

https://dspace.org/

Free field primaries in general dimensions: counting and construction with rings and modules

de Mello Koch, Robert

2018-08
Springer
https://hdl.handle.net/10539/44992
de Mello Koch, R., Ramgoolam, S. Free field primaries in general dimensions: counting and construction with rings and modules. J. High Energ. Phys. 2018, 88 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)088

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Published for SISSA by Springer

Received: June 14, 2018
Revised: August 1, 2018
Accepted: August 1, 2018
Published: August 16, 2018

Free field primaries in general dimensions: counting and construction with rings and modules

Robert de Mello Koch[a,b] **and Sanjaye Ramgoolam**[b,c]

[a] *School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

[b] *National Institute for Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Mandelstam Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Wits, 2050, South Africa*

[c] *Centre for Research in String Theory, School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.*

E-mail: robert@neo.phys.wits.ac.za, s.ramgoolam@qmul.ac.uk

Abstract: We define lowest weight polynomials (LWPs), motivated by $so(d,2)$ representation theory, as elements of the polynomial ring over $d \times n$ variables obeying a system of first and second order partial differential equations. LWPs invariant under S_n correspond to primary fields in free scalar field theory in d dimensions, constructed from n fields. The LWPs are in one-to-one correspondence with a quotient of the polynomial ring in $d\times(n-1)$ variables by an ideal generated by n quadratic polynomials. The implications of this description for the counting and construction of primary fields are described: an interesting binomial identity underlies one of the construction algorithms. The product on the ring of LWPs can be described as a commutative star product. The quadratic algebra of lowest weight polynomials has a dual quadratic algebra which is non-commutative. We discuss the possible physical implications of this dual algebra.

Keywords: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Conformal and W Symmetry, Differential and Algebraic Geometry

ArXiv ePrint: 1806.01085

Open Access, © The Authors.
Article funded by SCOAP[3].

https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)088

JHEP08(2018)088

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Primary fields from differential constraints and polynomial rings **3**
- 2.1 Review: lowest weight states and primaries from differential equations 3
- 2.2 LWPs and the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ 5
- 2.3 Representation theory of V_H 6
- 2.4 Solving the center of mass constraint and a polynomial ring with quadratic relations 8
- 2.5 $V_0 \oplus V_H$ decomposition of Laplacian constraints 10

3 Counting of lowest weight states in $V^{\otimes n}$ **10**

4 The ring of lowest weights in $V^{\otimes n}$ **12**
- 4.1 Exact sequence of modules 12
- 4.2 Exact sequence of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ 16

5 Refined counting formulae **19**
- 5.1 Refined counting: general d 20
- 5.2 Refined counting: $d = 3$ case 21
- 5.3 Refined counting: the $d = 4$ case 22

6 The Confluent Binomial Transform and construction **23**
- 6.1 From resolution to construction: counting without signs 23
- 6.2 Implementing the construction using the natural inner product 26
- 6.3 Commutative star product on lowest weight polynomials 28

7 Further construction methods for lowest weight polynomials **30**
- 7.1 Intersection of kernels of two differential operators 30
- 7.2 Constraints and projectors on $V_{dH} \otimes V_{dH}$ 31
- 7.3 Standard algebraic geometry methods for $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ 34

8 Discussion and future directions **34**
- 8.1 Further developing the analogy to tracelessness: a generalization of Brauer algebras 34
- 8.2 Quadratic algebras and Koszul algebras 35
- 8.3 Future direction: coherence relations between two products 36

A The invariant in $V_H \otimes V_H \otimes V_H$ **37**
- A.1 The κ polynomial 38

B Examples of $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ at low n, d **40**

JHEP08(2018)088

C Derivation of a symmetric group multiplicity formula for $V_H^{\otimes k}$ **42**

D Refined counting of LWPs in $d = 3$ dimensions: tables **43**

E Refined counting of LWPs in $d = 4$ dimensions: tables **45**

1 Introduction

The counting and construction of primary fields in free scalar field theories was found to have surprisingly simple and elegant geometrical structures in [1, 2]. General primary fields in scalar field theory in d dimensions, which are composites of n elementary fields, are in 1-1 correspondence with polynomials in nd variables, x_μ^I where $1 \leq \mu \leq d, 1 \leq I \leq n$ which solve a system of linear first and second order partial differential equations, and obey an invariance condition under S_n, the symmetric group of permutations of n distinct objects. A holomorphic sector of primaries corresponds to the ring of functions on an S_n orbifold. In [3] it was observed that the space of all primary fields in a scalar theory corresponds to a quotient ring, and that this ring also arises in the classification of effective actions.

At the core of these developments is a simple problem in the representation theory of the d-dimensional conformal algebra $so(d, 2)$ and its surprisingly rich connections to polynomial rings, modules over these rings, the standard mathematics of algebraic geometry, as well as to non-commutative algebras and their quotients. According to the operator-state correspondence in conformal field theory, local operators are in 1-1 correspondence with quantum states. Corresponding to an elementary scalar field in d dimensions and its non-vanishing derivatives is an irreducible representation V of $so(d, 2)$. The problem is to decompose the tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ into irreducible representations of $so(d, 2) \times S_n$. A convenient realization of the representation V is in terms of polynomials in x_μ while for $V^{\otimes n}$ we have polynomials in x_μ^I. This problem can be approached in two steps: find all the states in $V^{\otimes n}$ annihilated by the special conformal transformation generators K_μ, then decompose these states according to representations of $so(d) \times S_n$. Further projecting to the trivial representation of S_n gives the primary fields for free scalar field theory. The states annihilated by K_μ are the states of lowest conformal dimension in irreducible representations of $so(d, 2)$, which may have non-trivial $so(d)$ transformation properties. With the polynomial realization of $V^{\otimes n}$ in hand, these states are certain polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_\mu^I]$, which we call *lowest weight polynomials* (LWPs). Following [1, 2] we review the fact that LWPs in $\mathbb{C}[x_\mu^I]$ are solutions of a system of first and second order partial differential equations. We explain the 1-1 correspondence between the polynomials and the elements of a quotient ring defined in [3]. The first order equations take the form of a condition of vanishing centre of mass momentum. They can be solved explicitly, leading to a description as a polynomial ring in $(n-1)d$ variables X_μ^A, with $1 \leq A \leq (n-1)$ and transforming in the irreducible representation of S_n corresponding to the hook-shaped Young diagram $[n-1, 1]$ with first row of length $n-1$ and second row of length 1. We will denote this irrep

JHEP08(2018)088

as V_H in the following. Our first new result (section 2.4) is to give an explicit description of the quotient ring in dimension d in terms of $(n-1)d$ generators and explicit quadratic relations. The quadratic relations are given in terms of a Clebsch-Gordan decomposition problem for S_n, which we explicitly solve.

The Hilbert series of the quotient ring, which can be deduced from the character of $V^{\otimes n}$ implies counting formulae for the number of linearly independent LWPs at each degree in $\mathbb{C}[X^A_\mu]$. These dimensions are expressed as an alternating sum of positive quantities. A transform, which we dub the *confluent binomial transform*, is found which gives the dimensions as sums of positive quantities (section 6). This leads directly to a construction algorithm for the lowest weight polynomials, which we refer to as the first construction algorithm. This is our second main result. We compare this with two additional construction algorithms. Construction II works directly in $\mathbb{C}[x^I_\mu]$ and imposes first and second order conditions. Construction III exploits the quadratic constraints and looks at an intersection of projectors. It exploits analogies between the construction of LWPs and the construction of traceless tensors of $so(k)$, and as such it has links to Brauer algebras which arise as commutants of $so(k)$ in tensor spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 starts with a review of [1–3]. We describe the system of first and second order partial differential equations for polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x^I_\mu]$ which define the LWPs. We explain the correspondence with a quotient ring obtained by quotienting out an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ generated by linear and quadratic constraints. We then establish a description of LWPs where we have solved the first order constraints. This leads to a quotient ring of $\mathbb{C}[X^A_\mu]$ by quadratic relations. These quadratic relations are given explicitly in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $V_H \otimes V_H$.

In section 3 we use the $so(d,2)$ character of $V^{\otimes n}$ to arrive at the Hilbert series of the ring $\mathcal{L}$ of lowest weight primaries. Section 4 explains the exact sequences of modules over the polynomial ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[X^A_\mu]$, which give a resolution of $\mathcal{L}$. This exact sequence implies the Hilbert series. It also leads directly to exact sequences of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. Section 5 extracts counting formulae for LWPs, refined according to $so(d) \times S_n$ irreps, which follow from the exact sequences.

With an understanding of refined counting formulae, we expect to deduce algorithms for construction of LWPs. One tricky point is that the counting formulae in section 5 involve alternating sums of dimensions of vector spaces. In section 6 we show that the counting formula for dimensions of LWPs obtained from the Hilbert series is equivalent to a formula as a sum of positive constructible quantities. An important feature is that this constructive formula at fixed degree k is expressed in terms of LWPs at lower degrees. Both the positive formula and the alternating sum formula involve binomial coefficients. If we denote by V_Q the vector space of quadratic constraints, the alternating sum formula involves dimensions of exterior powers of V_Q, while the positive formula involves dimensions of symmetric powers of V_Q. The key identity responsible for this inversion relating the positive and alternating sum formula (6.9) turns out to be a special value of a confluent hypergeometric function. Since the transform involves binomial coefficients, is not the standard binomial transform of combinatorics, and has a connection to the confluent hypergeometric function, we use the name *confluent binomial transform*. The reader is welcome to suggest a better

JHEP08(2018)088

name, with appropriate mathematical justification, which we will consider for our future work on this subject. We follow up the discussion of the positive counting formula by describing a construction algorithm for LWPs, which is implemented in Mathematica. In section 6.3 we show that the product on LWPs, coming from the ring structure in $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$, can be expressed as a commutative star product based on a decomposition of the space of all polynomials into LWPs and a transverse space.

In section 7 we give two additional construction methods mentioned earlier in the introduction.

Section 8 discusses a number of future research directions related to this work.

JHEP08(2018)088

2 Primary fields from differential constraints and polynomial rings

A key result motivating our study is the observation [2] that primary fields constructed from n copies of a free scalar ϕ, along with their derivatives, correspond to polynomials in variables x_μ^I subject to a system of linear differential constraints and an S_n invariance condition. There are d first order differential constraints coming from the lowest weight condition that K_μ annihilates a primary field, as well as n Laplacian conditions, coming from the equation of motion. We also explain, following the statement from [3], that these primary fields are in 1-1 correspondence with elements of a polynomial ring, of which the holomorphic sector forms a Calabi-Yau ring as highlighted in [2]. The first order constraints take the form of a zero centre of mass momentum condition, when we view these polynomials in x_μ^I as states in a multi-particle quantum mechanics. They can be solved explicitly. This leads to a formulation of the problem of finding the LWPs as a problem of solving n second order differential constraints acting on polynomials in $(n-1)d$ variables, X_μ^A, where $1 \leq \mu \leq d, 1 \leq A \leq (n-1)$. The LWPs, now viewed as polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$, are in 1-1 correspondence with the elements of a quotient of $\mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$ by an ideal generated by quadratic polynomials. The explicit form of these quadratic polynomials is given in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the couplings between $V_H \otimes V_H$ and $V_0 \oplus V_H$, where V_0 is the trivial representation.

2.1 Review: lowest weight states and primaries from differential equations

The scalar field and its derivatives form a vector space V, which is an irreducible representation of $so(d,2)$. This representation is isomorphic to the space of harmonic polynomials in x_μ. The connection between the standard action of the conformal group on the fields and the action of differential operators on the polynomials is explained in [2].

The generators of $so(d,2)$ form the algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
[K_\mu, P_\nu] &= 2M_{\mu\nu} - 2D\delta_{\mu\nu} \\
[D, P_\mu] &= P_\mu \\
[D, K_\mu] &= -K_\mu \\
[M_{\mu\nu}, K_\alpha] &= \delta_{\nu\alpha}K_\mu - \delta_{\mu\alpha}K_\nu \\
[M_{\mu\nu}, P_\alpha] &= \delta_{\nu\alpha}P_\mu - \delta_{\mu\alpha}P_\nu
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The algebra $so(d,2)$ is realised on these polynomials as [4]

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \\ P_\mu &= (x^2\partial_\mu - 2x_\mu x\cdot\partial - (d-2)x_\mu) \\ D &= \left(x\cdot\partial + \frac{(d-2)}{2}\right) \\ M_{\mu\nu} &= x_\mu\partial_\nu - x_\nu\partial_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Thinking of x_μ as the co-ordinates of a particle, this is a single particle representation. The tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ can be realized on a many-particle space of functions $\Psi(x^I_\mu)$, where $1 \le I \le n$ labels the particle number. We now have generators

$$\begin{aligned} K^I_\mu &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^I_\mu} \\ P^I_\mu &= \left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{d} x^I_\rho x^I_\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^I_\mu} - 2x^I_\mu \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} x^I_\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^I_\rho} - (d-2)x^I_\mu\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The generators of the diagonal $so(d,2)$ acting as a sum of the generators on each tensor factor of $V^{\otimes n}$ are

$$K_\mu = \sum_I K^I_\mu \tag{2.4}$$

$$P_\mu = \sum_I P^I_\mu \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of harmonic polynomials in x_μ. Polynomials in x^I_μ which are harmonic in each of the x^I_μ, i.e. which are annihilated by the n operators

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^I_\mu \partial x^I_\mu} \tag{2.6}$$

span the space $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$.

A lowest weight polynomial (LWP) denoted $L(x^I_\mu)$ satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I=1}^{n} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^I_\mu} &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \le \mu \le d \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^I_\mu \partial x^I_\mu} &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \le I \le n \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The S_n invariant lowest weight polynomials correspond to primary fields. We will refer to the first constraint appearing above as the center of mass constraint, for obvious reasons.

In this paper we will focus our attention on LWPs. The projection to S_n invariants is a standard exercise, illustrated in concrete examples in [2].

JHEP08(2018)088

2.2 LWPs and the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$

The polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_\mu^I]$ is denoted as $\mathcal{R}$. Consider the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ generated by the n elements $\sum_{\mu=1}^d x_\mu^I x_\mu^I$ along with the d elements $\sum_I x_\mu^I$. This is denoted by

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} x_\mu^I x_\mu^I, \sum_I x_\mu^I \rangle \tag{2.8}$$

and consists of elements in $\mathcal{R}$ of the form

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n+d} h_a g_a \tag{2.9}$$

where the g_a refer to all the generators in (2.8) and h_a are arbitrary elements of the ring $\mathcal{R}$. Following the statement in [3], we will explain that the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphic as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ to the primaries. $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{I}$ are vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ and the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ is also a quotient vector space. Each element is an equivalence class of vectors, related to each other by addition of elements in $\mathcal{I}$. For each lowest weight polynomial satisfying (2.7) there is one such equivalence class. It is useful to explain this correspondence.

