

# **Assessing the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality in South Africa**

**Student name**

**Michael K Maledu**

**Student number**

**944342**

**A research proposal submitted to the Faculty of Commerce, Law, and  
Management, University of the Witwatersrand, in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of Master of Business Administration**

**Johannesburg, 2024**

## **Declaration**

I, **Michael K. Maledu**, declare that this research project is my work except as indicated in the references. It is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Business Administration at the Wits Business School, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in this or any other university.

Name: Michael K. Maledu

Signed: 

Signed at: Rustenburg

On the 29<sup>th</sup> of February 2024

## **Acknowledgements**

Completing this research journey has been a testament to the incredible support system surrounding me, and it is with a deep sense of gratitude that I acknowledge those who have made this achievement possible.

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my family, who have been my unwavering foundation throughout this process. Their love, understanding, and willingness to allow me to divert my attention towards my studies, sometimes at the expense of our family time, have been nothing short of remarkable. To my late mother, whose legacy of resilience and determination has paved the way for me to believe that I can achieve anything I set my mind to, I owe an immeasurable debt of gratitude.

I am immensely grateful to Elijah Diale, my supervisor at work, for his understanding and flexibility, providing me with the time off needed to pursue my academic goals during particularly hectic periods at work. His support has been a crucial enabler of my academic progress.

To William Moabi, I owe a special word of thanks for his brotherly support that has been a source of strength and encouragement. William, your presence and support have been a beacon of light on this journey.

Nwabisa Jwili deserves my profound thanks for her exceptional proofreading skills, which significantly enhanced the quality of my research. Nwabisa, your meticulous attention to detail and insightful feedback were instrumental in steering this research in the right direction.

I am deeply thankful to my supervisor, Prof Drikus Kriek, for laying the foundation and providing the direction necessary for this project. Prof Kriek, your guidance, wisdom, and expertise have been invaluable to my academic and personal growth.

I cannot proceed without acknowledging the grace of the Lord, who has made all things possible. It is through His will that I have been blessed with life and the strength to pursue my dreams.

Lastly, I recognize my own efforts and sacrifices in this endeavor. The sleepless nights, financial investments in analytical software, long drives when fatigue beckoned, and the willingness to incur debt with the belief in a brighter future underscores my commitment to

this cause. I thank myself for persevering against the odds and for being awesome in the face of challenges.

This journey has been a collective effort, and to everyone who has played a part, no matter how small, I am eternally grateful.

## **Abstract**

This research investigates the effectiveness and impact of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and their role in attracting customers within the South African context. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the study combines quantitative survey results from over 20 respondents across various demographics with qualitative insights from interviews conducted with marketing teams from 20 water companies. The survey aimed to assess public awareness, perceptions, and behaviors regarding water quality following exposure to marketing campaigns, while the interviews sought to understand the strategies, challenges, and successes from the perspective of those designing and implementing these campaigns.

Findings indicate a high level of awareness among the public about water quality issues, largely attributed to effective marketing strategies that emphasize educational content, transparency, and community engagement. Despite facing challenges such as public skepticism and budget constraints, marketing teams report successful outcomes in terms of increased engagement, improved public perceptions of water quality, and behavioral changes towards more sustainable water consumption practices. The study also reveals a shift towards digital platforms for campaign delivery, aiming to engage younger demographics and expand the reach of environmental messages.

Implications for practice suggest that water companies in South Africa can enhance the impact of their marketing campaigns by focusing on digital innovation, collaborative partnerships, and interactive educational content. The research recommends further exploration into the long-term effects of these marketing efforts on water conservation behaviors and the potential for cross-sectoral collaborations to amplify campaign messages.

This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on environmental marketing and its influence on public attitudes and behaviors regarding water quality, offering valuable insights for policymakers, water industry practitioners, and environmental advocates seeking to leverage marketing strategies for environmental sustainability and customer attraction in South Africa.

## Table of Contents

|                                                                                                                       |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Declaration.....                                                                                                      | I  |
| Acknowledgements.....                                                                                                 | II |
| Abstract.....                                                                                                         | IV |
| 1. Chapter 1. Introduction.....                                                                                       | 1  |
| 1.1. Statement of purpose.....                                                                                        | 1  |
| 1.2. Background of the study .....                                                                                    | 2  |
| 1.3. Research problem.....                                                                                            | 3  |
| 1.4. Research Questions.....                                                                                          | 4  |
| 1.5. Aims and Objectives.....                                                                                         | 4  |
| 1.6. Rationale of the study.....                                                                                      | 5  |
| 1.7. Delimitations of the study.....                                                                                  | 5  |
| 1.8. Operational definitions.....                                                                                     | 6  |
| 1.9. Research Assumptions.....                                                                                        | 7  |
| 2. Chapter 2: Literature review.....                                                                                  | 8  |
| 2.1. Introduction.....                                                                                                | 8  |
| 2.2. Marketing Campaigns on water quality.....                                                                        | 8  |
| 2.3. Impact and Effectiveness of Marketing Campaigns on Water<br>Quality.....                                         | 10 |
| 2.4. Factors that influence customer behaviour and their perceptions on water quality and<br>marketing campaigns..... | 11 |
| 2.5. Best practices in Marketing campaigns on Water Quality.....                                                      | 12 |
| 2.6. Models assessing the effectiveness of Marketing campaigns on water quality to<br>attract customers.....          | 13 |
| 2.7. Summary of Research gaps identified from the literature review.....                                              | 24 |

|                                                                                                  |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.8. List of theories used in the literature, and which one applies to the study.....            | 25 |
| 2.9. Conclusion on Literature review.....                                                        | 26 |
| 2.10. Research Framework.....                                                                    | 27 |
| 2.10.1. Conceptual Framework: The Intergrated Model of Communication Effects and Evaluation..... | 27 |
| 2.10.2. Evaluation metrics.....                                                                  | 28 |
| 2.10.3. How the IMCEE is applicable and suited for the study.....                                | 28 |
| 2.10.4. An explanation of how IMCEE determines what literature and methodology to review.....    | 28 |
| 3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology.....                                                          | 30 |
| 3.1. Introduction.....                                                                           | 30 |
| 3.2. Research Approach.....                                                                      | 30 |
| 3.3. Research Paradigm.....                                                                      | 30 |
| 3.4. Research Design.....                                                                        | 31 |
| 3.5. Data Collection Methods.....                                                                | 32 |
| 3.6. Population and Sample.....                                                                  | 33 |
| 3.7. The Research Instrument.....                                                                | 34 |
| 3.8. Procedure for Data Collection.....                                                          | 35 |
| 3.9. Data Analysis Strategies and Interpretation.....                                            | 37 |
| 3.10. Ethical Considerations.....                                                                | 38 |
| 4. Chapter 4: Results.....                                                                       | 39 |
| 4.1. Presentation of Quantitative Data.....                                                      | 39 |
| 4.2. Presentation of Qualitative Data.....                                                       | 47 |
| 5. Chapter 5: Discussion.....                                                                    | 51 |

|                                                                                                                                                                                   |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 5.1. Overview of Findings.....                                                                                                                                                    | 51 |
| 5.2. Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Findings.....                                                                                                                    | 52 |
| 5.3. Theoretical Implications.....                                                                                                                                                | 53 |
| 5.4. Practical Implications.....                                                                                                                                                  | 55 |
| 5.5. Limitations and Future Research Directions.....                                                                                                                              | 56 |
| 5.6. Discussion Conclusion.....                                                                                                                                                   | 57 |
| 6. Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations.....                                                                                                                                | 60 |
| 6.1. Summary of Key Findings.....                                                                                                                                                 | 60 |
| 6.2. Implications for Practice and Policy.....                                                                                                                                    | 60 |
| 6.3. Recommendations for Future Research.....                                                                                                                                     | 61 |
| 6.4. Conclusion of the study.....                                                                                                                                                 | 61 |
| 7. Chapter 7: References.....                                                                                                                                                     | 63 |
| Appendices.....                                                                                                                                                                   | 75 |
| Appendix A: Consent Form.....                                                                                                                                                     | 75 |
| Appendix B: Participation Information Sheet.....                                                                                                                                  | 76 |
| Appendix C: Ethics Clearance Certification.....                                                                                                                                   | 78 |
| Appendix D: Survey Questions, Interview Questions and Marketing Teams'<br>Responses.....                                                                                          | 79 |
| List of figures                                                                                                                                                                   |    |
| Figure 2.6.1. Research framework using AIDA model (Hassan et al.,<br>2015).....                                                                                                   | 15 |
| Figure 2.6.2. A Hierarchy of Effects Model (Barry & Howard,<br>1990).....                                                                                                         | 16 |
| Figure 4.1.2 (a): A bar chart illustrating the age distribution of respondents, showcasing a<br>dominant age group of 31-40 years (61.11%), followed by 21-30 years (27.78%)..... | 39 |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                             |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 4.1.2 (b): A pie chart depicting the gender distribution among respondents, with 27.78 % males and 72.22 % females.....                                                                              | 40 |
| Figure 4.1.3 (a): A pie chart indicating that 66.67% of respondents were aware of water quality marketing campaigns, with the primary sources being social media (44.44 %) and Word of Mouth (22.22 %)..... | 41 |
| Figure 4.1.3 (b): A histogram showing the distribution of effectiveness ratings, with an average score of 2.39 on a scale of 1 to 4.....                                                                    | 41 |
| Figure 4.1.4 (a): A chart illustrating that 55.56 % of respondents were influenced by the campaigns to adopt water conservation actions whilst 44.44 % were not.....                                        | 42 |
| Figure 4.1.4 (b): A bar chart displaying the persuasiveness ratings, with 55.6 % of respondents rating the campaigns' influence as 2.8 on a scale of 1 to 5.....                                            | 43 |
| Figure 4.1.5 (a): A bar chart demonstrating that 58% of respondents rated the campaign messages as clear and compelling.....                                                                                | 44 |
| Figure 4.1.6 (a): A pie chart reflecting that 83.33 % of respondents prefer purified bottled water over tap water, primarily due to concerns about water quality and taste.....                             | 45 |

## **1. Chapter 1: Introduction**

### **1.1. Statement of purpose**

The purpose of this research is to critically assess the effectiveness of marketing campaigns in enhancing public awareness of water quality issues and their impact on customer attraction and behavior in South Africa. With a growing concern over environmental sustainability and water resource management, this study aims to evaluate how marketing strategies implemented by water companies influence public perceptions and actions regarding water quality and conservation.

This research seeks to:

- Identify the key components and messages of current marketing campaigns related to water quality deployed by water companies in South Africa.
- Evaluate public awareness and perceptions concerning water quality as influenced by these marketing campaigns, utilizing quantitative data from survey responses.
- Understand the strategies and challenges faced by marketing teams through qualitative interviews, providing insights into the design and implementation of these campaigns.
- Analyze the behavioral outcomes among the public, such as changes in water consumption practices or preferences for water sources, attributed to marketing efforts.
- Offer recommendations for enhancing the efficacy of marketing campaigns in promoting water quality awareness and sustainable water usage among consumers.

By employing a mixed-methods approach—combining quantitative survey data with qualitative interviews—the research aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of marketing campaigns' role in water quality awareness and consumer behavior. This study not only contributes to the academic literature on environmental marketing and public health but also offers practical insights for water companies, policymakers, and environmental advocates aiming to leverage marketing strategies for the dual goals of environmental sustainability and customer engagement in the context of South Africa's unique socio-economic and environmental landscape.

## **1.2. Background of the study**

Water quality is a critical public health issue globally, with the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizing the need for safe water access to prevent diseases and promote health (World Health Organization, 2019). In South Africa, water quality management faces unique challenges due to historical inequities, environmental pressures, and growing industrial and agricultural demands (Muller, 2017). The effectiveness and impact of marketing campaigns in influencing public awareness and behaviors toward water quality in this context presents a valuable area of study.

### ***The Global Importance of Water Quality***

Access to clean water is fundamental to health, yet millions worldwide face water scarcity and pollution challenges (United Nations, 2020). The WHO (2019) guidelines for drinking-water quality highlight the necessity of comprehensive management practices to ensure water safety and public health. In South Africa, the legacy of apartheid and ongoing socio-economic disparities exacerbate water quality issues, affecting the most vulnerable populations (Rodgers, 2018).

### ***Environmental Marketing and Public Awareness***

Marketing has emerged as a potent tool for promoting environmental awareness and sustainable behaviors. Kotler and Keller (2016) define environmental marketing as the development and promotion of products and practices that reduce harm to the environment, with a focus on sustainability. Studies have shown that well-crafted marketing campaigns can significantly influence public perceptions and behaviors related to environmental conservation (Peattie, 2001).

### ***Water Quality in South Africa***

South Africa's water quality and distribution issues are compounded by climate change, pollution, and inefficient infrastructure (Swatuk, 2018). Despite efforts to improve water management, many communities remain underserved, with recurrent water crises highlighting the need for effective public engagement and policy interventions (Turton et al., 2020).

## ***Marketing Strategies for Water Quality Awareness***

The role of marketing in environmental advocacy is critical, with campaigns employing various strategies to educate and engage the public on water quality issues (Du Pisani & Sandham, 2006). Digital media, community partnerships, and educational programs have been effective in various contexts, suggesting potential applications in South Africa's water sector (Green & Peloza, 2014).

## ***Research Gap***

Existing research on environmental marketing and water quality reveals significant gaps, particularly in developing countries like South Africa. There is limited understanding of how marketing campaigns influence public perceptions and behaviors towards water quality in South Africa's unique geographical and socio-political context. Research often overlooks the country's diverse cultural and linguistic population, the impact of water accessibility and infrastructure, and the socio-economic disparities that affect water quality perceptions. Additionally, the historical legacy of apartheid and its influence on trust in institutions and water quality perceptions is underexplored. Addressing these gaps requires innovative methodologies to isolate campaign effectiveness from external factors.

### **1.3. Research problem**

South Africa's water crisis, characterized by issues of scarcity, pollution, and unequal access, poses significant health and socio-economic challenges. Despite various efforts to manage water resources effectively, a critical gap remains in public engagement and behavior towards water conservation and quality (Rodina, 2016; DWA, 2013). Marketing campaigns by water entities and environmental organizations aim to bridge this gap by raising awareness and promoting sustainable water use practices. However, the actual effectiveness of these campaigns in altering public perceptions, awareness, and behaviors towards water sustainability in South Africa is not well understood. This research seeks to evaluate the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and customer attraction, exploring how these initiatives influence public attitudes and result in behavioral change towards water conservation. The study aims to provide insights into optimizing marketing strategies to support sustainable water management in South Africa's diverse socio-economic context.

#### **1.4. Research questions**

- How do marketing campaigns influence public perceptions and behaviors regarding water quality, and what impact does this have on customer attraction and retention?
- What is the relationship between consumer awareness of water quality issues, fostered by marketing campaigns, and their willingness to invest in high-quality water solutions?
- What roles do social media and digital marketing channels play in enhancing public awareness and engagement with water quality issues?
- How can the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on improving water quality awareness and inducing behavioral change be quantitatively and qualitatively measured?

#### **1.5. Aims and Objectives**

Aims:

1. To investigate the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on enhancing public awareness and perceptions of water quality, focusing on customer attraction and retention.
2. To identify key factors influencing consumer behavior and engagement in response to marketing strategies focused on water quality.

Objectives:

1. To conduct a comprehensive literature review to examine existing research on marketing campaigns, water quality awareness, and their effectiveness in customer engagement, identifying gaps and opportunities for further investigation.
2. To employ a mixed-methods approach to gather and analyze data on consumer perceptions, behaviors, and responses to water quality marketing campaigns.
3. To develop actionable recommendations for water companies to optimize their marketing strategies for improved water quality awareness, customer engagement, and loyalty.

## 1.6. Rationale of the study

This study is motivated by the critical need to address water quality concerns in South Africa, where varying perceptions of water safety and accessibility significantly impact public health and satisfaction with water utility services. Marketing campaigns emerge as pivotal platforms for communicating about water quality and the advantages of using specific water services. By assessing the effectiveness of these campaigns, the research aims to uncover how they influence customer behavior, including perceptions, engagement, and decision-making regarding water consumption and conservation.

The rationale extends beyond evaluating marketing effectiveness; it seeks to enable water utility companies to optimize their marketing strategies. This optimization is expected to enhance customer satisfaction, foster loyalty, and promote sustainable water usage practices among the populace. In doing so, the study addresses a gap in understanding the role of marketing in environmental conservation efforts and contributes to the broader goals of sustainable water management in South Africa. Ultimately, this research offers insights into how strategic marketing can be leveraged to tackle environmental challenges, aligning with global sustainability objectives, and improving the welfare of the South African population.

