

https://dspace.org/

Incidence and outcome of SARSCoV2 reinfection in the preOmicron era A global systematic review and metaanalysis

N F Ismail; A E Rahman; D Kulkarni; F Zhu; E et al ...

https://hdl.handle.net/10539/39731
WOS

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Electronic supplementary material:
The online version of this article contains supplementary material.

journal of global health

© 2023 The Author(s)

Cite as: Ismail NF, Rahman AE, Kulkarni D, Zhu F, Wang X, del Carmen Morales G, Srivastava A, Allen KE, Spinardi J, Kyaw MH, Nair H. Incidence and outcome of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the pre-omicron era: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Health 2023;13:06051.

Incidence and outcome of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the pre-Omicron era: A global systematic review and meta-analysis

Nabihah Farhana Ismail[1,2*], Ahmed Ehsanur Rahman[1,3*], Durga Kulkarni[1], Fuyu Zhu[4], Xin Wang[1,4], Graciela del Carmen Morales[5], Amit Srivastava[5,6], Kristen E Allen[5], Julia Spinardi[5], Moe H Kyaw[5†], Harish Nair[1,4,7†]

[1]Centre for Global Health, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
2Communicable Disease Control Unit, Public Health Department, Johor State, Malaysia
3International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases Research, Bangladesh
4School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu, China
5Pfizer, Vaccines, Emerging Markets
6Orbital Therapeutics, United States of America
7MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
*Joint first authorship.
†Joint senior authorship.

Background With the emergence of new variants and sub-lineages of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), reinfections can significantly impact herd immunity, vaccination policies, and decisions on other public health measures. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesise the global evidence on SARS-CoV-2 reinfections in the pre-Omicron era.

Methods We searched five global databases (MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL Plus, Global Health, WHO COVID-19) on 12 May 2022 and 28 July 2023 and three Chinese databases (CNKI, Wanfang, CQvip) on 16 October 2022 for articles reporting incidence and outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection before the period of Omicron (B.1.1.529) predominance. We assessed risk of bias using Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal tools and conducted meta-analyses with random effects models to estimate the proportion of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection among initially infected cases and hospitalisation and mortality proportions among reinfected ones.

Results We identified 7593 studies and extracted data from 64 included ones representing 21 countries. The proportion of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was 1.16% (95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.01-1.33) based on 11 639 247 initially infected cases, with ≥45 days between the two infections. Healthcare providers (2.28%; 95% CI = 1.37-3.40) had a significantly higher risk of reinfection than the general population (1.00%; 95% CI = 0.81-1.20), while young adults aged 18 to 35 years (1.01%; 95% CI = 0.8-1.25) had a higher reinfection burden than other age groups (children <18 years old: 0.57%; 95% CI = 0.39-0.79, older adults aged 36-65 years old: 0.53%; 95% CI = 0.41-0.65, elderly >65 years old: 0.37%; 95% CI = 0.15-0.66). Among the reinfected cases, 8.12% (95% CI = 5.30-11.39) were hospitalised, 1.31% (95% CI = 0.29-2.83) were admitted to the intensive care unit, and 0.71% (95% CI = 0.02-2.01) died.

Conclusions Our data suggest a relatively low risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the pre-Omicron era, but the risk of hospitalisation was relatively high among the reinfected cases. Considering the possibility of underdiagnosis, the reinfection burden may be underestimated.

Registration PROSPERO: CRD42023449712.

Correspondence to:
Prof Harish Nair
The University of Edinburgh
Old Medical School, Teviot Place,
Edinburgh, EH8 9AG
United Kingdom
harish.nair@ed.ac.uk

The first case of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reinfection, which can be caused by the same or different variant from the initial infection, was diagnosed on 25 August 2020 in Hong Kong [1-3]. Such cases were assumed to be rare early on in the pandemic, as most studies reported reinfection confirmed by whole genomic sequence alone [2-4]. Several later studies reported varying rates and burdens of reinfection [5-7], potentially reflecting the inability

of the primed immune system to sustain protection against subsequent infections or the higher capabilities of the new variants to evade natural immunity [8,9], as well as a wide range of risk factors and adverse outcomes [5,10,11].

As SARS-CoV-2 constantly mutates, it is important to systematically synthesise evidence related to reinfection to support effective public health measures. The Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant is phylogenetically and antigenically distinct from earlier ones, with a substantially higher risk of immune escape [12,13]. We aimed to estimate the global burden of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection before the period of Omicron predominance, stratified by age, sex, region, and country income group. We also synthesised the evidence related to its clinical severity and outcomes, including hospitalisation, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and mortality.

METHODS

We registered the protocol of this systematic review in the International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) (CRD42023449712) and conducted it per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P 2020) guidelines [14]. Since we used data from published primary studies, we did not seek formal ethical approval.

We searched five global databases (MEDLINE, Embase, Global Health, CINAHL, WHO COVID-19) on 12 May 2022 and again on 28 July 2023, and three Chinese databases (CNKI, Wanfang, CQvip) on 16 October 2022, with a broad search strategy containing keywords such as "Coronavirus disease (COVID-19)" and "Reinfection" (Table S1 in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

Our study population were individuals with real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. The primary outcome of interest was reinfection, defined as an individual with an initial infection determined by a positive RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 and a second positive RT-PCR test at least 45 days from the initial infection. Per pre-defined eligibility criteria (Table S2 in the **Online Supplementary Document**), we included observational (prospective and retrospective) studies, all controlled arms of cluster or individual randomised controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, and population and facility-based studies conducted in all countries, irrespective of income-group status. We set no language restriction, but excluded studies published before January 2020. We excluded case studies, case series, conference abstracts, technical reports, pre-print publications, systematic reviews and studies that involved fewer than 100 patients with initial infection.

Two reviewers (NFI and AER for English language databases, FZ and XW for Chinese databases) independently screened the titles and abstracts of retrieved studies, followed by the full texts of the ones selected as possibly eligible. They then independently extracted data using a pre-designed form following an initial pilot. We assessed risk of bias using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Tools [15]. A single reviewer (NFI or DK) conducted title and abstract screening and full-text review for the English database update on 28 July 2023. A single reviewer (NFI) extracted data which were then cross-checked by a second reviewer (DK). NFI performed risk of bias assessment for the additional studies. We extracted information on the predominant SARS-CoV-2 variants in countries where the studies were conducted from the CoVariants website if these were not reported in the article [16]. When data was unavailable for a specific country, we used data from the nearest neighboring country as a proxy. The discrepancies between the two pairs of reviewers (NFI and AER, and NFI and DK) were first discussed and resolved with a third reviewer (HN) if consensus was not reached.

