

https://dspace.org/

An evaluation of the sustainability of urban regeneration projects in the Johannesburg inner city

Xaba, Bhekumuzi Njabulo

2018

https://hdl.handle.net/10539/26623

Xaba, Bhekumuzi Njabulo (2018) 3 An evaluation of the sustainability of urban regeneration projects in the Johannesburg inner city, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, <http://hdl.handle.net/10539/26623>

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Bhekumuzi N. Xaba
323019
MSc in Property Development & Management
List of Corrections

LIST OF MINOR CORRECTIONS MADE BASED ON THE EXAMINERS RECOMMENDATIONS

- Examiner's recommendation
- Correction's made/response

CORRECTIONS MADE

- The abstract should be in single line spacing.
- The abstract has been amended to single line spacing as recommended.
- In the table of contents, the chapter number should follow the chapter title (i.e. Chapter One – Introduction or Chapter Two – Literature Review) while in the body of the work, the chapter title should be centralised and in upper case (capital letters). See pages 1, 7, 26, 35 and 61.
- The chapter numbering and chapter title have been amended as recommended on the table of content, and the chapter title has been centralised in capital letters.
- Candidate should avoid the use of contraction such as it's in the work. This could be seen in the abstract and on pages 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 25, 29, 30, 33, 61. Also, the use of bullets should be avoided – pages 3, 18, 26.
- All contractions have been removed from the document as recommended and bullet points which were previously on the document have been removed on the whole document.
- There is need to use research questions that will culminate into measurable research objectives. The first research question and objective should be replaced. Delete the first 6 words in objective 2.
- The first research question and objective were removed from the document, but was part of the literature review to meet the requirement stated above. The initial wording on the second objective was removed (Undertake an extensive literature review to).
- It should be possible to identify the tables and figures in the work along with the appropriate chapters e.g. Table 1.1 or Figure 1.1 and this should run through the work.
- When the document was initial written the multilevel list was not defined or aligned per chapters, which makes its difficult at this stage to have the list of tables and figures numbering aligned per chapter. I have resorted to specify the exact page number stated where I refer to the specific table or figure on the document to make it easy for the reader to locate it on the document should a need arise.
- When authors area less than 5, at the first mention, candidate should list all their surnames thereafter, use the first author and et al.
- All the references used on the document with multiple authors at their first mention have all been listed and subsequently et al., used on the remainder of the document.
- The second paragraph on page 7 should be numbered as 2.2 and re-titled as "Concept of Urban Decay".
- The paragraph stated above has been given a title "Concept of Urban Decay" and subsequently numbered 2.2. And the rest of the document amended to reflect the changes.
- Candidate should distinguish between the various Hemphill et al (2004) in the body of the work since there are two sets of authors with Hemphill as lead author. See pages 64 and 65.

Bhekumuzi N. Xaba
323019
MSc in Property Development & Management
List of Corrections

- The work of Hemphill et al (2004) is similar to other work done on this type of research where the authors develop an assessment tool or method and test the validity of the tool developed on the same study, which leads to having two section on the research published. Part 1 focusing on the development of the tool, Part 2 focusing on testing the validity of the tool. The following reporting will be adopted for clarity following any citation on Hemphill on the document. Part 1 will be used to denote the acknowledgement of a source for the research paper that encompass the development of the tool and Part 2 will be used to acknowledge a source for the research paper where the tool developed was being tested.
- Remove italics from the last line of page 13.
- The italics have been removed on page 13 as recommended.
- The last two words on line 7, paragraph 2 of page 13 should be changed to “for”
- The last two words have been removed on line 7 of the second paragraph and changed to “for”
- Candidate could include the diagram for the three components of sustainability reported in paragraph 1 of page 77. This will help in showing how the desired balance (intercept) could be achieved.
- The diagram indicating how the desired balance can be achieved has been included on chapter three, the diagram included is based on the balance required and stipulated on the model used on the study. Its figure 5 under section 3.1 Quantitative Research.
- Lanstraat on page 24 should be reconciled with what is on page 65. Also, PACECC on page 25 should be reconciled with what is on page 65.
- The corrections described above have been made and are now aligned with what is on references.
- Delete “the following stages” from line 4 of page 25.
- The recommendation described above has been made on the document.
- Beginning from line 3 and running to line 7 of 2.5 of page 25, there are two incomplete statements.
- The two incomplete statements have been integrated with the use of conjunctions to link them together to have a proper meaning in the sentence.
- Candidate should maintain consistency in the mode of reporting in chapter four. It could either be by percentage or frequency, not the two together. See good examples on pages 40, 42 – 46.
- Consistency on reporting in chapter four has been maintained by only using percentages as they are easy to interpret and understand.
- The total scores on pages 47 – 52 are each short by 1, there is need to account for what happened and also explain the derivation of the percentage score and weighted scores. The corrections will equally affect the tables on pages 54 – 55. All the references on.
- The shortages were caused by the rounding up and down on the percentages used, the accuracy has been maintained and all figures have been rounded of to two decimal places and the scores are now adding up. I have included a new section on the research methodology chapter that fully explains how the percentages and weighted scores were derived at, the section is 3.7.1 The derivation Process for the Percentage Scores and Weighted Scores within the Framework – with five additional tables.
- pages 63 – 67 are to be right justified.
- The reference list has been aligned right and justified.

Bhekumuzi N. Xaba
323019
MSc in Property Development & Management
List of Corrections

- Typographical and syntax errors in the work should be attended to.
- The document was sent for proof reading to remove any typographical and syntax errors.