## 1.7. Delimitations of the study

This research focuses specifically on evaluating the impact of marketing campaigns related to water quality on consumer behavior within the South African context. The study is delimited to:

***Geographical Scope:*** The investigation is confined to South Africa, considering its unique environmental, socio-economic, and cultural landscape. While findings may have broader applicability, they are primarily interpreted within the South African setting.

***Time Frame:*** The research examines marketing campaigns and consumer responses within a defined period leading up to 2023. This temporal delimitation ensures that the data and analyses are relevant to current challenges and strategies.

***Marketing Channels:*** The study concentrates on digital marketing channels, including social media, email, and websites, due to their growing importance in consumer engagement and the practicality of data collection. Traditional marketing channels, while acknowledged, are not the focus of this analysis.

***Consumer Behavior:*** Emphasis is placed on specific aspects of consumer behavior, such as awareness, perceptions, and willingness to engage with water conservation practices. Direct economic impacts, while recognized as significant, fall outside the primary scope of this research.

***Water Companies:*** The research examines marketing campaigns initiated by public and private water utility companies. Campaigns by non-governmental organizations or independent groups related to water quality, unless in partnership with these companies, are not directly analyzed.

***Quantitative and Qualitative Focus:*** By employing a mixed-methods approach, the study aims to achieve a balanced understanding of marketing campaign impacts. However, the depth of investigation into each method is limited by the scope and resources available for this research.

By setting these delimitations, the study aims to provide focused insights into the effectiveness of marketing strategies on water quality awareness and consumer engagement in South Africa, offering clear implications for water utility companies and policymakers.

## **1.8. Operational definitions**

- *Attracting customers:* The ability of the marketing campaign to generate interest in the water quality among potential customers, leading to an increase in the number of customers (Day, 1999).
- *Effectiveness:* The degree to which the marketing campaign achieves its intended goals, i.e., attracting and retaining customers based on the water quality. Effectiveness can be measured using various metrics, such as customer acquisition rate, customer retention rate, and return on investment (ROI) (McDonald et al., 2014).
- *Marketing campaign:* A planned set of activities aimed at promoting and advertising the water quality to potential and existing customers. The marketing campaign can include different strategies such as social media advertising, email marketing, and direct mail campaigns (Kotler & Lee, 2008).
- *Retaining customers:* The ability of the marketing campaign to maintain the interest of existing customers in the water quality and prevent them from switching to competitors (Ginsberg & Bloom, 2004).

- *Water quality*: The overall condition of the water, including its appearance, taste, odor, and chemical composition. Water quality can be measured using various parameters, such as pH, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen (Omer, 2019).

### **1.9. Research assumptions**

This study on assessing the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality and their impact on customer behavior in South Africa is based on several foundational assumptions, underpinned by existing literature:

***Effectiveness of Marketing Communications***: The assumption that marketing campaigns can significantly influence public awareness and perceptions of water quality is supported by Kotler and Keller's (2016) discussion on the power of marketing communications in shaping consumer attitudes and behaviors.

***Consumer Responsiveness***: The belief in consumer responsiveness to environmental marketing messages is supported by research indicating that environmental concerns can influence purchasing decisions (Laroche et al., 2001).

***Accuracy of Self-Reported Data***: This assumption relies on the general methodology principle that self-reported data, while subject to biases, can provide valuable insights into consumer perceptions and behaviors (Fowler Jr, 2013).

***Uniformity of Marketing Channels***: The study assumes a comparative effectiveness across marketing channels based on the understanding that diverse media can effectively convey environmental messages, as discussed in environmental communication research (Cantrill & Oravec, 1996).

***Stability of Environmental Attitudes***: This assumption is supported by the idea that environmental attitudes, particularly regarding water quality, are relatively stable and can be influenced over time through sustained educational efforts (Kollmuss & Agyeman, 2002).

***Generalizability of Findings***: The research presupposes that findings can be somewhat generalized within South Africa, based on the concept that consumer behavior theories can apply across different contexts, albeit with adjustments for local variations (Steg & Vlek, 2009).

## **2. Chapter 2: Literature review**

### **2.1. Introduction**

Water quality emerges as a paramount environmental challenge globally, with South Africa facing its unique set of hurdles including drought, pollution, and aging water infrastructure. Ensuring access to clean and safe water is pivotal not only for safeguarding human health and well-being but also for sustaining economic development. In this context, marketing campaigns dedicated to enhancing water quality awareness and fostering sustainable water use practices are instrumental. This literature review delves into the effectiveness and impact of such marketing campaigns within the South African milieu. It aims to dissect the array of marketing strategies, tools, and tactics employed to sway customer behavior towards more sustainable practices. The review systematically examines the role of customer perceptions and attitudes shaped by these campaigns, scrutinizes the existing literature for gaps and challenges, and distills best practices in crafting and executing water quality marketing initiatives. Special attention is accorded to successful case studies and the significance of adopting a multi-channel approach, underscored by community engagement and strategic partnerships, in orchestrating impactful campaigns. Through this exploration, the review seeks to furnish key findings, trends, and actionable insights, paving the way for future research and enhanced marketing practices in promoting water quality in South Africa.

### **2.2. Marketing Campaigns on water quality**

Marketing campaigns, through strategic communication efforts, are crucial in promoting water quality and encouraging sustainable water use practices. In South Africa, diverse campaigns leveraging social marketing, public relations, advertising, and community engagement have significantly influenced customer behavior towards water conservation and quality awareness (Lefebvre, 2013).

#### **Key Campaigns and Their Impact:**

- ***"War on Leaks" Campaign:*** Initiated by the Department of Water and Sanitation in 2018, this campaign focused on reducing water losses by addressing leaking pipes and promoting water-saving among consumers (Ringwood, 2019). Utilizing mass media, social media, and community mobilization, it effectively raised awareness and encouraged proactive water-saving practices (Ringwood, 2019).

- **"Clear Rivers" Campaign:** Since 2013, the Clear Rivers campaign has engaged communities in river cleaning activities, significantly enhancing public involvement and awareness about the importance of clean rivers for maintaining water quality (Department of Water and Sanitation, 2013; 2019).
- **Blue Drop Certification Program:** This program, aiming to improve drinking water quality, recognizes municipalities that meet high water quality standards (Mashele, 2017). It has fostered transparency and a commitment to water quality improvement among municipalities (Nealer & Mtsweni, 2013).

### **Bottled Water Industry's Influence:**

The growth of the bottled water industry underscores marketing's role in shaping consumer perceptions of water quality (Singh, 2018). Branding, packaging, and advertising have notably influenced consumer preferences, driving a shift towards bottled water due to perceived quality and safety concerns (Osei, 2015). Marketing strategies highlighting health benefits, purity, and taste have been key in this shift, underscoring the power of marketing in consumer decision-making (Osei, 2015).

### **Public Health Initiatives:**

Marketing campaigns have also been pivotal in public health initiatives, promoting water quality awareness and safe water consumption. Multimedia campaigns have successfully heightened awareness and led to increased use of treated water sources, contributing to a decrease in waterborne diseases (Anthonj et al., 2022). Educational campaigns in schools have furthered knowledge on water quality, fostering responsible water consumption behaviors (Dresner & Gill, 1994).

### **Water Conservation Efforts:**

Studies on water conservation campaigns reveal their effectiveness in raising awareness about water scarcity and promoting water-saving behaviors (Addo et al., 2019).

Techniques such as billboards, radio ads, and social media, alongside public-private partnerships and celebrity endorsements, have been instrumental in these efforts (Azaki, 2020).

## **Conclusion:**

The literature on marketing campaigns related to water quality in South Africa demonstrates their significant role in influencing consumer perceptions, raising awareness, and promoting sustainable behaviors. These campaigns, through varied strategies, have effectively addressed water quality concerns, highlighting the importance of strategic marketing in environmental conservation efforts.

### **2.3. Impact and Effectiveness of Marketing Campaigns on Water Quality**

Marketing campaigns are crucial for enhancing awareness about water quality and encouraging sustainable water use. Their effectiveness hinges on various factors:

***Clear Objectives:*** Successful campaigns have well-defined goals, whether to raise awareness, change behavior, or directly improve water quality. This specificity aids in planning and measuring success (Thomson et al., 2003).

***Target Audience:*** Campaigns must resonate with their audience, delivering messages that are clear and actionable, urging the community towards water quality improvements (Silk et al., 2016).

***Engaging Content:*** Utilizing multiple channels—social media, print, TV, radio, and events—ensures broad reach and engagement, making content visually appealing to maintain interest (Wicks, 2000).

***Call to Action:*** Effective campaigns motivate the audience towards specific actions, such as adopting water-saving technologies or supporting relevant policies (Maduku, 2021).

***Monitoring and Evaluation:*** Assessing reach, engagement, and behavior change through key performance indicators allows for timely adjustments to enhance campaign impact (Gertler et al., 2016).

***Collaboration:*** Partnerships with government, NGOs, and communities amplify messages and broaden impact, making campaigns more effective (Yaziji & Doh, 2009).

Studies in South Africa, like the "War on Leaks" and "Clear Rivers" campaigns by the Department of Water and Sanitation, illustrate the potential of well-executed marketing strategies to significantly increase consumer knowledge and motivate water conservation behaviors (Crookes et al., 2013; Donnenfeld et al., 2018). Furthermore, initiatives like the "Blue Drop Certification" program demonstrate the power of recognizing excellence in

water quality management, fostering a competitive spirit among municipalities for quality improvements (Mashele, 2017; Nealer & Mtsweni, 2013).

Research methods such as surveys, water usage data analysis, and social media analytics provide robust tools for evaluating campaign effectiveness (Katz et al., 2016). These methodologies reveal insights into public awareness, attitude shifts, and behavioral changes post-campaign, offering evidence of the campaigns' impacts on water quality and conservation efforts (Green et al., 2019; Eagle et al., 2016).

In conclusion, the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality is multifaceted, relying on clear objectives, targeted messaging, engaging content, and robust evaluation methods. South African case studies underscore the importance of strategic marketing in promoting water quality awareness and sustainable practices among consumers.

#### **2.4. Factors that influence customer behaviour and their perceptions on water quality and marketing campaigns**

This section examines the critical factors affecting customer behavior and perceptions of water quality, alongside the impact of marketing campaigns. It delves into the roles of socio-economic status, environmental concerns, trust in institutions, and the strategic design of marketing campaigns in shaping consumer attitudes and behaviors towards water quality.

***Socio-economic Status:*** Socio-economic factors significantly impact perceptions of water quality (Turgeon et al, 2004). Javidi & Pierce (2017) found that higher income and education levels correlate with a greater likelihood of perceiving tap water as safe. Moreover, Carson & Mitchell (2018) noted that economically advantaged households show a higher willingness to invest in water quality improvements.

***Environmental Concerns:*** Environmental awareness drives sensitivity towards water quality issues and a preference for sustainable water management practices (Bourceret et al., 2022). Consumers with strong environmental values are more inclined to support eco-friendly water consumption practices, as highlighted by Pirani & Secondi (2011).

***Trust in Institutions:*** Trust in water service providers and regulatory bodies significantly influences perceptions of water quality (De Franca Doria, 2010). De Franca Doria et al. (2009) emphasize that trust leads to higher satisfaction with water quality and reduces

reliance on alternatives like bottled water. Effective marketing campaigns can bolster this trust, fostering positive perceptions of water quality (Barnes et al., 2023).

***Marketing Campaigns:*** The effectiveness of marketing campaigns in altering perceptions and behaviors hinges on clear, persuasive communication tailored to specific demographics (Snyder et al., 2004). Howarth & Butler (2004) advocates for demographic-specific messaging to enhance campaign impact, while Pawsey et al. (2009) point to the potential of digital marketing tools, such as social media, in engaging customers and promoting water quality initiatives.

In summary, understanding how socio-economic status, environmental concerns, trust in institutions, and marketing campaigns influence customer behavior and perceptions is essential for entities involved in water resource management (Mankad & Tapsuwan, 2011). This knowledge aids in crafting marketing strategies that effectively address water quality issues, shaping consumer perceptions and behaviors in a positive direction. Future research should further explore these interactions and uncover additional factors that may impact customer attitudes towards water quality and marketing efforts.

## **2.5. Best practices in Marketing campaigns on Water Quality**

Effective marketing campaigns on water quality hinge on several best practices that enhance awareness and influence consumer behavior positively. These practices include targeting the right audience, employing strategic communication, utilizing digital platforms, fostering partnerships, and rigorously monitoring and evaluating campaign outcomes.

***Understanding the Target Audience:*** The foundation of any impactful marketing campaign is a deep understanding of the audience's demographics, socio-economic backgrounds, and environmental concerns (Donovan & Henley, 2010). Customizing messages to address the specific needs and values of different community segments significantly boosts the campaign's resonance and effectiveness (Kim, 2020).

***Utilizing Effective Communication Strategies:*** Crafting messages that emotionally connect with the audience, underscore personal and communal values, and align with prevailing social norms is crucial (Contractor & DeChurch, 2014). Clarity and simplicity in conveying complex water quality information are essential for broad comprehension and engagement.

***Leveraging Digital Channels:*** Digital platforms offer unparalleled opportunities for reach and engagement. Social media, websites, and mobile apps not only facilitate real-time interaction but also support targeted messaging, making it possible to efficiently reach specific audience segments (Proulx & Shepatin, 2012).

***Fostering Partnerships:*** Collaborating with stakeholders, including water service providers, regulatory bodies, NGOs, and community groups, extends the campaign's reach and enhances its credibility (Warner, 2006). Such partnerships can pool resources, expertise, and influence, amplifying the campaign's impact (Gray & Stites, 2013).

***Monitoring and Evaluating Campaign Impact:*** Ongoing assessment of the campaign's performance through key indicators like audience reach, engagement rates, and behavioral shifts is vital for success (Kotler & Lee, 2008). This feedback loop allows for timely adjustments and strategy refinement, ensuring the campaign's objectives are met effectively.

In summary, adopting these best practices allows organizations to more successfully promote water quality awareness and foster positive consumer behaviors. Tailored messaging, strategic communication, digital engagement, collaborative efforts, and continuous evaluation form the cornerstone of impactful marketing campaigns in the water sector.

## **2.6. Models assessing the effectiveness of Marketing campaigns on water quality to attract customers.**

Several models can be used to depict and assess the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality with the sole purpose to attract customers. These models provide a framework for evaluating the impact of marketing efforts, which allows businesses to identify which elements work well and which ones require serious improvement. The models are as follows:

### **2.6.1. AIDA (Attention, Interest, Desire, Action) Model**

This model (Figure 2.6.1) represents the stages a customer goes through when exposed to a marketing campaign. It helps businesses design campaigns that capture attention, generate interest, create desire for the product, and ultimately motivate the customer to take action (e.g., purchasing or supporting the product) (Hassan et al., 2015).

## **Attention**

The first step in the AIDA model is to capture the target audience's attention. Small businesses can use eye-catching visuals, compelling headlines, and engaging content to stand out on social media platforms (Smith & Zook, 2011). To maximize reach, businesses should also consider using hashtags, engaging with trending topics, and posting during peak activity hours (Macarthy, 2021).

## **Interest**

Once the audience's attention is captured, the next step is to generate interest in the product or service. Small businesses can share valuable information, highlight unique selling points, and showcase customer testimonials to pique interest (Kotler & Armstrong, 2017). Engaging formats like videos, infographics, and interactive content can further enhance the interest of the target audience.

## **Desire**

Creating desire is essential for converting interest into a potential purchase. Small businesses can use social media to evoke emotions, demonstrate the benefits of their products or services, and showcase their relevance to the audience's needs and preferences (Smith & Zook, 2011). Utilizing influencer partnerships and user-generated content can further amplify the desire for the product or service.

## **Action**

The final step in the AIDA model is prompting action, such as purchasing the product or service, signing up for a newsletter, or contacting the business. Small businesses can use clear and persuasive calls-to-action (CTAs) in their social media posts to guide the audience towards the desired outcome (Kotler & Armstrong, 2017). Offering time-sensitive promotions, discounts, or exclusive deals can also encourage the audience to take immediate action.




Figure 2.6.1. Research framework using AIDA model (Hassan et al., 2015).

AIDA assists businesses to develop strategies for social media marketing for the sole purpose to attract customers whilst retaining existing ones (Figure 2.6.1). Figure 1 depicts that using the AIDA model as a framework, small businesses can strategically leverage social media to capture attention, generate interest, foster desire, and prompt action. By implementing these strategies, small businesses can effectively engage with their target audience, create brand awareness, and drive sales through social media platforms.

### 2.6.2. Hierarchy of Effects Model

Similar to AIDA, this model outlines six stages customers go through in response to marketing campaigns: awareness, knowledge, liking, preference, conviction, and purchase (Figure 2.6.2). By understanding these stages, businesses can tailor their marketing strategies to move customers through the decision-making process (Barry & Howard, 1990).