Statistical analysis

We conducted all statistical analyses in Stata, version 15 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA). We adopted a random effects model to report the pooled proportion of SARS CoV-2 reinfection among initially infected cases (reinfection incidence proportion) [17] and further disaggregated the pooled estimates by age, sex, vaccination status, the predominant variant of circulation, study region (Asia, Europe, North and South America), the World Bank country-income group, study design (prospective and retrospective), cut-off duration (≥45 days, ≥60 days, and ≥90 days) and sample sizes (<400, 400-900, and ≥1000) based on data availability. We also reported the rate of reinfection (number of episodes) per 10 000 days after the initial infection (reinfection incidence rate) and estimated the pooled proportions of hospitalisation, ICU admission, and mortality among reinfected cases through a random effects model [17].

We conducted sensitivity analysis by estimating the hospital admission and mortality proportions by sample size (<100 or ≥100). We also did a subgroup meta-analysis for prospective design studies by population type and leave-one-out analysis to demonstrate influential studies.

We reported 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for all pooled estimates, as well as I^2 and Tao^2 values to demonstrate the level of heterogeneity across studies. We also conducted the meta-analyses using R, version 4.2.0. (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria) to check for the reliability of the meta-estimates.

RESULTS

Study selection

We identified 12 569 records in the initial literature search, of which 4976 were duplicates. We conducted title and abstract screening of 7593 records and selected 435 studies for full-text review based on pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria. After a full-text review, we extracted data from 64 articles (**Figure 1**). Of the excluded articles, 112 did not use an RT-PCR test to confirm the initial infection or reinfection, 86 did not provide relevant data, 70 did not have a minimum duration of 45 days between the initial infection and reinfection, 25 had the non-eligible study design, 21 were preprints or gray literature, 19 were non-original articles, 14 had an unclear definition for reinfection, ten were conducted after Omicron predominance, seven had a sample size of less than 100, four were in non-English or Chinese language, and three had no accessible full-texts (Table S3 in the **Online Supplementary Document**).




Figure 1. Search outputs based on PRISMA guidelines [14]. *Unmatched criteria based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Study characteristics

Among the 64 included studies, 19 were prospective and 45 were retrospective (Table S4 in the **Online Supplementary Document**). Most were conducted in Europe (27 studies: six from the UK [6,18-22]), six from Italy [23-28], five from Denmark [5,29-32], four from Spain [33-36], two from France [37,38], and one each from Austria [39], Poland [40], Czech [41] and Sweden [42]), followed by Asia (23 studies: seven from Turkey [43-49], four each from India [50-53] and Israel [54-57], two each from Qatar [58,59], Iran [60,61], and Saudi Arabia [62,63], and one each from Bangladesh [64] and Kuwait [65]), North and South America (13 studies: 10 from the USA [66-75] and three from Brazil [76-78]) and Africa (one study from Liberia [79]). Forty-six studies were from high-income countries (HICs), 10 from upper-middle-income countries (UMICs), seven from lower-middle income countries (LMICs) and was one from a lower-income country (LIC). Forty-seven studies were conducted among the general population, 12 among health care providers, one with a mixed population (general population and health care providers), and four were conducted among special-risk populations, such as patients with autoimmune diseases and long-term care facility residents. Twenty-six studies included the period of Delta predominance, and 15 were conducted when no Variant of Concerns (VOCs) predominated (Figure S1 in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

Results of syntheses

We extracted incidence proportion estimates from all 64 studies and incidence rate estimates from 10, while only 15 reported on severity. Regarding SARS-CoV-2 reinfection outcomes, one study reported on oxygenation, 23 on hospitalisation, 13 on ICU admission, four on mechanical ventilation, and 23 on mortality.

The total sample comprised 11 639 247 patients with initial SARS-CoV-2 infection. Among them, 7 748 062 were from the general population, 23 931 were health service providers, 3 860 054 were mixed, and 7200 were special-risk populations. However, some studies did not have age and sex-specific reporting of incidence (Table S5 in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

Incidence proportion

The pooled reinfection incidence proportion was 1.16% (95% CI = 1.01-1.33) (**Figure 2**), being lowest in a study conducted among the general population in Turkey [48] (0.07%; 95% CI = 0.06-0.09) with 104 281 initially infected cases (minimum cut-off 45 days) and highest in another conducted among the general population in Spain [33] (11.64%; 95% CI = 6.93-17.99) with 146 initially infected cases (minimum cut-off 60 days).




Figure 2. Pooled incidence proportion (with 95% CI) of SARS CoV-2 reinfection generated from random effects model. G – general population, H – health service provider, M – mixed populations, S – special risk group (long term care facilities residents and persons with autoimmune diseases).

The reinfection risk was significantly higher among health care providers (2.28%; 95% CI = 1.37-3.40) than the general population (1.00%; 95% CI = 0.81-1.20) (**Figure 3**), and was also significantly higher among young adults aged 18 to 35 years (1.01%; 95% CI = 0.8-1.25) than other age groups (children <18 years old: 0.57%; 95% CI = 0.39-0.79, older adults aged 36-65 years old: 0.53%; 95% CI = 0.41-0.65, elderly >65 years old: 0.37%; 95% CI = 0.15-0.66). When we restricted the analysis to studies with only unvaccinated populations (n = 3 460 439), the reinfection incidence proportion was 0.83% (95% CI = 0.61, 1.08), with no significant difference in studies with populations with mixed vaccination status (1.36%; 95% CI = 1.09-1.66). We observed no significant difference across other background characteristics and study settings (Table S6, panels A-I in the **Online Supplementary Document**).




Figure 3. Pooled incidence proportion (with 95% CI) of SARS CoV-2 reinfection generated from random effects model by background characteristics. G – general population, H – health service provider, M – mixed populations, S – special risk group (long term care facilities residents and persons with autoimmune diseases), HIC – high-income country.