Consider the map $\phi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ defined by

$$\phi : x_{\mu_1}^{I_1} x_{\mu_2}^{I_2} \cdots x_{\mu_k}^{I_k} \to P_{\mu_1}^{I_1} P_{\mu_2}^{I_2} \cdots P_{\mu_k}^{I_k}(1) \tag{2.10}$$

$\sum_\mu x_\mu^I x_\mu^I$ are in the kernel of this map since, as is easily checked using the explicit form of P_μ^I in (2.3)

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} P_\mu^I P_\mu^I(1) = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

The representation $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ is, by construction, a reducible lowest weight representation of $so(d,2)$. 1 is a lowest weight state for $\mathrm{SO}(d,2)^{\times n}$ annihilated by K_μ^I for all $I \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. The irrep $\mathcal{H}_{n+k,j_1,j_2}$ contains lowest weight states under the diagonal $\mathrm{SO}(d,2)$ (annihilated by $K_\mu = \sum_I K_\mu^I$) of dimension $\Delta = n\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right) + k$ and transforming in the rank k traceless symmetric tensor irrep of $so(d)$. There will be a multiplicity for each lowest weight state. This is expressed by introducing a vector space of multiplicities $\mathcal{M}_{k,j_1,j_2}$. Thus, we can write

$$\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{k,j_1,j_2} \mathcal{H}_{n+k,j_1,j_2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{k,j_1,j_2} \tag{2.12}$$

For classification of the irreps of $so(d,2)$ and their character formulae, see [5] and refs therein. A lowest weight state with $\Delta = n + k$ generates a tower of states at higher Δ through the action of $P_\mu = \sum_I P_\mu^I$. These descendants themselves form a subspace that can be characterized as follows

$$\text{Descendants} = \text{Span}(\mathcal{P}(\{P_\mu^I\})P_\mu(1)) \tag{2.13}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\{P_\mu^I\})$ is any polynomial in the P_μ^I. These correspond, under the map ϕ to the ideal generated by $x_\mu = \sum_I x_\mu^I$. The quotient space $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$/Descendants is equivalent, as a

JHEP08(2018)088

vector space, to the space of lowest weight states

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{k,j_1,j_2} \mathcal{M}_{k,j_1,j_2} \tag{2.14}$$

Now consider the homomorphism ϕ as a map from $\mathcal{R}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$/Descendants. The kernel of this map is the ideal in $\mathcal{R}$ given by the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in (2.8). This shows that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}/\text{Descendants} = \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I} \tag{2.15}$$

Equality here means isomorphism, as graded vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$.

2.3 Representation theory of V_H

The I index of x_μ^I, ranging over $1 \leq I \leq n$, transforms in the natural representation, V_{nat} of S_n. This representation has an orthogonal decomposition into irreducible representations

$$V_{\text{nat}} = V_0 \oplus V_H \tag{2.16}$$

V_0 is the one-dimensional representation. V_H has dimension $(n-1)$ and corresponds to the Young diagram $[n-1,1]$ with row lengths $n-1, 1$. The tensor product $V_H \otimes V_H$ can be decomposed into irreducible representations as

$$V_H \otimes V_H = V_0 \oplus V_H \oplus V_{[n-2,2]} \oplus V_{[n-2,1,1]} \tag{2.17}$$

The explicit Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for V_0 and V_H will turn out to be useful in obtaining a new description of the ring defined earlier in section 2.2, where the linear constraints have been solved.

Let us write

$$V_{\text{nat}} = \text{ Span } \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\} \tag{2.18}$$

and introduce the inner product

$$\langle e_I, e_J \rangle = \delta_{IJ} \tag{2.19}$$

The S_n action on V_{nat} is

$$D^{\text{nat}}(\sigma) e_I = e_{\sigma^{-1}(I)} \tag{2.20}$$

and obeys the homomorphism property

$$D^{\text{nat}}(\sigma_1) D^{\text{nat}}(\sigma_2) = D^{\text{nat}}(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \tag{2.21}$$

The inner product (2.19) is invariant under the S_n action. The linear combination

$$e_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{I=1}^{n} e_I \tag{2.22}$$

is invariant, normalized to 1 and spans V_0. We can choose a convenient orthonormal basis for V_H as

$$e_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A(A+1)}} (e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_A - A e_{A+1}) \tag{2.23}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

for $A \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. Introducing the notation S_{AI} for these coefficients we have

$$e_A = \sum_{I=1}^{n} S_{AI} e_I \tag{2.24}$$

for $A \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$, and

$$S_{AI} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A(A+1)}} \left(-A\ \delta_{I,A+1} + \sum_{J=1}^{A} \delta_{J,I} \right) \tag{2.25}$$

It is also useful to introduce extend A to $A \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{A=0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n) \\ S_{0I} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad\qquad \text{for} \quad 1 \le I \le n \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

We have the orthonormality relations

$$\sum_{I=1}^{n} S_{AI} S_{BI} = \delta_{AB} \tag{2.27}$$

This expresses the orthonormality of states $e_A, A \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$ within V_H, and within V_0 for $A, B = 0$, as well as the orthogonality of all the states in V_H with the invariant state in V_0. We also have

$$\sum_{A=0}^{n-1} S_{AI} S_{AJ} = \delta_{IJ} \tag{2.28}$$

Given these orthogonality relations, the inverse transformation expressing e_I in terms of the e_A are

$$e_I = \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} S_{AI} e_A \tag{2.29}$$

The following sum will play a crucial role in our subsequent treatment of the ring defined in section 2.2

$$\kappa_{ABC} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} S_{CI} S_{AI} S_{BI} \tag{2.30}$$

Let $D^H_{CC'}(\sigma)$ denote the matrix representing the permutation σ in the hook representation H. Note that κ_{ABC} has the following S_n invariance property.

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{ABC} &= \sum_{I=1}^{n} \langle H, C|\text{nat}, I\rangle \langle H, B|\text{nat}, I\rangle \langle H, A|\text{nat}, I\rangle \\ &= \sum_{I=1}^{n} \langle H, C|\text{nat}, \sigma(I)\rangle \langle H, B|\text{nat}, \sigma(I)\rangle \langle H, A|\text{nat}, \sigma(I)\rangle \\ &= \sum_{I=1}^{n} \sum_{A',B',C'=1}^{n-1} D^H_{CC'}(\sigma) D^H_{BB'}(\sigma) D^H_{AA'}(\sigma) S_{C'I} S_{A'I} S_{B'I} \\ &= \sum_{A',B',C'=1}^{n-1} D^H_{CC'}(\sigma) D^H_{BB'}(\sigma) D^H_{AA'}(\sigma) \kappa_{A'B'C'} \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

This shows that κ_{ABC} is a state in $V_H \otimes V_H \otimes V_H$ which is invariant under the simultaneous linear transformation of the three states by S_n. We know there is, up to normalization, precisely one such state, since V_H appears once in the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of $V_H \otimes V_H$. Equivalently V_0 appears once in $V_H \otimes V_H \otimes V_H$. We conclude that κ_{ABC} is this Clebsch-Gordan coefficient.

In appendix A we calculate this invariant explicitly to get

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_{ABC} = & -ABC\delta_{A,B,C} + BC\delta_{B,C}\Theta(B<A) + AB\delta_{A,B}\Theta(A<C) + AC\delta_{A,C}\Theta(A<B) \\ & -C\Theta(C<A)\Theta(C<B) - B\Theta(B<A)\Theta(B<C) - A\Theta(A<C)\Theta A<B) \\ & +Min(A,B,C)\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

$\Theta(B<A)$ is defined to be 1 if $B<A$ and 0 otherwise. We also find that

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(z_A) \equiv & \sum_{A,B,C=1}^{n-1} \kappa_{ABC} z_A z_B z_C \\ = & \sum_A A(1-A^2) z_A^3 + \sum_{A<B} 3A(1+A) z_A^2 z_B\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_A(z) = & \sum_{B,C} \kappa_{ABC} z_B z_C \\ = & A(1-A^2) z_A^2 + \sum_{B:B<A} B(1+B) z_B^2 + \sum_{B:A<B} 2A(1+A) z_B z_A\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

2.4 Solving the center of mass constraint and a polynomial ring with quadratic relations

In solving the constraints that determine the LWPs, a fruitful approach is to solve the center of mass constraint (COM) and only then consider the remaining constraints in (2.7). This approach exploits the S_n structure of the problem. We will use the elements of S_n representation theory from section 2.3.

As noted earlier, the I index transforms in the natural representation of S_n which has a decomposition into irreducibles as

$$V_{\text{nat}} = V_0 \oplus V_H \tag{2.35}$$

We will use the coefficients S_{AI} for this decomposition introduced in section 2.3 to define

$$X_\mu^A = \sum_{I=1}^{n} S_{AI} x_\mu^I \tag{2.36}$$

X_μ^0 is invariant under S_n. The X_μ^A for $1 \le A \le n-1$ form an orthonormal basis of states in V_H.

The COM condition is satisfied by setting

$$X_\mu^0 = 0 \tag{2.37}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

The inverse transformation, following (2.29), is

$$x_\mu^I = \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} S_{AI} X_\mu^A \tag{2.38}$$

The quadratic conditions can be expressed as

$$\sum_{A,B=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} S_{AI} S_{BI} X_\mu^A X_\mu^B = 0 \tag{2.39}$$

The linear COM conditions (2.37) imply the quadratic conditions become

$$\sum_{A,B=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} S_{AI} S_{BI} X_\mu^A X_\mu^B = 0 \tag{2.40}$$

It is useful to express this in the $V_0 \oplus V_H$ basis. Towards this end, multiply by S_{CI} and sum over I to find

$$Q_C \equiv \sum_{I=1}^{n} \sum_{A,B=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} S_{CI} S_{AI} S_{BI} X_\mu^A X_\mu^B = 0 \tag{2.41}$$

For $C = 0$, we get

$$Q_0 = \sum_{A} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} X_\mu^A X_\mu^A = 0 \tag{2.42}$$

For $C > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \sum_{A,B=1}^{n-1} \kappa_{CAB} X_\mu^A X_\mu^B = 0 \tag{2.43}$$

Note that, while the A, B indices range over $\{1, \cdots, n-1\}$, the C index ranges over $\{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$. Given the explicit formulae stated in section 2.3 and derived in appendix A, the quadratic constraints can be expressed as

For $1 \leq A \leq (n-1)$:

$$A(1-A^2) \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} X_\mu^A X_\mu^A + \sum_{B:B>A} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} 2A(1+A) X_\mu^A X_\mu^B + \sum_{B:B<A} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} B(1+B) X_\mu^B X_\mu^B = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{A=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} X_\mu^A X_\mu^A = 0 \tag{2.44}$$

The upshot is that we have a description of the construction of the primaries as the construction of polynomials in the hook variables X_μ^A with $1 \leq A \leq n-1, 1 \leq \mu \leq d$, subject to the quadratic constraints (2.44). In appendix B we study the variety defined by these quadratic constraints for some low values of n, d, and compute the associated Hilbert series using Sage. It is in complete agreement with our counting of LWPs.

JHEP08(2018)088

2.5 $V_0 \oplus V_H$ decomposition of Laplacian constraints

The LWPs solve the n Laplacian conditions

$$\sum_{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^I_\mu \partial x^I_\mu} = 0 \tag{2.45}$$

These n conditions transform in the natural representation V_{nat} of S_n. We can again move to the $V_0 \oplus V_H$ basis as follows

$$\Box_C = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} S_{CI} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^I_\mu \partial x^I_\mu} \tag{2.46}$$

Now expand the x-derivatives in terms of X-derivatives, and use the fact that we are acting on translation invariant functions to drop derivatives with respect to X^0_μ. We have

$$\sum_{I=1}^{n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} S_{CI} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^I_\mu \partial x^I_\mu} = \sum_{I} \sum_{A,B=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} S_{CI} S_{AI} S_{BI} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^A_\mu \partial X^B_\mu} \tag{2.47}$$

Notice that the quantity

$$\kappa_{ABC} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} S_{CI} S_{AI} S_{BI} \tag{2.48}$$

introduced in the previous section, has appeared above.

There are $n-1$ linear combinations of the Laplacians which transform as V_H, given by

$$\Box_C = \sum_{A,B=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \kappa_{ABC} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^A_\mu \partial X^B_\mu} \tag{2.49}$$

Together with the S_n invariant Laplacian

$$\Box_0 = \sum_{\nu=1}^{d} \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^A_\nu \partial x^A_\nu} \tag{2.50}$$

we have n differential operators acting on the functions of X^A_μ.

In summary, we have now arrived at a description of LWPs as polynomial functions in X^A_μ i.e. functions on

$$(\mathbb{R}^d)^{(n-1)} \tag{2.51}$$

subject to the n Laplacian conditions in (2.49) and (2.50). The LWPs are dual to primary operators in the free CFT.

3 Counting of lowest weight states in $V^{\otimes n}$

V is the representation of $so(d,2)$ collecting all the states which correspond, by the operator-state correspondence, to a single scalar field and its derivatives. Above we have established that the lowest weight states in $V^{\otimes n}$ form a polynomial ring. We will develop

JHEP08(2018)088

this description further in this section by counting these lowest weight states. Specifically, we give a formula for the generating function of the number of lowest weight states in $V^{\otimes n}$, at weight $\Delta = n\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right) + k$. The S_n invariant states among these lowest weight states are the primaries.

In d dimensions, the character of the free scalar field $so(d,2)$ irrep is

$$\chi_V(s) = trs^{\Delta} = s^{(d-2)/2}\frac{(1-s^2)}{(1-s)^d} \tag{3.1}$$

The character for $V^{\otimes n}$, a reducible representation, is then

$$\chi_{V^{\otimes n}}(s) = (\chi_V(s))^n = s^{n(d-2)/2}\frac{(1-s^2)^n}{(1-s)^{nd}} \tag{3.2}$$

The trace of s^{Δ} over states obtained by acting with momenta on a lowest weight state (annihilated by K_μ) with $\Delta = n(d-2)/2 + k$ is

$$\frac{s^{n(d-2)/2+k}}{(1-s)^d} \tag{3.3}$$

Let the multiplicities of these lowest weight states in $V^{\otimes n}$ be $\mathcal{N}_k$. To determine the multiplicities $\mathcal{N}_k$ we expand $\chi_{V^{\otimes n}}(s)$ in terms of the traces in (3.3) as follows

$$\chi_{V^{\otimes n}}(s) = s^{n(d-2)/2}\frac{(1-s^2)^n}{(1-s)^{nd}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{N}_k\frac{s^{n(d-2)/2+k}}{(1-s)^d} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence the generating function for the multiplicities of lowest weight states is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{N}_k s^k &= \frac{(1-s^2)^n}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}} \\ &= \frac{1-ns^2+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}s^4+\cdots}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}}\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}s^{2k}\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In this result we can already recognize elements of our discussion from section 2 appearing. Indeed, the denominator of the Hilbert series given above shows that there are $d(n-1)$ generators in the ring. These are the X^A_μ. The numerator implies that at quadratic order, we have n relations. These are the constraints (2.42) and (2.43). Note that $\binom{n}{k}$ is the dimension of the k-fold anti-symmetric product of V_Q, the n dimensional space spanned by the quadratic constraints Q_A. An important point for the discussion in the next section is that

$$\frac{1}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}}\binom{n}{k}s^{2k} \tag{3.6}$$

is the trace of s^{Δ} over $\mathcal{R}\otimes\Lambda^k(V_Q)$. Finally, it is worth noting that the counting function in the first line of (3.5) is palindromic.

JHEP08(2018)088

4 The ring of lowest weights in $V^{\otimes n}$

In the previous section we have obtained the counting function for the lowest weights in $V^{\otimes n}$. These lowest weights form a polynomial ring. The counting function for the ring is a rational function. The ring is a quotient of the polynomial ring, by an ideal. The ideal is generated by n quadratic expressions.

The structure of the counting function can be explained using the theory of Hilbert series, in terms of the relations between the generators of the ideal, relations between these relations and so on. This notion of generators, relations and relations between relations is made precise in the theory of Hilbert series in terms of exact sequences of modules over the polynomial ring. References we found useful include [6–8].

In this section we will describe the relevant exact sequence and show that it matches the counting function derived from $so(d,2)$ representation theory. We then explain how the exact sequence of modules of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{R}$ leads to exact sequences of vector spaces over the base field $\mathbb{C}$. These exact sequences are used to derive a refined counting formula for $so(d) \times S_n$ irreps among the lowest weights. The $so(d)$ scalar lowest weights are of interest in effective field theory [3].

4.1 Exact sequence of modules

We will consider the following exact sequence of modules over $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$

$$0 \xrightarrow{f_0} \mathcal{R} \otimes \Lambda^n(V_Q) \xrightarrow{f_n} \cdots \to \mathcal{R} \otimes \Lambda^2(V_Q) \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathcal{R} \otimes V_Q \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathcal{L}}} 0 \tag{4.1}$$

The tensor products are defined over the base field $\mathbb{C}$. Elements of $\mathcal{R} \otimes V_Q$ are given by

$$\sum_{A=0}^{n-1} h_A \otimes Q_A \tag{4.2}$$

where $h_A \in \mathcal{R}$. The map f_1 acts as

$$f_1 : \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} h_A \otimes Q_A \to \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} h_A Q_A \tag{4.3}$$

Its image is the ideal generated by Q_A. This ideal $\mathcal{I}(d,n)$, consists of elements in the ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$ of the form

$$\sum_{A=0}^{n-1} h_A Q_A \tag{4.4}$$

where h_A are general elements in $\mathcal{R}(d,n)$.