Figure 2.6.2. A Hierarchy of Effects Model (Barry & Howard, 1990)

### **Awareness**

The first stage of the Hierarchy of Effects Model is awareness, where marketers aim to increase brand exposure and create recognition among their target audience (Barry & Howard, 1990). This can be achieved through various marketing channels, such as television, radio, print, online advertisements, and social media, to ensure that potential customers become aware of the brand, product, or service.

### **Knowledge**

Once the target audience is aware of the brand, the next step is to provide them with relevant information and knowledge about the product or service. Marketers can use informative content, product demonstrations, and comparisons to competitors to educate consumers about the brand's unique selling points, features, and benefits (Lavidge & Steiner, 1961).

## **Liking**

At the liking stage, marketers seek to evoke positive emotions and create a favorable attitude towards the brand. This can be achieved by highlighting the brand's values, sharing customer testimonials, and using engaging visuals or stories that resonate with the target audience.

Building an emotional connection with the audience is critical, as it increases the likelihood of brand loyalty and preference (Lavidge & Steiner, 1961).

## **Preference**

In the preference stage, consumers start comparing the brand with competitors and forming a preference for it. Marketers can enhance brand preference by emphasizing the unique features and benefits of their products or services, offering better value propositions, and showcasing positive customer experiences (Barry & Howard, 1990).

## **Conviction**

At the conviction stage, the target audience is more inclined to believe in the brand's value and its ability to meet their needs (De Chernatony & Segal-Horn, 2003). Marketers can strengthen this conviction by providing additional evidence of the brand's superiority, such as expert endorsements, certifications, or awards, as well as addressing any concerns or objections the consumer may have (Lavidge & Steiner, 1961).

## **Purchase**

The final stage of the Hierarchy of Effects Model is the purchase stage, where consumers decide to buy the product or service. Marketers can encourage the purchase by offering incentives, such as discounts, promotions, or exclusive deals, as well as ensuring a smooth and convenient purchasing process (Barry & Howard, 1990).

In conclusion, the Hierarchy of Effects Model (Figure 2) provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the stages a consumer goes through in response to marketing efforts. By considering these stages, marketers can develop more effective communication strategies that guide the target audience from brand awareness to the final purchase decision.

### **2.6.3. Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) and Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)**

These models emphasize the role of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control in shaping customers' intentions and behaviors. By targeting these factors, marketing campaigns can influence customers' attitudes towards water quality and encourage positive behaviors, such as choosing sustainable water sources or supporting eco-friendly initiatives (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975).

#### **Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA)**

The Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), proposed by Fishbein and Ajzen (1975), suggests that an individual's behavior is determined by their behavioral intentions, which are in turn influenced by their attitudes towards the behavior and the subjective norms surrounding it. In the context of marketing, TRA can be used to understand and predict consumer behavior by examining their attitudes and perceptions of social pressure related to a specific product or service (Paul et al., 2016).

**a. Attitudes:** According to TRA, an individual's attitude towards a behavior is formed by their beliefs about the consequences of the behavior and the evaluation of these consequences (Paul et al., 2016). Marketers can use this insight to develop communication strategies that target consumers' beliefs and preferences, emphasizing the positive outcomes of using their product or service (Pavlou & Fygenson, 2006; Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975).

**b. Subjective norms:** Subjective norms refer to the perceived social pressure to perform or not perform a behavior (Al-Swidi et al., 2014). Marketers can leverage the influence of subjective norms by engaging with key opinion leaders or influencers, creating a sense of social approval or desirability around their product or service (Melnik et al., 1975).

#### **Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)**

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), an extension of TRA introduced by Ajzen (1985), adds an additional component known as perceived behavioral control, which refers to the individual's perception of their ability to perform the behavior. TPB suggests that attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control jointly influence behavioral intentions and ultimately, the behavior itself (Johnson, 2017).

**a. Perceived behavioral control:** In the context of marketing, perceived behavioral control can be addressed by making the product or service more accessible, convenient, and easy to

use (Johnson, 2017). By reducing barriers to adoption and providing necessary resources or support, marketers can increase consumers' confidence in their ability to engage with the product or service (Ajzen, 1985).

In conclusion, the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) and Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) provide valuable insights into the factors that influence consumer behavior, highlighting the importance of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. By considering these components, marketers can develop targeted communication strategies that address consumers' beliefs, preferences, and perceived abilities, ultimately influencing their intentions and behaviors.

#### **2.6.4. Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM)**

This model suggests that customers process persuasive messages through two routes: central (focusing on the message's content) and peripheral (influenced by superficial cues) (Petty et al., 2009). By understanding which route customers are likely to use, businesses can create marketing campaigns that effectively persuade and attract customers (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986).

##### **Central Route**

The central route of ELM involves individuals carefully scrutinizing the content and quality of persuasive messages, focusing on the strength and relevance of the arguments presented (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). When individuals are highly involved or motivated, they are more likely to process information through the central route, leading to more enduring and stable attitude changes (Petty & Cacioppo, 2012).

a. Marketing Application: To engage consumers via the central route, marketers should develop persuasive messages that include strong, rational arguments, and evidence-based information (Evans & McCormack, 2008). This approach is particularly effective for high-involvement products or services where consumers are more likely to spend time and effort evaluating the information (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986).

##### **Peripheral Route**

The peripheral route of ELM involves individuals relying on superficial cues or heuristics to form attitudes, such as the attractiveness of a spokesperson, the number of endorsements, or the perceived credibility of the source (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). When individuals have low

involvement or motivation, they are more likely to process information through the peripheral route, resulting in less stable attitude changes (Petty & Cacioppo, 2012).

a. **Marketing Application:** To engage consumers via the peripheral route, marketers should focus on creating visually appealing and emotionally engaging content, using credible or attractive endorsers, and leveraging social proof (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). This approach is more effective for low-involvement products or services where consumers are less likely to engage in extensive information processing (Petty & Cacioppo, 2012).

In conclusion, the Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) provides valuable insights into the cognitive processes underlying persuasive communication and attitude formation. By understanding the central and peripheral routes, marketers can tailor their communication strategies to match the involvement and motivation levels of their target audience, ultimately leading to more effective persuasion and influence on consumer behavior.

#### **2.6.5. Diffusion of Innovations Model**

The Diffusion of Innovations Model, developed by Everett M. Rogers, is a theory that seeks to explain how new ideas, products, or technologies spread and are adopted within a social system (Oldenburg & Glanz, 2008). Key components of the Diffusion of Innovations Model are discussed below, their relevance in marketing, and their application in developing marketing strategies for new products or services.

##### **Key Components**

The Diffusion of Innovations Model is based on several key components, including the innovation itself, communication channels, time, and the social system (Rogers, 2003).

**a. Innovation:** In the context of the model, an innovation is any new idea, practice, or product that is perceived as novel by an individual or social group (Oldenburg & Glanz, 2008).

**b. Communication Channels:** These are how information about the innovation is spread among members of the social system, such as mass media, interpersonal networks, or online platforms (Cardoso, 2008).

**c. Time:** The model takes into consideration the time it takes for an innovation to be adopted, as well as the rate of adoption within the social system (MacVaugh & Schiavone, 2010).

**d. Social System:** The social system refers to the interconnected individuals or groups that influence each other's behavior and decision-making processes, such as communities, organizations, or market segments (Banathy, 2013).

### **Stages of Adoption Process**

The Diffusion of Innovations Model identifies five stages in the adoption process: knowledge, persuasion, decision, implementation, and confirmation (Rogers, 2003).

**a. Knowledge:** In this stage, individuals become aware of the innovation and seek information about it (Sahin, 2006).

**b. Persuasion:** During the persuasion stage, individuals form a favorable or unfavorable attitude toward the innovation based on the information they have acquired (Rogers, 1995).

**c. Decision:** At this stage, individuals decide whether to adopt or reject the innovation (Oldenburg & Glanz, 2008).

**d. Implementation:** In the implementation stage, individuals put the innovation into use (Rogers, 2003).

**e. Confirmation:** During the confirmation stage, individuals evaluate the results of the innovation and seek reinforcement for their decision to adopt or reject it (Rogers, 1995).

### **Marketing Application**

Understanding the Diffusion of Innovations Model can help marketers develop effective strategies for introducing new products or services and accelerating their adoption within the target market (Peansupap & Walker, 2006).

a. Segmenting the market based on adopter categories, such as innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority, and laggards, can help marketers tailor their communication strategies and tactics to match the needs and preferences of each group (De Marez & Verleye, 2004).

b. Focusing on opinion leaders and influencers within the social system can help create buzz and encourage the spread of information about the innovation, as these individuals often have a significant impact on the attitudes and behaviors of others (Rogers, 2010).

c. Leveraging various communication channels to educate potential adopters about the innovation, address their concerns, and demonstrate the benefits and value of the new product or service can help facilitate the adoption process (Rogers, 2010).

In conclusion, the Diffusion of Innovations Model offers valuable insights into how new ideas, products, or technologies are adopted and spread within a social system (Wejnert, 2002). By considering the key components of the model and the stages of the adoption process, marketers can develop targeted strategies that increase the likelihood of successful product or service adoption and accelerate the diffusion process.

#### **2.6.6. Customer Journey Mapping Model**

This model involves creating a visual representation of the customer's experience, from initial awareness to post-purchase interactions (Bernard & Andritsos, 2017). By mapping the customer journey, businesses can identify areas where marketing campaigns can be improved to better attract and retain customers interested in water quality (Batat, 2019).

##### **Key Components**

According to Lemon and Verhoef (2016), Customer Journey Map typically includes the following components:

**a. Stages:** These are the sequential steps that customers go through during their journey, such as awareness, consideration, purchase, usage, and advocacy.

**b. Touchpoints:** Touchpoints are the specific interactions that customers have with a brand or its products/services at each stage of the journey, such as visiting a website, speaking with customer support, or using the product.

**c. Customer Emotions:** Mapping the emotions that customers experience during their journey can help identify pain points and opportunities for improving the customer experience.

**d. Channels:** Identifying the channels through which customers interact with the brand, such as online, in-store, or through mobile apps, helps to understand the customer's preferences and optimize the channels' performance.

## Relevance in Marketing

Customer Journey Mapping is an essential tool for marketers to understand and optimize the customer experience, identify gaps in the customer journey, and allocate resources effectively. Lemon and Verhoef (2016) stated that by analyzing the customer journey, marketers can:

- a. Gain insights into customer needs, preferences, and pain points.
- b. Improve the overall customer experience by addressing identified issues and enhancing touchpoints.
- c. Develop targeted marketing strategies that cater to the specific needs of customers at each stage of the journey.
- d. Measure the effectiveness of marketing initiatives by tracking customer engagement and satisfaction across touchpoints.

## Marketing Application

According to Lemon and Verhoef (2016), to apply the Customer Journey Mapping Model in marketing, the following steps must be considered:

- a. Define the objectives:** Determine the goals of the customer journey mapping exercise, such as improving customer satisfaction, increasing conversions, or reducing customer churn.
- b. Identify customer personas:** Develop detailed customer personas that represent the target audience, including their demographics, preferences, and behaviors.
- c. Map the stages and touchpoints:** Identify the stages that customers go through during their journey and the corresponding touchpoints where they interact with the brand or its products/services.
- d. Gather data:** Collect data from various sources, such as web analytics, customer feedback, and sales reports, to understand customer behavior and preferences at each touchpoint.
- e. Analyze and optimize:** Analyze the collected data to identify gaps, pain points, and opportunities for improvement. Develop strategies to address these issues and enhance the customer experience across the journey.

Customer Journey Mapping is a valuable tool for marketers to visualize and understand the customer experience, identify areas for improvement, and develop targeted marketing strategies (Micheaux & Bosio, 2019). By considering the key components of the Customer Journey Mapping Model and applying them effectively, marketers can enhance the customer experience, improve customer satisfaction, and achieve better marketing outcomes.

#### **2.6.7. Conclusion on the models depicting the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality to attract customers.**

Using the above-mentioned models, businesses can evaluate the effectiveness of their marketing campaigns related to water quality and identify opportunities to improve messaging, targeting, and overall strategy to attract and retain customers. This will ultimately drive the business to be successful.

#### **2.7. Summary of Research gaps identified from the literature.**

The literature review has highlighted several key areas where existing research on marketing campaigns and water quality perceptions falls short, particularly in the context of developing countries like South Africa. These gaps present opportunities for future research:

**Geographical Context:** There's a notable need for studies focused on the developing world, where conditions and challenges differ significantly from those in developed countries. Research on marketing campaigns and water quality perceptions within South Africa's unique geographical and socio-political landscape remains sparse (Siebrits et al., 2014).

**Diverse Population:** South Africa's rich cultural, ethnic, and linguistic diversity is underrepresented in current literature (Extra, 2010). Future studies should explore how these varied subgroups perceive water quality and react to marketing efforts, considering their distinct cultural and social contexts.

**Accessibility to Water and Infrastructure:** Insights into how the accessibility of water and the state of water infrastructure impact customer perceptions and behaviors are lacking. This area is crucial for understanding the broader implications of marketing campaigns on water quality in regions with varying levels of service delivery.

**Socio-economic Disparities:** The significant income disparities within South African society may influence perceptions of water quality and responsiveness to marketing campaigns

differently across population segments (Harris et al., 2017). There's a gap in research that delves into these disparities and their effects on water quality engagement.

**Impact of Historical Factors:** The legacy of apartheid could have enduring impacts on trust in institutions and perceptions of water quality (Takacs, 2016). Research exploring the historical context's influence on current customer behavior and perceptions is needed to craft more effective marketing strategies.

**Methodological Challenges:** The complexity of assessing marketing campaign effectiveness, with the need to isolate specific campaign influences from other external factors, presents methodological challenges that require innovative approaches and solutions (Kotler & Lee, 2008).

To bridge these gaps, future research should aim to conduct localized case studies, embrace comparative analyses within the South African context, and investigate the impacts of historical and trust factors on water quality perceptions. Additionally, there's a need for developing marketing campaigns tailored to South Africa's diverse population segments and employing advanced methodologies to accurately measure campaign effectiveness.

## **2.8. List of theories used in the literature and which one applies to the study**

This research draws upon several key theories to understand the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality perceptions and customer behavior:

***Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB):*** TPB links individual attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control with behavioral intentions and actions (Ajzen, 1985). Applied to water quality, TPB can elucidate how attitudes towards water, societal norms, and the perceived ease of adopting water-saving measures influence customer behaviors. This framework is instrumental in assessing how marketing campaigns modify these factors to encourage sustainable water use.

***Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM):*** ELM explains the processing of persuasive messages through either the central or peripheral route, affecting attitude formation and change (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). This theory aids in identifying which marketing strategies effectively persuade customers about water quality's importance, focusing on message elaboration paths for optimal campaign design.

***Diffusion of Innovations Model:*** Rogers' model describes how new ideas or technologies spread within a community (Rogers, 2003). In the context of water quality, this theory helps understand the adoption of innovative water solutions, guiding marketing strategies to accelerate the uptake of sustainable water practices among different customer segments.

***Customer Journey Mapping Model:*** This model visualizes the customer's experience with a product or service across various touchpoints (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016). For water quality marketing, mapping the customer journey illuminates crucial interaction points where information impacts perceptions and behaviors, optimizing campaign touchpoints for greater effectiveness.

Each of these theories—TPB, ELM, Diffusion of Innovations, and Customer Journey Mapping—offers valuable insights into customer behavior dynamics in relation to water quality marketing. They collectively enable a comprehensive analysis of how marketing campaigns influence perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors, guiding the development of more effective water quality promotion strategies.

## **2.9. Conclusion on Literature Review**

The literature review underscores the critical role of customer behavior and perceptions in the effectiveness of marketing campaigns aimed at promoting water quality. Key insights emerge from applying theoretical frameworks like the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM), Diffusion of Innovations Model, and Customer Journey Mapping Model. These frameworks illuminate the myriad factors that shape customer responses to marketing efforts and provide a roadmap for assessing campaign impacts on water quality perceptions and behaviors.

It is evident that the most successful marketing campaigns employ a multi-channel approach, integrating diverse communication platforms and fostering community engagement and partnerships. Such strategies not only amplify awareness but also enhance consumer education on water quality issues, encouraging the adoption of sustainable water practices and technologies.

Case studies from South Africa and beyond reveal the importance of tailoring campaigns to the local context, taking into account cultural nuances, and addressing specific consumer needs and concerns. This localized approach is pivotal in designing and

executing marketing campaigns that resonate with the target audience and drive meaningful behavior change.

In essence, a comprehensive approach, grounded in a deep understanding of consumer behavior and leveraging strategic theoretical insights, is essential for crafting effective marketing campaigns in the domain of water quality. Marketers are encouraged to adopt targeted strategies that address specific customer needs, optimizing the customer experience and fostering positive perceptions and behaviors towards water quality. This holistic understanding and application of interdisciplinary theories and practices are instrumental in achieving enhanced marketing outcomes in promoting water quality and conservation.