Incidence rate

The pooled reinfection incidence rate was 1.64 (95% CI = 1.41-1.87) episodes per 10 000 person-days after the initial infection (Table S7 in the **Online Supplementary Document**) and was lowest in a study conducted in Kuwait (0.10 episodes per 10 000 person-days; 95% CI = 0.07-0.15) and highest in one conducted in Israel (10.21 per 10 000 person-days; 95% CI = 9.82-10.62).

Hospitalisation

The pooled proportion of hospitalisation was 8.12% (95% CI = 5.30-11.39) among 20 446 reinfection cases (**Figure 4**). Stratified by country, it was 5.90% (95% CI = 2.10-10.99) in studies conducted in Asia (n = 1750) and 9.30% (95% CI = 4.33-15.73) in those conducted in Europe (n = 18 389). By income group, 10.00% (95% CI = 6.34-14.30) reinfected patients (n = 19 819) were hospitalised in HICs and around 4.80% (95% CI = 1.89-8.60) (n = 627) in non-HICs. Among the reinfected cases (n = 21 849), 1.31% (95% CI = 0.29-2.83) were admitted to an ICU (1.98%; 95% CI = 0.65-3.82), without the studies reporting zero events (Table S8, panels A-B in the **Online Supplementary Document**). Only one study from Bangladesh [64] reported supplemental oxygen need to be 2.63% (n = 1) among reinfected patients.




Figure 4. Pooled proportion of hospitalisation (with 95% CI) among SARS-CoV-2 reinfected cases generated from random effects model. G – general population, H – health service provider, M – mixed populations, S – special risk group (long term care facilities residents and persons with autoimmune diseases).

Mortality

The pooled proportion of mortality was 0.71% (95% CI = 0.02-2.01) based on 25 425 reinfected cases (**Figure 5**). Six studies reported zero mortalities, while a study in Spain reported the highest mortality among the general population (14.29%; 95% CI = 1.78-42.81) with a sample of 14 reinfected cases [34]. The pooled mortality proportion was 1.58% (95% CI = 0.29-3.53) after excluding the studies reporting zero deaths (n = 22 536) (Table S9, panels A-B in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

Other outcomes

We could not report pooled estimates for SARS-CoV-2 reinfection severity level and oxygenation and mechanical ventilation requirements due to the small sample size and lack of data and standardisation in reporting. We only determined that most reinfected cases were mild to moderate severity with a low requirement for mechanical ventilation (Table S10 **Online Supplementary Document**).




Figure 5. Pooled proportion of mortality (with 95% CI) among SARS-CoV-2 reinfected cases generated from random effects model. G – general population, H – health service provider, M – mixed populations, S – special risk group (long term care facilities residents and persons with autoimmune diseases).

Sensitivity analysis

We found higher incidence reported by prospective studies (1.96%; 95% CI = 1.43-2.57) than retrospective studies (0.97%; 95% CI = 0.81-1.14). The pooled reinfection incidence proportion was not sensitive to sample size (400, 400-900, and ≥1000) and minimum cut-off duration (≥60 and ≥90 days) (**Figure 3**). Also, we found no significant difference in other sensitivity analyses and no influential studies from the leave-one-out analysis (Table S11 and Figures S2-S3 in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

Quality assessment

We found no low-quality studies with a high potential for bias in the outcomes we measured, so we did not do a subgroup analysis based on the quality assessment results (Table S12, panels A-B in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

DISCUSSION

This systematic review consolidates the global evidence on the incidence and clinical outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the pre-Omicron period. We observed that, although the risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was relatively low (less than 2%), about 9% of reinfected cases required hospitalisation, and about 2% were admitted to ICU or died. We did not observe significant differences in reinfection incidence by age groups, gender, vaccination status, region, or country income groups. However, the incidence was relatively higher among young adults and health care workers and in prospective studies.

We believe this to be the most comprehensive review on SARS-CoV-2 reinfection before the Omicron predominant period to date due to the use of a detailed search strategy within eight bibliographic databases, including three Chinese ones. We reported the pooled incidence of reinfections based on more than 11 million patients with initial SARS CoV-2 infection confirmed by the gold standard RT-PCR test. This estimate is generated based on 64 international peer-reviewed articles from 21 countries, including ones from both Asia and Europe and different country income groups.

The pooled reinfection incidence proportion in our review (1.16%; 95% CI = 1.01-1.33) is higher than a previously conducted review (0.65%; 95% CI = 0.39-0.98]) [7]. The lower estimate reported by Mao et al. [7] could be due to the included studies being conducted before the period of Delta predominance. We believe that our estimates are reliable, as the pooled reinfection proportion was around 1% in studies with large sample sizes (≥1000), and as we excluded studies with small sample sizes (less than 100 initially infected persons). We also used a minimum cut-off duration of 45 days between the initial infection and reinfection episode, which helped develop a stable estimate as the pooled incidence proportions did not change significantly with longer cut-offs, such as >60 days and >90 days, indicating that these estimates are not sensitive to analytic approaches. These data suggest that reinfection is uncommon and does not increase over time following the initial infection in the pre-Omicron period.

The relatively low reinfection incidence proportion may be related to the robust immune response developed after the initial infection [80,81] or the timing of the review. More than half of the included studies were conducted before the Delta predominant period in some countries, which was more infectious than the earlier VOCs [82]. Our findings also support the hypothesis that reinfection is a function of the risk of exposure. We found that the proportion of reinfection was significantly higher among health care providers than among the general population, which is consistent with the findings of other systematic reviews [7,10]. The higher risk in health care providers may also be explained by the study design, where eight of the 12 studies were prospective, a lower threshold for testing when being symptomatic, and more regular testing at predefined intervals even if asymptomatic. However, we could not test these factors in our analyses. However, when we restricted the analysis to only prospective studies, although health care providers appeared to have a higher risk (2.25%; 95% CI = 1.03-3.89) similar to the special risk group (2.16%; 95% CI = 0.69-4.32) than the general population (1.59%; 95% CI = 0.95-2.39), the difference was not significant. We also found that young adults had the highest reinfection risk among all age groups, even when compared to the elderly group aged 65 years and above. This increased risk may be due to the higher level of exposure that they experienced due to their involvement in income-generating activities and more frequent and higher-density social interactions [83].

