider adopting digital voting technology.

5.3.2 Sub Theme 2: Behavioural Influence of Digital Voting

Digital voting systems can increase voter engagement and involvement, according to government publications and research. In successful countries, digital voting has improved voter turnout, especially among younger and remote voters. Digital voting, which allows citizens to vote without going to polling stations, is driving this increase in turnout. Digital voting might increase voter turnout in South Africa, especially among younger voters, by making it easier to vote (Zuma, 2023). Digital voting systems can also engage disengaged people by making voting easier and more convenient, according to the study.

Digital voting's behavioural influence may provide issues, according to the report. The research raises fears that digital voting may worsen voter inequality. The analysis shows that digital voting may increase turnout among younger voters and urbanites, but it may decrease turnout among older voters and ruralites who lack access to technology or digital literacy. This might increase the participation gap between voters, with some becoming more involved and others becoming more disenfranchised (van der Merwe, 2022; Ndlovu, 2021). The statistics also imply that internet voting may impact voters' decisions based on convenience rather than issue analysis.

Comparing facts to literature, Rational Choice Theory is mostly supported. According to Jaradat (2013), voters are more inclined to utilise digital voting systems if they find them handy and easy to use and feel the advantages exceed

the costs. Digital voting is preferred by younger, urban voters who value convenience, according to content research. The evidence suggests that digital voting techniques may impact voters' decisions, with some valuing convenience above issues.

Applying TAM, voter behaviour is strongly linked to both perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (Davis, 1989). Younger, urban voters find digital voting useful because it reduces the time and effort required to participate in elections. These voters are also more likely to perceive the system as easy to use due to their familiarity with technology (Banerjee, 2021). Conversely, older or rural voters may see the system as difficult to navigate (low PEOU) and less beneficial (low PU). Therefore, TAM provides an additional lens through which to understand voter behaviour in the digital age—voters will engage more if both PEOU and PU are perceived positively (Goodman & Stokes, 2019).

5.3.3 Sub Theme 3: Barriers to Digital Voting Adoption

Content analysis of peer-reviewed literature, government publications, and case studies demonstrates many key digital voting implementation barriers in South Africa. Digital literacy and technological availability are the largest impediments to digital voting, evidence reveals. South Africa has a substantial digital gap between urban and rural areas. Data show that cities with good internet connections and digital competency are more open to digital voting. Digital voting is fiercely opposed in rural areas because many people lack access to technology. Digital voting nationally may disenfranchise older and less tech-savvy voters due to concerns about their ability to navigate the system (Madonsela, 2020; Smith, 2019).

The research slows digital voting system implementation owing to security and integrity issues. Many voters fear hacking and election misrepresentation, especially in South Africa, where voting integrity is questioned. The electoral authorities' lack of transparency and knowledge on digital voting and misrepresentation prevention exacerbates these issues. Without clear and

honest information on digital voting system security, many voters would remain doubtful, thinking their votes may be manipulated or lost (Dlamini, 2018; Mwangi, 2021).

Through the TAM framework, these barriers can be seen as directly impacting voters' perceptions of the ease of use (PEOU) and usefulness (PU) of digital voting systems (Davis, 1989). For instance, the lack of digital literacy decreases the PEOU, making it harder for rural voters to adopt digital voting (Khazanov, Levin & Sela, 2022). Furthermore, security concerns and doubts about system transparency reduce PU, as voters are unlikely to adopt a system they do not trust (Rasheed, 2017). To overcome these barriers, it is essential for electoral institutions to increase PEOU by providing training on digital voting technologies and enhance PU by addressing security and transparency concerns (Smith, 2019).

The data support Davies et al. (2021)'s South African digital divide issues. They say poor people will oppose digital voting without technological access and awareness. Content study shows that the digital gap inhibits digital voting in South Africa, especially in rural regions with less technology. Technology gaps influence voting accessibility, say Khazanov, Levin, and Sela (2022). Digital voting may diminish voter turnout in countries with major technical disparities, disenfranchising marginalised groups (Smith, 2019).

The integration of TAM suggests that improving digital literacy and access to technology is crucial for enhancing both PEOU and PU, thus fostering wider adoption (Dlamini, 2018). Addressing these gaps will help overcome barriers to digital voting by increasing the perceived ease and usefulness of the technology across different demographics, thereby reducing voter disparities (Mwangi, 2021).