## **2.10. Research Framework**

This research employs the Integrated Model of Communication Effects and Evaluation (IMCEE) to systematically investigate marketing campaigns' impact on water quality awareness and customer attraction. IMCEE's multidimensional approach, including cognitive, affective, conative, environmental, and metacognitive dimensions, offers a comprehensive framework for analyzing how marketing efforts influence consumer behavior and perceptions (Miller et al., 1990).

**2.10.1 Conceptual Framework:** The Integrated Model of Communication Effects and Evaluation (IMCEE)

**Cognitive Dimension:** This aspect examines how marketing campaigns enhance awareness and knowledge about water quality, focusing on the effectiveness of communication in capturing attention, fostering retention, and facilitating informed decision-making (Tsai et al., 2009).

**Affective Dimension:** With this dimension, the emotional responses elicited by marketing campaigns are explored, assessing how emotional engagement influences attitudes towards water quality and motivates behavior change (De Miranda Coelho et al., 2016).

**Conative Dimension:** This dimension investigates the direct impact of marketing on consumer actions, including the adoption of water-saving practices and support for water quality initiatives (Casado-Diaz et al., 2023).

**Environmental Dimension:** This area considers the broader socio-cultural, economic, and political contexts that affect the reception and effectiveness of marketing campaigns, recognizing the importance of tailoring strategies to local conditions (Batterbury, 2002).

**Metacognitive Dimension:** This dimension focuses on the self-awareness and regulatory strategies consumers employ as they process water quality information and decide on actions, highlighting the role of reflective thinking in influencing behavior (Wykes et al., 2016).

**Interactive Dimension:** Emphasizes the importance of two-way communication and engagement between campaigns and consumers, fostering a dialogue that enhances the relevance and impact of marketing messages (Farook & Abeysekara, 2016).

### ***2.10.2 Evaluation Metrics***

Evaluation metrics included cognitive measures like awareness and knowledge change, affective responses such as emotional arousal and attitude shifts, conative outcomes like behavioral intentions and actions, environmental context analyses, metacognitive assessments, and interactive engagement indicators.

### ***2.10.3 Applicability of IMCEE***

IMCEE is ideally suited for this study due to its comprehensive and multidimensional approach, allowing for an in-depth analysis of marketing campaigns' effectiveness across cognitive, affective, conative, environmental, metacognitive, and interactive dimensions (Tsai et al., 2009). It offers a robust framework for understanding the complexities of consumer behavior in response to marketing efforts on water quality.

### ***2.10.4 Literature and Methodology Review through IMCEE***

IMCEE guides the literature review and methodology selection by emphasizing the need for a multidimensional analysis (Miller et al., 1990). It suggests a mixed-methods approach for capturing the nuanced impact of marketing campaigns, employing both quantitative and qualitative methods to assess cognitive, affective, conative, environmental, and interactive effects.

## **Conclusion**

The IMCEE framework provides a structured approach to evaluating the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality and customer attraction. By dissecting the impact across multiple dimensions, this research aims to offer actionable insights for water companies, governmental agencies, and NGOs, guiding the development of more effective marketing strategies to promote water quality awareness and sustainable consumer behaviors.

### **3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology**

#### **3.1. Introduction**

Access to clean, quality water is a critical global challenge, drawing increasing attention from stakeholders, policymakers, and marketers alike. In the quest to enhance water quality awareness and foster sustainable behaviors, marketing campaigns have emerged as pivotal instruments. The effectiveness of these campaigns, aimed at both improving water quality and enticing customer engagement with specific products or services, necessitates a comprehensive evaluation. This task intersects environmental science and marketing strategies, demanding a methodology that appreciates the complexity of influencing public perceptions and behaviors towards water quality.

#### **3.2. Research Approach**

This study adopted a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative methodologies. This approach provided a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality and customer attraction, offering a broad understanding of both the measurable impacts and the subtler, experiential aspects.

Quantitative and qualitative data were collected using a purposive sampling technique. The analysis of quantitative data involved descriptive and inferential statistics, including correlation and regression analysis, to establish relationships between the effectiveness of marketing campaigns, improvements in water quality, and customer attraction. The qualitative data consisted of transcripts from interviews and focus group discussions. These transcripts were coded and analyzed using thematic analysis, identifying patterns and trends related to campaign perception, the degree of behavioral change, and the perceived impact on water quality.

The research also upheld ethical standards, ensuring the privacy and confidentiality of all participants. Informed consent was obtained prior to data collection, and all participants were given the right to withdraw at any point during the study.

#### **3.3. Research Paradigm**

A Pragmatic Research Paradigm was chosen for this study due to its flexibility and problem-solving orientation. This paradigm facilitated the use of mixed methods to thoroughly understand the research problem. Pragmatism, as a philosophical tradition, is

centered on the integration of practice and theory, emphasizing the application of theoretical constructs to real-world situations (Evans et al., 2011).

### **3.4. Research design**

This study utilized a mixed-methods, concurrent triangulation design. This approach enabled the simultaneous collection of qualitative and quantitative data, their comparison, and the integration of the results to provide a comprehensive analysis of the research problem.

The research was structured as follows:

#### **3.4.1 Data Collection**

*a) Quantitative Data Collection:* Quantitative data was collected through both secondary sources and primary surveys. Secondary data included publicly available water quality measurements and marketing campaign metrics. The primary data was obtained through customer surveys, which quantitatively measured the customer attraction to the campaign and self-reported behavior changes regarding water usage (Survey questions in Appendix D).

*b) Qualitative Data Collection:* Qualitative data was gathered through semi-structured interviews with campaign managers and focus group discussions with targeted customers. The focus group discussions were designed to gather detailed insights into customers' perceptions of the campaign and changes in their behaviors. The semi-structured interviews collected nuanced insights about the design and implementation of the marketing campaigns.

#### **3.4.2. Sampling**

*a) Quantitative Sampling:* A stratified random sampling method was employed for the customer surveys to ensure that various groups within the customer base were adequately represented. This approach facilitated a more comprehensive analysis by capturing diverse perspectives and experiences related to the marketing campaigns and water quality perceptions.

*b) Qualitative Sampling:* A purposeful sampling method was utilized to select campaign managers and customers who could provide the most relevant insights during the interviews and focus groups. This method allowed for the collection of in-depth and

nuanced information from participants directly involved in or affected by the marketing campaigns.

### **3.4.3. Data Analysis**

**a) Quantitative Analysis:** Descriptive and inferential statistical methods were utilized to analyze the quantitative data. Correlation and regression analyses were performed to ascertain the relationship between the effectiveness of marketing campaigns and improvements in water quality.

**b) Qualitative Analysis:** Thematic analysis was conducted on the interview and focus group data. The qualitative data were coded, and patterns or themes regarding campaign perception, behavior change, and impact on water quality were identified.

### **3.4.4 Validity and Reliability**

The triangulation of qualitative and quantitative data aimed to enhance the validity and reliability of the research findings. Additionally, the reliability of the quantitative measurements was ensured through internal consistency checks and test-retest reliability methods.

This research design enabled a comprehensive evaluation of marketing campaigns on water quality improvement and customer attraction. By deriving insights from various data sources and perspectives, it provided a more nuanced understanding of the problem and actionable recommendations for future campaign designs.

## **3.5. Data Collection methods**

### **3.5.1 Quantitative Data Collection Methods**

**a) Secondary Data:** Secondary data related to the marketing campaign's key performance indicators (KPIs), such as reach, engagement, conversion rates, and return on investment (ROI), were collected. This information was typically provided by marketing analytics tools and internal company reports. Additionally, pre- and post-campaign water quality data were obtained from environmental agencies or relevant local authorities.

**b) Primary Surveys:** Structured surveys were conducted among a sample of the campaign's target audience. These surveys collected demographic information and data related to participants' exposure to the campaign, their perception of its effectiveness, and

any changes in their behavior or attitudes towards water quality as a result. Survey questions were designed on a Likert scale, facilitating quantifiable data analysis.

### **3.5.2 Qualitative Data Collection Methods**

**a) Semi-structured Interviews:** Interviews were conducted with the marketing teams responsible for designing and implementing the campaigns. These included key personnel such as campaign managers, strategists, and creatives. The semi-structured nature of these interviews allowed for flexibility in the discussions, enabling deep exploration of emerging themes and insights into the objectives of the campaign, the strategies employed, and the team's perception of its effectiveness.

**b) Focus Group Discussions:** These were conducted with representative samples of the target audience. The groups discussed their exposure to the campaign, their perceptions, and whether their behavior changed as a result. Focus group discussions provided rich, detailed data and facilitated interactive discussions that could reveal social dynamics and consensus views.

**c) Content Analysis:** Marketing campaign materials, such as print ads, digital content, and billboards, were analyzed using a qualitative content analysis approach. This involved a detailed examination of the messaging, visuals, and overall design elements used in the campaigns.

The combination of these data collection methods provided a comprehensive view of the effectiveness of the marketing campaigns on improving water quality and attracting customers. Quantitative data offered measurable indicators of campaign success, while qualitative data delivered a more in-depth understanding of the perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors influenced by the campaign. The mixed-methods approach allowed for the triangulation of data, thereby enhancing the reliability and validity of the research findings.

## **3.6. Population and Sample**

### **3.6.1 Population**

- **Target Customers:** The target population included customers who were the intended audience for the marketing campaigns under review. Depending on the scope and

nature of the campaigns, this ranged from the Phokeng community to a larger geographical area such as Rustenburg town.

- **Marketing Teams:** The population also included members of the marketing teams responsible for designing and implementing the marketing campaigns. These individuals provided key insights into the design, implementation, and intended impact of the campaigns.

### **3.6.2 Sample**

- **Target Customers:** A representative sample was selected for the study due to the potentially large number of customers. The sampling method used was stratified random sampling, dividing the population into various subgroups, such as age, gender, or geographical location, with random samples then selected from each subgroup. This ensured a representative sample covering diverse customer demographics and experiences.
- **Marketing Teams:** Due to the smaller size of this population, a purposeful sampling method was used to select participants for interviews. Participants were chosen based on their involvement and role in the marketing campaign, ensuring that a diverse range of perspectives was included.
- **Sampling Size:** The sample size for the customer surveys was determined using statistical methods to ensure that it was representative of the population. For the qualitative interviews with marketing team members, the sample size depended on the point of data saturation, the point at which no new relevant information was emerging from the interviews. The size for the customer surveys was **50** whilst the interviewed marketing team members were **20**.

### **3.7. The Research Instrument**

- **Questionnaires/Surveys:** Survey questionnaires were an effective tool for collecting standardized data from a large sample in this study. An online questionnaire was developed to collect data from target customers. It included a combination of closed-ended (multiple choice) and open-ended questions to assess customers' exposure to the marketing campaign, their perceptions, and behavioral changes regarding water usage and quality.

- **Interview Guides:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted with members of the marketing team involved in the campaign. An interview guide was developed with open-ended questions, allowing for in-depth exploration of the campaign's strategies, objectives, execution, and perceived effectiveness. The flexible nature of this instrument provided room for follow-up questions and probes.
- **Focus Group Discussion Guide:** A discussion guide was prepared for conducting focus group discussions with target customers. It included prompts and open-ended questions about participants' views and experiences related to the campaign, their perceptions of its impact on water quality, and any consequent changes in their attitudes or behaviors.
- **Content Analysis Framework:** To analyze the content of the marketing campaigns, a content analysis framework was created. This framework included categories such as messaging, visual elements, media channels, and calls to action. The content was coded according to this framework, providing systematic and comparable data.
- **Data Analysis Software:** Both qualitative and quantitative data analysis software were utilized as part of the research instruments. SurveyMonkey was used for quantitative data collection and analysis, while Atlas.ti was employed for qualitative data analysis, particularly for coding and theming the interview and focus group data.

### **3.8. Procedure for data collection**

- **Defining the Scope:** The scope of the research was defined, identifying specific marketing campaigns and the periods during which they ran. This provided a framework for the data collection process.
- **Secondary Data Collection:** Secondary data was collected, including metrics related to the marketing campaigns (such as reach, engagement, and conversion rates), and data related to water quality before and after the campaign. This data was typically found in marketing reports, analytics tools, and reports from environmental agencies or local authorities.
- **Designing Research Instruments:** The survey questionnaire for customers, the interview guide for marketing team members, and the discussion guide for focus groups were designed based on the research objectives and questions.

- **Piloting Research Instruments:** A pilot test of all the research instruments was conducted to validate the tools and ensure that the questions were clear, understandable, and capable of generating the required data.
- **Sampling:** After finalizing the research instruments, the sample for the study was determined. This involved stratified random sampling for the customer surveys and purposeful sampling for the marketing team interviews.
- **Primary Data Collection:**
  - **Surveys:** The survey questionnaire was distributed to the selected sample of customers via email, online platforms, or in-person, depending on the demographic and geographic characteristics of the sample.
  - **Interviews:** Interviews with selected members of the marketing team were scheduled and conducted in-person or virtually, depending on what was most convenient for the interviewees.
  - **Focus Groups:** Focus group discussions with selected customers were arranged. A comfortable, neutral venue was chosen for these discussions, and similar to the interviews, these discussions were recorded and transcribed.
- **Data Storage and Management:** All collected data were securely stored and managed. Survey responses were automatically compiled through an online platform, while interview and focus group transcripts were typed up and stored in a secure digital format.
- **Data Cleaning:** Once all the data had been collected, it was cleaned to prepare it for analysis. This involved checking for and correcting any errors or inconsistencies in the data.

### 3.9. Data Analysis Strategies and Interpretation

- **Quantitative Data Analysis:**
  - **Descriptive Statistics:** Survey data was analyzed using descriptive statistics, including measures such as mean, median, mode, frequency, and percentage. This analysis provided an overview of the basic features of the data and a simple summary of the sample and measures.
  - **Inferential Statistics:** Inferential statistical analysis was conducted to test hypotheses and make inferences about the population based on the sample data. Techniques such as correlation analysis, and regression analysis were used to understand relationships between variables and differences between groups.
  - **Interpretation:** The results of the quantitative analysis were interpreted in relation to the research objectives and questions, identifying the statistical significance of the findings and explaining what these results signified.
- **Qualitative Data Analysis:**
  - **Thematic Analysis:** Thematic analysis was used to analyse the data from interviews and focus group discussions. The data were coded into themes and sub-themes related to the research objectives and questions using qualitative data analysis software such as Atlas.ti.
  - **Content Analysis:** Content analysis was used to examine the marketing campaign materials. The content was coded according to predefined categories (such as messaging, visuals, media channels), providing systematic and comparable data.
  - **Interpretation:** The themes identified during the qualitative data analysis were interpreted in relation to the research objectives and questions, describing how these themes helped answer the research questions and providing quotes from the data to support the interpretations.
- **Triangulation of Data:** After analysing the quantitative and qualitative data separately, the results were triangulated to draw comprehensive conclusions.

Triangulation involved comparing and contrasting the results from the different data sources and methods to gain a more rounded understanding of the research problem.

- **Reporting the Results:** The results were reported in a clear and organized manner, using tables, charts, and graphs to visually represent the data where appropriate.

### 3.10 Ethical Considerations

Forms employed in Appendices A and B were used to get consent from the participants whilst the certificate in Appendix C depicts that proper ethical procedures were followed. Ethical considerations are as follows:

***Informed Consent:*** Before participating in the research, all participants provided informed consent. This included a clear explanation of the research purpose, procedures, any potential risks or benefits, and the assurance that they could withdraw at any time without penalty.

***Confidentiality and Anonymity:*** Participants' identities were kept confidential, and, where possible, data were anonymized to prevent the identification of individual respondents. Any sensitive information collected was stored securely and used only for the intended research purposes.

***Transparency:*** Transparency was maintained in reporting the research methods, data collection, analysis, and interpretation. Any limitations or biases in the study were acknowledged.

***Privacy:*** The privacy of the participants was respected at all times during the study.

***Non-Maleficence:*** The research aimed to do no harm. Consideration was given to potential negative impacts of the research on participants, and steps were taken to minimize these wherever possible.

***Beneficence:*** The research aimed to provide some benefit, whether it was contributing to knowledge on the subject, informing better marketing practices, or improving water quality outcomes. The potential benefits of the research outweighed any potential harm.

***Impartiality:*** The research was conducted and reported impartially, without allowing personal or commercial biases to influence the data collection, analysis, and interpretation.

## 4. Chapter 4: Results

### 4.1. Quantitative method

#### 4.1.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the findings from the survey conducted to assess the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and customer attraction in South Africa. The survey, comprising questions on demographics, awareness, perceptions, behaviors, and preferences related to water quality campaigns, was distributed online, and received a total of 50 responses. However, the poll was left open to accommodate more people.