We found no notable difference in reinfection incidence proportions between unvaccinated populations and populations with mixed vaccination status. This finding could be influenced by the individual studies' data collection period. Earlier studies were primarily conducted among unvaccinated populations when the predominant SARS-CoV-2 strain was less infectious, while later ones were conducted among populations with mixed vaccination status when the predominant SARS-CoV-2 strain was more infectious [82]. Therefore, the protective effect of vaccination on the reinfection burden could have been underestimated due to the increased risk posed by the more infectious strains. In our review, the highest reinfection incidence rate (10.21 episodes of reinfection per 1000 person-years after the initial infection) was reported by Hammerman et al. [54], who conducted studies among unvaccinated individuals with SARS-CoV-2 initial infection including the period of Alpha and Delta predominance. Chen et al. [10] suggested that the natural immunity in unvaccinated individuals appeared to decrease slowly over time, and several studies suggested potentially short and dysfunctional protection from initial infection, especially against new variants [80,81,84].

In our study, the risk of hospitalisation due to SARS-Cov-2 reinfection was around 9%. As hospitalisation criteria for COVID-19 have become stricter over time [85], we believe that this proportion can be interpreted as a proxy to severity, particularly for reinfected cases which occurred later during the pandemic. More-

over, our data show that 1.3% of reinfected patients were admitted to ICUs. A recent large study in the US reported that people with SARS-CoV-2 reinfection were more likely to be hospitalised during reinfection than the initial infection (hazard ratio (HR) = 3.32; 95% CI = 3.13-3.51) [86]. Reinfection could lead to more extensive cumulative damage to the body and complications in people with underlying medical conditions like diabetes or chronic kidney and heart diseases, therefore resulting in higher hospitalisation among reinfected patients [86]. We were unable to analyse factors associated with hospitalisation due to limited data. However, when vaccination status was considered, Nordstrom et al. [42] found that both one-dose and two-dose vaccinations among reinfected individuals were associated with a lower risk of hospitalisation when compared to reinfected individuals who were unvaccinated.

In our review, the pooled proportion of mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was 0.71%. However, this could be an overestimation of the true mortality risk, as most studies reported case fatality rates instead of disease-attributable mortality rates. The relatively low death proportion may again be due to the protective role of natural immunity, which leads to less severe disease during subsequent infections [80,81]. Our estimate is comparable to the case fatality rate (1.00%; 95% CI = 1.00-3.00) reported for non-hospitalised people with an initial SARS-CoV-2 infection [87]. In our review, Attauabi et al. [31], who conducted the study among patients with inflammatory bowel diseases, reported the highest proportion of mortality (25.00%), higher than that reported among patients hospitalised with SARS-CoV-2 infection by Mojtaba et al. (13.00%; 95% CI = 9.00-17.00) [87]. Additionally, Bowe et al. [86] found a higher risk (HR = 2.17; 95% CI = 1.93-2.45) of death when comparing people with at least two SARS-CoV-2 infections to the first SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, special attention should be given to people with risk factors suffering from reinfection.

Our systematic review has some limitations. The included studies came from various settings during different phases of the pandemic, with different predominant SARS-CoV-2 strains and population vaccination coverage. We could not address this heterogeneity while synthesising the evidence. Furthermore, we only included studies confirming SARS-CoV-2 infections with an RT-PCR test. As reinfections tend to be milder than the initial infection and thus are less likely to get tested, which can lead to under-ascertainment and misclassification. Several nationwide surveys concluded that reinfection might be underestimated severalfold when the prevalence of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the population was compared with the number of confirmed cases [88]. We could not study the effect of vaccination on reinfection at an individual level. Additionally, inconsistent definitions and criteria used across studies for reinfections, severity, outcomes, and mortality also limit our interpretation. Moreover, most studies reported severity at a one-time point, usually at diagnosis or admission, which did not necessarily reflect the whole course of the disease. Due to the limited genomic data reported in the articles, we could not analyse the relationship between SARS-CoV-2 variants and reinfection risk. Although we took the time interval of ≥45 days between the infections, we cannot exclude protracted infections that are misclassified as reinfection. As people with primary immunodeficiencies or immunocompromised due to underlying medical conditions are more at risk of having either protracted SARS-CoV-2 infections or multiple new infections with possibly worse outcomes [89,90], well-designed prospective cohort studies on these populations who have recovered from COVID-19 as confirmed by negative PCR tests or whole genome sequencing tests, together with serological studies are required to understand this factor better so it can be monitored and compiled into a coherent database.

While the global efforts to ensure vaccine equity should continue, primary and booster vaccination for individuals with prior infections should not be deprioritised, especially for those with high exposure risks. Long-term outbreak prevention measures should continue, where the public needs to be continually informed about the risk of reinfection and to continue with self-preventive measures, testing, and self-isolation. The public and clinicians should be informed of the potential for severe disease and mortality risk during reinfection, particularly in high-risk groups. There is a need to develop the capacity for enhanced genomic surveillance to tailor public health measures and allow for rapid response.

CONCLUSIONS

Our pooled analysis showed a low risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the pre-Omicron period. However, reinfection appears to increase the risk of hospitalisation. Since many countries now advocate for self-testing, steps must be taken to ensure adequate sample collection to determine the actual burden of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection and its implications on patients and health care systems. Improved national epidemiological surveillance, including genomic sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 variants with a well-established linkage to clinical databases across different regions and countries, would improve public health planning and provide robust data for research.

Acknowledgments: We thank Karen Hartnup, the Academic Support Librarian at the University of Edinburgh, UK, for her assistance in developing the search strategies for the English language literature databases.

Funding: This study was conducted as a collaboration between the University of Edinburgh and Pfizer. The University of Edinburgh is the study sponsor. This study was funded by Pfizer.

Authorship contributions: MHK and HN conceived the study idea and supervised the study. NFI, AER, HN, GCM, AEK, JS, AS, and MHK contributed to the study protocol. NFI and AER screened literature in English language databases and conducted the data extraction and quality appraisal of included studies. FZ and XW screened literature in Chinese language databases for inclusion in the review. NFI and DK screened literature from the search update in English language databases. NFI conducted the data extraction and quality appraisal of included studies from the search update in English language databases. DK cross-checked the data extraction. AER and NFI analysed the data. AER performed data visualisation. NFI and AER wrote the first draft. All authors provided critical revisions to the manuscript and approved the final version.