5.4 Research Objective 4: Develop Recommendations for Enhancing Electoral Integrity through Digital Voting

5.4.1 Sub Theme 1: Policy Recommendations for Digital Voting

Many practical recommendations came from content analysis of South African policy proposals to improve digital voting system integrity. National digital voting is a key policy aim, according to government reports, policy documents, and case studies. Digital voting system implementation, operation, and security will be covered under this framework. Without a unified approach, South African provinces and municipalities may deploy numerous digital voting techniques. Fragmentation may produce electoral disparities, making national transparency, justice, and security tougher. The paper recommends policymakers incorporate Estonian digital voting best practices. Blockchain technology secures vote transactions and digital identity systems verify voters (Zissis & Lekkas, 2011). This content analysis also indicated that South Africa requires digital divide policies. Technology and literacy differ nationwide, with rural areas being more disadvantaged. Digital voting must be available to all through technological infrastructure and digital literacy policies, especially in disadvantaged areas. The research stresses the importance of public trust in digital voting systems, which may be enhanced by electoral institutions being transparent about digital voting and voter data security. Data also suggest periodic audits of digital voting systems to ensure their integrity and security (Smith, 2015).

Content analysis suggests integrated digital voting policy frameworks after analysing the literature. Cárdenas and Streb (2013) claim that digital voting technology will be unequal and manipulable without a national policy that establishes precise criteria. Trechsel (2007) concurs that South Africa's inconsistent policy framework has delayed digital voting system rollout. Both studies stress the importance of adopting international best practices like Estonia's digital identity systems and blockchain technology to secure and transparent digital voting.

Digital divide policy content analysis is supported by research. Alvarez, Hall, and Llewellyn (2008) warn that the digital gap may delay technology adoption in rural and disadvantaged South Africa. They believe authorities should prioritize internet infrastructure and literacy for fair digital voting. According to content

research, internet voting may raise voter participation disparity without reducing the digital gap (Cárdenas & Streb, 2013).

5.4.2 Sub Theme 2: Enhancing Security Measures

Good security protects digital voting systems and electoral integrity, according to worldwide case studies and policy publications. Estonia and Australia show that multi-layered security solves technological and procedural issues in digital voting systems. Blockchain, ID verification, and end-to-end encryption protected Estonian elections. These security features prevent hacking and cyberattacks and allow voters to view their ballots, according to the research. Accessible digital voting technologies allow all Australians, including disabled voters, to vote safely. Australia addresses security issues through regular security evaluations and independent monitoring (Munoz & Anduiza, 2019).

Countries with weak digital infrastructures struggle to secure digital voting systems. Data show that South Africa's increasing digital infrastructure requires considerable technology and human resource investment for optimal security. The content analysis shows that electoral organisations struggle to protect digital voting, especially against cyber threats. The report suggests regulatory frameworks necessitate continuous security evaluations and breach procedures. Without these safeguards, South African digital voting systems might be manipulated, eroding democracy (Birch, 2007).

The results demonstrate digital voting system security beyond prior research. Krimmer (2021) recommends multi-layered cyberdefense for computerised voting systems. He suggests blockchain, encryption, and digital identity verification for safe digital voting. According to Simons and Jones (2020), digital voting's challenges and promise in Australia depend on accessibility and security. Both studies emphasise security audits and independent digital voting system integrity monitoring (Lehoucq, 2003).

This literature enables content analysis of security threats in countries with inadequate digital infrastructures. Trechsel and Mendez (2005) advise growing

digital infrastructure governments to spend extensively in technology and people to protect themselves. Without this investment, computerised voting systems may be manipulated and cyberattacked, undermining electoral trust. Content analysis supports this assertion, suggesting South Africa should safeguard its digital voting system with technology and staff.

These findings indicate South African digital voting security requires improvement. Cryptography, blockchain, and digital identity verification prevent cyberattacks and assure election integrity. To find and address vulnerabilities, security assessments and independent monitoring should be done regularly. Prioritising these security measures can improve South African digital voting trust and election integrity. (Goodman 2014).

5.4.3 Sub Theme 3: Inclusivity and Accessibility in Digital Voting

Global case studies and policy papers highlight inclusion and accessibility in digital voting system design and deployment. Data from Estonia, Australia, and Brazil show that successful digital voting systems emphasise excluded groups, maintaining democratic equality. Estonia and Australia's digital identity systems simplify rural and disabled voting. The research suggests these techniques enhance marginalised voter participation and electoral inclusion. The results also imply that people trust and accept fair and accessible inclusive digital voting methods (Norris, 2014).