$\mathcal{R} \otimes V_Q$ is a module for $\mathcal{R}$. An element $h \in \mathcal{R}$ acts as

$$\sum_{A=0}^{n-1} h_A \otimes Q_A \to \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} h h_A \otimes Q_A \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is the quotient $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ and the map $f_{\mathcal{L}}$ takes all the elements of $\mathcal{L}$ to 0, the image of $f_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the kernel of $f_{\mathcal{L}}$. Thus the sequence is exact at $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}$.

JHEP08(2018)088

The elements of $\mathcal{R} \otimes \Lambda^I(V_Q)$ are

$$\epsilon^{A_1,\cdots,A_I,A_{I+1},\cdots,A_n} h_{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_I} \otimes Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \cdots \otimes Q_{A_I} \tag{4.6}$$

(the repeated indices $A_{I+1}, \cdots, A_n$ are summed). Under the map f_I, they go to

$$\epsilon^{A_1,\cdots,A_I,A_{I+1},\cdots,A_n} h_{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_I} Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \cdots \otimes Q_{A_I} \tag{4.7}$$

Under the composite map $f_I \circ f_{I-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_I \circ f_{I-1} : \epsilon^{A_1,\cdots,A_I,A_{I+1},\cdots,A_n} h_{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_I} \otimes Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \cdots \otimes Q_{A_I} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \epsilon^{A_1,\cdots,A_I,A_{I+1},\cdots,A_n} h_{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_I} Q_{A_1} Q_{A_2} \otimes Q_{A_3} \cdots \otimes Q_{A_I} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

This shows that

$$Im(f_I) \subseteq Ker(f_{I-1}) \tag{4.9}$$

Thus we have established that the image of f_I is in the kernel of f_{I-1}. For exactness we need to show that the image of f_I is equal to the kernel of f_{I-1}. This will follow, by additionally proving that $Ker(f_{I-1}) \subseteq Im(f_I)$.

To proceed further, motivated by the analysis in Chapter 4 of [9] (where exactness is proved for a sequence involving $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V) \otimes \Lambda^k(V)$, in the context of proving that $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$ and $\Lambda(V)$ are Koszul algebras), we introduce two operators. The first operator, d, is a re-expression of the maps f_I introduced above. We define

$$d = \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} Q_A \otimes \iota_A \tag{4.10}$$

where Q_A acts by multiplying any polynomial f in $\mathcal{R}$ by $\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \kappa_{ABC} X_\mu^B X_\mu^C$ and ι_A is interior multiplication with Q_A

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_A(Q_{B_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{B_i}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^{k-i} Q_{B_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Q}_{B_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{B_i} \text{ if } A = B_k \\ &= 0 \qquad A \notin \{B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_i\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

It is simple to demonstrate, using this definition, that $d^2 = 0$, which is equivalent to the discussion above that lead to the conclusion (4.9). To motivate the second operator we need, we employ a decomposition of polynomials that will be derived in section 6.2: the space of degree d polynomials can be decomposed as

$$p^{(d)} = p_h^{(d)} + Q_A p_{h,A}^{(d-2)} + Q_A Q_B p_{h,AB}^{(d-4)} + \cdots \tag{4.12}$$

with the coefficients $p_h^{(d)}$, $p_{h,A}^{(d-2)}$, $p_{h,AB}^{(d-4)}$ all annihilated by $(C = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$.

$$\Box_C = \sum_{A,B=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \kappa_{CAB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_\mu^A \partial X_\mu^B} \tag{4.13}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

The repeated index A in the second term is summed, as are the A, B in the third term, etc. The decomposition (4.12) is unique in the sense that the coefficients $p_h^{(d)}$, $p_{h,A}^{(d-2)}$, $p_{h,AB}^{(d-4)}$,..., in the expansion are unique. The second operator we use is

$$\alpha = \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} d_A \otimes \psi_A \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$\psi_A(g) = g \wedge Q_A \tag{4.15}$$

and the action of d_A is defined using the expansion (4.12): d_A simply removes a Q_A from each term and it annihilates $p_h^{(d)}$:

$$d_A \left(p_h^{(d)} + Q_B p_{h,B}^{(d-2)} + Q_B Q_C p_{h,BC}^{(d-4)} + \cdots \right) = p_{h,A}^{(d-2)} + 2 Q_B p_{h,AB}^{(d-4)} + \cdots \tag{4.16}$$

Again, from these definitions it is easy to see that $\alpha^2 = 0$: applying d_A twice produces a symmetric two index tensor $p^q_{h,ABC\cdots D} Q_C \cdots Q_D$ which vanishes when summed against $g \wedge Q_A \wedge Q_B$, which is antisymmetric in A, B.

We will now argue that $\alpha \circ d + d \circ \alpha$ is not degenerate, that is, it has an inverse. In fact, we will show that acting on a monomial of degree t in the Qs, it is proportional to the identity

$$\alpha \circ d + d \circ \alpha = 1 + t \tag{4.17}$$

In this case, acting on any element $k^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E} Q_A Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i}$ in the kernel of d, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha \circ d + d \circ \alpha) k^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E} Q_A Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i} \\
&\quad = d \circ \alpha (k^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E} Q_A Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i}) \\
&\quad = d \left(\alpha (k^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E} Q_A Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i}) \right) \\
&\quad = (1+t) k^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E} Q_A Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

so that

$$Ker(f_{I-1}) \subseteq Im(f_I) \tag{4.19}$$

and hence, together with (4.9) we have exactness

$$Ker(f_{I-1}) = Im(f_I) \tag{4.20}$$

Let us now complete the argument by showing that $\alpha \circ d + d \circ \alpha$ is indeed not degenerate. For $d \circ \alpha$ we find (assume that $p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}$ has t indices $AB\cdots E$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&d \circ \alpha (p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E} Q_A Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i}) \\
&= d \left(\sum_{S \notin \{A_1,\ldots,A_i\}} t p^{(q)}_{h,SB\cdots E} Q_B \cdots Q_E \otimes Q_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_{A_i} \wedge Q_S \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

$$= \sum_{S\notin\{A_1,\ldots,A_i\}} tQ_S p^{(q)}_{h,SB\cdots E} Q_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}$$
$$+ \sum_{S\notin\{A_1,\ldots,A_i\}}\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k+1} tQ_{A_k} p^{(q)}_{h,SB\cdots E} Q_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\hat{Q}_{A_k}\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\wedge Q_S$$

For $\alpha\circ d$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha\circ d(p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}) \qquad (4.22)\\
&=\alpha\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_EQ_{A_k}\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\hat{Q}_{A_k}\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\right)\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\sum_{S\notin\{A_1,\ldots,\hat{A}_k,\ldots,A_i\}}(-1)^{i-k}d_S(p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_EQ_{A_k})\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\hat{Q}_{A_k}\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\wedge Q_S\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\sum_{S\notin\{A_1,\ldots,\hat{A}_k,\ldots,A_i\}}(-1)^{i-k}tp^{(q)}_{h,SB\cdots E}Q_B\cdots Q_EQ_{A_k}\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\hat{Q}_{A_k}\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\wedge Q_S\\
&\quad+p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}
\end{aligned}$$

The second term in the answer (4.21) cancels against the first term in (4.22) to leave

$$\sum_{k=1}^{i} tp^{(q)}_{h,A_kB\cdots E}Q_B\cdots Q_EQ_{A_k}\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i} \qquad (4.23)$$

Thus, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d\circ\alpha+\alpha\circ d)(p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i})\\
&\quad=\sum_{S\notin\{A_1,\ldots,A_i\}} tp^{(q)}_{h,SB\cdots E}Q_SQ_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\\
&\quad\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{i} tp^{(q)}_{h,A_kB\cdots E}Q_B\cdots Q_EQ_{A_k}\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\\
&\quad\quad+p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i}\\
&\quad=(1+t)p^{(q)}_{h,AB\cdots E}Q_AQ_B\cdots Q_E\otimes Q_{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge Q_{A_i} \qquad (4.24)
\end{aligned}$$

which is the result we wanted.

Finally, note that the above long exact sequence can be thought of as consisting of several short exact sequences. One is the standard short exact sequence for quotients

$$0\to\mathcal{I}\to\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}\to 0 \qquad (4.25)$$

The next is

$$Syz(\mathcal{I})\to\mathcal{R}\otimes V_Q\to\mathcal{I} \qquad (4.26)$$

Here a basis for V_Q gives the generators of $\mathcal{I}$. $Syz(\mathcal{I})$ is the syzygy module for $\mathcal{I}$. It is generated by $\Lambda^2(V_Q)$ so that its generators and relations are expressed in a sequence

$$Syz(Syz(\mathcal{I}))\to\mathcal{R}\otimes\Lambda^2(V_Q)\to Syz(\mathcal{I}) \qquad (4.27)$$

JHEP08(2018)088

4.2 Exact sequence of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$

We can consider the vector space formed by polynomials of a fixed degree. Since the modules of the last section are defined over the ring, a single exact sequence of modules implies, upon specializing to fixed degree, an exact sequence for each of these vector spaces. The polynomials at fixed n and fixed degree k are polynomials dual to primaries constructed using n fields and k derivatives. There is therefore an interesting CFT motivation to consider the exact sequences between the vector spaces formed by polynomials of a fixed degree, which is the goal of this section. The X_μ^A transform as $V_d \otimes V_H$ of $so(d)\times S_n$, where V_d is the d-dimensional vector of $so(d)$ and V_H is the $(n-1)$ dimensional hook representation of S_n. For convenience, we define $V_{dH} = V_d \otimes V_H$. Polynomials of degree k in X_μ^A form a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ isomorphic to the space of rank k symmetric tensors, denoted $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH})$.

At $k = 2$ we have

$$0 \to V_Q \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH}) \to \mathcal{L}(2, d, n) \to 0 \tag{4.28}$$

The space of LWPs at $k = 2$, denoted $\mathcal{L}(2, d, n)$, is obtained by setting the Q_A's to zero. The space $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH})$ is the space of degree two polynomials in the hook variables X_μ^A. The Q_A's form a subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH})$, so we have a map from V_Q to $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH})$. The definition of $\mathcal{L}(2, d, n)$ as the quotient space ensures that the image of the first map is exactly the kernel of the second map, so that the sequence above is exact. Denoting the dimension of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH})$ by $S(k, d, n)$, we know that

$$S(k, d, n) = \frac{((n-1)d + k - 1)!}{k!((n-1)d - 1)!} \equiv S(k, D = d(n-1)) \tag{4.29}$$

The second equality emphasizes the fact that this depends only on $D = d(n-1)$. The exact sequence (4.28) implies the following formula for the dimension of the space of LWPs

$$L(2, d, n) = S(2, d, n) - \mathrm{Dim}(V_Q) \tag{4.30}$$

It is simple to check this independently by comparing to the coefficient of s^2 in the expansion of (3.5).

Next, consider degree $k = 4$. The relevant exact sequence is

$$0 \to \Lambda^2(V_Q) \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH}) \otimes V_Q \to \mathrm{Sym}^4(V_{dH}) \to \mathcal{L}(4, d, n) \to 0 \tag{4.31}$$

as we explain below. Start by introducing the map f defined by

$$f : \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH}) \otimes V_Q \to \mathrm{Sym}^4(V_{dH}) \tag{4.32}$$

Concretely, we have

$$f : Q_A \otimes X_{\mu_1}^{a_1} X_{\mu_2}^{a_2} \to Q_A X_{\mu_1}^{a_1} X_{\mu_2}^{a_2} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \kappa_{ABC} X_\mu^B X_\mu^C X_{\mu_1}^{a_1} X_{\mu_2}^{a_2} \tag{4.33}$$

Thus, under this map we find

$$f : Q_A \otimes Q_B - Q_B \otimes Q_A \to 0 \tag{4.34}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

Thus, the kernel of the map is $\Lambda^2(V_Q)$. When we have a 4-term exact sequence as above the far right vector space is the cokernel, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(4,d,n) = \mathrm{Sym}^4(V_{dH})/Im(f) \tag{4.35}$$

This is indeed the definition of $\mathcal{L}(4,d,n)$: it is the quotient space of the degree 4 polynomials in X^A_μ obtained by setting to zero anything of the form QXX. The exact sequence (4.31) implies the following relation

$$L(4,d,n) = S(4,d,n) - S(2,d,n)\mathrm{Dim}(V_Q) + \mathrm{Dim}\Lambda^2(V_Q) \tag{4.36}$$

which agrees with the coefficient of s^4 in the expansion of (3.5).

These exact sequences generalize to any k. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2L}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^L(V_Q) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^I(V_Q) \to \cdots \\ \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(V_{dH}) \otimes V_Q \to \mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH}) \to \mathcal{L}(k,d,n) \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

where $L = min(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, n)$. If k is even and $k/2 \leq n$, then the second term in the sequence is $\Lambda^{k/2}(V_Q)$. If $k/2 \geq n$, it is $\mathrm{Sym}^{k-2n}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^n(V_Q)$. If k is odd and $(k-1)/2 \leq n$, then the first non-trivial term is $V_{dH} \otimes \Lambda^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(V_Q)$. If $n \leq (k-1)/2$, then it is $\mathrm{Sym}^{k-2n}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^n(V_Q)$.

One basic building block that the above sequences are constructed from is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^I(V_Q) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k+2-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^{I-1}(V_Q) \\ \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k+4-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^{I-2}(V_Q) \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

A simple generalization of the discussion above gives the maps required for this basic building block. First note that the space $\Lambda^{I-1}(V_Q)$ is spanned by

$$\epsilon^{A_1 \cdots A_{I-1} A_I \cdots A_L} Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{A_{I-1}} \tag{4.39}$$

with $L = \min(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, n)$ as above. Define the map f which maps

$$f : \mathrm{Sym}^{k+2-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^{I-1}(V_Q) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k+4-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^{I-2}(V_Q) \tag{4.40}$$

as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & f(X^{a_1}_{\mu_1} \cdots X^{a_{k+2-2I}}_{\mu_{k+2-2I}} \otimes \epsilon^{A_1 \cdots A_{I-1} A_I \cdots A_L} Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{A_{I-1}}) \\ & \quad = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} X^{a_1}_{\mu_1} \cdots X^{a_{k+2-2I}}_{\mu_{k+2-2I}} \kappa_{A_{I-1} BC} X^B_\mu X^C_\mu \otimes \epsilon^{A_1 \cdots A_{I-1} A_I \cdots A_L} Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{A_{I-2}} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the image of the map

$$g : \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^I(V_Q) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k+2-2I}(V_{dH}) \otimes \Lambda^{I-1}(V_Q) \tag{4.41}$$

is in the kernel of f. Indeed, the image of g is spanned by

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} X^{a_1}_{\mu_1} \cdots X^{a_{k-2I}}_{\mu_{k-2I}} \kappa_{A_I BC} X^B_\mu X^C_\mu \otimes \epsilon^{A_1 \cdots A_{I-1} A_I \cdots A_L} Q_{A_1} \otimes Q_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{A_{I-1}} \tag{4.42}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

Under f this maps to zero

$$f\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} X_{\mu_1}^{a_1}\cdots X_{\mu_{k-2I}}^{a_{k-2I}}\kappa_{A_I BC}X_\mu^B X_\mu^C\otimes\epsilon^{A_1\cdots A_{I-1}A_I\cdots A_L}Q_{A_1}\otimes Q_{A_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes Q_{A_{I-1}}\right)$$
$$=\sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{d} X_{\mu_1}^{a_1}\cdots X_{\mu_{k-2I}}^{a_{k-2I}}\kappa_{A_I BC}X_\mu^B X_\mu^C\kappa_{A_{I-1}FG}X_\nu^F X_\nu^G\otimes$$
$$\epsilon^{A_1\cdots A_{I-1}A_I\cdots A_L}Q_{A_1}\otimes Q_{A_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes Q_{A_{I-2}}=0 \tag{4.43}$$

where the last equality follows because

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{d}\kappa_{A_I BC}X_\mu^B X_\mu^C\kappa_{A_{I-1}FG}X_\nu^F X_\nu^G \tag{4.44}$$

is symmetric under swapping A_I and A_{I-1}, and it is contracted with $\epsilon^{A_1\cdots A_L}$. To complete the discussion, consider

$$\cdots\to \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(V_{dH})\otimes V_Q\to \mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH})\to\mathcal{L}(k,d,n)\to 0 \tag{4.45}$$

In terms of the map h which maps

$$h:\mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(V_{dH})\otimes V_Q\to\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH}) \tag{4.46}$$

we have $\mathcal{L}(k,d,n)=\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH})/Im(h)$, which is true since $\mathcal{L}(k,d,n)$ is the quotient space of the degree k polynomials in the X_μ^A obtained by setting anything of the form $QX\cdots X$ to zero.