Disclosure of interest: The authors completed the ICMJE Disclosure of Interest Form (available upon request from the corresponding author) and declared the following activities and relationships: NFI, AER, and DK reports consulting fees from Pfizer related to the submitted work and outside the submitted work. XW received research grants from GlaxoSmithKline, Wellcome Trust, and Nanjing Medical University outside the submitted work and consultancy fees from Pfizer related to the submitted work. AS, JS, and MHK are employees of Pfizer. AS was a Pfizer employee during study conduct and manuscript development and may hold stock options; he is currently employed by Orbital Therapeutics. HN reports consulting fees from Pfizer related to the submitted work and grants from the Innovative Medicines Initiative outside the submitted work; consulting fees from the Gates Foundation, Pfizer, and Sanofi; honoraria from AbbVie; support from Sanofi for attending meetings; and participation on advisory boards from GSK, Merck, Pfizer, Sanofi, Icosavax, Janssen, Novavax, Reviral, Resvinet, and WHO outside the submitted work.

Additional material

Online Supplementary Document

REFERENCES

1 To KK-W, Hung IF-N, Ip JD, Chu AW-H, Chan W-M, Tam AR, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Re-infection by a Phylogenetically Distinct Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Strain Confirmed by Whole Genome Sequencing. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:e2946-51. Medline:32840608 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1275

2 Ren X, Zhou J, Guo J, Hao C, Zheng M, Zhang R, et al. Reinfection in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review. Glob Health Res Policy. 2022;7:12. Medline:35488305 doi:10.1186/s41256-022-00245-3

3 Rodríguez-Grande C, Alcalá L, Estévez A, Sola-Campoy PJ, Buenestado-Serrano S, Martínez-Laperche C, et al. Systematic Genomic and Clinical Analysis of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Reinfections and Recurrences Involving the Same Strain. Emerg Infect Dis. 2022;28:85-94. Medline:34843661 doi:10.3201/eid2801.211952

4 Massachi J, Donohue KC, Kelly JD. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Reinfection Cases Corroborated by Sequencing. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021;105:884-9. Medline:34370705 doi:10.4269/ajtmh.21-0365

5 Hansen CH, Michlmayr D, Gubbels SM, Molbak K, Ethelberg S. Assessment of protection against reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 among 4 million PCR-tested individuals in Denmark in 2020: a population-level observational study. Lancet. 2021;397:1204-12. Medline:33743221 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00575-4

6 Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Stoesser NE, Matthews PC, Howarth A, Hatch SB, et al. Antibody Status and Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Health Care Workers. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:533-40. Medline:33369366 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034545

7 Mao Y, Wang W, Ma J, Wu S, Sun F. Reinfection rates among patients previously infected by SARS-CoV-2: systematic review and meta-analysis. Chin Med J (Engl). 2021;135:145-52. Medline:34908003 doi:10.1097/CM9.0000000000001892

8 Planas D, Bruel T, Grzelak L, Guivel-Benhassine F, Staropoli I, Porrot F, et al. Sensitivity of infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 variants to neutralizing antibodies. Nat Med. 2021;27:917-24. Medline:33772244 doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01318-5

9 Edara V-V, Pinsky BA, Suthar MS, Lai L, Davis-Gardner ME, Floyd K, et al. Infection and Vaccine-Induced Neutralizing-Antibody Responses to the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 Variants. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:664-6. Medline:34233096 doi:10.1056/NEJMc2107799

10 Chen Q, Zhu K, Liu X, Zhuang C, Huang X, Huang Y, et al. The Protection of Naturally Acquired Antibodies Against Subsequent SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2022;11:793-803. Medline:35195494 doi:10.1080/22221751.2022.2046446

11 Wang J, Kaperak C, Sato T, Sakuraba A. COVID-19 reinfection: a rapid systematic review of case reports and case series. J Investig Med. 2021;69:1253. Medline:34006572 doi:10.1136/jim-2021-001853

12 Hu J, Peng P, Cao X, Wu K, Chen J, Wang K, et al. Increased immune escape of the new SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern Omicron. Cell Mol Immunol. 2022;19:293-5. Medline:35017716 doi:10.1038/s41423-021-00836-z

13 Pulliam JRC, van Schalkwyk C, Govender N, von Gottberg A, Cohen C, Groome MJ, et al. Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of Omicron in South Africa. Science. 2022;376:eabn4947. Medline:35289632 doi:10.1126/science.abn4947

14 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4:1. Medline:25554246 doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

15 Critical Appraisal Tools JBI. 2022. Available: https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools. Accessed: 2 September 2022.

REFERENCES

16 Hodcroft EB. CoVariants: SARS-CoV-2 Mutations and Variants of Interest. 2021. Available: https://covariants.org/. Accessed: 31 August 2023.

17 Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. Arch Public Health. 2014;72:39. Medline:25810908 doi:10.1186/2049-3258-72-39

18 Mensah AA, Campbell H, Stowe J, Seghezzo G, Simmons R, Lacy J, et al. Risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfections in children: a prospective national surveillance study between January, 2020, and July, 2021, in England. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2022;6:384-92. Medline:35358491 doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(22)00059-1

19 Mensah AA, Lacy J, Stowe J, Seghezzo G, Sachdeva R, Simmons R, et al. Disease severity during SARS-COV-2 reinfection: a nationwide study. J Infect. 2022;84:542-50. Medline:35085659 doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2022.01.012

20 Jeffery-Smith A, Rowland TAJ, Patel M, Whitaker H, Iyanger N, Williams SV, et al. Reinfection with new variants of SARS-CoV-2 after natural infection: a prospective observational cohort in 13 care homes in England. Lancet Healthy Longev. 2021;2:e811-9. Medline:34873592 doi:10.1016/S2666-7568(21)00253-1

21 Sheikh A, Kerr S, Woolhouse M, McMenamin J, Robertson C, Collaborators EI. Severity of omicron variant of concern and effectiveness of vaccine boosters against symptomatic disease in Scotland (EAVE II): a national cohort study with nested test-negative design. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22:959-66. Medline:35468332 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00141-4

22 Hall VJ, Foulkes S, Charlett A, Atti A, Monk EJM, Simmons R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection rates of antibody-positive compared with antibody-negative health-care workers in England: a large, multicentre, prospective cohort study (SIREN). Lancet. 2021;397:1459-69. Medline:33844963 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00675-9