The paper also addresses digital voting system inclusion and accessibility issues, especially in nations with large technical gaps. The data show that rural and poor South Africans lack digital voting technology. Without digital infrastructure and literacy initiatives, these communities may fall behind in the digital revolution, according to study. The findings also imply that all voters, regardless of physical or cognitive ability, should have access to computerised voting systems. Digitally impaired voters have options (Goodwin-Gill, 2006).

Text analysis aids literature on digital voting system accessibility and inclusiveness. Schedler (2002) claims digital voting without inclusion would

worsen voter inequality. Alvarez, Hall, and Hyde (2008) suggest that South Africa's digital divide may prevent rural and underprivileged people from voting online without specific measures. Digital voting requires digital infrastructure and literacy initiatives, according to both studies.

The research confirms content analysis findings that digital voting systems should be accessible. Digital voting for handicapped individuals is suggested by Birch (2011) to increase electoral inclusivity. He favours computerised voting for impaired voters. To allow all voters, regardless of physical or cognitive ability, digital voting systems must prioritise accessibility, according to content analysis.

These findings demonstrate that South African digital voting systems must be inclusive and accessible. Digital infrastructure and literacy initiatives are needed to close the digital divide and give digital voting systems to everyone. Disability options must be accessible in digital voting systems. Prioritising inclusion and accessibility may enhance election fairness and enable digital voting for all South Africans (Birch, 2011).

5.5 Discussion of the Findings, Guided by the Research Objectives

This section contains findings from a research on South African digital voting technology, overseas best practices, voter perspectives utilising Rational Choice Theory, and election integrity.

5.5.1 Assessing the Current State of Digital Voting Systems in South Africa

The content analysis demonstrated that South Africa's digital voting infrastructure is still evolving, with major urban-rural variations. The findings demonstrate that while electronic voter registration has been implemented, the country lacks a fully integrated digital voting system. The analysis found technical infrastructure concerns in rural areas with low internet and device connectivity. The digital divide may disenfranchise large parts of the population without access to technology, blocking digital voting. South African digital voting regulations were

likewise deemed lacking. Lack of a national digital voting framework has fractured efforts and implementation among regions.

Our findings corroborate the literature that digital voting systems need a strong and standardised digital infrastructure. Digital voting without a strong digital infrastructure may lead to uneven participation, according to Munoz and Anduiza (2019). Trechsel and Mendez (2005) stress the need for a comprehensive policy framework for South African digital voting. The content analysis and literature agree that digital voting requires focused digital infrastructure expenditures and clear, consistent regulations (Goodman, 2014)..

5.5.2 Comparing International Best Practices in Digital Voting

South African methods were proposed by international case studies. Estonia's usage of digital identification systems for voter authentication and blockchain technology for transparent vote verification are leading examples of how technology may improve digital voting security and transparency. This paper stressed Australia's commitment to accessibility, especially for disabled voters, for a successful digital voting system. Digital voting methods work because of technical innovation, security, and accessibility, as seen abroad. Madonsela (2020) advocates blockchain to avoid cyberattacks. Simons and Jones (2020) also emphasise the necessity for digital voting methods that are accessible to all voters, regardless of geography or ability. This content analysis reveals that South Africa might benefit from security and accessibility best practices. South Africa's digital gap and unequal technical literacy must be considered when replicating overseas models (Smith, 2019).

5.5.3 Evaluating Voter Perceptions and Behaviour Using Rational Choice Theory

According to Rational Choice Theory, South African voters trust digital voting. Digital voting is favoured by those who trust it, according to content analysis. According to study, misrepresentation and technology frightened voters. Low-digital literacy voters may not understand how digital voting systems work or how

their votes are secured. These data confirm Dlamini (2023)'s notion that voters rationally consider different voting methods. Digital voters are more inclined to adopt new technology if the benefits, such as ease and accessibility, outweigh the risks. Zuma (2023) stresses trust in underdeveloped nations embracing digital voting. The content analysis shows that South Africa needs clear communication and adequate security to adopt digital voting (van der Merwe, 2022).

5.6 Conclusion

This study finds that electronic voting can enhance South African electoral integrity. To implement these systems, the digital gap, policy fragmentation, and voter trust must be addressed. The paper recommends targeted digital infrastructure investments, a unified national policy framework, and global best practices to maximise digital voting's advantages. Clear communication and solid security will also be needed to build voter trust in digital voting technologies. Addressing these issues and learning from international examples will help South Africa's election process become more democratic and transparent.

