

THE EFFECT OF HANDLE BAR HEIGHT ON LOW BACK PAIN IN CYCLISTS DURING SPINNING®

Kim Modlin

A research report submitted to the Faculty of Health
Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science in Physiotherapy

Johannesburg, 2004

Declaration

I, Kim Modlin declare that this research report is my own work. It is being submitted for the degree of Master of Science in Physiotherapy, in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at this or any other University.

.....

.....day of....., 2004

In honour of my parents
Denise and Martin Modlin

Abstract

A study was conducted to determine the optimum position of the handlebar on the Johnny G. Spinning® bicycle to reduce low back pain in cyclists participating in a Spinning® class.

A three period open label cross over design, involving thirty six subjects was conducted. Each subject participated in three Spinning® classes with a different handlebar height at each session. The saddle angle, saddle post height and fore/aft position of the saddle remained fixed to eliminate variability. The cyclists' pain perception was measured via the Visual Analogue Scale, Lickert Scale and the McGill Pain Questionnaire.

The results were analysed with respect to the change in the mean and standard deviation of the Visual Analogue Scale, the Lickert scale and the McGill Pain Questionnaire.

The significance of the study was set at the 0.05 level.

A zero value was recorded with respect to pain experienced by the cyclists during a Spinning® class on the VAS, Lickert scale and on the McGill Pain scale, when the handlebars were placed in the high handlebar height position on the Spinning® bicycle; this is the most important outcome of the study conducted.

In conclusion, there is a statistically meaningful difference ($p < 0.001$) between the mean values of pain recorded by participants of the low handlebar height compared to the normal handlebar height, with the normal handlebar height being the better position. The standard deviation remains relatively constant. No pain was recorded on the VAS, Lickert scale and on the McGill Pain scale when the handlebars were placed in the high handlebar height position on the Spinning® bicycle. The results of the study indicate that the high handlebar height position is the best position for participants in a Spinning® class.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements to Mrs L Jacobs – supervisor

Dr P Becker – statistician

Mr C Kaminski – graphic designer

Denise Modlin - Spinning® instructor

Cyclists from Core Health Fitness Centre

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Aim of the study	2
1.2 Significance of the study	2
1.3 Null hypotheses	3
1.4 Alternative hypotheses	3
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Definition of Spinning®	6
2.3 The causes of low back pain experienced by cyclists	6
2.3.1 Definition of spinal stability and contributing factors resulting in low back pain	8
2.3.2 Definition of the neutral zone	10
2.3.3 Definition of spinal instability	10
2.3.4 The adverse effect of an altered neutral zone	11

2.3.5 Loading of the lumbar spine with alterations in spinal positions	12
2.4 Bicycle configuration	16
2.4.1 Spinal angle related to crossbar	16
2.4.2 Spinal angle related to saddle angle	16
2.4.3 LBP and pedal unit positions	17
2.5 The alteration in bicycle configuration to compensate for anatomical variations of the cyclist	21
2.6 Associated injuries seen in cyclists with low back pain	25
2.7 Measuring instruments	25
3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	27
3.1 Study Design	27
3.1.1 Sample size	27
3.1.2 Subjects	28
3.1.3 Inclusion criteria	29
3.1.4 Exclusion criteria	30
3.1.5 Withdrawal criteria	31
3.2 Procedures	31
3.2.1 Pilot study	33
3.2.2 Flow Chart	34
3.3 Instrumentation	36
3.4 Equipment	37
3.5 Variables	37
3.6 Special ethical issues	37
3.7 Data analysis	38
4.0 RESULTS	39

4.1 Introduction	39
4.2 Justification for the alteration of the study design	40
5.0 DISCUSSION	49
5.1 Suggestions for further research	51
6.0 CONCLUSION	52
REFERENCES	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 A cyclist on a road bicycle / A cyclist on a Spinning® bicycle	7
2.2 The three subsystems of spinal stability	9
2.3 The alteration in lumbar lordosis due to a handlebar height adjustment- high, normal and low handlebar height	14
2.4 Forces occurring around the lumbar spine during cycling	15
2.5 Spinal angle related to saddle angle	17
2.6 The optimal saddle angle on a bicycle	18
2.7 Optimal bicycle configuration	21
2.8 Possible alterations in bicycle configuration due to an alteration in a cyclist's biomechanics	22
4.3.1 Comparison between groups with respect to the change in mean of the VAS pain scores for low, normal and high handlebar heights on completion of the Spinning® class	42
4.3.2 Comparison between groups with respect to the change in mean of the Lickert scale for low, normal and high handlebar heights on completion of the Spinning® class	43
4.3.3 Comparison between groups with respect to the change in mean of McGill pain scores for low, normal and high handlebar heights on completion of the Spinning® class	44

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 4.1 The mean VAS at the start and end of the Spinning® class	41
Table 4.2 The mean Lickert scale at the start and end of the Spinning® class	42
Table 4.3 The mean McGill Pain score at the start and end of the Spinning® class	43
Table 4.4 Analysis of groups 1 and 2	45
Table 4.5 Analysis of groups 1 and 3	46
Table 4.6 Analysis of groups 1 and 2/3	47

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A The origin of Spinning	57
Appendix B Subject information sheet	60
Appendix C Consent form for research	62
Appendix D Subject Details Questionnaire	63
Appendix E McGill Pain Questionnaire	68
Appendix F Visual Analogue Scale and Lickert scale	68
Appendix G Ethical Clearance	69
Appendix H Statistical Analysis	74

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

	Page
1. Low Back Pain (LBP)	1
2. Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)	27
3. Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS)	30
4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)	38
5. Generalized Least Squares (GLS)	38