23 Flacco ME, Acuti Martellucci C, Soldato G, Carota R, Fazii P, Caponetti A, et al. Rate of reinfections after SARS-CoV-2 primary infection in the population of an Italian province: a cohort study. J Public Health (Oxf). 2022;44:e475-8. Medline:34492110 doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdab346

24 Peghin M, Bouza E, Fabris M, De Martino M, Palese A, Bontempo G, et al. Low risk of reinfections and relation with serological response after recovery from the first wave of COVID-19. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2021;40:2597-604. Medline:34378086 doi:10.1007/s10096-021-04335-x

25 Ronchini C, Gandini S, Pasqualato S, Mazzarella L, Facciotti F, Mapelli M, et al. Lower probability and shorter duration of infections after COVID-19 vaccine correlate with anti-SARS-CoV-2 circulating IgGs. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0263014. Medline:35100309 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0263014

26 Comelli A, Consonni D, Lombardi A, Viero G, Oggioni M, Bono P, et al. Nasopharyngeal Testing among Healthcare Workers (HCWs) of a Large University Hospital in Milan, Italy during Two Epidemic Waves of COVID-19. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:8748. Medline:34444497 doi:10.3390/ijerph18168748

27 Cocchio S, Zabeo F, Facchin G, Piva N, Venturato G, Marcon T, et al. Differences in Immunological Evasion of the Delta (B.1.617.2) and Omicron (B.1.1.529) SARS-CoV-2 Variants: A Retrospective Study on the Veneto Region's Population. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:8179. Medline:35805837 doi:10.3390/ijerph19138179

28 Pecoraro V, Pirotti T, Trenti T. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection: analysis of 35,000 subjects and overview of systematic reviews. Clin Exp Med. 2023;23:1213-24. Medline:36289100 doi:10.1007/s10238-022-00922-0

29 Hønge BL, Hindhede L, Kaspersen KA, Harritshøj LH, Mikkelsen S, Holm DK, et al. Long-term detection of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies after infection and risk of re-infection. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;116:289-92. Medline:35077881 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2022.01.041

30 Michlmayr D, Hansen CH, Gubbels SM, Valentiner-Branth P, Bager P, Obel N, et al. Observed protection against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection following a primary infection: A Danish cohort study among unvaccinated using two years of nationwide PCR-test data. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2022;20:100452. Medline:35791335 doi:10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100452

31 Attauabi M, Dahlerup JF, Poulsen A, Hansen MR, Vester-Andersen MK, Eraslan S, et al. Outcomes and Long-Term Effects of COVID-19 in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases – A Danish Prospective Population-Based Cohort Study with Individual-Level Data. J Crohns Colitis. 2022;16:757-67. Medline:34755858 doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjab192

32 Nielsen KF, Moustsen-Helms IR, Schelde AB, Gram MA, Emborg H-D, Nielsen J, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection during periods of Alpha, Delta, or Omicron dominance: A Danish nationwide study. PLoS Med. 2022;19:e1004037. Medline:36413551 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1004037

33 García-Abellán J, Padilla S, Fernández-González M, García JA, Agulló V, Andreo M, et al. Antibody Response to SARS-CoV-2 is Associated with Long-term Clinical Outcome in Patients with COVID-19: a Longitudinal Study. J Clin Immunol. 2021;41:1490-501. Medline:34273064 doi:10.1007/s10875-021-01083-7

34 Yuguero O, Companys M, Guzmán M, Maciel R, Llobet C, López A, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 reinfections in a Spanish region. SAGE Open Med. 2022;10:20503121221108556. Medline:35784672 doi:10.1177/20503121221108556

35 de Arriba Fernández A, Bilbao JLA, Francés AE, Mora AC, Pérez ÁG, Barreiros MÁD. Evaluation of persistent COVID and SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in a cohort of patients on the island of Gran Canaria, Spain. Medicina de Familia SEMERGEN. 2023;49:101939. Medline:36889055 doi:10.1016/j.semerg.2023.101939

36 Masiá M, Fernández-González M, García JA, Padilla S, García-Abellán J, Botella Á, et al. Robust long-term immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in patients recovered from severe COVID-19 after interleukin-6 blockade. EBioMedicine. 2022;82:104153. Medline:35816896 doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104153

37 Gallais F, Gantner P, Bruel T, Velay A, Planas D, Wendling MJ, et al. Evolution of antibody responses up to 13 months after SARS-CoV-2 infection and risk of reinfection. EBioMedicine. 2021;71:103561. Medline:34455390 doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103561

REFERENCES

38 Nguyen NN, Houhamdi L, Hoang VT, Delerce J, Delorme L, Colson P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 reinfection and COVID-19 severity. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2022;11:894-901. Medline:35264078 doi:10.1080/22221751.2022.2052358

39 Pilz S, Chakeri A, Ioannidis JP, Richter L, Theiler-Schwetz V, Trummer C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 re-infection risk in Austria. Eur J Clin Invest. 2021;51:e13520. Medline:33583018 doi:10.1111/eci.13520

40 Konka A, Lejawa M, Gazdzicka J, Bochenek A, Fronczek M, Strzelczyk JK. RT-PCR Detection of SARS-CoV-2 among Individuals from the Upper Silesian Region-Analysis of 108,516 Tests. Diagnostics (Basel). 2021;12:7. Medline:35054172 doi:10.3390/diagnostics12010007

41 Berec L, Šmíd M, Přibylová L, Májek O, Pavlík T, Jarkovský J, et al. Protection provided by vaccination, booster doses and previous infection against covid-19 infection, hospitalisation or death over time in Czechia. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0270801. Medline:35802590 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0270801

42 Nordström P, Ballin M, Nordström A. Risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection and COVID-19 hospitalisation in individuals with natural and hybrid immunity: a retrospective, total population cohort study in Sweden. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22:781-90. Medline:35366962 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00143-8

43 Arslan F, Isik Goren B, Baysal B, Vahaboglu H. Is vaccination necessary for COVID-19 patients? A retrospective cohort study investigating reinfection rates and symptomatology in a tertiary hospital. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2022;21:249-52. Medline:34839763 doi:10.1080/14760584.2022.2012457

44 Arslan Y, Akgul F, Sevim B, Varol ZS, Tekin S. Re-infection in COVID-19: Do we exaggerate our worries? Eur J Clin Invest. 2022;52:e13767. Medline:35306659 doi:10.1111/eci.13767

