

CHEMISTRY IN SEPEDI: TRANSLATION STRATEGIES FOR SUCCESS?

RAISIBE GLORIA MALEKA

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Humanities, University of the
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Arts.
Johannesburg 2005

ABSTRACT

This dissertation attempts to offer a contribution to the field of translation by applying the practices of descriptive translation studies to a corpus of Chemistry terms in English and translated into Sepedi in order to establish whether the translation strategies opted for prove successful in promoting Sepedi to be a language for special purposes (LSP). The theoretical framework which is used in this project is the model of Baker (1992) of translation strategies of Descriptive Translation Studies (DTS). DTS is one of the three main branches of Translation Theory (Holmes, 1972). It differs from the other approaches in that it does not offer a set of guidelines which dictate how a translation should be done. Attention was also paid to theories of translation, such as those of Lawrence Venuti (2000) and his concepts of domesticating and foreignising translation in order to establish whether the translators have domesticated or foreignised the text and to what extent? Both strategies are evident in the corpus.

This project also focused on the issue of the mother tongue education in the South African context with the emphasis on the perceptions and attitudes of learners and educators towards translated materials. Learners and their educators show some resistance to the use of local African languages, specifically Sepedi as medium of instruction in high schools. Reasons for their resistance against mother tongue education are economic and political. African languages are regarded as “low languages”, languages of inferior status as compared to English and Afrikaans.

DECLARATION

I RAISIBE GLORIA MALEKA declare that *Chemistry in Sepedi: translation strategies for success?* is my original work and has not been previously submitted in any form whatsoever, by myself or anyone else, to this university or to any other educational institution for any degree or examination purposes. All sources that I have used or quoted have been indicated and duly acknowledged by means of complete references.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to the memory of my late father, Pururu Philemon Maleka. My sincere thanks and appreciation is also extended to my dear mother, Raisibe Ziphora Maleka, my sisters (Joyce, Mmathabo, Mapule and Baatseba) and my only brother (Kgaogelo) for their love, support and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Summary of the study	2
2.	Literature Review and Theoretical Framework	4
2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	The geography of the language	4
2.3	Missionary education	6
2.4	Apartheid language policy	7
2.5	Post-apartheid language policy	10
2.6	Curriculum 2005 and language of learning and teaching (LOLT)	11
2.7	Sepedi as a medium of instruction	13
2.8	The history of translation	16
2.8.1	Translation studies prior to 1970	16
2.8.2	Itamar Even-Zohar (Polysystem approach)	18
2.8.3	Gideon Toury (Descriptive Translation Studies)	20
2.9	Registers and Dialects	25
2.10	Translation strategies	28
3.	Research design and methodology	34
3.1	Setting of the study	34
3.2	Definition of concepts	35
3.2.1	Learners	35
3.2.2	Secondary school	35
3.2.3	Language attitudes	35
3.3	Qualitative data: Locating and entering the research site	36
3.3.1	Observation method	37
3.3.2	Focus group interviews	38
3.3.3	Face-to-face interviews	39
3.3.4	Questionnaires	40

3.4	Limitations of the research	41
3.5	Method of term extraction and translation equivalents	42
4.	Data Analysis	44
4.1	Background information regarding the work of P.T. Pare and F. Ntake	44
4.2	Method of data analysis	45
(a)	Indigenous equivalents	46
(b)	Deverbatives	48
(c)	Term-formation through transliterations	50
(d)	Translation by loan word (direct borrowing)	52
(e)	Possessive constructions	53
(f)	Blending	55
(g)	Neologisms	55
(h)	The use of suffixes <i>–fatšo</i> and <i>–šo</i> for scientific processes	56
4.3	Initial norms	57
4.4	Analysis of Qualitative data	59
4.4.1	The learners' responses	59
4.4.2	The educators' responses	65
5.	Conclusion	71
5.1	Recommendations	76
6.	Appendices	
	Appendix A: Interview schedule (Learners)	77
	Interview schedule (Educators)	79
	Appendix B: Questionnaire (Learners and Educators)	81
	Appendix C: Map 1	85
	Map 2	86
7.	References	87