The argument above shows that the image is in the kernel. To establish exactness, we need to show that the kernel is equal to the image. This can be done exactly as we did it in section 4.1. We again introduce d (again motivated by the mappings we just discussed) and α, defined precisely as we did above. The generalization of the argument is then obvious and we will not repeat it here.

The exact sequences we have presented in this section imply that

$$L(k,d,n)=\sum_{I=0}^{min(\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor,n)}(-1)^I\mathrm{Dim}(\mathrm{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}))\mathrm{Dim}(\Lambda^I(V_Q)) \tag{4.47}$$

This formula will be used in the next section to refine the counting of LWPs, by keeping track of the $so(d)\times S_n$ irreps of the LWPs. To understand why this refined counting is possible, note that the maps involved in the exact sequences given in this section, all commute with $so(d)\times S_n$. The maps involved in the vector space exact sequences involve replacing Q_A by its explicit form $\kappa_{ABC}X_\mu^B X_\mu^C$. Since the spacetime indices are fully contracted, the maps replaces an $so(d)$ scalar with an $so(d)$ scalar. Similarly, since κ_{ABC} is an invariant tensor, the map is from the hook to the hook irrep. Since this refinement holds for all of the vector space exact sequences, it should hold for the module exact sequences too. This is indeed clear from the expressions $d=\sum_A Q_A\otimes\iota_A$ used in section 4.1.

JHEP08(2018)088

5 Refined counting formulae

We have managed to count the number of LWPs of fixed degree, or equivalently, lowest weight states in $V^{\otimes n}$. There are good reasons to refine this counting using the $so(d) \times S_n$ symmetry present in the problem. Primaries in the free field theory are S_n invariants. They are labeled by their dimension and $so(d)$ representation property. In addition, $so(d)$ scalars are relevant for identifying possible terms in the Lagrangian of effective field theory [3]. This refined counting will ultimately lead to a construction algorithm for the LWPs. In this section we will carry out this refined counting, using the formula (4.47) which follows from the exact sequences developed in the last section.

A useful starting point for the refined counting is (4.47) which we re-write slightly here, by substituting $V_Q \to V_{\rm nat}$

$$L(k,d,n) = \sum_{I=0}^{\min(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, n)} (-1)^I \text{Dim}(\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH})) \text{Dim}(\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat}^{(S_n)})) \tag{5.1}$$

We can start by writing

$$\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}) = \bigoplus_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{3,1} \vdash n} V_{\Lambda_1}^{(so(d))} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{3,1}}^{(S_n)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{3,1}} \tag{5.2}$$

The r.h.s. is the decomposition of $\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH})$ in terms of irreducible representations of $so(d) \times S_n$, labeled by $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{3,1})$. $\Lambda_{3,1}$ is a partition of n. A basis in terms of irreps will include a multiplicity label for the pair $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{3,1})$, this multiplicity space is denoted by $V_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{3,1}}$.

We will denote the dimensions of these multiplicity spaces by $\text{Mult}((\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}); \Lambda_1^{so(d)} \otimes \Lambda_{3,1}^{(S_n)})$. We can also decompose the antisymmetric (wedge) product of n copies of the natural representation $\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat}^{(S_n)})$ into irreps of S_n. The number of times a given S_n irrep $\Lambda_{3,2}$ appears will be denoted by $\text{Mult}(\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat}^{(S_n)}); \Lambda_{3,2}^{(S_n)})$. We can now write the $so(d) \times S_n$ refined version of (5.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} &L(\Lambda_1^{so(d)}, \Lambda_3^{(S_n)}; k, d, n) \\ &\quad = \sum_{\Lambda_{3,1}, \Lambda_{3,2} \vdash n} \sum_{I=0}^{min(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, n)} (-1)^I \text{Mult}(\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}); \Lambda_1^{so(d)} \otimes \Lambda_{3,1}^{(S_n)}) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \text{Mult}(\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat}^{(S_n)}); \Lambda_{3,2}^{(S_n)}) C(\Lambda_{3,1}, \Lambda_{3,2}; \Lambda_3) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$C(\Lambda_{3,1}, \Lambda_{3,2}; \Lambda_3)$ is the Kronecker multiplicity for $\Lambda_{3,1} \otimes \Lambda_{3,2} \to \Lambda_3$. The l.h.s. is the multiplicity of irreps Λ_1, Λ_3 in the space of lowest weight states of dimension $L(k,d,n)$. Consequently, we have

$$L(k,d,n) = \sum_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_3} \text{Dim}_{so(d)}(\Lambda_1) \text{Dim}_{S_n}(\Lambda_3) L(\Lambda_1^{so(d)}, \Lambda_3^{(S_n)}; k, d, n) \tag{5.4}$$

It is important to note that the alternating sum formula (5.1) for $L(k,d,n)$ does not, by itself, imply the refined formula (5.3). However, the exact sequences underlying (5.1),

JHEP08(2018)088

alongside the fact discussed in section 4 that the maps in this exact sequence are $so(d)\times S_n$ invariant, do imply that the sequences can be restricted to specific irreps and hence imply the refined counting formulae.

We will now make (5.3) more explicit to produce some general $so(d)\times S_n$ refined counting formulae for the space of lowest weight states. To determine how many times irrep $\Lambda_{3,2}$ appears in $\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat})$, we take the trace of the projector to $\Lambda_{3,2}$ from $\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat})$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{Mult}(\Lambda^I(V_{\rm nat}^{(S_n)});\Lambda_{3,2}^{(S_n)})\\&\quad=\sum_{p\vdash n}\sum_{q\vdash I}(-1)^{q_2+q_4+\cdots}\frac{\chi^p_{\Lambda_{3,2}}}{Sym(p)Sym(q)}\prod_{i=1}^{I}\left(\sum_{d|i}dp_d\right)^{q_i}\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

where $\chi_{\Lambda_{3,2}}$ is the S_n character of the permutation with cycle structure p in irrep $\Lambda_{3,2}$ and

$$Sym(p)=\prod_i i^{p_i}p_i!\tag{5.6}$$

with p_i denoting the number of parts in partition p that are equal to i. This is obtained by setting $\Lambda_2=[1^k]$ in (5.14) of appendix C, and using the fact that characters in the anti-symmetric are given by $(-1)^{q_2+q_4+\cdots}$. We now need to consider refining $\text{Sym}^k(V_{dH})$. For the $so(d)$ part, we can use $so(d)$ characters. For $d=3$, we have $so(3)$, so that we only need well known $su(2)$ results. For $d=4$, we will use $so(4)=su(2)\times su(2)$, so again we need only $su(2)$ results.

5.1 Refined counting: general d

We can make the formula (5.3) more explicit for general d. The formulae we write here will be not be as computationally efficient as for $d=3,4$ but may still be useful in further studies of $so(d,2)$ representations and free field primaries in higher dimensions. We focus on the d-dependent quantity

$$\text{Mult}(\text{Sym}^k(V_{dH});\Lambda_1^{so(d)}\otimes\Lambda_3^{(S_n)})$$

in (5.3). $\text{Sym}^k(V_{dH})$ is the S_k invariant part of $(V_d\otimes V_H)^{\otimes k}=V_d^{\otimes k}\otimes V_H^{\otimes k}$. We have the decompositions

$$V_d^{\otimes k}=\bigoplus_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}V^{so(d)}_{\Lambda_1}\otimes V^{(S_k)}_{\Lambda_2}\otimes V_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}\tag{5.7}$$

and

$$V_H^{\otimes k}=\bigoplus_{\Lambda_3,\Lambda_4}V^{(S_n)}_{\Lambda_3}\otimes V^{(S_k)}_{\Lambda_4}\otimes V_{\Lambda_3,\Lambda_4}\tag{5.8}$$

To count S_k invariants in $V_{dH}^{\otimes k}$, we use the above while setting $\Lambda_4=\Lambda_2$. This leads to

$$\text{Mult}(\text{Sym}^k(V_{dH},\Lambda_1^{so(d)}\otimes\Lambda_3^{(S_n)}))=\sum_{\Lambda_2\vdash k}\text{Mult}(V_d^{\otimes k},\Lambda_1^{so(d)}\otimes\Lambda_2^{(S_k)})\text{Mult}(V_H^{\otimes k},\Lambda_3^{(S_n)}\otimes\Lambda_2^{(S_k)})\tag{5.9}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

The $\text{Mult}(V_d^{\otimes k}, \Lambda_1^{so(d)} \otimes \Lambda_2^{(S_k)})$ can be calculated using characters of $so(d)$ and S_k.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Mult}(V_d^{\otimes k}, V_{\Lambda_1}^{so(d)} \otimes \Lambda_2^{(S_k)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \int dU \chi_{\Lambda_1}(U) \chi_{\Lambda_2}(\sigma) tr_{V_d^{\otimes k}}(U^{\otimes k} \sigma) \\
&= \sum_{p \vdash k} \int dU \chi_{\Lambda_1}(U) \frac{\chi^p_{\Lambda_2}}{Sym\ p} \prod_i (tr U^i)^{p_i}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

For the cases of $d = 3, 4$ we will give expressions below in terms of generating functions, which are more explicit than the group integrals above.

5.2 Refined counting: $d = 3$ case

We need the multiplicities of $V_{\Lambda_1^{so(3)}} \otimes V_{\Lambda_2^{(s_k)}}$ in $V_3^{\otimes k}$. This problem has been considered in [10]. Our coordinates X_μ^A are in the 3 of $so(3)$, which is the spin 1 of SU(2). For $d = 3$, Λ_1 is parameterised by one integer l for the spin. Our multiplicities are given by formula 6.2 of [10], with $m = 2$ for spin 1. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Mult}(V_3^{\otimes k}, [l] \otimes \Lambda_2) \\
&= \text{Coefficient} \left(q^0, (1-q) q^{\sum_i c_i(c_i-1)/2 \, + \, l/2 - k} \prod_{(i,j) \in \Lambda_2} \frac{(1 - q^{3-i+j})}{(1 - q^{h(i,j)})} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where the notation is an instruction to pick up the coefficient of q^0 in the expansion of the second argument above. c_i is the length of the i'th column of Λ_2. k is the number of boxes in Λ_2. (i, j) label the row and column of the boxes in Λ_2 and $h(i, j)$ is the hook length of the box. The multiplicity $\text{Mult}(\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}); (\Lambda_1^{so(d)} = [l]) \otimes \Lambda_{3,1}^{(S_n)}))$ which appears in the present $so(3)$ instance of (5.1) can be made more explicit. We use $V_{dH} = V_d^{so(d)} \otimes V_H^{S_n}$ so that the k fold tensor power is

$$(V_{dH})^{\otimes k-2I} = (V_d^{\otimes k-2I} \otimes V_H^{\otimes k-2I}) \tag{5.12}$$

We can decompose the $so(d)$ and S_n parts separately into irreps of $so(d) \times S_k$ and $S_n \times S_k$ respectively. Identifying the S_k irreps and summing projects to the invariant of S_k. The outcome is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Mult}(\text{Sym}^{k-2I}(V_{dH}); (\Lambda_1^{so(d)} = [l]) \otimes \Lambda_{3,1}^{(S_n)})) \\
&= \sum_{\Lambda_2 \vdash k-2I} \text{Mult}(V_3^{\otimes k-2I}, [l] \otimes \Lambda_2) \text{Mult}(V_H^{\otimes k-2I}, V_{\Lambda_{3,1}}^{(S_n)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_2}^{(S_{k-2I})})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where

$$\text{Mult}(V_H^{\otimes k-2I}, V_{\Lambda_{3,1}}^{(S_n)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_2}^{(S_{k-2I})}) = \sum_{p \vdash n} \sum_{q \vdash k} \frac{\chi^p_{\Lambda_1} \chi^q_{\Lambda_2}}{Sym(p) Sym(q)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(-1 + \sum_{d|i} d p_d \right)^{q_i} \tag{5.14}$$

We have again used appendix C. Explicit counting results obtained by implementing the formulas of this section in Sage are given in appendix D.

JHEP08(2018)088

5.3 Refined counting: the $d = 4$ case

The fundamental of SO(4) is the $V_{1/2} \otimes \bar{V}_{1/2}$ of $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$, where $V_{1/2}$ is the two-dimensional spin half irrep of SU(2). X^A_μ transforming in $V_4 \otimes V_H$ can be written as $X^A_{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}$ to reflect the description as $V_{1/2} \otimes V_{1/2} \otimes V_H$. It is useful to think of $V_{1/2}$ as a two-dimensional rep of U(2), which we call $U_L(2)$ and likewise $\bar{V}_{1/2}$ as an irrep of $U_R(2)$.

The decomposition relevant for our discussion is

$$V_{1/2}^{\otimes k} = \bigoplus_{\Lambda_{2,1} \in Y_2^{(k)}} V_{\Lambda_{2,1}}^{u_L(2)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{2,1}}^{(S_k)} \tag{5.15}$$

where $Y_2^{(k)}$ is the set of Young diagrams with k boxes and at most two rows. This decomposition is an example of Schur-Weyl duality (see for example [11]). The $u(2)$ irrep associated with a Young diagram having row lengths (r_1, r_2) has $su(2)$ spin $(r_1 - r_2)/2$ and dimension $r_1 - r_2 + 1$. Similarly we have

$$\bar{V}_{1/2}^{\otimes k} = \bigoplus_{\Lambda_{2,2} \in Y_2^{(k)}} V_{\Lambda_{2,2}}^{u_R(2)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{2,2}}^{(S_k)} \tag{5.16}$$

For the k'th power of the hook we have

$$V_H^{\otimes k} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\Lambda_3 \in Y^{(n)} \\ \Lambda_{2,3} \in Y^{(k)}}} V_{\Lambda_3}^{(S_n)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{2,3}}^{(S_k)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_3, \Lambda_{2,3}} \tag{5.17}$$

The dimension of $V_{\Lambda_3, \Lambda_{2,3}}$ is the multiplicity of the irrep $V_{\Lambda_3}^{(S_n)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{2,3}}^{(S_k)}$ in the tensor product. The dimension is given by equation (5.14). The final result is

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{4H}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\Lambda_3 \in Y^{(n)} \\ \Lambda_{2,1} \in Y_2^{(k)} \\ \Lambda_{2,2} \in Y_2^{(k)} \\ \Lambda_{2,3} \in Y_2^{(k)}}} V_{\Lambda_{2,1}}^{u_L(2)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{2,2}}^{u_R(2)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_3}^{(S_n)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_3, \Lambda_{2,3}} \otimes V_{\Lambda_{2,1}, \Lambda_{2,2}, \Lambda_{2,3}}^{S_k \mathrm{invts}} \tag{5.18}$$

where $V_{\Lambda_{2,1}, \Lambda_{2,2}, \Lambda_{2,3}}^{S_k \mathrm{invts}}$ is the space of S_k invariants in the Kronecker product $\Lambda_{2,1} \otimes \Lambda_{2,2} \otimes \Lambda_{2,3}$ of S_k irreps. The multiplicity of irrep $\Lambda_3^{(S_n)}, (l_1, l_2)_{so(4)}$ appearing in $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{4H})$ is thus

$$\sum_{\Lambda_{2,1} \in Y_2^{(k)}} \sum_{\Lambda_{2,2} \in Y_2^{(k)}} \sum_{\Lambda_{2,3} \in Y^{(k)}} \delta(r_1(\Lambda_{2,1}) - r_2(\Lambda_{2,1}), l_1) \delta(r_1(\Lambda_{2,2}) - r_2(\Lambda_{2,2}), l_2) \times \mathrm{Mult}(V_{\Lambda_{2,3}}^{(S_k)} \otimes V_{\Lambda_3}^{(S_n)}, V_H^{\otimes k}) C(\Lambda_{2,1}, \Lambda_{2,2}, \Lambda_{2,3}) \tag{5.19}$$

C is the Kronecker coefficient for S_k. We can plug this into (5.3) in order to get the refined counting for $d = 4$. Counting results obtained from these formulas using Sage are given in appendix E.