45 Islamoglu MS, Cengiz M, Uysal BB, Ikitimur H, Ozdemir Z, Karamehmetoglu A, et al. Relationship between antibody levels and SARS-Cov-2 reinfection. Ann Clin Lab Sci. 2021;51:750-5. Medline:34921027

46 Temiz H, Özbek E, Yıldız Zeyrek F. Retrospective review of re-positive qPCR tests for SARS-CoV: do they indicate presence of reinfection? Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022;26:5278-84. Medline:35916828

47 Erbaş İC, Keleş YE, Erdeniz EH, Yılmaz AT, Yeşil E, Çakıcı Ö, et al. Evaluation of possible COVID-19 reinfection in children: A multicenter clinical study. Arch Pediatr. 2023;30:187-91. Medline:36804354 doi:10.1016/j.arcped.2023.01.008

48 Aslaner H, Aslaner HA, Savranlar Y, Benli AR. COVID-19 Relapse and Reinfection Frequency, Clinical Features of Cases. Ahi Evran Medical Journal. 2022;6:319-25. doi:10.46332/aemj.1005961

49 Özüdoğru O, Bahçe YG, Acer Ö. SARS CoV-2 reinfection rate is higher in the Omicron variant than in the Alpha and Delta variants. Ir J Med Sci. 2023;192:751-6. Medline:35711013 doi:10.1007/s11845-022-03060-4

50 Nisha B, Dakshinamoorthy K, Padmanaban P, Jain T, Neelavarnan M. Infection, reinfection, and postvaccination incidence of SARS-CoV-2 and associated risks in healthcare workers in Tamil Nadu: A retrospective cohort study. J Family Community Med. 2022;29:49-55. Medline:35197728

51 Dhumal S, Patil A, More A, Kamtalwar S, Joshi A, Gokarn A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 reinfection after previous infection and vaccine breakthrough infection through the second wave of pandemic in India: An observational study. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;118:95-103. Medline:35192951 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2022.02.037

52 Malhotra S, Mani K, Lodha R, Bakhshi S, Mathur VP, Gupta P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection Rate and Estimated Effectiveness of the Inactivated Whole Virion Vaccine BBV152 Against Reinfection Among Health Care Workers in New Delhi, India. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5:e2142210. Medline:34994793 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.42210

53 Reddy DH, Kapoor R, Rai P, Atam V, Khan F, Pandey S, et al. Reinfection of COVID-19 among doctors at a tertiary care centre in Northern India: A report from a resource-limited setting. Lung India. 2023;40:123-7. Medline:37006095 doi:10.4103/lungindia.lungindia_261_22

54 Hammerman A, Sergienko R, Friger M, Beckenstein T, Peretz A, Netzer D, et al. Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Vaccine after Recovery from Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:1221-9. Medline:35172072 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2119497

55 Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Woodbridge Y, Fluss R, Novikov I, Yaari R, et al. Similarity of Protection Conferred by Previous SARS-CoV-2 Infection and by BNT162b2 Vaccine: A 3-Month Nationwide Experience From Israel. Am J Epidemiol. 2022;191:1420-8. Medline:35355048 doi:10.1093/aje/kwac060

56 Gazit S, Shlezinger R, Perez G, Lotan R, Peretz A, Ben-Tov A, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Naturally Acquired Immunity versus Vaccine-induced Immunity, Reinfections versus Breakthrough Infections: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;75:e545-51. Medline:35380632 doi:10.1093/cid/ciac262

57 Cohen D, Izak M, Stoyanov E, Mandelboim M, Perlman S, Amir Y, et al. Predictors of reinfection with pre-Omicron and Omicron variants of concern among individuals who recovered from COVID-19 in the first year of the pandemic. Int J Infect Dis. 2023;132:72-9. Medline:37072052 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2023.04.395

58 Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Malek JA, Ahmed AA, Mohamoud YA, Younuskunju S, et al. Assessment of the Risk of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Reinfection in an Intense Reexposure Setting. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:e1830-40. Medline:33315061 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1846

59 Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, Tang P, Coyle P, Hasan MR, et al. Relative infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine breakthrough infections, reinfections, and primary infections. Nat Commun. 2022;13:532. Medline:35087035 doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28199-7

60 Salehi-Vaziri M, Pouriayevali MH, Fotouhi F, Jalali T, Banifazl M, Farahmand B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 re-infection rate in Iranian COVID-19 cases within one-year follow-up. Microb Pathog. 2021;161:105296. Medline:34801646 doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105296

61 Tavakoli A, Lotfi F, Lotfi M, Bayati M, Seif M, Salesi M, et al. COVID-19 Reinfection Rate and Related Risk Factors in Fars Province, Iran: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Iran J Med Sci. 2023;48:302-12. Medline:37791328

REFERENCES

62 Shaheen NA, Sambas R, Alenezi M, Alharbi NK, Aldibasi O, Bosaeed M. COVID-19 reinfection: A multicenter retrospective study in Saudi Arabia. Ann Thorac Med. 2022;17:81-6. Medline:35651891

63 Al-Otaiby M, Krissaane I, Al Seraihi A, Alshenaifi J, Qahtani MH, Aljeri T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection Rate and Outcomes in Saudi Arabia: A National Retrospective Study. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;122:758-66. Medline:35840098 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2022.07.025

64 Rahman S, Rahman MM, Miah M, Begum MN, Sarmin M, Mahfuz M, et al. COVID-19 reinfections among naturally infected and vaccinated individuals. Sci Rep. 2022;12:1438. Medline:35082344 doi:10.1038/s41598-022-05325-5

65 Alhaddad F, Abdulkareem A, Alsharrah D, Alkandari A, Bin-Hasan S, Al-Ahmad M, et al. Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in a paediatric cohort in Kuwait. BMJ Open. 2022;12:e056371. Medline:35768102 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056371

66 Kim P, Gordon SM, Sheehan MM, Rothberg MB. Duration of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Natural Immunity and Protection Against the Delta Variant: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;75:e185-90. Medline:34864907 doi:10.1093/cid/ciab999

67 Qureshi AI, Baskett WI, Huang W, Lobanova I, Hasan Naqvi S, Shyu CR. Reinfection With Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Patients Undergoing Serial Laboratory Testing. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;74:294-300. Medline:33895814 doi:10.1093/cid/ciab345