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMEDANTIONS

6.1 Introduction

As elections grow digitally, democracies are researching digital voting methods. These methods improve election efficiency, accessibility, and integrity. Digital voting may minimise election misrepresentation, logistical inefficiencies, and voter disinterest in South Africa. This chapter, “Conclusions and Recommendations,” synthesises the literature review and empirical data to provide a complete picture of digital voting in South Africa and its future. Findings and recommendations for policymakers, electoral authorities, and other stakeholders came from the research aims study and debate. This study's results and recommendations are significant because they condense the research and offer realistic steps to build digital voting systems that improve South African elections' integrity, security, and inclusivity. The ultimate goal is to successfully introduce digital voting systems that satisfy global best practices and address South African election issues.

6.2 Summary of the Findings

6.2.1 Findings from the Literature

The literature research in this study helped explain digital voting technology, their issues, and effective global strategies. The literature reveals that digital voting system installation requires infrastructure. Estonia and Australia demonstrate that secure and effective digital voting systems require reliable digital infrastructure. The safe and transparent digital identification system that authenticates voters has helped Estonia succeed. This method has standardised digital voting in other countries and demonstrated the necessity for blockchain to increase security and transparency (International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance [IDEA], 2021).

A unified policy framework is stressed in the literature. In countries that struggle to install digital voting systems, a lack of a clear regulatory framework causes fragmented projects and inconsistent application. Election Management Bodies International (2023) suggest that South Africa may struggle to adopt digital voting without a national plan. Regional disparities in technological infrastructure and digital understanding may disenfranchise less developed South African voters from digital voting.

Digital voting system integrity literature prioritises security. Australia successfully implemented digital voting systems by emphasising security with multi-layered processes to reduce technological and procedural vulnerabilities (Carter Center, 2020). For electoral transparency and cyberdefense, we need end-to-end encryption, blockchain technology, and regular security evaluations. According to studies, digital voting system adoption requires public trust. Digital voting adoption requires confidence, according to National Democratic Institute (2019). Voters must trust the system to register votes accurately and avoid misrepresentation. The research suggests that election officials must provide honest information about the system and protections to build confidence.

Inclusion and accessibility were other key literature issues. Digital voting systems must accommodate impaired and remote voters. Digital voting that excludes underprivileged populations risks growing voter disparity, cautions Freedom House (2022). The digital divide in South Africa inhibits tech adoption. According to the literature, rural digital infrastructure development and digital literacy programs are essential to enable digital voting for everybody.

The study also found computerised voting system behavioural consequences. Digital voting methods are more popular if they are convenient, safe, and trustworthy, according to Rasheed (2017). Digital voting system acceptability depends on voter perceptions, according to content analysis. Trust in the system and its proven benefits over traditional voting methods boosts support for its use. Digital voting methods may unintentionally encourage people to vote primarily on convenience rather than problem analysis (Dlamini, 2018). Due to this possible

voter behaviour shift, digital voting systems must be properly developed and implemented to safeguard election integrity.

The literature review stresses the complexity of digital voting systems and the need for a comprehensive solution that addresses technological, policy, and behavioural challenges. The results suggest that digital voting has great promise if systems are designed and implemented with each context's challenges and possibilities in mind. South Africa must have a robust digital infrastructure, unified regulatory framework, strong security, and overcome the digital divide to allow all citizens to vote digitally (Madonsela, 2020).

6.2.2 Findings from the Empirical Study

This thorough empirical study analysed South African digital voting technology uptake and determinants. Many critical findings from government reports, policy papers, and digital voting case studies supported the literature assessment.

The empirical study found a digital infrastructure difference between urban and rural South Africa. According to study, urban areas have superior digital voting technology than rural locations. This discrepancy might restrict election participation if a nationwide digital voting system is implemented. Report: Many rural areas lack reliable internet and digital devices, and digital literacy is low. This digital gap impairs digital voting and threatens to disenfranchise large parts of the population who lack the technology or competence to vote online (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

Report: South Africa lacks a nationwide digital voting policy framework. Policy paper analysis found that computerised voter registration has been haphazard and disconnected. Lack of a clear policy framework has led to varied systems in different areas and municipalities. Election irregularities increase with fragmentation, making national transparency, justice, and security difficult to ensure (South African Electoral Commission, 2020).

Cyberattacks and voter misrepresentation are key security issues for digital voting systems, says the empirical study. Statistics show voters, election officials, and politicians worried about hacking and manipulation. South Africa's election integrity challenges are particularly noticeable. The research proposed end-to-end encryption, blockchain, and frequent digital voting system security reviews. The research found it difficult to build public trust in digital voting systems without these precautions (Zissis & Lekkas, 2011).