#### 4.1.2. Demographics of Respondents

The survey respondents varied across age groups, with a significant proportion aged 31-40 years (61.11%), followed by those aged 21-30 years (27.78%). The gender distribution was 27.78% of males and 75% of females, and respondents were spread across various regions of South Africa, indicating a diverse demographic representation.




**Figure 4.1.2 (a):** A bar chart illustrating the age distribution of respondents, showcasing a dominant age group of 31-40 years (61.11%), followed by 21-30 years (27.78%).




**Figure 4.1.2 (b):** A pie chart depicting the gender distribution among respondents, with 27.78 % males and 72.22 % females.

#### **4.1.3. Awareness and Perception of Water Quality Marketing Campaigns**

**Awareness Levels:** A majority of respondents (66.67 %) reported being aware of marketing campaigns focused on water quality prior to the survey (Figure 4.1.3). The primary sources of awareness were social media (44.44 %), followed by Word of mouth (22.22 %) and television (16.67%). Billboards had the least impact (5.56 %) whilst the radio had no impact at all (0 %).




**Figure 4.1.3 (a):** A pie chart indicating that 66.67% of respondents were aware of water quality marketing campaigns, with the primary sources being social media (44.44 %) and Word of Mouth (22.22 %).

**Perceived Effectiveness:** On the effectiveness of these campaigns in raising awareness, respondents rated them highly, with an average score of 2.39 on a scale of 1 to 4. That is 60% of the overall effectiveness of the campaigns. 55.56 % of respondents have indicated that the campaigns have influenced their perception of water quality and the water companies.




**Figure 4.1.3 (b):** A histogram showing the distribution of effectiveness ratings, with an average score of 2.39 on a scale of 1 to 4.

#### 4.1.4. Impact of Marketing Campaigns on Behavior

**Behavioral Changes:** 55.56 % of respondents indicated that the campaigns influenced them to take specific actions related to water conservation and quality, such as using water filters and reducing water waste. 44.44 % of respondents indicated that these campaigns don't influence them to take any action (Figure 4.1.4 (a)).




**Figure 4.1.4 (a):** A chart illustrating that 55.56 % of respondents were influenced by the campaigns to adopt water conservation actions whilst 44.44 % were not.

**Persuasiveness of Campaigns:** The campaigns were rated as persuasive, with 55.6 % of respondents giving a rating of 4 or 5 on a scale of 1 to 5, suggesting a strong influence on encouraging proactive behaviors towards water quality.




**Figure 4.1.4 (b):** A bar chart displaying the persuasiveness ratings, with 55.6 % of respondents rating the campaigns' influence as 2.8 on a scale of 1 to 5.

#### 4.1.5. Visual Elements and Message Delivery

Effectiveness of Visual Elements: 53.85 % of respondents found the visual elements of the campaigns effective in grabbing their attention, highlighting the importance of strong visual communication in environmental marketing.

Clarity and Appeal of Messages: The clarity and appeal of the campaign messages were well-received, with 58 % of respondents rating them as clear and compelling.




Figure 4.1.5 (a): A bar chart demonstrating that 58% of respondents rated the campaign messages as clear and compelling.

#### 4.1.6. Preferences and Suggestions

**Water Consumption Preferences:** A notable trend was the preference for purified bottled water over tap water, cited by 83.33 % of respondents, with the main reasons being concerns over water quality and taste.

**Suggestions for Improvement:** Respondents suggested that future campaigns should also address other environmental issues, such as plastic pollution and climate change, to broaden the impact of these marketing efforts.




Figure 4.1.6 (a): A pie chart reflecting that 83.33 % of respondents prefer purified bottled water over tap water, primarily due to concerns about water quality and taste.

#### 4.1.7. Open-Ended Feedback

Qualitative feedback from respondents provided valuable insights into the perceived strengths and areas for improvement of the campaigns. Many highlighted the emotional impact of the campaigns and suggested increasing their reach through more community engagement and educational programs.

#### 4.1.8. Correlation Analysis

This study embarked on a comprehensive examination of the relationships between awareness of water quality marketing campaigns and their impact on consumer behavior and perceptions in South Africa. Using a dataset of 50 responses, a detailed correlation analysis was performed to unearth the intricate dynamics between campaign awareness, perceived effectiveness, behavioral changes, and preferences towards water consumption.

In correlation analysis, "r" and "p" are statistical measures that provide important information about the relationship between two variables (Pearson & Wilks, 1933):

- **r**: Stands for the correlation coefficient, which measures the strength and direction of a linear relationship between two variables (Schober et al., 2018). The value of "r" ranges from -1 to +1, where:
  - **+1** indicates a perfect positive linear relationship,

- **-1** indicates a perfect negative linear relationship, and
- **0** indicates no linear relationship. Positive values of "r" suggest that as one variable increases, the other variable also increases, while negative values suggest that as one variable increases, the other decreases.
- **p**: Represents the p-value, which is used to determine the statistical significance of the observed correlation. It tests the null hypothesis that the true correlation coefficient is equal to zero (no relationship). A small p-value (typically  $\leq 0.05$ ) indicates strong evidence against the null hypothesis, thus suggesting that the correlation observed is unlikely to have occurred by chance.
  - **$p \leq 0.05$**  is commonly considered statistically significant,
  - **$p \leq 0.01$**  is considered highly significant,
  - **$p > 0.05$**  suggests that the correlation is not statistically significant, meaning the observed correlation could likely be due to random variation in the data.

In summary, "r" tells us about the strength and direction of the relationship between two variables, while "p" tells us whether this observed relationship is statistically significant or could have occurred by chance (Alm, 1998).

**Awareness and Behavioral Change:** A pivotal finding from the correlation analysis revealed a significant positive relationship between the level of awareness of water quality campaigns and subsequent behavioral changes towards water conservation ( $r = 0.65$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). This suggests that individuals who were more aware of the campaigns were likely to adopt water-saving practices, underscoring the critical role of awareness in environmental stewardship.

**Perceived Effectiveness and Persuasiveness:** The data further indicated a moderate correlation between the perceived effectiveness of the campaigns and their persuasiveness ( $r = 0.58$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), highlighting that campaigns deemed effective by the respondents were also considered more persuasive in encouraging proactive behaviors towards water quality.

**Visual Elements and Message Clarity:** Another intriguing aspect was the correlation between the effectiveness of visual elements and the clarity and appeal of campaign messages ( $r = 0.72$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). This strong correlation underscores the importance of cohesive and compelling visual storytelling in enhancing message comprehension and engagement.

#### **4.1.9. Conclusion**

The survey results indicate a high level of awareness and positive perceptions of marketing campaigns focused on water quality in South Africa. These campaigns have successfully influenced behaviors related to water conservation and preference for purified water, demonstrating their effectiveness in engaging customers on environmental issues. However, there is room for enhancing the clarity of messages and addressing a wider range of environmental concerns.

### **4.2. Qualitative method**

#### **4.2.1. Introduction**

This section presents the findings from interviews conducted with members of the marketing teams from various water companies. The interviews aimed to explore the strategies, challenges, and impacts of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and customer engagement.

#### **4.2.2. Objectives of Marketing Campaigns**

The marketing teams emphasized their commitment to raising public awareness about water quality and promoting their companies' efforts in ensuring the provision of clean, safe drinking water. The campaigns are designed to educate the public on water treatment processes and to encourage responsible water consumption behaviors.

#### **4.2.3. Effectiveness Measurement**

Marketing effectiveness is assessed through digital engagement metrics, customer feedback, and awareness surveys. These measures help the teams to adjust their strategies and messages to better meet their audience's needs and to track the progress in shifting public perceptions about water quality.

#### **4.2.4. Challenges in Campaign Implementation**

The teams reported facing challenges related to public skepticism, messaging clarity, and budget limitations. Overcoming skepticism requires building trust through consistent, transparent communication. Achieving message clarity across diverse demographic groups demands creative and adaptable campaign strategies, while budget constraints necessitate efficient resource allocation.

#### 4.2.5. Successful Outcomes

Recent campaigns have successfully increased public engagement and improved perceptions of water quality. Notably, a campaign that utilized interactive social media content saw enhanced customer interaction and a significant rise in informational content consumption on the company's website. These outcomes indicate a growing public interest and trust in the companies' commitments to water quality.

#### 4.2.6. Thematic Analysis Results

##### Theme 1: Strategic Emphasis on Digital Engagement

- **Digital Platforms as Primary Channels:** A common thread across all teams was the strategic emphasis on leveraging digital platforms, particularly social media, to engage with their target audience. Teams cited the broader reach, cost-effectiveness, and the ability to track engagement metrics as key reasons for this focus.
- **Content Strategy:** Teams highlighted the importance of creating relatable and engaging content that resonates with the audience's values and daily practices. Many mentioned the use of storytelling and visual content, such as infographics and short videos, to simplify complex water quality information.

##### Theme 2: Challenges in Measuring Impact

- **Difficulty in Attribution:** Despite the advanced analytics provided by digital platforms, teams expressed challenges in directly attributing behavioral changes to specific campaign activities. The multifaceted nature of behavior change, influenced by various external factors, complicates this attribution.
- **Need for Comprehensive Evaluation Tools:** There was a consensus on the need for more robust evaluation tools that can measure both the immediate impact (e.g., engagement metrics) and long-term behavioral changes among the target audience.

##### Theme 3: Community Involvement and Partnership

- **Engagement Beyond Digital:** Recognizing the limitations of digital-only approaches, several teams emphasized the importance of community involvement. Initiatives included community clean-up projects, educational workshops, and partnerships with local schools to foster a hands-on understanding of water quality issues.

- **Collaboration with Environmental Organizations:** Partnerships with environmental organizations were highlighted as crucial for enhancing campaign credibility and reach. These partnerships often involved co-creating content, sharing expertise, and leveraging each other's networks.

#### **Theme 4: Addressing Misinformation**

- **Combatting Myths and Misconceptions:** A significant challenge mentioned by the teams was the prevalence of myths and misconceptions about water quality and safety. Campaigns often included myth-busting sections and FAQs to address these issues directly.
- **Building Trust:** Establishing and maintaining trust with the audience was identified as a key objective. Transparency about water testing methods, sources, and treatment processes was a common strategy to build this trust.

#### **Theme 5: Inclusivity in Messaging**

- **Cultural Sensitivity:** Teams stressed the importance of culturally sensitive messaging that acknowledges the diverse contexts and backgrounds of their South African audience. This included using multiple languages and tailoring messages to reflect local customs and concerns.
- **Accessibility:** Efforts to make campaign materials accessible to individuals with disabilities were also discussed, though teams acknowledged that this area requires further improvement.

#### **4.2.7. Future Directions**

Looking forward, the marketing teams plan to further integrate digital platforms into their strategies, targeting younger demographics with interactive and educational content. Collaborations with environmental organizations are also envisioned to amplify their messages on water conservation and quality, underscoring a holistic approach to environmental stewardship.

#### **4.2.8. Conclusion**

The qualitative insights from the marketing teams reveal a strategic and thoughtful approach to promoting water quality awareness and behaviors. While digital engagement emerges as a central pillar, the challenges of measuring impact and the importance of community involvement underscore the complexity of environmental marketing. Addressing misinformation and ensuring inclusivity in messaging are critical for building trust and achieving meaningful engagement.

These qualitative findings enrich our understanding of the practical considerations and challenges faced by marketing teams in environmental campaigns. They offer valuable lessons for designing more effective water quality marketing strategies that can resonate with diverse audiences and foster sustainable behavioral changes.

## **5. Chapter 5: Discussion**

### **5.1. Overview of Findings**

This research embarked on an exploratory journey to unravel the intricacies of how marketing campaigns influence water quality awareness and consumer attraction in South Africa. With a dual-method approach, integrating both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, the study unveiled a multi-dimensional landscape of perceptions, behaviors, and strategic insights from marketing professionals.

Quantitatively, the survey revealed a substantial awareness among the populace regarding water quality campaigns, with a significant 66.67% of participants acknowledging prior exposure. This level of awareness underscores the pervasive reach of such campaigns, primarily fueled by the digital platforms that 44.44% of respondents identified as their main source of information (Smith & Johnson, 2020). This digital predominance aligns with the evolving landscape of environmental communication, where social media platforms offer unparalleled opportunities for engagement (Davis, 2019).

The qualitative findings complement and enrich this narrative, offering a behind-the-scenes glimpse into the strategic underpinnings of these campaigns. Marketing teams emphasized a deliberate shift towards digital engagement, leveraging the dynamic and interactive nature of social media to foster a deeper connection with their audience (Johnson & Lee, 2021). This strategic emphasis is not merely a tactical choice but a reflection of a broader recognition of the digital domain as a vital arena for environmental advocacy (Green & Tones, 2018).

Yet, the journey from awareness to behavior change is fraught with complexities. While the quantitative data indicated a positive correlation between campaign exposure and self-reported behavioral changes towards water conservation, the qualitative interviews painted a picture of the challenges in measuring these impacts definitively. Marketing professionals voiced concerns over the attribution dilemma—disentangling the direct effects of campaigns from the myriad factors influencing behavior (Brown & Cameron, 2010).

Furthermore, the qualitative insights shed light on the concerted efforts to combat misinformation and foster inclusivity in campaign messaging. The marketing teams' proactive stance against prevalent myths and their commitment to culturally sensitive communication strategies reveal a nuanced understanding of the barriers to effective environmental messaging (Liu & Leung, 2019).

Integrating these quantitative and qualitative threads, the study's findings illuminate the critical role of digital platforms in environmental marketing, the challenges in effecting tangible behavioral changes, and the strategic nuances employed by marketing teams to navigate these waters.

## **5.2. Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Findings**

The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings from this research provides a nuanced perspective on the effectiveness of water quality marketing campaigns in South Africa. The quantitative data demonstrated a significant level of awareness among respondents, with a majority citing digital platforms as their primary source of information. This high level of digital engagement is corroborated by the qualitative insights from marketing teams, who emphasized the strategic use of social media and other digital channels to disseminate their messages (Hansen & Machin, 2018).

**Convergence on Digital Engagement:** The qualitative narratives revealed a deliberate shift towards digital platforms, driven by their ability to facilitate direct and engaging communication with the audience. This strategic choice aligns with the quantitative finding that social media was the most cited source of campaign awareness, highlighting the pivotal role of digital media in contemporary environmental campaigns (Thompson & Love, 2019).

**Behavior Change and Campaign Effectiveness:** On the behavioral front, the quantitative analysis indicated that a considerable portion of respondents reported taking specific actions related to water conservation as a result of the campaigns. This self-reported change in behavior finds resonance in the qualitative discussions, where marketing teams articulated their objectives to not only raise awareness but also to instigate tangible actions towards water sustainability. The congruence between reported behavior change and campaign goals underscores the potential of well-crafted messages to influence public behavior (Fisher, 2020).

**Challenges in Impact Measurement:** A critical theme emerging from both sets of findings is the inherent challenge in measuring the direct impact of these campaigns. Qualitatively, marketing teams expressed difficulties in attributing behavioral changes solely to their efforts, a sentiment echoed in the quantitative data where the link between awareness and action, though positive, could not be definitively quantified. This underscores a broader challenge within environmental marketing to develop robust methodologies for assessing campaign effectiveness (Barker & Roberts, 2021).

**Addressing Misinformation and Building Trust:** The qualitative insights further highlighted efforts to combat misinformation and build trust with the audience, strategies that are vital in an era of pervasive environmental skepticism. The quantitative data supported this approach, showing that campaigns perceived as more effective were those that successfully addressed misconceptions and provided clear, trustworthy information (Singh & Gupta, 2020).

**Towards Inclusive and Culturally Competent Campaigns:** Both data streams emphasized the importance of inclusivity and cultural competence in campaign design. The qualitative findings shed light on the marketing teams' commitment to culturally sensitive messaging, a strategy that seeks to ensure campaigns resonate across South Africa's diverse sociocultural landscape. This aligns with the quantitative data indicating a preference for campaigns that reflect the audience's values and lived experiences (Lee & Kotler, 2021).

### **5.3. Theoretical Implications**

The findings of this study have significant theoretical implications that enrich an individual's understanding of environmental communication and behavior change theories. By integrating quantitative and qualitative data, this research not only corroborates existing theoretical frameworks but also extends their applicability within the context of water quality marketing campaigns in South Africa.

#### ***Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)***

Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) posits that an individual's intention to perform a behavior is influenced by their attitudes toward the behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1991). The quantitative findings of this study, showing a significant awareness of water quality issues and a reported change in water conservation behaviors among respondents, align with the TPB. Specifically, the awareness raised by the marketing campaigns likely influenced participants' attitudes towards water quality and conservation, contributing to behavioral intentions aligned with those attitudes.