JHEP08(2018)088

6 The Confluent Binomial Transform and construction

The exact sequences we derived in section 4 have led to a dimension formula for $\mathcal{L}(k,d,n)$ (or for $\mathcal{I}(k,d,n)$) as an alternating sum involving exterior powers of V_Q. In this section we will show that there is a dimension formula for $\mathcal{I}(k,d,n)$ as a positive sum involving symmetric powers of V_Q. The two formulas are related by an identity involving binomial coefficients. There is some superficial similarity to equations involved in the binomial transform of combinatorics, but the identity at hand is different. As we will explain, the key identity which makes it work is a property of the Tricomi Confluent Hypergeometric Function. Consequently, we name it the Confluent Binomial transform (CBT). In this section we will develop these ideas discussing the dimension formula for $\mathcal{I}(k,d,n)$ as a positive sum in detail. This forms the foundation for a construction algorithm for the LWPs. For this reason, we will refer to the positive dimension formula in terms of a positive sum as the construction formula. To go beyond counting and get the construction algorithm for the LWPs requires a discussion of an inner product.

6.1 From resolution to construction: counting without signs

Using characters we have obtained the generating function of the number of LWPs as follows

$$\frac{(1-s^2)^n}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} L(l,d,n)s^l \tag{6.1}$$

We will derive an interesting expression for $L(l,d,n)$ in terms of $S(k,d,n)$ which is the dimension of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH})$. Our starting point is the explicit expression

$$S(k,d,n) = \frac{((n-1)d+k-1)!}{k!((n-1)d-1)!} \equiv S(k, D = d(n-1)) \tag{6.2}$$

The second equality emphasizes the fact that this depends only on $D = d(n-1)$. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{(1-s)^D} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S(k,D)s^k \tag{6.3}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S(k,d,n)s^k \tag{6.4}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(1-s^2)^n}{(1-s)^{d(n-1)}} &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n} S(p,d,n)\frac{n!(-1)^k}{k!(n-k)!}s^{p+2k} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n} S(l-2k,d,n)\frac{n!(-1)^k}{k!(n-k)!}s^{l}\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

We now find

$$L(l,d,n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{k!(n-k)!} S(l-2k,d,n) \tag{6.6}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

which is precisely the dimension formula that is implied by the exact sequences described in section 4. We will now argue that there is a second formula relating $L(l,d,n)$ and $S(l-2k,d,n)$, given by

$$S(p,d,n)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor}L(p-2i,d,n)\frac{(n+i-1)!}{i!(n-1)!} \tag{6.7}$$

Start by substituting the formula (6.6) for $L(k,d,n)$ in terms of $S(k,d,n)$ into (6.7) to find

$$\begin{aligned}S(p,d,n)&=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^k n!}{k!(n-k)!}\frac{(n+i-1)!}{i!(n-1)!}S(p-2i-2k,d,n)\\&=\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor}\sum_{k=0}^{\min(m,n)}\frac{(-1)^k n}{(m-k)!k!(n-k)!}S(p-2m,d,n)\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

This is indeed an equality, which follows after using the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min(m,n)}\frac{(-1)^k}{(m-k)!k!(n-k)!}=\frac{1}{n}\delta_{m,0} \tag{6.9}$$

The equation (6.7) implies, after subtracting the $i=0$ term $L(p,d,n)$, that the dimension of the ideal generated by V_Q is

$$\mathrm{Dim}(\mathcal{I}(p,d,n))=\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor}L(p-2i,d,n)\frac{(n+i-1)!}{i!(n-1)!} \tag{6.10}$$

It turns out that the identity (6.9) is related to a hypergeometric function. Introduce the function

$$F(x;m,n)=\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor}\sum_{k=0}^{\min(m,n)}\frac{(x)^k}{(m-k)!k!(n-k)!} \tag{6.11}$$

With the help of Mathematica, we find

$$F(x;m,n)=\frac{(-1)^m x^m}{m!n!}U[-m,1-m+n,-x^{-1}] \tag{6.12}$$

where U is a tricomi confluent hypergeometric function. Consequently we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor}\sum_{k=0}^{\min(m,n)}\frac{(x)^k}{(m-k)!k!(n-k)!}=\frac{(-1)^m x^m}{m!n!}U[-m,1-m+n,-x^{-1}] \tag{6.13}$$

This reduces our identity to a property of the tricomi confluent hypergeometric function when the last argument is 1

$$\frac{1}{m!n!}U[-m,1-m+n,1]=\frac{1}{n}\delta_{m,0} \tag{6.14}$$

The equation (6.10) gives the dimension of the ideal at each k, as a sum of positive terms. The ideal generated by the quadratic polynomials $\{Q_A : 0\le A\le n-1\}$ consists of

JHEP08(2018)088

expressions of the form $\sum_A h_A Q_A$ where $h_A \in \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$. We can organize the ideal, as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, according to how many Q's they contain if we restrict the coefficients h_A to be without Q's, in other words to belong to the quotient space $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$. Elements of degree k containing a single Q, for example, are of the form

$$\sum_A l_A Q_A \tag{6.15}$$

where l_A is in $\mathcal{L}(k-2,d,n)$, the space of LWPs of degree $k-2$. Consequently, a subspace of $\mathcal{I}(k,d,n)$ is

$$\mathcal{L}(k-2,d,n) \otimes V_Q \tag{6.16}$$

At this point, we use the identity (6.7), derived with the help of the confluent binomial transform, to decompose $\mathcal{I}(k,d,n)$ as

$$\mathcal{I}(k,d,n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{L}(k-2i,d,n) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^i(V_Q) \tag{6.17}$$

This decomposition gives a way of constructing the space of LWPs, recursively in k. Start with $k=2$.

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH}) = V_Q \oplus \mathcal{L}(2,d,n) \tag{6.18}$$

From this we read off the fact that the ideal $\mathcal{I}(2,d,n)$ is V_Q and $\mathcal{L}(2,d,n)$ is the complement to V_Q. With an appropriate inner product, to be discussed in the next section, this will be an *orthogonal* complement. Now use (6.17) to write

$$\mathcal{I}(4,d,n) = (\mathcal{L}(2,d,n) \otimes V_Q) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_Q) \tag{6.19}$$

We know $\mathcal{L}(2,d,n)$ from the first step so we can construct this. We then we take the orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{I}(4,d,n)$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^4(V_{dH})$ to obtain $\mathcal{L}(4,d,n)$. We then repeat the process: from (6.17) we can construct $\mathcal{I}(6,d,n)$ using

$$\mathcal{I}(6,d,n) = (\mathcal{L}(4,d,n) \otimes V_Q) \oplus (\mathcal{L}(2,d,n) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_Q) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^3(V_Q)) \tag{6.20}$$

Now take the orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{I}(6,d,n)$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^6(V_{dH})$ and we get $\mathcal{L}(6,d,n)$. A similar construction starting from $\mathcal{L}(1,d,n) = V_{dH}$ will give a recursive construction for all the odd k cases.

Much as the exact sequences of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ are related to exact sequences of modules over $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$, the above equations decomposing the polynomials in X_μ^A of each degree k, can be collected into a statement about the ring $\mathcal{R}$. The quadratic Q_A's span the vector space V_Q. The symmetric algebra of V_Q, denoted by $\mathrm{Sym}(V_Q)$ is the direct sum of symmetrised tensor products of all degrees

$$\mathrm{Sym}(V_Q) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Sym}^k_{\mathbb{C}}(V_Q) \tag{6.21}$$

The degree 0 part is defined as $\mathbb{C}$. The above decompositions of $\mathcal{R}$ at each degree are captured by

$$\mathcal{R}(d,n) = \mathcal{R}(d,n)/\mathcal{I}(d,n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_Q) \tag{6.22}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

which indeed follows from the fact that $\mathcal{I}$ is generated by quadratic constraints spanning V_Q. The subscript $\mathbb{C}$ indicates that we are tensoring over the base field, not over the ring.

6.2 Implementing the construction using the natural inner product

In the last section we have described an algorithm for the construction of the polynomials that correspond to primary operators. The algorithm works by recursively proceeding in degree, using orthogonality to construct the higher degree spaces from the lower degree ones. The only missing ingredient in the algorithm was the question of which inner product should be used. In this section we will fill this hole and give a detailed account of the algorithms that have been implemented using Mathematica.

Recall that the LWPs are both translation invariant and harmonic. The requirement of translation invariance is the primary constraint, written using the differential operator realization of the conformal group which sets $K_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$. The condition that the polynomials are harmonic follows from the equation of motion for the free scalar. The irrep V_+ corresponding to states of the free scalar field, is the space spanned by harmonic and translation invariant polynomials in x_μ. To deal with polynomials that correspond to primaries built using a product of n scalar fields, we replace $x_\mu \to x_\mu^I$ with $I = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. The LWPs are now given by the solution to a system of differential equations involving COM conditions (d equations) and the Laplacian conditions (n equations).

Our construction makes use of a natural inner product on polynomials in x_μ, defined by

$$< x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_k}, x_{\nu_1} \cdots x_{\nu_k} >= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \delta_{\mu_1,\nu_{\sigma(1)}} \delta_{\mu_2,\nu_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots \delta_{\mu_k,\nu_{\sigma(k)}} \tag{6.23}$$

Polynomials of different degree are orthogonal. There is an obvious extension to polynomials in the multi-particle system, i.e. polynomials of degree k in x_μ^I as follows

$$< x_{\mu_1}^{I_1} \cdots x_{\mu_k}^{I_k}, x_{\nu_1}^{J_1} \cdots x_{\nu_k}^{J_k} >= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \delta_{\mu_1,\nu_{\sigma(1)}} \delta^{I_1 J_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \delta_{\mu_k,\nu_{\sigma(k)}} \delta^{I_k J_{\sigma(k)}} \tag{6.24}$$

The construction starts by recognizing that harmonic polynomials can be obtained as an orthogonal subspace, with orthogonality given by the above inner product. To make the argument, start by noting that polynomials of a fixed degree k in x_μ correspond to symmetric tensors of degree k. The symmetric tensors span the space

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_d) \tag{6.25}$$

We will now argue that symmetric tensors with non-vanishing trace form a vector subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_d)$ which is orthogonal to the traceless tensors. The traceless tensors correspond to harmonic polynomials, which establishes the result.

For simplicity, start with the single particle case. Consider the differential operator $\sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^d x_\mu x_\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\nu \partial x_\nu}$. Let it act on all polynomials of fixed degree — it is a linear operator on this space. This linear operator is hermitian with respect to the natural inner product introduced above and hence it is diagonalisable. The harmonic polynomials are the null eigenvectors, while the traceful eigenvectors belong to the non-zero eigenspace. Since the

JHEP08(2018)088

linear operator is hermitian eigenstates of different eigenvalue are orthogonal with respect to the inner product introduced above. This proves that, for the single particle case, the traceless tensors form an orthogonal subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_d)$.

The single particle argument is easily generalized: the polynomials in x^I_μ which are annihilated by all n Laplacians must be orthogonal to any polynomial that is not annihilated by one or more Laplacians. The hermitian linear operator that plays a role in the multi-particle case is the sum of the single particle operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \sum_{I=1}^{n} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{d} x^I_\mu x^I_\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^I_\nu \partial x^I_\nu} \tag{6.26}$$

Anything harmonic in all the x^I_μ is in the null space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Any eigenvector not annihilated by all the Laplacians belongs to a non-zero eigenspace of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Since eigenfunctions with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal this proves that the multi-harmonic polynomials are orthogonal to the polynomials which are not multi-harmonic.

We still need to consider the K_μ conditions which we have named the COM conditions above. A polynomial of degree k in the x^I_μ is an element of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_d \otimes V_{\mathrm{nat}})$. We will first outline the argument for the simplest case of $d = 1$. Start from the observation that $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{\mathrm{nat}}) = \mathrm{Sym}^k(V_0 \oplus V_H)$ which implies the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{\mathrm{nat}}) = \mathrm{Sym}^k(V_0 \oplus V_H) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \mathrm{Sym}^l(V_0) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{(k-l)}(V_H) \tag{6.27}$$

Since the natural inner product is S_n invariant, this decomposition is orthogonal with respect the natural inner product

$$< x^I, x^J >= \delta^{IJ} \tag{6.28}$$

The $l = 0$ subspace is annihilated by the COM differential operator. Thus, polynomials that obey the center of mass condition again form an orthogonal subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_1)$. A second approach to demonstrate the same fact, makes use of the operator

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \sum_{I,J=1}^{n} x^I \frac{\partial}{\partial x^J} \tag{6.29}$$

which is hermitian with respect to the natural inner product. The translation invariant polynomials belong to the null space of $\mathcal{O}_1$, while the non-translation invariant eigenvectors are not annihilated by $\mathcal{O}_1$ and hence belong to a non-zero eigenspace of $\mathcal{O}_1$. Since eigenfunctions of a hermitian operator with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal we arrive at our earlier conclusion that polynomials obeying the center of mass condition again form an orthogonal subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_1)$. As for the Laplacian discussion above, we can generalize this discussion from $d = 1$ to general d. For general d we consider

$$\mathcal{O}_{cm} = \sum_{I,J=1}^{n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} x^I_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^J_\mu} \tag{6.30}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

The null space of $\mathcal{O}_{cm}$ are polynomials invariant under simultaneous translation $x^I_\mu \to x^I_\mu + a_\mu$. Anything not annihilated by $\mathcal{O}_{cm}$ belongs to a non-zero eigenspace of $\mathcal{O}_{cm}$. Since eigenfunctions with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal this proves that the translation invariant polynomials are an orthogonal subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_d \otimes V_{\rm nat})$ for any d.

We are now ready to describe our construction algorithm. The space of polynomials of fixed degree can be decomposed, with respect to the hermitian operators $\mathcal{O}_{cm}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ in terms of null and positive eigenvalues as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(k) &= (\mathcal{R}(k))^{cm}_0 \oplus (\mathcal{R}(k))^{cm}_+ \\ \mathcal{R}(k) &= (\mathcal{R}(k))^{\mathcal{L}}_0 \oplus (\mathcal{R}(k))^{\mathcal{L}}_+ \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

This decomposition is orthogonal with respect to the natural inner product. The LWPs are in

$$(\mathcal{R}(k))^{cm}_0 \cap (\mathcal{R}(k))^{\mathcal{L}}_0 \tag{6.32}$$

In the form just described, it is straight forward to produce a Mathematica implementation of the construction algorithm that gives the full set of LWPs. Run times increase as the degree k is increased.

An alternative construction algorithm that exploits more of the S_n structure of the problem, starts by considering polynomials in the hook variables X^A_μ, $A = 1, \cdots, n-1$. The advantage is that these polynomials already satisfy the COM condition, so we only need to impose (2.42) and (2.43). Again, by using Mathematica to pick up the subspace orthogonal to (2.42) and (2.43) we have also implemented this alternative construction algorithm. The above discussion implies that the space of degree k polynomials can be decomposed as

$$p^{(k)} = p^{(k)}_h + Q_A p^{(k-2)}_{h,A} + Q_A Q_B p^{(k-4)}_{h,AB} + \cdots \tag{6.33}$$

$p^{(k)}_h, p^{(k)}_{h,A}, \cdots$ are all polynomials of degree k which are annihilated by the Laplacians $\Box_A$ defined in (2.49). In the expansion only the first term $p^{(k)}_h$ is in $(\mathcal{R}(k))^{\mathcal{L}}_0$. The remaining terms belong to $(\mathcal{R}(k))^{\mathcal{L}}_+$. In the expansion of $p^{(k)}$, the leading term $p^{(k)}_h$ is orthogonal to all of the subsequent terms.