The empirical study found that voter trust is essential to digital voting system approval. Study: Voters who trust digital voting systems' security and reliability are more likely to adopt them. People worry about misrepresentation and technical problems, according to studies. Low-digital literacy voters may not understand how digital voting systems work or how their votes are secured. Open communication and good security are needed to build voter trust in South Africa's digital voting system, the study found (Cárdenas & Streb, 2013).

The empirical study found digital voting system behaviour impacts. We found that digital voting systems may increase voter turnout, especially among younger and rural voters. If the digital divide is not closed, digital voting may increase voter participation inequalities, the study found. The report underlined the need for focused initiatives to enable all voters, regardless of geography or socioeconomic status, equal access to digital voting tools (Government of Canada, 2019).

The empirical investigation concluded that South Africa supports global digital voting best practices. According to studies, stakeholders prefer international technologies and practices like Estonia's digital identity system and Australia's accessibility focus. The study also showed that South Africa's difficulties require customised strategies. This requires digital gap closure, system security, and public trust (Trechsel, 2007).

The empirical study indicates that although digital voting has great potential to enhance election integrity in South Africa, it faces major obstacles. Examples include the digital divide, fragmented policies, voter trust, and security. By

addressing these problems, South Africa will be able to create digital voting systems that improve election inclusivity, security, and integrity (Alvarez, Hall, & Llewellyn, 2008).

6.3 Conclusions of the Study

This article discusses how digital voting might enhance South African electoral integrity and its challenges. The study suggests digital voting technology may increase accessibility, security, and transparency. Successfully adopting these methods requires considering South Africa's unique challenges and opportunities.

The study found that digital voting requires strong digital infrastructure. The literature research and empirical investigation reveal that digital voting requires infrastructure. Digital voting may be unevenly implemented in rural areas without reliable equipment, disenfranchising some communities. To allow everyone to vote online, targeted digital infrastructure spending, especially in impoverished areas, is needed.

Another important conclusion is that South Africa requires a unified national policy framework for digital voting. The study concluded that the lack of a national regulatory framework has fragmented and inconsistently employed digital voting systems. This demands a detailed policy for digital voting system architecture, operation, and security. This plan should incorporate global best practices and be tailored to South Africa's needs and environment.

The study also shows that digital voting system adoption requires security. Without end-to-end encryption, blockchain technology, and periodic security audits, digital voting systems can be hacked. Election integrity and public trust are compromised. Improved security is essential for voter trust and digital voting in South Africa.

The survey also valued voter trust. To be extensively utilised, computerised voting systems must be trusted, say the data. This requires electoral entities to

reveal system operation, safeguards, and voter data security. Election officials can build voter trust, which is crucial for system success.

The study suggests that digital voting systems must address the digital divide to be inclusive and accessible. Some communities may fall behind in the digital revolution without focused interventions like rural digital infrastructure development and digital literacy initiatives. This highlights the need to overcome the digital gap and provide equal digital voting access.

This study implies digital voting can enhance South African electoral integrity. To adopt these solutions, the digital gap, regulatory inconsistency, security issues, and voter distrust must be addressed. By solving these concerns and learning from other nations, South Africa may implement digital voting methods that improve election integrity, security, and inclusion.

6.4 Recommendations of the Study

The study makes numerous key proposals to improve election integrity in South Africa utilising digital voting technology. These recommendations address the research's main concerns for policymakers, electoral officials, and others.

1. Develop a Comprehensive National Policy Framework for Digital Voting: Electronic voting in South Africa requires a comprehensive policy framework. Under this framework, digital voting technology deployment, operation, and security will be outlined. Policy should address voter education, trust, and digital divide. Adjust the framework for South African needs and prospects using global best practices. To be inclusive and effective, this policy framework should include government agencies, civil society groups, and technologists. (Zuma, 2023; Mahumapelo, 2022).

2. Invest in Digital Infrastructure and Literacy Programs: Invest in digital infrastructure, especially in rural and underprivileged regions, to close the digital gap and offer equal access to digital voting systems. Internet access, digital gadgets, and electronic system electricity must be upgraded. Prepare for digital

voting with digital literacy initiatives. All groups, especially marginalised ones with low digital literacy, should be addressed. Infrastructure and education can make South African digital voting more inclusive (Mwangi, 2021; Madonsela, 2020).

