

University of Witwatersrand

School of Human and Community Development

Social Work Department

Name: Msizi Phungwayo

Student No: 0606599E

Email: Msizi.Phungwayo@gauteng.gov.za

Contact No: 0834070123

Research Supervisor: Prof Edwell Kaseke

Research Title: *The perceived impact of the performance management system utilised in the Department of Social Development in enhancing service delivery: A case study of the Johannesburg Metro Region.*

Submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree MA in Social Development

2014

Acknowledgements

I would like to give thanks, first to God for providing me with guidance and strength to finish this report. Secondly I would like to thank my research supervisor Prof Edwell Kaseke for his valuable input into my work and for his patience. Thirdly I would like to thank my research assistant Phumlani Mbatha for the effort he put in the process of collecting data. Lastly I would like to thank my mother for her support, my son for being an inspiration and the Gauteng Department of Social Development for allowing me to do the study in the department, I would like to further extend thanks to my participants.

Declaration

I Msizi Phungwayo hereby declare that this research report is my own work, there was no plagiarism and that all the sources used in this report were acknowledged.

.....

Msizi Phungwayo

Date

Abstract

Title: *The perceived impact of the performance management system utilised in the Department of Social Development in enhancing service delivery: A case study of the Johannesburg Metro Region.*

The mandate of the Department of Social Development (DSD) is to provide welfare services. These services are targeted at every citizen in South Africa and most importantly the vulnerable and poor people of South Africa. In order to ensure that it fulfills its mandate, the Department of Social Development (DSD) has put in place a Performance Management System which seeks to ensure that the deliverables are achieved effectively and efficiently. It is often argued that services for the poor are sometimes poor services, yet government devotes ample resources to improve these services. Thus the implementation of Performance Management System is strategically geared at improving service delivery in government departments. The Department of Social Development (DSD) is one of those departments that have implemented the Performance Management System but the results reveal that it has not achieved the expected outcomes, There is heightened job dissatisfaction, poor performance and low morale. The study sought to investigate the perceived impact of the performance management system on service delivery. The primary aim of the study was to investigate the contributions of the performance management system of the Department of Social Development (DSD) in enhancing the delivery of social services. The study employed a qualitative approach and it was exploratory in nature. The study population consisted of social workers and managers. In addition, there were key informants drawn from the Human Resource section. A total of 15 participants and 2 key informants were selected using purposive sampling. Semi-structured interview schedules were used to collect data. There were separate interview schedules for social workers as well as the managers. Face to face interviews were conducted with all the participants.

The collected data was analysed using thematic content analysis. Ethical considerations were adhered to, as the researcher observed and adhered to conducting the investigation without bringing harm to participants and allowing the participants free will to choose to participate in the study. The major findings revealed that there is no common understanding of what performance management is. The study revealed that the performance management system

utilised in the DSD had a negative impact on service delivery. All the participants identified the performance management system as a management tool. Various challenges that undermine the effectiveness of the performance management system were identified. These challenges include the role of supervision was identified as being suppressed as proper supervision did not take place and political interference was also identified as a challenge that undermined the effectiveness of system. The work output of social workers was affected by these various challenges.

Keywords

Performance management system, social services, service delivery, social development, DSD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	PAGE
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Statement of the problem and rationale	2
1.3 Primary aim and objectives of the study	4
1.3.1 Primary aim	4
1.3.2 Secondary objectives	4
1.4 Research questions	5
1.5 Theoretical framework	5
1.6 Organization of report	6
 CHAPTER 2 Literature Review	 7
2.1 Introduction	7
 2.2 Social Development	 7
2.3 Performance Management	14
 CHAPTER 3 Research Methodology	 22
3.1 Introduction	22
3.2 Research design	22
3.2.1 Study population	22
3.2.2 Sampling procedures	23
3.2.3 Research instrumentation	23

3.2.4 Data collection	23
3.2.5 Pre-testing of the research tool	24
3.2.6 Data analysis	24
3.2.7 Trustworthiness of the study	25
3.3 Ethical consideration	25
3.3.1 Avoidance of harm	25
3.3.2 Voluntary participation and informed consent	26
3.3.3 Confidentiality	26
3.3.4 Anonymity	26
3.4 Limitations of the study	26
 CHAPTER 4 Presentation and discussion of findings	 28
4.1 Introduction	28
4.2 Profile of participants	28
4.2.1 Participants' work experience	28
4.3 Social workers and managers' understanding of performance management system in the department of Social Development	29
4.4 Perceived impact of the Department of Social Development's performance management system on Social Workers' work output and the managers role in supervision	32
4.5 Challenges experienced in implementing the Performance Management System and how these have affected service delivery	37

4.6 Conclusion	39
Chapter 5 Summary, Conclusions and recommendations	40
5.1 Introduction	40
5.2 Summary of findings	40
5.3 Conclusion	41
5.4 Recommendations	42
List of references	43
Appendices	48
Appendix A Informed consent	49
Appendix B Interview schedule for social workers	50
Appendix C Interview schedule for managers	51
Appendix D Permission from the Gauteng Department of Social Development JHB Metro Region	52
Appendix E Ethics clearance	53