6.3 Commutative star product on lowest weight polynomials

As we saw in section 2 the lowest weight polynomials are in 1-1 correspondence with a quotient ring, which has an associative product inherited from the quotient construction. Since the Laplacian constraints obeyed by the polynomials of the ring are second order differential operators, given two polynomials that obey the Laplacian constraints, the product of the two will, in general, fail to. Using (6.33) we will show there exists a suitable commutative star product so that given two polynomials that obey the Laplacian constraints, the star product of the two also obeys the constraints.

The components in the decomposition (6.33) can be organized by the grading defined by counting the number of Qs. $Q_A p^{(k-2)}_{h,A}$ is degree 1, $Q_A Q_B p^{(k-4)}_{h,AB}$ degree 2 and so on. Polynomials obeying the Laplacian constraints are degree zero. The key idea behind the star product is that the degree just defined is additive: if polynomial f_1 is of degree k_1 and

JHEP08(2018)088

f_2 is of degree k_2 then the product $f_1 f_2$ is of degree k with $k \geq k_1 + k_2$. This is almost obvious: consider the product of a degree k_1 and degree k_2 term

$$Q_{A_1} \cdots Q_{A_{k_1}} p^{(q_1)}_{h,A_1 \cdots A_{k_1}} Q_{B_1} \cdots Q_{B_{k_2}} p^{(q_2)}_{h,B_1 \cdots B_{k_2}} \tag{6.34}$$

As we explained above, the product $p^{(q_1)}_{h,A_1 \cdots A_{k_1}} p^{(q_2)}_{h,B_1 \cdots B_{k_2}}$ will not in general obey the Laplacian constraints. Consequently we can again use the decomposition (6.33) to write

$$p^{(q_1)}_{h,A_1 \cdots A_{k_1}} p^{(q_2)}_{h,B_1 \cdots B_{k_2}} = p^{(q_1+q_2)}_{h,A_1 \cdots A_{k_1} B_1 \cdots B_{k_2}} + Q_C p^{(q_1+q_2-2)}_{h,A_1 \cdots A_{k_1} B_1 \cdots B_{k_2} C} + \cdots \tag{6.35}$$

Inserting this back into (6.34) proves the result.

With this observation, we can define the star product. Using (6.33) decompose the product of two polynomials, which each obey the Laplacian constraints

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k_1)}_h g^{(k_2)}_h &= (fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h + Q_C (fg)^{k_1+k_2-2}_{h,C} + \cdots \\ &\quad + Q_{C_1} \cdots Q_{C_m} (fg)^{(k_1+k_2-2m)}_{C_1 \cdots C_m} \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

The star product we want is

$$f^{(k_1)}_h * g^{(k_2)}_h = (fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h \tag{6.37}$$

Only the degree zero term survives because all higher degree terms are set to zero by the ideal of the ring.

We will now argue that this star product is associative. Recall that the usual product on polynomials is associative

$$f^{(k_1)}_h (g^{(k_2)}_h h^{(k_3)}_h) = (f^{(k_1)}_h g^{(k_2)}_h) h^{(k_3)}_h \tag{6.38}$$

Refining both sides of this last equation according to degree, we can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{(k_1)}_h \left((gh)^{(k_2+k_3)}_h + Q_A (gh)^{(k_2+k_3-2)}_{h,A} + \dots \right) \\ &\quad = ((fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h + Q_A (fg)^{(k_1+k_2-2)}_{h,A} + \dots) h^{(k_3)}_h \\ & (f(gh)^{(k_2+k_3)}_h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)}_h + Q_A (f(gh)^{(k_2+k_3)}_h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3-2)}_{h,A} + \dots \\ &\quad = ((fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)}_h + Q_A ((fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3-2)}_{h,A} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

Equating degree zero pieces on the two sides we have

$$(f(gh)^{(k_2+k_3)}_h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)}_h = ((fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)}_h \tag{6.40}$$

From our definition of the star product we have

$$f * (g * h) = f * (gh)^{(k_2+k_3)}_h = (f(gh)^{(k_2+k_3)}_h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)}_h \tag{6.41}$$

$$(f * g) * h = (fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h * h = ((fg)^{(k_1+k_2)}_h h)^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)}_h \tag{6.42}$$

It is now evident that

$$f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h \tag{6.43}$$

demonstrating that the star product is indeed associative.

It is reasonable to expect (based on the study of special instances of n, d) that this associative product can be expressed in terms of the ordinary product fg, corrected by products of the form $\mathcal{O}(f)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(g)$ where $\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are appropriate differential operators. Finding the explicit form of these operators in generality would be an interesting exercise for the future.

JHEP08(2018)088

7 Further construction methods for lowest weight polynomials

The construction algorithm we gave in the previous section has a recursive nature, and produces all the LWPs of degree up to any chosen maximum k. At each k, it uses orthogonality to elements written in terms of the LWPs at lower k. A second, more direct, algorithm works at fixed k, and implements the differential equations defining LWPs. A third algorithm works with projectors, and is based on analogies between the construction of LWPs and that of constructing symmetric traceless tensors. All of these algorithms have been tested in Mathematica. We give a brief discussion of algebraic geometry methods for constructing the quotient ring at hand.

7.1 Intersection of kernels of two differential operators

In this section we will outline a closely related but distinct construction algorithm. This new algorithm uses the fact that, as we explained earlier, the space of LWPs can be identified as the common null space of a set of differential operators. Here we will consider degree preserving version of the differential operators, and by using positive semi-definiteness properties, reduce the problem to that of finding the simultaneous null space of two differential operators. This last step is implemented in Mathematica.

Consider the space of polynomials of degree k in the dn variables x^I_μ where $1 \le I \le n$. The degree k is a sum of degrees of $k = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n$, where k_I is the degree in the I'th variable. A general polynomial with specified degrees $(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\vec{k}}_{\vec{\mu}} &= X_{\mu_{11},\mu_{12},\cdots,\mu_{1k_1};\mu_{21},\mu_{22},\cdots,\mu_{2k_2};\cdots;\mu_{n1},\mu_{n2},\cdots,\mu_{nk_n}} \\ &= x^1_{\mu_{11}} \cdots x^1_{\mu_{1k_1}} x^2_{\mu_{21}} \cdots x^2_{\mu_{2k_2}} \cdots x^n_{\mu_{n1}} \cdots x^n_{\mu_{nk_n}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

All the μ indices take values in the range $1 \le \mu \le d$. X is symmetric in the first k_1 indices, the next k_2 indices, etc. The number of independent X's is

$$\prod_{I=1}^{n} \frac{(d+k_I-1)!}{k_I!(d-1)!} \tag{7.2}$$

We will be considering the vector space of the X's, for all $\vec{k}$ satisfying $\sum_I k_I = k$. This is equivalently a sum over partitions of k with up to n parts (since some of the k_I could be zero). This vector space, denoted $\mathcal{W}_{k;n,d}$, has dimension

$$\mathrm{Dim}(\mathcal{W}_{k;n,d}) = \sum_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n=0}^{k} \delta(k, k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_n) \prod_{I=1}^{n} \frac{(d+k_I-1)!}{k_I!(d-1)!} \tag{7.3}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{k;n,d} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1,k_2\cdots,k_n=0 \\ \sum_I k_I = k}}^{k} \mathrm{Sym}^{k_1}(V_d) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{k_2}(V_d) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{k_n}(V_d) \tag{7.4}$$

On this subspace we have the linear operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{(I)}_{\mathcal{L}} = (x^I)^2 \Box_{(I)} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{d} x^I_\alpha x^I_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^I_\beta \partial x^I_\beta} \tag{7.5}$$

which are degree preserving versions of the Laplacians.

JHEP08(2018)088

Consider the sum of these n operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}^{(I)} \tag{7.6}$$

The null space of this operator obeys all the Laplacian conditions. The different Laplacian operators are commuting operators and they are all positive semi-definite operators, i.e. they all have eigenvalues which are non-negative. Consequently, the vanishing of the sum guarantees the vanishing of the summands. Thus, polynomials in the null space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ are harmonic.

For each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$, we have a centre of mass operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^{CM}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^I} \tag{7.7}$$

Polynomial functions of $\{x_\alpha^I : 1 \leq I \leq n\}$ can be factored into sums of centre of mass-dependent functions times functions of the differences. The degree-preserving COM operator

$$\sum_\alpha x_\alpha^{CM} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^{CM}} = \sum_\alpha \sum_{I,J=1}^{n} x_\alpha^I \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^J} \tag{7.8}$$

is positive semi-definite. Any operator of fixed degree, annihilated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^{CM}}$ is also annihilated by $x_\alpha^{CM} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^{CM}}$. Therefore the following sum

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{CM}} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} x_\alpha^{CM} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^{CM}} \\ &= \sum_{I,J=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} x_\alpha^I \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^J} \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

has the property that its null space is the simultaneous null space of all the d COM operators.

The null space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{CM}}$ obeys the lowest weight condition. We build the combined operator

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{CM}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.10}$$

$\mathcal{O}$ is an operator in $End(\mathcal{W}_{k;n,d})$. The null space of this operator is the space of lowest weight polynomials of degree k. We have implemented this algorithm in Mathematica and have checked that the dimension of the null space of $\mathcal{O}$ does indeed agree with the number of LWPs.

7.2 Constraints and projectors on $V_{dH} \otimes V_{dH}$

An interesting algebraic angle on the primaries problem is that in some sense it is a generalization of the problem of finding symmetric traceless tensors of SO(d). Nice bases for

JHEP08(2018)088

these tensors can be constructed using Young diagram techniques for SO groups. These symmetric traceless tensors are annihilated by contraction operators. The contraction tensors form part of a Brauer algebra, so the symmetric traceless tensors of rank k for $so(d)$ are related to irreps of a Brauer algebra. This follows from the fact that the commutant of $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ in $V_d^{\otimes k}$, where V_d is the fundamental of $so(d)$, is the Brauer algebra. In the problem of symmetric tensors we are trying to find tensors

$$T_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\cdots,\mu_k} \tag{7.11}$$

such that

$$T_{\mu,\mu,\mu_3,\cdots,\mu_k} = 0 \tag{7.12}$$

Note that, because T is symmetric, we can move the paired indices to any slot. Another way to phrase this is by considering the contraction operator

$$C_{12}T_{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_k} = \delta_{\mu_1,\mu_2}T_{\mu,\mu,\mu_3,\cdots,\mu_k} = 0 \tag{7.13}$$

The contraction operator is a projector from $V_d \otimes V_d$ to the trivial rep of $so(d)$.

In the present case, there is a natural generalized symmetric tensor in the game

$$T^{A_1,A_2,\cdots,A_k}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\cdots,\mu_k} \leftrightarrow X^{A_1}_{\mu_1}X^{A_2}_{\mu_2}\cdots X^{A_k}_{\mu_k} \tag{7.14}$$

The symmetry is the S_k group of permutations of the pairs (μ, A). To start it is constructive to consider the $k = 2$ case. Define

$$V_{dH} = V_d \otimes V_H \tag{7.15}$$

We are looking at the subspace of

$$V_{dH}^{\otimes 2} \tag{7.16}$$

which is invariant under the S_2 permutation of the two factors, i.e. we are looking at

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH}) \tag{7.17}$$

Now $V_d \otimes V_d$ contains a symmetric rank 2 tensor, an anti-symmetric rank two tensor and a trace (invariant) of $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ all with multiplicity 1. The product $V_H \otimes V_H$ decomposes under the diagonal S_n as

$$V_H \otimes V_H = V_0 \oplus V_H \oplus V_{[n-2,2]} \oplus V_{[n-2,1,1]} \tag{7.18}$$

The symmetric part contains the first three spaces in the direct sum

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_H \otimes V_H) = V_0 \oplus V_H \oplus V_{[n-2,2]} \tag{7.19}$$

The constraints tell us that the projection to $(V_0^{(S_n)} \oplus V_H^{(S_n)}) \otimes V_0^{\mathrm{SO}(d)}$ inside $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH})$ vanishes. So we are looking at vectors

$$v \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_{dH}) \tag{7.20}$$

which obey

$$(P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))}(P_0^{(S_n)} + P_H^{(S_n)}))\ \ v = 0 \tag{7.21}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

Let us define

$$P^{\mathcal{L}} = (P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))}(P_0^{(S_n)} + P_H^{(S_n)})) \tag{7.22}$$

The operator $P^{\mathcal{L}}$ is a projector obeying $(P^{\mathcal{L}})^2 = P^{\mathcal{L}}$ which follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))})^2 &= P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))} \\
(P_0^{(S_n)})^2 &= P_0^{(S_n)} \\
(P_H^{(S_n)})^2 &= P_H^{(S_n)} \\
P_0^{(S_n)} P_H^{(S_n)} &= 0 \\
P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))} P_0^{(S_n)} &= P_0^{(S_n)} P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))} \\
P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))} P_H^{(S_n)} &= P_H^{(S_n)} P_0^{(\mathrm{SO}(d))}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

This is a projector $P^{\mathcal{L}}$ which acts on pairwise slots. It is the analog of the contraction tensor of the Brauer algebra. Combine the μ, A indices into a composite index M. We are considering symmetric tensors

$$T_{M_1,\cdots,M_k} \tag{7.24}$$

that are annihilated by $P^{\mathcal{L}}$

$$P^{\mathcal{L}}_{12} T_{M_1,M_2,\cdots,M_k} = P^{N_1,N_2}_{M_1M_2} T_{N_1,N_2,M_3,\cdots,M_k} = 0 \tag{7.25}$$

We have a very concrete formula for κ_{ABC} and hence for P

$$(P)^{\nu_1,B_1;\nu_2,B_2}_{\mu_1,A_1,\mu_2,A_2} = \sum_{A=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\mu_1,\mu_2}\delta_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\kappa_{A,A_1,A_2}\kappa_{A,B_1,B_2} \tag{7.26}$$

The symmetry of T then also implies

$$P^{N_1,N_2}_{M_1M_2} T_{N_1,M_3,N_2,\cdots,M_k} = 0 \tag{7.27}$$

and so on for any pair. We will now argue that to find a linear basis at degree k in the ring of LWPs, we have to consider symmetric tensors T obeying equation (7.25).

Use the inner product for polynomials in x^I_μ used before. This induces an inner product of the same form on X^A_μ. For operator

$$\Box_A = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \sum_{B,C=1}^{n-1} \kappa_{ABC} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^B_\mu \partial X^C_\mu} \tag{7.28}$$

consider

$$(\Box_A)^\dagger \Box_A = \sum_{B,C,D,E=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{d} X^D_\nu X^E_\nu \kappa_{ADE}\kappa_{ABC} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^B_\mu \partial X^C_\mu} \tag{7.29}$$

This is a positive semi-definite operator. Any eigenvector v of eigenvalue λ has the property

$$(v, \Box_A^\dagger \Box_A v) = \lambda(v,v) = (\Box_A v, \Box_A v) \geq 0 \tag{7.30}$$

so $\lambda \geq 0$. Hence being in the simultaneous null spaces is equivalent to being in the null space of

$$\sum_{A=0}^{n-1} (\Box_A)^\dagger \Box_A \tag{7.31}$$

Symmetric tensors in this null space are equivalently in the null space of $P^{\mathcal{L}}_{12}$.