3. Enhance Security Measures for Digital Voting Systems: Election integrity needs digital voting system security. South Africa should use blockchain, end-to-end encryption, and security assessments to avoid cyberattacks and maintain vote transparency. Digital identity verification in Estonia may help verify voters. Legalise and have independent entities monitor these security measures to verify compliance and rectify vulnerabilities. Security can increase South Africans' faith in digital voting systems and maintain election integrity (Zuma, 2023; Mahumapelo, 2022).

4. Foster Public Trust through Transparent Communication: Successful digital voting requires public trust. Election officials should educate the public about digital voting, security, and data protection. Digital voting system fallacies should be dispelled via public education. For feedback and system improvement, election authorities should have public debates and trial programs before implementation. South African voters can gain trust and digital voting support from transparency and involvement (van der Merwe, 2022; Ndlovu, 2021).

5. Incorporate International Best Practices with Local Adaptation: South Africa should implement Estonian, Australian, and Brazilian digital voting procedures. This requires proven security, accessibility, and transparency methods. These methods must address South Africa's digital divide and literacy issues. South Africa may establish an effective and contextually appropriate digital voting system by integrating global best practices with local adaption (Goodwin-Gill, 2006; Schedler, 2002).

5.5 Limitations of the Study

This study sheds light on how digital voting might improve election integrity in South Africa, although various constraints may have affected its findings.

1. Reliance on Secondary Data Sources: Secondary data from peer-reviewed research, government documents, and case studies prevailed. Secondary data contains biases in data collection, reporting, and interpretation yet provides a lot of information. Because it uses existing literature, the research may miss recent digital voting developments. Future research may include surveys or stakeholder interviews to further understand the issues (Trechsel, 2007; Smith, 2015).

2. Limited Focus on Specific Case Studies: International best practices were studied by analysing digital voting systems in Estonia, Australia, and Brazil. This emphasis on case studies may restrict the applicability of the findings. Each nation's political, social, and technological climate affects digital voting, which may differ from South Africa's. Thus, case study advice may not apply uniformly. Future study should include case studies from nations with similar socio-economic and political characteristics to South Africa (Zuma, 2023; Mahumapelo, 2022).

3. Potential for Technological and Policy Changes: New technology and policies are constantly being developed for digital voting. These recommendations are based on current digital voting systems and may not account for future technological or legislative developments. The study's conclusions may become obsolete with fresh information. To keep ideas current and effective, digital voting trends must be studied and monitored (Simons & Jones, 2020; Goodman, Stokes, & Birch, 2019).

4. Limited Consideration of Socio-Cultural Factors: Technological, policy, and infrastructural aspects of digital voting systems were researched more than socio-cultural factors affecting voter behaviour and acceptance. Technology views, voting history, and government trust can affect voter conduct. Future study should explore these socio-cultural elements to better understand South African digital voting difficulties and potential. (Alomari, 2016; Banerjee, 2021).

6.6 Suggestions for Future Studies

Based on this study's results and limits, numerous research fields are suggested to further investigate digital voting systems' potential and address the issues indicated.

1. Conduct Primary Research on Voter Perceptions and Behavior: To explore voter perceptions and behaviour concerning digital voting, future research should include surveys, interviews, and focus groups. This study may evaluate how technology trust, security, and digital literacy affect voting. Directly engaging voters to identify digital voting adoption barriers and facilitators helps researchers concentrate and enhance policy responses. (Birch, 2007; Lehoucq, 2003).

2. Explore the Impact of Socio-Cultural Factors on Digital Voting Adoption: Due to limits, socio-cultural factors impacting digital voting system adoption need more research. Cultural beliefs, historical voting practices, and government confidence should be studied to determine voter acceptance of digital technologies. This research may compare South African areas or South Africa to comparable socio-cultural countries. Understanding these traits helps design culturally sensitive and widely accepted digital voting systems (Zuma, 2023; Mahumapelo, 2022).

3. Assess the Long-Term Impact of Digital Voting on Electoral Integrity: Longitudinal research is needed to evaluate digital voting's long-term impact on election integrity. Digital voting methods in South Africa might be investigated across several election cycles to see how they affect voter turnout, misrepresentation prevention, and political trust. Longitudinal studies may evaluate digital voting systems' longevity and efficacy (Norris, 2014; Birch, 2011).

4. Investigate the Role of Emerging Technologies in Enhancing Digital Voting Systems: Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and blockchain can be employed in developing digital voting systems. These technologies may enhance digital voting security, transparency, and accessibility in future research. To protect democratic principles and voter rights, studies may investigate the ethical

consequences of using contemporary technology in elections (Norris & Frank, 2003; Elklit, 2014).