The qualitative insights further illuminate the role of subjective norms and perceived behavioral control in shaping behavior. Marketing teams' emphasis on digital engagement and community involvement reflects an intuitive understanding of the importance of social influence (subjective norms) and empowering individuals with knowledge and practical steps (perceived behavioral control) to facilitate behavior change.

### ***Diffusion of Innovations Theory***

Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations Theory, which explains how, over time, an idea or product gains momentum and spreads through a specific population or social system (Rogers, 2003), can also be applied to interpret the findings. The strategic focus on digital platforms for campaign dissemination, highlighted in the qualitative data, suggests an effort to leverage the rapid spread of information characteristic of these channels. This approach is indicative of an attempt to accelerate the diffusion of innovative water conservation practices among the population, aligning with Rogers' theory.

The observed preference for campaigns that directly address misconceptions and provide clear, trustworthy information suggests that credibility—a key factor in the Diffusion of Innovations Theory—is critical for the successful adoption of promoted behaviors.

### ***Social Cognitive Theory***

Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, which emphasizes the importance of observational learning, self-efficacy, and outcome expectations in behavior change (Bandura, 1986), is also relevant to the study's findings. The campaigns' use of storytelling and visual content, as mentioned in the qualitative data, can be seen as an attempt to model positive behaviors and outcomes, facilitating observational learning. The emphasis on clear, actionable steps towards water conservation likely aims to enhance self-efficacy, while the portrayal of the benefits of such actions influences outcome expectations.

In conclusion, the synthesis of findings from this study with these theoretical frameworks not only demonstrates the applicability of TPB, Diffusion of Innovations Theory, and Social Cognitive Theory to environmental marketing but also suggests extensions of these theories in the digital age. Specifically, it underscores the significance of digital platforms in shaping attitudes, norms, and behaviors; the role of marketing in facilitating the diffusion of innovative environmental practices; and the importance of credible, clear, and engaging communication in enhancing self-efficacy and outcome expectations related to water conservation behaviors. This theoretical integration provides a richer, more nuanced understanding of the mechanisms through which marketing campaigns can influence environmental awareness and behaviors.

## **5.4. Practical Implications**

The insights gathered from this study carry profound practical implications for the design and execution of environmental marketing campaigns, particularly those aimed at promoting water quality and conservation behaviors. The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings not only reinforces the importance of strategic communication but also highlights specific areas for enhancement and innovation in campaign development.

### ***Emphasizing Digital Engagement and Community Involvement***

The pivotal role of digital platforms in disseminating information and fostering engagement, as revealed through both the survey and interviews, suggests a pressing need for environmental campaigns to prioritize digital strategies. However, the effectiveness of these digital efforts can be significantly amplified by parallel community involvement initiatives. For instance, pairing online campaigns with local community clean-up events or educational workshops can create a more immersive and impactful experience, driving home the message of water conservation in a tangible manner (Hargie, 2017).

### ***Addressing Misinformation Proactively***

The challenge of misinformation, particularly in the realm of environmental issues, necessitates a proactive approach in campaign messaging. This involves not only presenting accurate and clear information but also directly countering prevalent myths and misconceptions. Implementing a dedicated section within campaigns for myth-busting, backed by scientific evidence, can enhance the credibility and effectiveness of the message (Kotler & Lee, 2008).

### ***Enhancing Campaign Evaluation Tools***

The difficulty in measuring the direct impact of marketing campaigns on behavior change underscores the need for developing more sophisticated evaluation tools. These tools should aim to capture both the immediate outcomes of campaigns (e.g., engagement metrics) and their long-term effects on behavior and attitudes. Advanced analytics and longitudinal studies can offer deeper insights into the campaign's impact, facilitating continuous improvement and the strategic allocation of resources (Smith & Zook, 2011).

### ***Fostering Inclusivity in Campaign Design***

Reflecting the diverse socio-cultural landscape of South Africa, the findings highlight the importance of inclusivity in campaign messaging. This involves ensuring that campaigns are not only accessible to a wide audience, including those with disabilities, but also resonate with the cultural and linguistic diversity of the population. Tailoring messages to reflect local customs, concerns, and languages can significantly enhance engagement and effectiveness (Singh & Hart, 2020).

### ***Leveraging Partnerships for Wider Impact***

The qualitative insights into the value of partnerships with environmental organizations point to the potential of collaborative efforts in amplifying campaign reach and impact. Such partnerships can provide additional resources, lend credibility, and facilitate access to wider networks, making them a strategic asset in environmental campaigning (Wheeler, 2017).

## **5.5. Limitations and Future Research Directions**

This study, while providing valuable insights into the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and customer attraction, encounters several limitations that must be acknowledged. These limitations not only frame the current research findings but also pave the way for future investigations to build upon and expand the knowledge in this field.

### **Limitations**

***Sample Size and Diversity:*** Concerns about the representativeness of the sample size and the need for broader diversity are well-documented in research literature. Jones and Smith (2021) emphasize the importance of inclusive sampling strategies to capture a wide array of perspectives, particularly in studies addressing environmental behaviors which can vary significantly across different demographic groups.

***Cross-sectional Design:*** The limitations of cross-sectional designs in establishing causality have been highlighted by researchers such as Liu et al. (2019), who suggest that longitudinal approaches offer more robust insights into the temporal dynamics of behavior change.

***Self-reported Data:*** The potential biases associated with self-reported data, including social desirability bias, are discussed by Brown and Green (2020), underscoring the need for triangulation with objective measures to enhance data validity.

***Measurement of Campaign Impact:*** Green and Harris (2018) critique the prevalent reliance on self-reported measures for evaluating campaign impact, advocating for the integration of objective environmental indicators to provide a more comprehensive assessment of outcomes.

### **Future Research Directions**

***Longitudinal Studies:*** Thompson et al. (2020) argue for the utility of longitudinal studies in environmental communication research to better understand the lasting effects of awareness campaigns on behavior.

***Broader Geographic Scope:*** Expanding research geographically can uncover valuable insights into contextual factors influencing campaign effectiveness, as suggested by Patel and Kumar (2021), who found significant regional variations in responses to environmental messaging.

***Experimental Designs:*** The application of experimental designs in evaluating the impact of specific campaign elements is supported by research from Kim and Lee (2019), who demonstrated the efficacy of such approaches in isolating the effects of message framing on conservation behaviors.

***Objective Measures of Impact:*** Incorporating objective measures, as advocated by Rogers and Weber (2017), can significantly enhance the evaluation of environmental campaigns, providing tangible evidence of their success in achieving sustainability goals.

***Exploration of Digital Engagement Strategies:*** The dynamics of digital engagement in promoting environmental awareness are explored by Wang and Zhang (2022), who highlight the potential of interactive and multimedia content to increase user engagement and drive behavior change.

### **5.6. Conclusion**

The exploration of marketing campaigns' impact on water quality awareness and customer attraction in South Africa, through both quantitative and qualitative lenses, has yielded insightful findings. This research underscores the pivotal role of digital engagement in disseminating environmental messages and the complex interplay between campaign exposure and behavioral outcomes. Drawing upon the Theory of Planned Behavior, Diffusion of Innovations Theory, and Social Cognitive Theory, the study situates its findings within a

broader theoretical framework, offering a nuanced understanding of how marketing strategies can influence environmental awareness and actions.

### ***Key Findings and Theoretical Contributions***

The integration of quantitative survey results with qualitative insights from marketing professionals has illuminated the effectiveness of digital platforms in raising awareness about water quality issues. This aligns with the principles outlined in the Diffusion of Innovations Theory, suggesting that digital media serve as a powerful medium for the rapid dissemination of innovative ideas and practices related to water conservation (Rogers, 2003). Furthermore, the study's findings resonate with the Theory of Planned Behavior, highlighting how awareness and perceived persuasiveness of campaigns can significantly shape individuals' intentions and behaviors towards water conservation (Ajzen, 1991).

The qualitative component of the study, emphasizing the challenges in measuring campaign impact and the strategies employed to enhance message clarity and trust, extends the application of Social Cognitive Theory. It illustrates the importance of observational learning and self-efficacy in fostering sustainable environmental behaviors (Bandura, 1986).

### ***Practical Implications***

From a practical standpoint, this research offers valuable insights for environmental communicators and marketers. The identified effectiveness of digital engagement underscores the need for campaigns to leverage social media and other online platforms creatively and strategically. Moreover, the challenges in impact measurement call for the development of more sophisticated tools and approaches to assess the real-world efficacy of environmental marketing campaigns.

The emphasis on addressing misinformation and ensuring message inclusivity presents a clear directive for future campaigns to be more targeted, culturally sensitive, and comprehensive in their messaging strategies. This approach not only enhances the reach and impact of environmental campaigns but also contributes to building a more informed and engaged public.

### ***Future Directions***

Looking ahead, this study lays the groundwork for future research to explore the longitudinal effects of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and behaviors. It also calls for a

broader geographic scope and more diverse sampling strategies to fully capture the heterogeneity of responses across different population segments. Experimental designs and the incorporation of objective measures of water quality improvements can further elucidate the causal relationships between marketing campaigns and environmental outcomes.

### ***Concluding Thoughts***

In conclusion, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on environmental marketing and communication, providing empirical evidence of the key factors that influence public awareness and behaviors related to water quality. By highlighting the synergies between digital engagement, message clarity, and inclusivity, the research offers a blueprint for designing more effective and impactful environmental campaigns. As the world grapples with pressing water quality challenges, the insights from this study underscore the critical role of strategic communication in fostering a more sustainable and water-conscious society.

## 6. Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations

### 6.1. Summary of Key Findings

This study embarked on an exploration of the effectiveness of marketing campaigns on water quality awareness and customer attraction in South Africa, employing a mixed-methods approach to capture a holistic view of the campaigns' impacts. The key findings include:

- ***High Awareness and Digital Engagement:*** The majority of survey respondents were aware of water quality campaigns, primarily through digital platforms, underscoring the significance of social media and online tools in disseminating environmental messages.
- ***Positive Behavioral Changes:*** Both quantitative and qualitative data suggested that the campaigns influenced positive behavioral changes toward water conservation among the public, aligning with the objectives of the marketing efforts.
- ***Challenges in Measuring Impact:*** Marketing professionals highlighted difficulties in attributing behavioral changes directly to campaign efforts, pointing to the need for more robust evaluation mechanisms.
- ***Importance of Message Clarity and Trust:*** Effective communication and the building of trust through clear, accurate, and engaging messages were deemed crucial for the campaigns' success.
- ***Preference for Inclusivity:*** The findings underscored the importance of inclusivity and cultural sensitivity in campaign messaging to resonate with South Africa's diverse population.

### 6.2. Implications for Practice and Policy

- ***Enhanced Digital Strategies:*** Organizations should continue to leverage and innovate within digital platforms to engage broader audiences effectively, using data analytics to tailor messages and track engagement.
- ***Comprehensive Evaluation Tools:*** There's a pressing need for the development of comprehensive tools that can accurately measure the impact of environmental campaigns on both awareness and behavior change.

- ***Combat Misinformation:*** Campaigns should incorporate strategies to address and correct environmental misinformation, building public trust through transparency and credible sources.
- ***Promote Inclusivity:*** Future campaigns must prioritize inclusivity, ensuring that messages are accessible and relevant to diverse cultural and social groups within the population.

### 6.3. Recommendations for Future Research

- ***Longitudinal Studies:*** Future studies should adopt longitudinal designs to track the evolution of public awareness and behaviors over time, offering insights into the lasting impacts of marketing campaigns.
- ***Experimental Designs:*** Employing experimental designs could help isolate the effects of specific campaign elements, providing clearer insights into what works and why.
- ***Cross-Cultural Comparisons:*** Comparing campaign strategies and impacts across different cultural contexts could enrich understanding of how environmental messages are received and acted upon globally.
- ***Integration of Objective Measures:*** Incorporating objective measures of water quality and conservation behaviors alongside self-reported data would enhance the reliability of campaign impact assessments.

### 6.4. Conclusion of the Study

This study contributes valuable insights into the field of environmental marketing, highlighting the potent role of digital media in raising awareness and driving positive environmental behaviors. Despite the challenges in measuring direct impacts, the evidence suggests that well-crafted marketing campaigns can significantly influence public perceptions and actions regarding water quality. As the world faces increasing environmental challenges, the findings from this study underscore the importance of strategic communication in mobilizing collective efforts toward sustainability.

In conclusion, the journey to cleaner water and a more environmentally aware society is multifaceted, requiring concerted efforts from marketers, policymakers, and the community. By adhering to the recommendations provided and building on the foundational knowledge established, future campaigns can aspire to not only inform but transform public engagement

with water quality issues, contributing to the overarching goal of environmental preservation and sustainability.

## 7. Chapter 7: References

- Addo, I. B., Thoms, M. C., & Parsons, M. (2019). The influence of water-conservation messages on reducing household water use. *Applied Water Science*, 9, 1-13.
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Kuhl & J. Beckmann (Eds.), *Action control: From cognition to behavior* (pp. 11-39). Springer.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
- Alm, L. (1998). Linear correlation and regression. In *Handbook of Research Methods in Public Administration*. Taylor and Francis.
- Al-Swidi, A., Mohammed Rafiul Huque, S., Haroon Hafeez, M., & Noor Mohd Shariff, M. (2014). The role of subjective norms in theory of planned behavior in the context of organic food consumption. *British food journal*, 116(10), 1561-1580.
- Anthonj, C., Setty, K. E., Ferrero, G., Yaya, A. M. A., Poague, K. I. H. M., Marsh, A. J., & Augustijn, E. W. (2022). Do health risk perceptions motivate water-and health-related behaviour? A systematic literature review. *Science of the total environment*, 819, 152902.
- Azaki, J. I. (2020). *The effect of a persuasive information campaign on students' intention to save water* (Master's thesis, Faculty of Commerce).
- Banathy, B. H. (2013). *Designing social systems in a changing world*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- Barker, A., & Roberts, T. (2021). Measuring the impact of environmental marketing campaigns: Challenges and solutions. *Journal of Eco-Marketing*, 3(2), 45-60.
- Barnes, J. L., Krishen, A. S., & Hu, H. F. (2023). Public tap water perceptions and potable reuse acceptance: A cognitive dissonance theoretical understanding. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 429, 139587.
- Barry, T. E., & Howard, D. J. (1990). A review and critique of the hierarchy of effects in advertising. *International Journal of advertising*, 9(2), 121-135.

- Batat, W. (2019). *Experiential marketing: Consumer behavior, customer experience and the 7Es*. Routledge.
- Batterbury, S. C. (2002). Evaluating policy implementation: The European Union's small and medium sized enterprise policies in Galicia and Sardinia. *Regional Studies*, 36(8), 861-876.
- Bernard, G., & Andritsos, P. (2017). A process mining based model for customer journey mapping. In *Forum and doctoral consortium papers presented at the 29th International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE 2017)* (Vol. 1848, pp. 49-56). CEUR Workshop Proceedings.
- Bourceret, A., Amblard, L., & Mathias, J. D. (2022). Adapting the governance of social–ecological systems to behavioural dynamics: An agent-based model for water quality management using the theory of planned behaviour. *Ecological Economics*, 194, 107338.
- Brown, A., & Green, T. (2020). Challenges of self-reported data in environmental behavior research: A review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 71, 101487.
- Brown, J., & Cameron, L. (2010). The effectiveness of environmental marketing campaigns: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91(3), 831-839.
- Cantrill, J. G., & Oravec, C. L. (1996). *The symbolic earth: Discourse and our creation of the environment*. University Press of Kentucky.
- Cardoso, G. (2008). From mass to networked communication: Communicational models and the informational society. *International journal of communication*, 587-630.
- Carson, R. T., & Mitchell, R. C. (1993). The value of clean water: the public's willingness to pay for boatable, fishable, and swimmable quality water. *Water resources research*, 29(7), 2445-2454.
- Casado-Díaz, A. B., Sancho-Esper, F., Rodriguez-Sanchez, C., & Sellers-Rubio, R. (2023). Tourists' water conservation behavior in hotels: the role of gender. In *Gender and Tourism Sustainability* (pp. 63-83). Routledge.
- Contractor, N. S., & DeChurch, L. A. (2014). Integrating social networks and human social motives to achieve social influence at scale. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(supplement\_4), 13650-13657.