JHEP08(2018)088

7.3 Standard algebraic geometry methods for $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$

As explained in section 2, the LWPs are in 1-1 correspondence with the elements of $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$. The quotient ring is defined in terms of equivalence classes. Each equivalence class contains an LWP. There are standard algebraic geometry methods, based on Groebner bases, for the construction of the equivalence classes. The Groebner bases rely on choosing certain orderings on monomials [6]. To pick the LWPs within each equivalence class would probably be a non-trivial additional step. The polynomials Q_A are polynomials with integer coefficients, so another approach to the quotient ring may be to use an analog of Groebner bases which works for rings defined over integers (see e.g. [12]). If we are interested in the effective action problem [3], it is only the quotient ring which is of interest. If we are interested in constructing primary fields in CFT, the specific LWPs are important. It will be interesting to investigate the efficacy of the different algorithms given here, relative to the algebraic geometry methods, from the point of view of primary fields as well as from the point of view of effective actions.

8 Discussion and future directions

We have considered the problem of constructing primary fields in free scalar CFTs in general dimensions, combining insights from [1, 2, 4] and [3]. This has been a fruitful avenue, with the key results described in the introduction and developed in the bulk of the paper.

A number of future projects are suggested by our results. We have given a number of Mathematica constructions of lowest weight polynomials. These codes are available upon request.

It would be interesting to compare the efficiency of the different algorithms discussed in sections 6 and 7. Extending the present work to fermions and gauge fields is a worthwhile avenue. For the holomorphic sector of primaries in the free fermion theory see [13].

To get primary fields in the CFT, we have to project to S_n invariants. The Hilbert series of the S_n invariants is easy to write in terms of those for the LWPs (see [4]). A complete description of the ring structure for S_n invariants in $d = 3, 4$ is a worthwhile goal in the short term.

It would be interesting to investigate for more general rings, the connection between resolution and construction we have given in section 6. In the present case all the constraints are quadratic. There should be closely related generalizations when the constraints are each homogeneous but of different degrees.

8.1 Further developing the analogy to tracelessness: a generalization of Brauer algebras

In section 7.2 we developed an approach to the construction of LWPs, based on projectors acting on degree k polynomials in X^A_μ. These polynomials form a vector space isomorphic to the space of symmetric tensors $\mathrm{Sym}^k(V_{dH})$. It is useful to consider the tensor product $V_{dH}^{\otimes k}$ where we have the projectors $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{L}}_{ij}$ acting on the slots labeled i, j, and subsequently projecting to the S_k symmetric part. This is analogous to the problem of constructing symmetric

JHEP08(2018)088

traceless tensors in $V_d^{\otimes k}$. In this case there are finite algebras, the Brauer algebras $D_k(d)$ the commutant of $o(d)$ in $V_d^{\otimes k}$, which give a representation theory meaning to this construction. For the representation theory of $D_k(d)$, see for example [14]. In the present case, we have an analog of the Brauer algebra, namely the algebra generated by $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{L}}_{ij} \subset End(V_{dH}^{\otimes k})$ along with permutations in S_k. Let us call this algebra $\mathcal{A}(k,d,n)$. It is plausible that the LWPs form irreducible reps of this algebra. It would be interesting to investigate this conjecture.

As explained in [4], part of the motivation for studying free field primaries comes from the goal of finding a uniform framework of algebraic structures, based on two dimensional topological field theory (TFT2), for understanding both the space-time dependence and the combinatoric structure of correlators in $N = 4$ SYM. The combinatorics of the half-BPS sector is controlled by a Frobenius algebra (TFT2) which is the space of conjugacy classes of symmetric groups S_n for all n, resulting in useful Young diagram bases for AdS/CFT [15]. For the quarter BPS sector at zero coupling, we have an algebra which is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}(S_{n+m})$ invariant under conjugation by $S_n \times S_m$ [16–18]. This algebra arises as a way to describe nice bases for the ring of polynomial gauge invariant functions of two matrices Y, Z, which are orthogonal under free field inner products [19, 20]. Analogous constructions based on Brauer algebras provide an alternative approach to these orthogonal bases [21]. Generalizing these constructions to matrix systems forming representations of general symmetry groups led to an initial foray into the problem of constructing primary fields [22, 23].

The theme of finite dimensional algebras controlling questions about infinite dimensional representations of the conformal group has been a recurring theme. Developing the algebraic approach to LWPs based on representations of $\mathcal{A}(k,d,n)$ would be a concrete manifestation of the unity between algebraic structure for combinatorics and space-time dependence of correlators of gauge invariant observables.

8.2 Quadratic algebras and Koszul algebras

We have shown that the LWPs are in 1-1 correspondence with the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[X_\mu^A]$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is generated by (2.44). This is an example of a quadratic algebra. These are defined by quotients of the tensor algebra $T(\mathbb{V})$ of a vector space $\mathbb{V}$, determined by a quadratic form $R \subset \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V}$ [24]. In the present case, $\mathbb{V} = V_{dH}$ (let us use basis vectors e_μ^A) and R is spanned by

$$e_\mu^A \otimes e_\nu^B - e_\nu^B \otimes e_\mu^A,$$

$$\sum_\mu \sum_{B,C} \kappa_{ABC} e_\mu^B \otimes e_\mu^C \tag{8.1}$$

The quotient is $T(\mathbb{V})/ < R >$. For the explicit definition of $< R >$ see Chapter 4 of [9]. It involves tensoring with arbitrary tensor powers of $\mathbb{V}$ on the left and right. The first line above ensures that we project from the tensor algebra to the symmetric algebra. Equivalently we go from generators of free algebras to commuting generators X_μ^A. Every quadratic algebra has a dual quadratic algebra defined by $R^\perp \subset V^* \otimes V^*$. In the present case, we can work out that

$$R = \Lambda^2(V_{dH}) \quad \oplus \quad \mathrm{Invt}_{so(d)}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_d)) \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_H))_{[n]+[n-1,1]}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

$$R^{\perp} = \Lambda^2(V_d^*) \otimes \Lambda^2(V_H^*) \quad \oplus \quad (\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_d^*))' \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_H^*) \\ \oplus \quad (\mathrm{Invt}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_d^*)) \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_H^*))_{[n-2,2]} \tag{8.2}$$

The details are not important. An important observation is that $T(\mathbb{V})/ < R >$ is a commutative algebra due to the presence of $\Lambda^2(V_{dH})$ as a direct summand in R, while $T(\mathbb{V})/ < R^{\perp} >$ is not commutative due to the lack of such a direct summand.

A special class of quadratic algebras are said to be Koszul, which happens when the algebras form part of certain exact resolutions of the base field (see [9, 24]). Koszul algebras have a property of Koszul duality whereby the quadratic algebra and its dual quadratic algebra have equivalent derived categories of modules (see [25] and references therein). An interesting question is whether $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ is Koszul. If it is, this will be much more than a mathematical curiosity. It is plausible that modules of $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ control the properties of primary fields for fermions, gauge fields and higher spin fields. This is expected by analogy to non-commutative geometry where the configuration space of a scalar field becomes a non-commutative algebra and the configuration spaces of non-trivial fields becomes modules over the algebra [26]. Thus if the Koszul property holds in the present case, the physics of $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ may be equivalent to the physics of the Koszul dual algebra. This would indicate there might exist a hidden non-commutative reformulation of ordinary quantum field theory!

So is $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ a Koszul algebra? Deformations of this algebra of lowest weight primaries, where the coefficients κ_{ABC} are modified so that they satisfy a genericity condition, are Koszul [27]. A useful fact (Example 2 following Corollary 6.3 in [24]) is that $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/ < q_1, \cdots, q_r >$ is Koszul if $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_r$ form a regular sequence of quadrics. Applying this to our case, the question is whether the $\{Q_0, Q_1, \cdots, Q_{n-1}\}$ (without deformation to reach the genericity condition of [27]) form a regular sequence. We leave this as a question for the future.

8.3 Future direction: coherence relations between two products

We showed in [4] that the OPE in free scalar theory can be used to define a commutative $so(4,2)$ covariant algebra with a non-degenerate bilinear pairing. The crossing equation of CFT becomes ordinary associativity of the algebra. Here we have seen that there is an algebra controlling primary fields for every n. The interplay between the commutative algebra coming from the OPE and the algebra studied here is an interesting question for the future.

Acknowledgments

This work of RdMK is supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation as well as funds received from the National Institute for Theoretical Physics (NITheP). SR is supported by the STFC consolidated grant ST/L000415/1 "String Theory, Gauge Theory & Duality" and a Visiting Professorship at the University of the Witwatersrand, funded by a Simons Foundation grant held at the Mandelstam Institute for Theoretical Physics. SR thanks the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality and the INFN for partial support during the completion of this work.

JHEP08(2018)088

A The invariant in $V_H \otimes V_H \otimes V_H$

In this section we will give the derivation of (2.32). Inserting the explicit expressions for the S_{AI} we have

$$\sum_I S_{CI}S_{BI}S_{AI} = \mathcal{N}_A\mathcal{N}_B\mathcal{N}_C \sum_I \left(-C\ \delta_{I,C+1} + \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \delta_{J_1,I}\right) \times \left(-B\ \delta_{I,B+1} + \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \delta_{J_2,I}\right)\left(-A\ \delta_{I,A+1} + \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_3,I}\right) \tag{A.1}$$

Expanding the brackets out there are 8 terms. Call them $T_1, T_2, \cdots T_8$. We will deal with each term separately in what follows.

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= -ABC \sum_I \delta_{I,C+1}\delta_{I,B+1}\delta_{I,A+1} \\ &= -ABC\delta_{AB}\delta_{BC} \\ &= -ABC\delta_{A,B,C} \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= BC \sum_{I=1}^{n} \delta_{I,C+1}\delta_{I,B+1} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_3,I} \\ &= BC\delta_{B,C} \sum_{I=1}^{n} \delta_{I,B+1} \sum_{J=1}^{A} \delta_{J,I} \\ &= BC\delta_{B,C} \sum_{I=1}^{A} \delta_{I,B+1} \\ &= BC\delta_{B,C}\Theta(B < A) \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= AC \sum_I \delta_{I,C+1}\delta_{I,A+1} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \delta_{J_2,I} \\ &= AC\delta_{A,C} \sum_{I=1}^{n} \delta_{I,C+1} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \delta_{J_2,I} \\ &= AC\delta_{A,C} \sum_{I=1}^{B-1} \delta_{I,B-C} \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

The last delta function is only non-zero if $B \geq C + 1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(B > C) &= 1 \quad \text{if } B > C \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

We can then write

$$T_3 = AC\delta_{A,C}\Theta(B > C) \tag{A.6}$$

For the fourth term, we have

$$T_4 = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \delta_{I,C+1} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_2,I}\delta_{J_3,I}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

$$= \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_2,C+1}\delta_{J_3,C+1}$$
$$= \Theta(B > C)\Theta(A > C) \tag{A.7}$$

Continuing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_5 &= BA \sum_I \delta_{I,B+1}\delta_{I,A+1} \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \delta_{J_1,I} \\ &= BA\delta_{BA} \sum_I \delta_{I,A+1} \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \delta_{J_1,I} \\ &= BA\delta_{B,A}\Theta(A < C) \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_6 &= -B \sum_I \delta_{I,B+1} \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_3,I}\delta_{J_1,I} \\ &= -\sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_3,B+1}\delta_{J_1,B+1} \\ &= -B\Theta(B < C)\Theta(B < A) \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_7 &= -A \sum_I \delta_{I,A+1} \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \delta_{J_1,I}\delta_{J_2,I} \\ &= -A \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \delta_{J_1,A+1}\delta_{J_2,A+1} \\ &= -A\Theta(A < C)\Theta(A < B) \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_8 &= \sum_I \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_1,I}\delta_{J_2,I}\delta_{J_3,I} \\ &= \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_1,J_3}\delta_{J_2,J_3} \\ &= \sum_{J_1=1}^{C} \sum_{J_2=1}^{B} \sum_{J_3=1}^{A} \delta_{J_1,J_2,J_3} \\ &= \text{Min}\ (A, B, C) \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Summing these terms gives (2.32).

A.1 The κ polynomial

The 3-index invariant κ_{ABC} can be used to define a symmetric polynomial in $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{n-1}) &= \sum_{A,B,C} \kappa_{A,B,C} z_A z_B z_C \\ &= -\sum_A A^3 z_A^3 + \sum_{A>B} B^2 z_A z_B^2 + \sum_{C>A} A^2 z_A^2 z_C + \sum_{A<B} A^2 z_A^2 z_B - \sum_{C<A;C<B} C z_A z_B z_C \end{aligned}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

$$- \sum_{B<C;B<A} B z_A z_B z_C - \sum_{A<B;A<C} A z_A z_B z_C + \sum_{A,B,C} Min(A,B,C) z_A z_B z_C \quad (A.12)$$
$$= -\sum_A A^3 z_A^3 + 3\sum_{A<B} A^2 z_A^2 z_B - 3\sum_{A<B,A<C} A z_A z_B z_C + \sum_{A,B,C} Min(A,B,C) z_A z_B z_C$$

We used a renaming of summation variables to get the last line. The third term can be manipulated by separating into the case $B = C$, the case $A < B < C$ and the case $A < C < B$ to give

$$-3\sum_{A<B,A<C} A z_A z_B z_C = -3\sum_{A<B} A z_A z_B^2 - 3\sum_{A<B<C} A z_A z_B z_C - 3\sum_{A<C<B} A z_A z_B z_C$$
$$= -3\sum_{A<B} A z_A z_B^2 - 6\sum_{A<B<C} A z_A z_B z_C \quad (A.13)$$

The last term can be separated into the cases $A = B = C$, the case where two are equal and smaller than the third, and the case where two are equal and larger than the third, and the case where all are different

$$\sum_{A,B,C} Min(z_A, z_B, z_C) z_A z_B z_C = \sum_A A z_A^3 + 3\sum_{A<B} A z_A^2 z_B + 3\sum_{A<B} A z_A z_B^2 + 6\sum_{A<B<C} A z_A z_B z_C \quad (A.14)$$

Collecting all the terms leads to some cancellations and simplifications:

$$\kappa(z_A) = \sum_A A(1-A^2) z_A^3 + \sum_{A<B} 3A(1+A) z_A^2 z_B \quad (A.15)$$

In particular there are no terms where all the indices are different.

Consider now the polynomial $\kappa_A(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{n-1})$

$$\kappa_A(z) = \sum_{B,C} \kappa_{ABC} z_B z_C \quad (A.16)$$

Consider

$$\frac{\partial \kappa(z)}{\partial z_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} \sum_{A,B,C} \kappa_{ABC} z_A z_B z_C$$
$$= 3\sum_{B,C} \kappa_{aBC} z_B z_C = 3\kappa_a(z) \quad (A.17)$$

So we find

$$\kappa_a(z) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \kappa(z)}{\partial z_a} \quad (A.18)$$

Now use the result (A.15) to find

$$\kappa_a(z) = a(1-a^2) z_a^2 + \sum_{A<a} A(1+A) z_A^2 + \sum_{a<B} 2a(1+a) z_B z_a \quad (A.19)$$

Rewrite

$$\kappa_A(z) = A(1-A^2) z_A^2 + \sum_{B<A} B(1+B) z_B^2 + \sum_{A<B} 2A(1+A) z_B z_A \quad (A.20)$$

JHEP08(2018)088

Using the above polynomial, we find the explicit form of the constraints to be

For $1 \leq A \leq (n-1)$:

$$A(1-A^2)X_\mu^{(A)}X_\mu^{(A)} + \sum_{B:B>A} 2A(1+A)X_\mu^{(A)}X_\mu^{(B)} + \sum_{B:B<A} B(1+B)X_\mu^{(B)}X_\mu^{(B)} = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{A=1}^{n-1} X_\mu^{(A)}X_\mu^{(A)} = 0 \tag{A.21}$$

B Examples of $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ at low n, d

Recall that V is the representation of $so(4,2)$ that has all the states which correspond, by the operator-state correspondence, to the fundamental field and its derivatives. The unrefined generating function for the fundamental field of $so(4,2)$ is (the factor in front of the trace below removes the contribution from the dimension of the scalar field itself)

$$s^{\frac{2-d}{2}} tr_V(s^D) = \frac{(1-s^2)}{(1-s)^4} = \frac{(1+s)}{(1-s)^3} \tag{B.1}$$

This is exactly the unrefined Hilbert series for the conifold $\mathcal{C}$ (see eq. (4.5) of [28]. This is not an accident: the conifold is the solution set of

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = 0 \tag{B.2}$$

There are 4 generators and one quadratic relation, which matches the $so(4,2)$ problem. In constructing the basic irrep for the free scalar field, we look at states constructed by acting with P_μ on the ground state, and set to zero the equation of motion $P_\mu P_\mu = 0$.