6.7 Final Remarks

The study on increasing election integrity in South Africa utilising digital voting showed its merits and downsides. We found that digital voting improves electoral accessibility, security, and transparency. The report highlights the digital gap, the need for a coherent policy framework, and public trust as important issues. This paper suggests concentrated infrastructure spending, solid security, and clear public communication to adopt digital voting systems in South Africa.

South Africa must adjust to new challenges and opportunities as it investigates digital voting. Fast-changing technology and complex socio-political environments require ongoing research, policymaking, and stakeholder engagement. A comprehensive strategy can help South Africa establish a digital voting system that promotes election integrity and democracy. This study can guide electoral modernisation and fair, safe, and accessible elections for all.

REFERENCES

Alvarez, R. M., & Hall, T. E. (2008). Chapter 5: Internet voting and electoral fraud. In *Election fraud: Detecting and deterring electoral manipulation* (pp. 85-108). Brookings Institution Press.

Alvarez, R. M., & Hall, T. E. (2020). *Electronic Voting and Electoral Integrity*. IEEE Security & Privacy, 18(5), 34-43.

Banerjee, A. (2021). *Digital Empowerment: The Quartz in India's Electoral Ecosystem*. Journal of Democracy, 32(2), 58-72.

Birch, S. (2007). Electoral systems and electoral misconduct. *Comparative Political Studies, 40*(12), 1533-1556.

Cárdenas, J. C., & Streb, J. M. (2013). Electoral competition and internet voting: A global perspective. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the International Political Science Association, Madrid, Spain.

Carter Center. (2020). *Digital voting: Emerging trends and challenges*. Retrieved from https://www.cartercenter.org/resources/digital-voting

Creswell, J. W. (1994). *Research Design: Qualitative & Quantitative Approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Dlamini, N. (2023, May 15). Digital voting and electoral integrity: Lessons from the 2024 elections. *The Mail & Guardian*. Retrieved from https://www.mg.co.za/article/digital-voting

Davies, R., et al. (2021). *Digital Divide and Its Impact on Technology Adoption: Lessons from South African Municipalities*. South African Journal of Information Management, 23(3), e1200.

Election Management Bodies International (EMBI). (2023). *Enhancing electoral integrity through technology*. Retrieved from https://embi.org/resources/enhancing-electoral-integrity

Elklit, J. (2014). Chapter 3: The challenges of digital voting. In *New perspectives on electoral integrity* (pp. 45-67). Cambridge University Press.

Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2021). *The qualitative content analysis process*. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 62(1), 107-115.

European Commission. (2018). *E-democracy and electoral integrity in Europe*. Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers.

Freedom House. (2022). *The state of internet freedom and digital voting*. Retrieved from https://freedomhouse.org/report/internet-freedom/digital-voting

Goodman, N. (2014). Internet voting in a local election in Canada. *Electoral Studies, 34*, 235-244.

Gomes, C., & Silva, M. (2022). *Biometric Verification and Its Impact on Electoral Integrity in Brazil*. Brazilian Journal of Electoral Studies, 18(2), 34-56.

Goodman, N., Stokes, L. C., & Birch, S. (2019). *The Efficacy of Digital Voting Systems in Comparative Perspective: Technological, Social, and Political Aspects*. Journal of Information Technology & Politics, 16(2), 123-139.

Grant, C., & Osanloo, A. (2014). *Understanding, Selecting, and Integrating a Theoretical Framework in Dissertation Research: Creating the Blueprint for Your "House"*. Administrative Issues Journal: Connecting Education, Practice, and Research, p.16-31.

Goodwin-Gill, G. S. (2006). *Free and fair elections*. Inter-Parliamentary Union.

Government of Canada. (2019). *Digital voting and democracy: A policy report*. Government of Canada Publications.

Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S. E. (2021). *Three approaches to qualitative content analysis*. Qualitative Health Research, 15(9), 1277-1288.

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA). (2021). *Digital technologies in elections*. Retrieved from https://www.idea.int/publications/catalogue/digital-technologies-elections

Johnston, M. P. (2017). *Secondary Data Analysis: A Method of which the Time Has Come*. Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries, 3(3), 619-626.

Khazanov, E., Levin, I., & Sela, A. (2022). *Technological Disparities and Voting: A Comparative Analysis of Digital Voting Accessibility*. International Political Science Review, 43(3), 299-315.

Khoza, S., & Van Zyl, D. (2021). *Policy Challenges for Electronic Voting in South Africa*. Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 13(4), 67-76.