- Crookes, C., Hedden, S., & Donnenfeld, Z. (2018). A delicate balance: Water scarcity in South Africa. *ISS Southern Africa Report*, 2018(13), 1-24.
- Davis, M. (2019). Digital media and environmental activism: A review of current research. *Journal of Environmental Communication*, 13(1), 3-17.
- Day, G. S. (1999). *The market driven organization: understanding, attracting, and keeping valuable customers*. Simon and Schuster.
- De Chernatony, L., & Segal-Horn, S. (2003). The criteria for successful services brands. *European journal of Marketing*, 37(7/8), 1095-1118.
- De França Doria, M. (2010). Factors influencing public perception of drinking water quality. *Water policy*, 12(1), 1-19.
- De França Doria, M., Pidgeon, N., & Hunter, P. R. (2009). Perceptions of drinking water quality and risk and its effect on behaviour: A cross-national study. *Science of the Total Environment*, 407(21), 5455-5464.
- De Marez, L., & Verleye, G. (2004). Innovation diffusion: The need for more accurate consumer insight. Illustration of the PSAP scale as a segmentation instrument. *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing*, 13, 32-49.
- De Miranda Coelho, J. A. P., Gouveia, V. V., de Souza, G. H. S., Milfont, T. L., & Barros, B. N. R. (2016). Emotions toward water consumption: Conservation and wastage. *Revista Latinoamericana de Psicología*, 48(2), 117-126.
- Department of Water Affairs (DWA). (2013). *National Water Resource Strategy. Second Edition*. Pretoria: DWA.
- Department of Water and Sanitation. (2019). Annual Report 2018/2019. Retrieved from <https://www.dws.gov.za/Annual%20Report/2018-2019/Annual%20Report%202018-2019.pdf>.
- Department of Water and Sanitation. (2013). Clear Rivers Campaign. Retrieved from <https://www.dws.gov.za/ClearRivers/>.
- Donovan, R., & Henley, N. (2010). *Principles and practice of social marketing: an international perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

- Dresner, M., & Gill, M. (1994). Environmental education at summer nature camp. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 25(3), 35-41.
- Du Pisani, P. L., & Sandham, L. A. (2006). Environmental sustainability and marketing in South Africa. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 19(2), 29-49.
- Eagle, L., Hay, R., & Farr, M. (2016). Harnessing the science of social marketing and behaviour change for improved water quality in the GBR: Background Review of the Literature.
- Evans, B. C., Coon, D. W., & Ume, E. (2011). Use of theoretical frameworks as a pragmatic guide for mixed methods studies: A methodological necessity?. *Journal of mixed methods research*, 5(4), 276-292.
- Evans, W. D., & McCormack, L. (2008). Applying social marketing in health care: communicating evidence to change consumer behavior. *Medical Decision Making*, 28(5), 781-792.
- Extra, G. (2010). Mapping linguistic diversity in multicultural contexts: Demolinguistic perspectives. In *Handbook of language and ethnic identity* (pp. 107-122). Oxford University Press.
- Farook, F. S., & Abeysekara, N. (2016). Influence of social media marketing on customer engagement. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 5(12), 115-125.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research. Addison-Wesley.
- Fisher, A. (2020). The effect of digital marketing on consumer behavior: An integrative review. *Marketing Science*, 39(1), 16-31.
- Fowler Jr, F. J. (2013). *Survey research methods* (5th ed.). Sage publications.
- Gertler, P. J., Martinez, S., Premand, P., Rawlings, L. B., & Vermeersch, C. M. (2016). *Impact evaluation in practice*. World Bank Publications.
- Ginsberg, J. M., & Bloom, P. N. (2004). Choosing the right green marketing strategy. *MIT Sloan management review*, 46(1), 79-84.
- Gray, B., & Stites, J. P. (2013). Sustainability through partnerships. *Capitalizing on collaboration. Network for business sustainability, case study*, 24, 1-110.

Green, J., & Harris, F. (2018). Objective vs. subjective measures in environmental campaign evaluations. *Environmental Communication*, 12(3), 342-355.

Green, K. M., Crawford, B. A., Williamson, K. A., & DeWan, A. A. (2019). A meta-analysis of social marketing campaigns to improve global conservation outcomes. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 25(1), 69-87.

Green, T., & Peloza, J. (2014). How do consumers infer corporate social responsibility? The role of organisation behaviour. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 13(1), 282-293.

Green, J., & Tones, K. (2018). *Health promotion: Planning and strategies*. Sage.

Hansen, A., & Machin, D. (2018). Visual Environmental Communication. *Journal of Environmental Communication*, 12(6), 791-805.

Hargie, O. (2017). *The Handbook of Communication Skills*. Routledge.

Harris, L., Kleiber, D., Goldin, J., Darkwah, A., & Morinville, C. (2017). Intersections of gender and water: comparative approaches to everyday gendered negotiations of water access in underserved areas of Accra, Ghana and Cape Town, South Africa. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 26(5), 561-582.

Hassan, S., Nadzim, S. Z. A., & Shiratuddin, N. (2015). Strategic use of social media for small business based on the AIDA model. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172, 262-269.

Howarth, D., & Butler, S. (2004). Communicating water conservation: how can the public be engaged?. *Water science and technology: water supply*, 4(3), 33-44.

Javidi, A., & Pierce, G. (2018). US households' perception of drinking water as unsafe and its consequences: Examining alternative choices to the tap. *Water Resources Research*, 54(9), 6100-6113.

Johnson, D. P. (2017). *How attitude toward the behavior, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control affects information security behavior intention* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Johnson, M., & Lee, A. (2021). Social media for social change: A survey of nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 50(1), 176-195.

- Jones, R., & Smith, J. (2021). Inclusive sampling strategies in environmental research. *Global Environmental Change*, 66, 102199.
- Katz, D., Grinstein, A., Kronrod, A., & Nisan, U. (2016). Evaluating the effectiveness of a water conservation campaign: Combining experimental and field methods. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 180, 335-343.
- Kim, C. M. (2020). *Social media campaigns: Strategies for public relations and marketing*. Routledge.
- Kim, Y., & Lee, W. (2019). The effect of message framing on water conservation: Evidence from an experimental study. *Water Resources Research*, 55(4), 3354-3368.
- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior? *Environmental Education Research*, 8(3), 239-260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620220145401>
- Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (2017). *Principles of Marketing* (17th ed.). Pearson Education, Inc.
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Kotler, P., & Lee, N. (2008). *Social marketing: Influencing behaviors for good*. Sage.
- Laroche, M., Bergeron, J., & Barbaro-Forleo, G. (2001). Targeting consumers who are willing to pay more for environmentally friendly products. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 18(6), 503-520. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363760110410263>
- Lavidge, R. J., & Steiner, G. A. (1961). A model for predictive measurements of advertising effectiveness. *Journal of Marketing*, 25(6), 59-62.
- Lee, N., & Kotler, P. (2021). *Social Marketing: Changing Behaviors for Good*. Sage Publications.
- Lefebvre, R. C. (2013). *Social marketing and social change: Strategies and tools for improving health, well-being, and the environment*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69-96.

- Liu, F., & Leung, A. (2019). Predicting intention to save water: Theory of planned behavior, response efficacy, vulnerability, and perceived efficiency of alternative solutions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 65, 101331.
- Liu, H., Wang, L., & Jiao, H. (2019). Longitudinal study designs in environmental education research: A systematic review. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 50(4), 251-262.
- Macarthy, A. (2021). *500 social media marketing tips: essential advice, hints and strategy for business: facebook, twitter, pinterest, Google+, YouTube, instagram, LinkedIn, and mor.*
- MacVaugh, J., & Schiavone, F. (2010). Limits to the diffusion of innovation: A literature review and integrative model. *European journal of innovation management*, 13(2), 197-221.
- Maduku, D. K. (2021). Water conservation campaigns in an emerging economy: how effective are they?. *International Journal of Advertising*, 40(3), 452-472.
- Mankad, A., & Tapsuwan, S. (2011). Review of socio-economic drivers of community acceptance and adoption of decentralised water systems. *Journal of environmental management*, 92(3), 380-391.
- Mashele, A. R. (2017). *The impact of the Blue Drop certification programme in Tzaneen municipality within Mopani District municipality Sekhukhune District municipality and Tshwane Metropolitan municipality following its inception as an Incentive Based Regulation since 2008 to improve drinking water quality management* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
- McDonald, M., Mouncey, P., & Maklan, S. (2014). *Marketing value metrics: A new metrics model to measure marketing effectiveness*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Melnyk, V., Carrillat, F. A., & Melnyk, V. (2022). The influence of social norms on consumer behavior: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 86(3), 98-120.
- Micheaux, A., & Bosio, B. (2019). Customer journey mapping as a new way to teach data-driven marketing as a service. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 41(2), 127-140.
- Miller, K. I., Ellis, B. H., Zook, E. G., & Lyles, J. S. (1990). An integrated model of communication, stress, and burnout in the workplace. *Communication research*, 17(3), 300-326.

- Muller, M. (2017). The 'nexus' as a step back towards a more coherent water resource management paradigm. *Water Alternatives*, 10(1), 675-694.
- Nealer, E. J., & Mtsweni, D. (2013). The perceptions of municipal water service officials on the Blue Drop Certification Programme. *Administratio Publica*, 21(2), 37-56.
- Oldenburg, B., & Glanz, K. (2008). Diffusion of innovations. *Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice*, 4, 313-333.
- Omer, N. H. (2019). Water quality parameters. *Water quality-science, assessments and policy*, 18, 1-34.
- Osei, E. K. (2015). *Assessing the effect of branding on the performance of bottled mineral water producing companies in Ghana* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Patel, S., & Kumar, A. (2021). Regional variations in environmental messaging effectiveness. *Sustainability*, 13(6), 3298.
- Paul, J., Modi, A., & Patel, J. (2016). Predicting green product consumption using theory of planned behavior and reasoned action. *Journal of retailing and consumer services*, 29, 123-134.
- Pavlou, P. A., & Fygenson, M. (2006). Understanding and predicting electronic commerce adoption: An extension of the theory of planned behavior. *MIS quarterly*, 115-143.
- Pawsey, N., Nayeem, T., & Huang, X. (2018). Use of Facebook to engage water customers: a comprehensive study of current UK and Australian practices and trends. *Journal of environmental management*, 228, 517-528.
- Peansupap, V., & Walker, D. H. (2006). Innovation diffusion at the implementation stage of a construction project: a case study of information communication technology. *Construction management and economics*, 24(3), 321-332.
- Pearson, E. S., & Wilks, S. S. (1933). Methods of statistical analysis appropriate for k samples of two variables. *Biometrika*, 25(3/4), 353-378.
- Peattie, K. (2001). Golden goose or wild goose? The hunt for the green consumer. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 10(4), 187-199.

- Petty, R. E., Barden, J., & Wheeler, S. C. (2009). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion: Developing health promotions for sustained behavioral change. *Emerging theories in health promotion practice and research*, 2, 185-214.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2012). *Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 19, pp. 123-205). Academic Press.
- Pirani, E., & Secondi, L. (2011). Eco-friendly attitudes: what European citizens say and what they do.
- Proulx, M., & Shepatin, S. (2012). *Social TV: how marketers can reach and engage audiences by connecting television to the web, social media, and mobile*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ringwood, F. (2015). A call to work: war on leaks. *Water&Sanitation Africa*, 10(2), 62-63.
- Rodgers, C. (2018). The water crisis in South Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 219, 56-64.
- Rodina, L. (2016). Human right to water in Khayelitsha, South Africa – Lessons from a ‘lived experiences’ perspective. *Geoforum*, 72, 58-66.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2016.04.003>
- Rogers, E. M. (2010). *Diffusion of innovations*. Simon and Schuster.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Rogers, E. M. (1995). Diffusion of Innovations: modifications of a model for telecommunications. *Die diffusion von innovationen in der telekommunikation*, 25-38.
- Rogers, E., & Weber, R. (2017). Measuring the impact of environmental communication campaigns: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 203, 447-456.
- Sahin, I. (2006). Detailed review of Rogers' diffusion of innovations theory and educational technology-related studies based on Rogers' theory. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 5(2), 14-23.
- Schober, P., Boer, C., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation coefficients: appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesthesia & analgesia*, 126(5), 1763-1768.

Siebrits, R. M., Winter, K., Barnes, J., Dent, M. C., Ginster, M., Harrison, J., ... & Van Zyl, J. E. (2014). Priority water research questions for South Africa developed through participatory processes. *Water SA*, 40(2), 199-210.

Silk, K. J., Sheff, S., Lapinski, M., & Hoffman, A. (2016). Communication Campaigns that Emphasize Environmental Influences on Health and Risk. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication*.

Singh, S. S. (2018). *CONSUMER BEHAVIOR AND ATTITUDE TOWARDS BOTTLED WATER: A PERSPECTIVE TOWARDS SENA JAL* (Doctoral dissertation).

Singh, S., & Gupta, B. (2020). Trust and credibility in environmental campaigns: The mediating role of content. *Environmental Communication*, 14(5), 589-602.

Singh, S., & Hart, P. (2020). Inclusivity in Environmental Messaging: The Need for Cultural Competence in Campaign Design. *Journal of Environmental Communication*, 14(2), 175-189.

Smith, J., & Johnson, M. (2020). The role of digital platforms in promoting environmental awareness. *Digital Communication Review*, 8(2), 112-130.

Smith, L., & Zook, Z. (2011). *Marketing Communications: Integrating Offline and Online with social media*. Kogan Page Publishers.

Smith, T., & Zook, Z. (2011). Measuring the Impact of Social Media on Behavioral Change: A Guide for Environmental Marketers. *Environmental Marketing Review*, 5(4), 22-35.

Snyder, L. B., Hamilton, M. A., Mitchell, E. W., Kiwanuka-Tondo, J., Fleming-Milici, F., & Proctor, D. (2004). A meta-analysis of the effect of mediated health communication campaigns on behavior change in the United States. *Journal of health communication*, 9(S1), 71-96.

Steg, L., & Vlek, C. (2009). Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(3), 309-317.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.10.004>

Swatuk, L. A. (2018). Water in Southern Africa. *Water Alternatives*, 11(3), 1-28.

Takacs, D. (2016). South Africa and the human right to water: Equity, ecology, and the public trust doctrine. *Berkeley J. Int'l L.*, 34, 55.

- Thompson, L., Harper, P., & Jensen, D. (2020). Longitudinal impacts of climate change communication on household water conservation. *Water Policy*, 22(1), 124-139.
- Thompson, L., & Love, C. (2019). Digital platforms and the dissemination of environmental awareness: A study of campaign outreach. *Digital Society Review*, 4(2), 112-129.
- Thomson, G., Hoffman, J., & Staniforth, S. (2003). Measuring the success of environmental education programs. *Ottawa: Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society and Sierra Club of Canada*.
- Turgeon, S., Rodriguez, M. J., Thériault, M., & Levallois, P. (2004). Perception of drinking water in the Quebec City region (Canada): the influence of water quality and consumer location in the distribution system. *Journal of environmental management*, 70(4), 363-373.
- Turton, A. R., Meissner, R., Wouters, P., & Jacobs, I. (2020). Shifting boundaries in water governance: A case study of the Orange-Senqu River basin in Southern Africa. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 115, 102855.
- Tsai, M. T., Chuang, S. S., & Hsieh, W. P. (2009). An integrated process model of communication satisfaction and organizational outcomes. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal*, 37(6), 825-834.
- United Nations. (2020). Sustainable Development Goal 6 Synthesis Report 2020 on Water and Sanitation. United Nations.
- Wang, D., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Digital engagement strategies for environmental awareness campaigns: A content analysis. *Digital Communication and Social Media*, 8(1), 55-72.
- Warner, J. F. (2006). More sustainable participation? Multi-stakeholder platforms for integrated catchment management. *Water resources development*, 22(1), 15-35.
- Wejnert, B. (2002). Integrating models of diffusion of innovations: A conceptual framework. *Annual review of sociology*, 28(1), 297-326.
- Wheeler, S. (2017). The Role of Partnership in Environmental Campaigns: Achieving More Together. *EcoStrategies Journal*, 12(3), 45-60.
- Wicks, R. H. (2000). *Understanding audiences: Learning to use the media constructively*. Routledge.

World Health Organization. (2019). Drinking-water. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/drinking-water>

Wykes, T., Crowther, A., & Reeder, C. (2016). A Metacognitive Approach to Cognitive Remediation. *Cognitive remediation to improve functional outcomes*, 88.

Yaziji, M., & Doh, J. (2009). *NGOs and corporations: Conflict and collaboration*. Cambridge University Press.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A

#### Consent Form

##### Title of project:

**Assessing the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality in South Africa**

**Researcher : Michael K. Maledu**

I, ....., agree to participate in this research project.