For the case of the $d = 4$, $n = 3$ primaries (skipping the $n = 2$ case where we have null vectors to deal with), we have the unrefined counting function

$$\frac{(1-s^2)^3}{(1-s)^8} \tag{B.3}$$

This answer is intuitive: as we have explained, here we are looking at polynomials in 3 coordinates $x_\mu^1, x_\mu^2, x_\mu^3$. After solving the COM condition we have polynomials in the two coordinates X_μ^1, X_μ^2 which are annihilated by the Laplacian in X^1, the Laplacian in X^2, and by

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_\mu^{(1)} \partial X_\mu^{(2)}} \tag{B.4}$$

This ring should be the ring of polynomials on $\mathcal{C}^2$ subject to the condition

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} Z_\mu^{(1)} Z_\mu^{(2)} = 0 \tag{B.5}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

So the counting of states in this case corresponds to a subvariety in the product of two copies of the conifold.

In $d = 3$ the ring with generators z_1, z_2, z_3 with relation

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 0 \tag{B.6}$$

is the $A1$ singularity. So the $n = 3$ problem is a subvariety of the product of two $A1$ singularity.

It is easy to make further connections between the $so(3,2))$ counting of states in $V^{\otimes n}$ and the algebraic geometry of subvarieties. These connections can be verified using the SAGE computer package. For example, if $n = 4$ we have the following four constraints (we have rescaled $X^{(3)}$ by $\sqrt{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(2)} + X^{(1)} \cdot X'^{(3)} &= 0 \\ X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} - X^{(2)} X^{(2)} + X^{(2)} \cdot X'^{(3)} &= 0 \\ X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} + X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(2)} - 4X'^{(3)} \cdot X'^{(3)} &= 0 \\ X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} + X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(2)} + 2X'^{(3)} \cdot X'^{(3)} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

Setting $d = 3$ we have checked that we obtain the correct Hilbert series, by using the sage commands

```
R.<x1,x2,x3,y1,y2,y3,z1,z2,z3>=PolynomialRing(QQ,9); R
I=Ideal([
x1*y1+x2*y2+x3*y3+x1*z1+x2*z2+x3*z3,
x1^2+x2^2+x3^2-y1^2-y2^2-y3^2+y1*z1+y2*z2+y3*z3,
x1^2+x2^2+x3^2+y1^2+y2^2+y3^2-4*z1^2-4*z2^2-4*z3^2,
x1^2+x2^2+x3^2+y1^2+y2^2+y3^2+(z1^2+z2^2+z3^2)*2])
sage: I.hilbert_series()
```

The Hilbert series we obtain is

$$H(s) = \frac{(1-s^2)^4}{(1-s)^9} \tag{B.8}$$

which is indeed correct.

For $n = 5$, after a suitable rescaling, we have the following five constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(2)} + X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(3)} + X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(4)} &= 0 \\ 2X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} - 6X^{(2)} X^{(2)} + 12X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(3)} + 12X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(4)} &= 0 \\ 2X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} + 6X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(2)} - 24X^{(3)} \cdot X^{(3)} + 24X^{(4)} \cdot X^{(3)} &= 0 \\ 2X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} + 6X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(2)} + 12X^{(3)} \cdot X^{(3)} - 60X^{(4)} \cdot X^{(4)} &= 0 \\ 2X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(1)} + 6X^{(2)} \cdot X^{(2)} + 12X^{(3)} \cdot X^{(3)} + 20X^{(4)} \cdot X^{(4)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using the sage commands

```
R.<x1,x2,x3,y1,y2,y3,z1,z2,z3,w1,w2,w3>=PolynomialRing(QQ,12); R
I=Ideal([
x1*y1+x2*y2+x3*y3+x1*z1+x2*z2+x3*z3+x1*w1+x2*w2+x3*w3,
```


JHEP08(2018)088

```
2*(x1^2+x2^2+x3^2)-6*(y1^2+y2^2+y3^2)+12*(y1*z1+y2*z2+y3*z3)
+12*(y1*w1+y2*w2+y3*w3),
2*(x1^2+x2^2+x3^2)+6*(y1^2+y2^2+y3^2)-24*(z1^2+z2^2+z3^2)
+24*(z1*w1+z2*w2+z3*w3),
2*(x1^2+x2^2+x3^2)+6*(y1^2+y2^2+y3^2)+12*(z1^2+z2^2+z3^2)
-60*(w1^2+w2^2+w3^2),
2*(x1^2+x2^2+x3^2)+6*(y1^2+y2^2+y3^2)+12*(z1^2+z2^2+z3^2)
+20*(w1^2+w2^2+w3^2)])
I.hilbert_series()
```

we again obtain the correct Hilbert series

$$H(s) = \frac{(1-s^2)^5}{(1-s)^{12}} \tag{B.9}$$

C Derivation of a symmetric group multiplicity formula for $V_H^{\otimes k}$

The multiplicity of $S_n \times S_k$ irreps in $V_H^{\otimes k}$, where V_H is the S_n irrep associated with Young diagram $[n-1,1]$, is obtained by taking the trace of appropriate projectors which are expressible using characters [11]. We have (see for example [23] for further explanation of this formula)

$$\begin{aligned} Dim(V_{\Lambda_2,\Lambda_1}) &= Mult(V_H^{\otimes k}, V_{\Lambda_1}^{S_n} \otimes V_{\Lambda_2}^{S_k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{\tau \in S_k} \chi_{\Lambda_1}(\sigma)\chi_{\Lambda_2}(\tau) \prod_i (tr_H(\sigma^i))^{C_i(\tau)} \\ &= \sum_{p \vdash n} \sum_{q \vdash k} \frac{\chi^p_{\Lambda_1}\chi^q_{\Lambda_2}}{Sym\ p \quad Sym\ q} \prod_i (tr_H(\sigma_p^i))^{q_i} \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

Here σ_p is a perm with cycle structure p. Now note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} tr_{V_H}(\sigma) &= tr_{\text{nat}}(\sigma) - tr_{\text{triv}}(\sigma) \\ &= C_1(\sigma) - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} tr_H(\sigma^i) &= C_1(\sigma^i) - 1 \\ &= -1 + \sum_{d|i} dC_d(\sigma) \end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

When we raise a permutation to power i, all cycles of length d which divide i split into d cycles of length 1. It follows

$$Dim(V_{\Lambda_2,\Lambda_1}) = \sum_{p \vdash n} \sum_{q \vdash k} \frac{\chi^p_{\Lambda_1}\chi^q_{\Lambda_2}}{Sym\ p \quad Sym\ q} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(-1 + \sum_{d|i} dp_d \right)^{q_i} \tag{C.4}$$

JHEP08(2018)088

D Refined counting of LWPs in $d = 3$ dimensions: tables

In this appendix we summarize multiplicities for primaries constructed using n fields and k derivatives. These primaries transform in the spin l representation of $so(3)$ and in the Λ_n of S_n.

l	Λ_3	Mult
2	[3]	1
2	[2, 1]	1
1	[1, 1, 1]	1

Table 1. Results for $n = 3$ fields and $k = 2$ derivatives.

l	Λ_3	Mult
3	[3]	1
2	[2, 1]	1
3	[2, 1]	1
3	[1, 1, 1]	1

Table 2. Results for $n = 3$ fields and $k = 3$ derivatives.

l	Λ_3	Mult
4	[3]	1
3	[2, 1]	1
4	[2, 1]	2
3	[1, 1, 1]	1

Table 3. Results for $n = 3$ fields and $k = 4$ derivatives.

JHEP08(2018)088

l	Λ_4	Mult
3	[4]	1
1	[3, 1]	1
2	[3, 1]	1
3	[3, 1]	2
2	[2, 2]	1
1	[2, 1, 1]	1
2	[2, 1, 1]	1
3	[2, 1, 1]	1
0	[1, 1, 1, 1]	1

Table 4. Results for $n = 4$ fields and $k = 3$ derivatives.

l	Λ_5	Mult
3	[5]	1
1	[4, 1]	1
2	[4, 1]	1
3	[4, 1]	2
1	[3, 2]	1
2	[3, 2]	1
3	[3, 2]	1
1	[3, 1, 1]	1
2	[3, 1, 1]	1
3	[3, 1, 1]	1
1	[2, 2, 1]	1
2	[2, 2, 1]	1
0	[2, 1, 1, 1]	1

Table 5. Results for $n = 5$ fields and $k = 3$ derivatives.

JHEP08(2018)088

E Refined counting of LWPs in $d = 4$ dimensions: tables

We give the multiplicities for primaries constructed using n fields and k derivatives. These primaries transform in the spin (l_1, l_2) representation of $so(4)$ and in the Λ_n of S_n.

l_1	l_2	Λ_3	Mult
1	1	[3]	1
1	1	[2, 1]	1
0	1	[1, 1, 1]	1
1	0	[1, 1, 1]	1

Table 6. Results for $n = 3$ fields and $k = 2$ derivatives.

l_1	l_2	Λ_3	Mult
3/2	3/2	[3]	1
1/2	3/2	[2, 1]	1
3/2	1/2	[2, 1]	1
3/2	3/2	[2, 1]	1
3/2	3/2	[1, 1, 1]	1

Table 7. Results for $n = 3$ fields and $k = 3$ derivatives.

l_1	l_2	Λ_3	Mult
0	2	[3]	1
2	0	[3]	1
2	2	[3]	1
1	2	[2, 1]	1
2	1	[2, 1]	1
2	2	[2, 1]	2
1	2	[1, 1, 1]	1
2	1	[1, 1, 1]	1

Table 8. Results for $n = 3$ fields and $k = 4$ derivatives.

JHEP08(2018)088

l_1	l_2	Λ_4	Mult
3/2	3/2	[4]	1
1/2	1/2	[3, 1]	1
1/2	3/2	[3, 1]	1
3/2	1/2	[3, 1]	1
3/2	3/2	[3, 1]	2
1/2	3/2	[2, 2]	1
3/2	1/2	[2, 2]	1
1/2	1/2	[2, 1, 1]	1
1/2	3/2	[2, 1, 1]	1
3/2	1/2	[2, 1, 1]	1
3/2	3/2	[2, 1, 1]	1
1/2	1/2	[1, 1, 1, 1]	1

Table 9. Results for $n = 4$ fields and $k = 3$ derivatives.

l_1	l_2	Λ_5	Mult
3/2	3/2	[5]	1
1/2	1/2	[4, 1]	1
1/2	3/2	[4, 1]	1
3/2	1/2	[4, 1]	1
3/2	3/2	[4, 1]	2
1/2	1/2	[3, 2]	1
1/2	3/2	[3, 2]	1
3/2	1/2	[3, 2]	1
3/2	3/2	[3, 2]	1
1/2	1/2	[3, 1, 1]	1
1/2	3/2	[3, 1, 1]	1
3/2	1/2	[3, 1, 1]	1
3/2	3/2	[3, 1, 1]	1
1/2	1/2	[2, 2, 1]	1
1/2	3/2	[2, 2, 1]	1
3/2	1/2	[2, 2, 1]	1
1/2	1/2	[2, 1, 1, 1]	1

Table 10. Results for $n = 5$ fields and $k = 3$ derivatives.

JHEP08(2018)088

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

[1] R. de Mello Koch, P. Rabambi, R. Rabe and S. Ramgoolam, *Free quantum fields in 4D and Calabi-Yau spaces*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 161602 [arXiv:1705.04039] [INSPIRE].

[2] R. de Mello Koch, P. Rabambi, R. Rabe and S. Ramgoolam, *Counting and construction of holomorphic primary fields in free CFT_4 from rings of functions on Calabi-Yau orbifolds*, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 077 [arXiv:1705.06702] [INSPIRE].

[3] B. Henning, X. Lu, T. Melia and H. Murayama, *Operator bases, S-matrices and their partition functions*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 199 [arXiv:1706.08520] [INSPIRE].

[4] R. de Mello Koch and S. Ramgoolam, *CFT_4 as $\mathrm{SO}(4,2)$-invariant TFT_2*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 890** (2014) 302 [arXiv:1403.6646] [INSPIRE].

[5] F.A. Dolan, *Character formulae and partition functions in higher dimensional conformal field theory*, *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006) 062303 [hep-th/0508031] [INSPIRE].

[6] D.A. Cox, J.B. Little and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*, fourth edition, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, (2015).

[7] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, *Grad. Texts Math.* **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, U.S.A., (1995) [ISBN:0-387-94268-8].

[8] E. Grigorescu, *Hilbert series and free resolutions*, Senior thesis, Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY, U.S.A., (2003).

[9] G. Wu, *Koszul algebras and Koszul duality*, Masters thesis, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada, (2016).

[10] R.C. King, *Young tableaux, Schur functions and* SU(2) *plethysms*, *J. Phys.* **A 18** (1985) 2429.

[11] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: a first course*, Springer, New York, U.S.A., (1991).

[12] C.W. Ayoub, *On constructing bases for ideals in polynomial rings over the integers*, *J. Num. Theor.* **17** (1983) 204.

[13] R. De Mello Koch, P. Rambambi and H.J.R. Van Zyl, *From spinning primaries to permutation orbifolds*, *JHEP* **04** (2018) 104 [arXiv:1801.10313] [INSPIRE].

[14] A. Ram, *Characters of Brauer's centralizer algebras*, *Pacific J. Math.* **169** (1985) 173.

[15] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, *Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual $N = 4$ SYM theory*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5** (2002) 809 [hep-th/0111222] [INSPIRE].

[16] J. Pasukonis and S. Ramgoolam, *Quivers as calculators: counting, correlators and Riemann surfaces*, *JHEP* **04** (2013) 094 [arXiv:1301.1980] [INSPIRE].

[17] Y. Kimura, *Noncommutative Frobenius algebras and open-closed duality*, arXiv:1701.08382 [INSPIRE].

[18] P. Mattioli and S. Ramgoolam, *Permutation centralizer algebras and multi-matrix invariants*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 93** (2016) 065040 [arXiv:1601.06086] [INSPIRE].

JHEP08(2018)088

[19] R. Bhattacharyya, S. Collins and R. de Mello Koch, *Exact multi-matrix correlators*, *JHEP* **03** (2008) 044 [arXiv:0801.2061] [INSPIRE].

[20] R. Bhattacharyya, R. de Mello Koch and M. Stephanou, *Exact multi-restricted Schur polynomial correlators*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 101 [arXiv:0805.3025] [INSPIRE].

[21] Y. Kimura and S. Ramgoolam, *Branes, anti-branes and Brauer algebras in gauge-gravity duality*, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 078 [arXiv:0709.2158] [INSPIRE].

[22] T.W. Brown, P.J. Heslop and S. Ramgoolam, *Diagonal multi-matrix correlators and BPS operators in $N = 4$ SYM*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 030 [arXiv:0711.0176] [INSPIRE].

[23] T.W. Brown, P.J. Heslop and S. Ramgoolam, *Diagonal free field matrix correlators, global symmetries and giant gravitons*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 089 [arXiv:0806.1911] [INSPIRE].

[24] A. Polischchuk and L. Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, *Univ. Lect. Ser.* **37**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, U.S.A., (2005).

[25] *Koszul duality Wikipedia article*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koszul_duality.

[26] R.J. Szabo, *Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces*, *Phys. Rept.* **378** (2003) 207 [hep-th/0109162] [INSPIRE].

[27] R. Fröberg and C. Löfwal, *Koszul homology and Lie algebras with application to generic forms and points*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **4** (2002) 227.

[28] S. Benvenuti, B. Feng, A. Hanany and Y.-H. He, *Counting BPS operators in gauge theories: quivers, Syzygies and Plethystics*, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 050 [hep-th/0608050] [INSPIRE].

JHEP08(2018)088