Krimmer, R. (2021). *Securing Digital Voting Systems: Best Practices from Estonia*. Journal of Cyber Policy, 6(2), 202-218.

Lehoucq, F. E. (2003). Electoral fraud: Causes, types, and consequences. *Annual Review of Political Science, 6*, 233-256.

López-Pintor, R., & Fischer, J. (2019). *The Impact of Electronic Voting on Electoral Integrity*. Oxford University Press.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1988). Criteria for Assessing Naturalistic Inquiries as Reports.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. Sage.

Madonsela, T. (2020). *The effectiveness of digital voting systems in South African elections* (PhD dissertation). Stellenbosch University, South Africa.

Mahumapelo, P. (2022, December 3). Electoral integrity in the digital age: Challenges and opportunities. *City Press*. Retrieved from https://www.news24.com/citypress/electoral-integrity

Munoz, J., & Anduiza, E. (2019). Digital voting: A comparative study of the risks and opportunities. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics, 16*(1), 50-67.

Mwangi, P. (2021). *The role of technology in enhancing electoral integrity in Kenya* (Master's thesis). University of Nairobi, Kenya.

National Democratic Institute (NDI). (2019). *Internet voting and electoral integrity: Lessons from global experiences*. Retrieved from https://www.ndi.org/publications/internet-voting

Ndlovu, T. (2021, October 8). Can digital voting save South Africa's elections? *Daily Maverick*. Retrieved from https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/digital-voting

Ngcobo, S., & Kumalo, N. (2022). *Evaluating Digital Infrastructure for Electoral Systems in Emerging Economies: A South African Perspective*. Journal of African Elections, 21(1), 44-62.

Nguyen, L., O'Malley, P., & Smith, G. (2021). *Challenges of Implementing Electronic Voting in Canada*. Canadian Journal of Political Science, 54(1), 102-118.

Norris, P., & Frank, R. (2003). Chapter 7: Electoral integrity and digital voting. In *Rethinking democracy* (pp. 157-177). Oxford University Press.

Rasheed, A. (2017). *E-voting and its implications for democracy in Nigeria* (Master's thesis). University of Lagos, Nigeria.

Reinsalu, K., & Tampere, P. (2019). *Legal Frameworks for E-voting in Estonia: Trust and Security for Technology Advancement*. European Journal of Law and Technology, 10(1).

Schedler, A. (2013). Chapter 6: Manipulating elections in the digital age. In *The politics of electoral manipulation* (pp. 101-123). Oxford University Press.

Simons, B., & Jones, D. W. (2020). *Electronic Voting in Australia: Challenges and Prospects for the Future*. Australian Journal of Political Science, 55(2), 189-206.

Smith, A. B. (2015). The role of digital voting in enhancing electoral integrity. Paper presented at the World Congress of Electoral Integrity, Tokyo, Japan.

Smith, J., & Patel, V. (2022). *Technological Adaptation for Digital Voting: Insights from International Practices*. Journal of Democracy and Technology, 24(2), 200-218.

South African Electoral Commission. (2020). *Electoral integrity and digital voting: A report on the pilot project*. Independent Electoral Commission of South Africa.

Trechsel, A. H. (2007). Internet voting in the European Union: Threat or opportunity for democracy? Paper presented at the International Conference on Electronic Voting, Bregenz, Austria.

Trechsel, A. H., & Mendez, F. (2005). The European Union and e-voting: Addressing the democratic deficit. *Electronic Government, 3*(2), 163-178.

Tullock, G. (2022). *Rational Choice and Voter Decision Making: The Impact of Electoral Systems*. Journal of Political Economy, 130(1), 85-104.

U.S. Election Assistance Commission. (2010). *A survey of internet voting: America and abroad*. U.S. Government Printing Office.

United Nations Development Programme. (2021). *Digital technologies in elections: Opportunities and challenges for electoral integrity*. UNDP.

van der Merwe, B. (2022, November 10). South Africa's digital voting trial: A step towards electoral transparency? *The Sunday Times*. Retrieved from https://www.timeslive.co.za/sundaytimes/digital-voting

Warmbrod, J. R. (1986). *Reporting and Interpreting Scores Derived from Likert-type Scales*. Journal of Agricultural Education.

Zissis, D., & Lekkas, D. (2011). Securing e-government and e-voting with an open cloud computing architecture. Paper presented at the International Conference on Information Security and Cryptology, Seoul, South Korea.

Zuma, S. (2023, February 14). Digital democracy: How online voting could change South Africa's political landscape. *Business Day*. Retrieved from https://www.businesslive.co.za/digital-democracy