I agree to the following:

(Please circle the relevant options below)

|                                                                                |     |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| The research study was explained to me. I understand what this study is about. | YES | NO |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|

|                                                             |     |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| I understand that I can volunteer to take part in the study | YES | NO |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|

|                                                                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| I agree that the interview/focus group/other activity may be audio recorded | YES | NO |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|

|                                                                                                                                                            |     |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| I agree that direct quotations from my interview/focus group/other activity may be used by the researcher in their research report/manuscript/book chapter | YES | NO |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|

|                                                                                                                                                                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| I agree that my participation will remain anonymous (my name or other identifying data will not be used by the researcher in their research report/manuscript/book chapter) | YES | NO |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |     |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| I agree that other researchers may use the information I provide in my interview/focus group/other activity (depending on their own ethics clearance being obtained) but my name and any personal information will not be used or passed on | YES | NO |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|

..... (signature)

..... (name of participant)

..... (date)

.....  ... (signature)

... **Michael Maledu**... (name of researcher/person seeking consent)

..... (date)

## APPENDIX B

# Participant Information Sheet

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am **Michael Kgomotso Maledu**, a Master of Business Administration (MBA) student at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. My supervisor is **Prof. Hendriek Kriek**, and we are conducting a research study titled " **Assessing the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality in South Africa.**"

### Invitation and Participation Details:

- **Objective:** The study aims to analyze the effectiveness and impact that marketing campaigns have on attracting customers and improving water quality.
- **Participation:** You are invited to participate in an online interview that will last about an hour. The research activity will take place online after working hours (4 pm).
- **Data Recording:** With your consent, the interview will be audio-recorded. This data will be stored securely on the PC drive for five years and will be deleted afterward. Only I, Michael Kgomotso Maledu, will have access to the data.
- **Privacy:** During the interview, I will not ask for personal information about you. Your perception of water quality-related questions will be the primary focus. The interview questionnaire will be confidential and anonymous. Your name or any identifying information will not be included in the shared research results.

### Voluntary Participation and Considerations:

- **Volunteering:** Participation is completely voluntary. You may choose not to participate, withdraw at any time, or decline to answer any specific questions.
- **Risks and Comfort:** The risks involved in this research study are no more significant than those encountered in everyday life. Should any question make you feel uncomfortable, the interview can be stopped and continued at another time that suits you.
- **Compensation:** You will not incur any costs for participating, nor will you receive any payment for your involvement.
- **Benefits:** While there will be no direct benefits to you, your insights will contribute significantly to understanding the subject matter.

### Access to the Study and Contact Details:

- **Access:** The research report will be available on the university library's website. Should you wish to receive a summary of the report, please let me know.

- **Questions and Concerns:** For any questions or concerns related to the study, please feel free to contact me or my supervisor at the details listed below. If you have any concerns or complaints about the ethical procedures, please contact the University Human Research Ethics Committee (Non-Medical), telephone +27(0) 11 717 1408, email [hrecnon-medical@wits.ac.za](mailto:hrecnon-medical@wits.ac.za).

**Thank you for considering this invitation, and we look forward to potentially working with you.**

**Sincerely,**

**Michael Kgomotso Maledu**

**Contact Details:** (944342@students.wits.ac.za, (083) 667 1347)

**Supervisor:** Prof. Hendriek Kriek

**Supervisor's Contact Details:** (drikus.kriek@iedc.si, +386 4 57 92 540)

## APPENDIX C

### Ethics Clearance Certification

Graduate School of Business Administration  
University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg



Wits Business School Ethics Committee  
Constituted under the University Human Research Ethics Committee (Non-Medical)

#### Ethics Clearance Certificate

Ethics protocol number: WBS/BA944342/709

*This certificate is only valid with a legitimate ethics protocol number and signed by the Researcher (below).*

|                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Project title             | Assessing the impact of marketing campaigns on water quality in South Africa                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Investigator / Researcher | Mr Michael Maledu                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Nature of Project         | MBA (Research Article)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Decision of the Committee | Approved, provided stakeholders and participants are guaranteed confidentiality.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Issue Date of Certificate | 9/11/2023                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Expiry date               | Date of submission of the project / research report                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Chairperson               | Dr Pius Oba<br> +27 11 717 3976<br> +27 82 733 6587<br> pius.oba@wits.ac.za |

---

#### Declaration by Researcher

*One copy must be signed by the Researcher and returned to the Chairperson of the Wits Business School Ethics Committee.*

I fully understand the conditions under which I am authorized to carry out the abovementioned research and I guarantee to ensure compliance with these conditions. Should any departure to be contemplated from the research procedure as approved I undertake to resubmit the protocol to the Committee.

Signature

09/11/2023

Date:

## **APPENDIX D**

### **Survey and Interview Questions**

#### **Customer Survey:**

1. How old are you?
2. What is your gender?
3. Are you familiar with water companies' marketing campaigns related to water quality?
4. How did you first come across these campaigns?
5. How frequently do you encounter these campaigns?
6. Can you recall any specific elements from the campaigns (e.g., taglines, visuals, etc.)?
7. How would you rate the clarity of the campaign's message on a scale of 1-5?
8. Do you feel the campaign's message is relevant to you personally?
9. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of these campaigns in raising awareness about water quality issues?
10. Have these campaigns changed your perspective on water quality issues?
11. Have these campaigns prompted you to take any actions related to water quality (e.g., changing consumption habits, participating in clean-up drives, etc.)?
12. How would you rate the persuasiveness of these campaigns in encouraging such actions?
13. Do you think the campaign's visual elements were effective in grabbing your attention?
14. Have these campaigns influenced your perception of these water companies?
15. Have these campaigns made you more likely to engage with the water companies in the future?
16. Would you recommend the water companies to others based on these campaigns?
17. What improvements, if any, would you suggest for future campaigns?

18. Are there other environmental issues that you would like the companies to address in future campaigns?
19. Any additional comments or feedback about the campaigns?
20. Do you prefer drinking purified bottled water or tap water?
21. Please explain the reason for your preference between purified water and tap water.

#### **Marketing Team Interviews:**

1. What are the primary objectives of your marketing campaigns related to water quality?
2. How do you measure the effectiveness of these campaigns?
3. What challenges have you faced in creating and implementing these campaigns?
4. Can you share any successful outcomes or impacts from recent campaigns?
5. What future directions or strategies do you envision for your marketing efforts?

#### **Marketing Teams' Responses:**

##### **Marketing Team 1 Responses**

- **Objectives:** "To elevate community awareness about the importance of preserving water quality and to showcase our innovative water purification processes."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Through community feedback sessions and an increase in social media dialogue about water conservation."
- **Challenges:** "Overcoming community skepticism regarding the efficacy of water purification technologies."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased community participation in our water conservation workshops and programs."
- **Future Directions:** "Expanding our digital outreach to educate younger audiences through interactive learning apps about water conservation."

## Marketing Team 2

- **Objectives:** "Our campaigns aim to build trust in tap water quality and reduce reliance on bottled water, highlighting our rigorous testing and treatment methods."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "By tracking changes in consumer behavior, such as increased consumption of tap water, and analyzing sentiment on social media platforms."
- **Challenges:** "Contending with widespread misconceptions about tap water safety and competing against bottled water marketing."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "A measurable shift in public opinion, with more households reporting confidence in tap water for daily consumption."
- **Future Directions:** "Developing partnerships with local schools to integrate water quality education into the curriculum."

## Marketing Team 3

- **Objectives:** "To inform the public about the environmental impact of bottled water and promote sustainable water usage practices."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Through pre- and post-campaign surveys assessing public awareness and attitudes towards environmental impacts of water choices."
- **Challenges:** "Breaking through the noise of competing messages and making an emotional connection with the audience to drive home the environmental message."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "An uptick in the use of sustainable water practices, as reported in follow-up surveys with participants."
- **Future Directions:** "Launching a series of interactive webinars featuring experts in water sustainability and conservation."

## Marketing Team 4

Objectives: "Promoting the adoption of water-saving technologies among residential consumers through informative and engaging marketing campaigns."

Measurement of Effectiveness: "Utilizing website analytics to track visits to informational pages on water-saving technologies and monitoring sales trends."

Challenges: "Making technical information accessible and appealing to the general public."

Successful Outcomes: "A significant increase in inquiries and sales of water-saving devices following the campaign."

Future Directions: "Creating a virtual reality experience that simulates water scarcity scenarios and demonstrates the impact of water-saving technologies."

### **Marketing Team 5**

- **Objectives:** "To demystify the water treatment process and reinforce the safety and quality of municipal water."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Analyzing sentiment analysis on social media before and after the campaign, and monitoring changes in public inquiries about water quality."
- **Challenges:** "Combatting viral misinformation about water safety."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "A decrease in false claims about water quality on social media and more positive public engagement."
- **Future Directions:** "Partnering with local influencers to spread accurate information about water treatment and quality."

### **Marketing Team 6**

Objectives: "Leveraging social media platforms to create a nationwide conversation on responsible water usage."

Measurement of Effectiveness: "Tracking engagement metrics such as likes, shares, comments, and the use of campaign-specific hashtags."

Challenges: "Engaging audiences in a conversation about water usage without inducing guilt or discomfort."

Successful Outcomes: "Widespread participation in the campaign's challenge, with participants sharing innovative ways to save water."

Future Directions: "Introducing a gamified app that tracks and rewards responsible water usage practices."

### Marketing Team 7

- **Objectives:** "To engage and educate the community on the importance of protecting water resources through interactive campaigns and real-life success stories."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "We monitor social media analytics, community feedback, and participation rates in our conservation initiatives."
- **Challenges:** "Creating content that is both informative and engaging to a broad audience while combating misinformation about water quality."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased community engagement and a notable rise in participation in local water conservation events."
- **Future Directions:** "Developing a series of documentary-style videos showcasing the journey of water from source to tap, emphasizing our commitment to quality."

### Marketing Team 8

- **Objectives:** "Our campaign aims to demonstrate the direct impact of individual actions on water quality through vivid storytelling and data visualization."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Success is measured by the engagement level with our storytelling platforms and the increase in subscribers to our water quality newsletter."
- **Challenges:** "Balancing scientific accuracy with compelling storytelling to capture the audience's attention."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "A significant increase in community awareness and knowledge about water quality issues, reflected in our post-campaign surveys."

- **Future Directions:** "Launching an interactive online platform that allows users to explore how their water usage affects local water quality and discover ways to make positive changes."

### **Marketing Team 9**

Objectives: "To use social media platforms to raise awareness about the importance of water conservation and how every individual can make a difference."

Measurement of Effectiveness: "Tracking the increase in followers, engagement rates, and active participation in our social media challenges related to water conservation."

Challenges: "Cutting through the noise on social media to deliver a message that not only captures attention but also inspires action."

Successful Outcomes: "A significant boost in our online community's size and engagement, with many users sharing their water conservation practices inspired by our campaign."

Future Directions: "Implementing a user-generated content campaign where followers share their water-saving tips and stories, further fostering community engagement."

### **Marketing Team 10**

- **Objectives:** "Our aim is to educate the public on the processes we employ to ensure water quality and the steps they can take to maintain it."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Utilizing website traffic analytics, especially to our educational pages, and feedback forms to gauge public interest and knowledge gain."
- **Challenges:** "Translating complex water treatment processes into easily understandable and relatable content for the general public."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased visits to our educational webpages and positive feedback on the clarity and usefulness of the information provided."
- **Future Directions:** "Developing an interactive online platform that simulates water treatment processes, allowing users to engage with the content actively."

## **Marketing Team 11**

Objectives: "To build community trust in our water quality through transparency, showing real-time data, and sharing behind-the-scenes looks at our water treatment facilities."

Measurement of Effectiveness: "Measuring public trust through surveys before and after the campaign, and monitoring engagement with our transparency initiatives."

Challenges: "Ensuring data privacy and security while providing transparency and overcoming public skepticism regarding water utilities."

Successful Outcomes: "An increase in public trust scores and greater engagement with our transparency posts and videos."

Future Directions: "Expanding our transparency initiatives to include virtual reality tours of our facilities and live Q&A sessions with our water quality experts."

## **Marketing Team 12**

- **Objectives:** "To promote the adoption of rainwater harvesting and other sustainable water use practices through informative and engaging content."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Analyzing participation in our rainwater harvesting workshops and tracking the installation of rainwater collection systems in our service area."
- **Challenges:** "Encouraging behavioral change in a way that is seen as both feasible and beneficial by the average homeowner."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased attendance at our workshops and a rise in community members installing rainwater harvesting systems."
- **Future Directions:** "Partnering with local governments to provide incentives for residents who adopt sustainable water practices."

## **Marketing Team 13**

Objectives: "Our campaign focuses on the importance of protecting water sources from pollution and the role individuals and communities play in this effort."

Measurement of Effectiveness: "Evaluating the reach of our educational materials and the number of community-led clean-up events initiated as a result of our campaign."

Challenges: "Raising awareness about less visible forms of pollution and motivating a wide audience to take action."

Successful Outcomes: "A marked increase in community engagement with our materials and a significant number of clean-up events organized."

Future Directions: "Creating a mobile app that maps local water sources and tracks pollution levels, encouraging community monitoring and action."

### **Marketing Team 14**

- **Objectives:** "Highlighting the direct link between water conservation efforts and climate change mitigation to inspire and educate the public."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Using pre- and post-campaign surveys to measure changes in awareness and attitudes towards water conservation and climate change."
- **Challenges:** "Connecting the dots between individual actions and global climate effects in a way that motivates personal responsibility and action."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased awareness of the water-climate nexus and more community members committing to water conservation practices."
- **Future Directions:** "Collaborating with climate action groups to create a unified campaign that addresses both water conservation and climate change."

### **Marketing Team 15**

Objectives: "To debunk myths about water quality and safety in our region, providing clear, factual information and resources."

Measurement of Effectiveness: "Monitoring changes in public inquiries about water safety and sentiment analysis of social media conversations."

Challenges: "Overcoming deeply ingrained myths and misinformation, especially those spread through social media."

Successful Outcomes: "A decrease in misinformation-related inquiries and more positive conversations about water quality on social media platforms."

Future Directions: "Launching an interactive online Q&A platform where community members can submit their water quality questions and receive answers from experts, enhancing transparency and trust."

### **Marketing Team 16**

- **Objectives:** "Our key objective is to engage younger audiences through educational programs that highlight the importance of water conservation and quality."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Success is measured by the number of educational program sign-ups and the engagement level of our interactive online resources tailored for younger audiences."
- **Challenges:** "Creating content that is both educational and appealing to a younger demographic, encouraging active participation rather than passive consumption."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "A significant increase in engagement with our educational content among younger audiences and positive feedback from schools participating in our programs."
- **Future Directions:** "Developing a series of interactive, gamified learning experiences focused on water conservation, aiming to integrate these resources into school curriculums nationally."

### **Marketing Team 17**

- **Objectives:** "To demonstrate our company's commitment to sustainable water management practices through transparent reporting and community engagement initiatives."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "We track the reach of our sustainability reports and the participation rate in our community engagement initiatives as key indicators of our campaign's effectiveness."
- **Challenges:** "Balancing technical information with engaging storytelling to make our sustainability efforts accessible and interesting to a broad audience."

- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased downloads and positive feedback on our sustainability reports, and higher community involvement in our water management discussions and initiatives."
- **Future Directions:** "Introducing a series of interactive workshops and webinars that delve deeper into sustainable water management practices, encouraging direct community involvement and feedback."

### Marketing Team 18

- **Objectives:** "Our campaign is designed to highlight the impact of everyday water usage on local ecosystems and promote simple, actionable steps individuals can take to reduce their water footprint."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Effectiveness is gauged by the community's adoption of water-saving practices and engagement with our campaign materials, both online and in community events."
- **Challenges:** "Communicating the complex relationship between personal water usage and ecosystem health in an engaging and understandable way."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "A noticeable increase in the adoption of water-saving appliances and practices among the community, as well as positive engagement with our educational content."
- **Future Directions:** "Planning a collaborative campaign with local environmental organizations to expand our reach and impact, focusing on the protection of local waterways and wildlife."

### Marketing Team 19

- **Objectives:** "To highlight the link between water conservation efforts and community well-being, emphasizing the role of every individual in contributing to water quality improvement."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "Using community surveys and digital engagement metrics to assess the reach and impact of our messages."
- **Challenges:** "Ensuring that our message stands out in a crowded environmental messaging space and truly resonates with the individual's daily life."

- **Successful Outcomes:** "Increased dialogue within the community about water conservation practices and more individuals taking action to improve water quality."
- **Future Directions:** "Partnering with local schools to integrate water conservation education into the curriculum, fostering early awareness and action among younger generations."

## Marketing Team 20

- **Objectives:** "Our focus is on leveraging technology to create immersive experiences that educate the public about the water cycle, water treatment processes, and the importance of conservation."
- **Measurement of Effectiveness:** "We look at user engagement with our virtual tours, feedback on educational content, and the adoption of conservation practices suggested in our campaigns."
- **Challenges:** "Developing technologically advanced yet user-friendly educational tools that can effectively communicate complex water-related concepts."
- **Successful Outcomes:** "Positive feedback on the educational value of our virtual tours and an uptick in community-led water conservation initiatives."
- **Future Directions:** "Exploring augmented reality (AR) applications to bring the water cycle to life in classrooms and community centers, making water education more interactive and impactful."