68 Armstrong JN, Campbell L, Rabatsky-Her T, Leung V, Parikh S. Repeat positive SARS-CoV-2 RNA testing in nursing home residents during the initial 9 months of the COVID-19 pandemic: an observational retrospective analysis. Lancet Reg Health Am. 2021;3:100054. Medline:34458887 doi:10.1016/j.lana.2021.100054

69 Bean DJ, Monroe J, Turcinovic J, Moreau Y, Connor JH, Sagar M. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Reinfection Associates With Unstable Housing and Occurs in the Presence of Antibodies. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;75:e208-15. Medline:34755830 doi:10.1093/cid/ciab940

70 Sheehan MM, Reddy AJ, Rothberg MB. Reinfection Rates Among Patients Who Previously Tested Positive for Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:1882-6. Medline:33718968 doi:10.1093/cid/ciab234

71 Rivelli A, Fitzpatrick V, Blair C, Copeland K, Richards J. Incidence of COVID-19 reinfection among Midwestern healthcare employees. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0262164. Medline:34982800 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0262164

72 Turbett SE, Tomkins-Tinch CH, Anahtar MN, Dugdale CM, Hyle EP, Shenoy ES, et al. Distinguishing Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Persistence and Reinfection: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2023;76:850-60. Medline:36268576 doi:10.1093/cid/ciac830

73 Rosenberg M, Chen C, Golzarri-Arroyo L, Carroll A, Menachemi N, Ludema C. SARS-CoV-2 reinfections in a US university setting, Fall 2020 to Spring 2021. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22:592. Medline:35787250 doi:10.1186/s12879-022-07578-x

74 Rennert L, Ma Z, McMahan CS, Dean D. Effectiveness and protection duration of Covid-19 vaccines and previous infection against any SARS-CoV-2 infection in young adults. Nat Commun. 2022;13:3946. Medline:35803915 doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31469-z

75 Ando W, Horii T, Jimbo M, Uematsu T, Atsuda K, Hanaki H, et al. Smoking cessation in the elderly as a sign of susceptibility to symptomatic COVID-19 reinfection in the United States. Front Public Health. 2022;10:985494. Medline:36504971 doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.985494

76 Freire-Neto FP, Teixeira DG, da Cunha DCS, Morais IC, Tavares CPM, Gurgel GP, et al. SARS-CoV-2 reinfections with BA.1 (Omicron) variant among fully vaccinated individuals in northeastern Brazil. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2022;16:e0010337. Medline:36191040 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0010337

77 Silva KM, Freitas DCA, Medeiros SS, Miranda LVA, Carmo JBM, Silva RG, et al. Prevalence and Predictors of COVID-19 Long-Term Symptoms: A Cohort Study from the Amazon Basin. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2023;109:466-70. Medline:37364863 doi:10.4269/ajtmh.22-0362

78 Guedes AR, Oliveira MS, Tavares BM, Luna-Muschi A, Lazari CDS, Montal AC, et al. Reinfection rate in a cohort of healthcare workers over 2 years of the COVID-19 pandemic. Sci Rep. 2023;13:712. Medline:36639411 doi:10.1038/s41598-022-25908-6

79 Akpan GE, Bawo L, Amo-Addae M, Kennedy J, Wesseh CS, Whesseh F, et al. COVID-19 reinfection in Liberia: Implication for improving disease surveillance. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0265768. Medline:35324956 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0265768

80 Guo L, Wang G, Wang Y, Zhang Q, Ren L, Gu X, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody and T-cell responses 1 year after infection in people recovered from COVID-19: a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet Microbe. 2022;3:e348-56. Medline:35345417 doi:10.1016/S2666-5247(22)00036-2

81 Hou H, Zhang Y, Tang G, Luo Y, Liu W, Cheng C, et al. Immunologic memory to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent COVID-19 patients at 1 year postinfection. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;148:1481-92.e2. Medline:34536418 doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2021.09.008

82 Liu C, Ginn HM, Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Wang B, Tuekprakhon A, et al. Reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 by vaccine and convalescent serum. Cell. 2021;184:4220-36.e13. Medline:34242578 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.06.020

83 Matheson NJ, Warne B, Weekes MP, Maxwell PH. Mass testing of university students for covid-19. BMJ. 2021;375:n2388. Medline:34598937 doi:10.1136/bmj.n2388

84 Wellinghausen N, Plonné D, Voss M, Ivanova R, Frodl R, Deininger S. SARS-CoV-2-IgG response is different in COVID-19 outpatients and asymptomatic contact persons. J Clin Virol. 2020;130:104542. Medline:32707511 doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104542

85 Matsunaga N, Hayakawa K, Asai Y, Tsuzuki S, Terada M, Suzuki S, et al. Clinical characteristics of the first three waves of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 in Japan prior to the widespread use of vaccination: a nationwide observational study. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2022;22:100421. Medline:35300186 doi:10.1016/j.lanwpc.2022.100421

REFERENCES

86 Bowe B, Xie Y, Al-Aly Z. Acute and postacute sequelae associated with SARS-CoV-2 reinfection. Nat Med. 2022;28:2398-405. Medline:36357676 doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02051-3

87 Alimohamadi Y, Tola HH, Abbasi-Ghahramanloo A, Janani M, Sepandi M. Case Fatality Rate of COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Prev Med Hyg. 2021;62:E311-E320. Medline:34604571

88 Al-Thani MH, Farag E, Bertollini R, Al Romaihi HE, Abdeen S, Abdelkarim A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection Is at Herd Immunity in the Majority Segment of the Population of Qatar. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2021;8:ofab221. Medline:34458388 doi:10.1093/ofid/ofab221

89 Drzymalla E, Green RF, Knuth M, Khoury MJ, Dotson WD, Gundlapalli A. COVID-19-related health outcomes in people with primary immunodeficiency: A systematic review. Clin Immunol. 2022;243:109097. Medline:35973637 doi:10.1016/j.clim.2022.109097

90 Cajamarca-Baron J, Guavita-Navarro D, Buitrago-Bohorquez J, Gallego-Cardona L, Navas A, Cubides H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in patients with some degree of immunosuppression. Reumatol Clin (Engl Ed). 2021;17:408-19. Medline:34301385 doi:10.1016/j.reuma.2020.08.004