

Abstract

The following study gives a descriptive account of eight vowel variables in two varieties of South African English spoken in present-day Johannesburg, along with a domain analysis of language use of black South Africans and a qualitative assessment of language attitudes of black and white students attending the University of the Witwatersrand.

The eight vowel variables are compared to those varieties documented in the literature known as English-Speaking South African English (ESSA English) and Black South African English (BSAE), an L2 variety spoken by black South Africans. Many of the dialectological studies of varieties of South African English in the past have dealt solely with “white” South African English (ESSA English), which usually has included dialects of L1 English speakers and white Afrikaans speakers. In comparison, BSAE has received considerably less attention regarding its development and phonetic description.

It is argued that BSAE does not exist as one single entity due to drastic differences in levels of proficiency among black South Africans, and that BSAE varieties in Johannesburg have radically been changing, with access to English in schools being one of the primary social factors. The elimination of segregated schools has had a dramatic effect on the varieties of English spoken in the Johannesburg area, and thus, can no longer be categorised neatly into ethnolects.

The analysis of the phonetic variables, the investigation of language use (English vs. an African language) of black students along with a discussion of language attitudes of both black and white students reveal that there are two distinct lects of speakers in the sample population. Each lect was then analysed in terms of its social variables, i.e., ethnicity, educational background and gender. The social composition of each lect suggests a shift from BSAE among L2 speakers of English to a variety closer to that of their white counterparts, and in some cases, contradicts the variants predicted by the BSAE variable system.

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own unaided work. It is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any other degrees or examination in any other university.

Arista Da Silva

_____ day of February, 2008

Acknowledgements

There are a number of people who I would like to thank for helping to complete this project. I am grateful to Prof. Barbara Horvath from the University of Sydney whose study of variation of English in Australia using Principle Components Analysis inspired me to conduct a study of a similar nature in South Africa. Not only was her work an inspiration for me, but her friendly support and advice in interpreting the data was of great benefit. In the same vein, I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Raj Mesthrie, the Head of Linguistics at the University for Cape Town, whose work in sociolinguistics in South Africa has been an inspiration to me for many years.

For the technical assistance using statistics programs, much gratitude goes to Mr. Eugenius Senoana, a statistics specialist in the Data Management and Statistical Analysis (DMSA) Unit at the University of the Witwatersrand. Also many thanks to Prof. Fatti, from the University of the Witwatersrand Department of Statistics and Stochastics, who has the amazing ability to “see” patterns in a pool of numbers before the data has been modified into human-readable form. Thanks are also given to Ms. Lorraine Purkey from the Academic Information System Unit (AISU) at the University of the Witwatersrand, who provided me with information of demographics and statistics of the student body.

The indexing of the schools was made possible by valuable input and discussions with a number of experts in the field of South African schools: Dr. Carolyn McKinney and Ms. Yvonne Reed from the Department of Applied English Language Studies at the University of the Witwatersrand, Mr. Adlai Davids, Chief Geographical Information Systems Specialist from the HSRC, Mr. Simon Lee, the Communications Coordinator for the Independent Schools Association South Africa (ISASA), and Dr. Mohammad Sujee, the rapporteur of the Gauteng Department of Education. Without their feedback and assistance, a comprehensive and reliable index of the schools would not have been possible.

I am grateful to my supervisor Dr. Andrew van der Spuy and to Prof. Stefan Ploch for their criticisms and stimulating suggestions in ensuring solid arguments in this thesis. Also I am indebted to Dr. van der Spuy’s sage guidance when I was having trouble getting through certain sections.

Finally I would like to thank my mother for her constant support and enthusiasm in the progress of my education – her love, guidance, encouragement and strong perseverance enabled me to deem any goal as attainable.

CONTENTS

<i>Abstract</i>	i
<i>Declaration</i>	ii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	iii
<i>Table of Contents</i>	iv
<i>List of Figures</i>	vii
<i>List of Tables</i>	viii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	x
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. Use of English in South Africa: statistics, language policy and attitudes	7
2.1 Statistics regarding demography and language use	7
2.2 Language policy in South Africa	14
2.2.1 <i>Development of a language policy</i>	18
2.2.2 <i>Language policy in education</i>	19
2.3 Language use: domains	21
2.4 Language attitude studies in South Africa	27
2.5 Conclusion	31
Chapter 3. Issues in Methodology	33
3.1 Traditional dialectology	33
3.2 The development of social and urban dialectology	37
3.3 Choosing linguistic variables	39
3.4 Choosing social variables	42
3.5 The variable “social class” and approaches to class structure	46
3.6 Conclusion	50
Chapter 4. The Social History of South Africa and the Social Variables Chosen for this Study	52
4.1 The developments of social structure in South Africa	52
4.1.1 <i>South Africa before democracy</i>	53
4.1.2 <i>The “new” South Africa – Period of Transformation</i>	56
4.2 The social variable “educational background”	56
4.2.1 <i>History of education in South Africa</i>	57
4.2.2 <i>South African education today</i>	60
4.2.2.1 <i>Public Schools</i>	60
4.2.2.2 <i>Independent Schools</i>	64
4.2.3 <i>Creating an index for the social variable of educational background</i>	65

4.3 The social variable “ethnicity”	67
4.3.1 <i>The notion of ethnicity among black South Africans</i>	69
4.3.2 <i>The notion of ethnicity among English-speaking South Africans</i>	72
4.4 Gender	73
4.5 Conclusion	74
Chapter 5. The Linguistic Variables Chosen for this Study	76
5.1 “White South African English”	76
5.1.1 <i>Major contributions to the study of White South African English</i>	77
5.1.1.1 Hopwood	77
5.1.1.2 Hooper	78
5.1.1.3 Lanham	79
5.1.1.4 Wells	83
5.1.1.5 Lass	84
5.1.2 <i>The Vowel System</i>	86
5.1.2.1 Short monophthongs	86
5.1.2.1.1 A note about short vowels and the Southern African Chain Shift	91
5.1.2.2 Long monophthongs	92
5.1.2.3 Diphthongs	94
5.2 Studies on “other Englishes”	96
5.2.1 <i>“Black South African English”</i>	98
5.2.1.1 Literature on BSAE	100
5.2.1.2 The vowel systems of the Nguni and Sotho languages	101
5.2.1.2.1 Monophthongs	101
5.2.1.2.2 Diphthongs	104
5.2.2 <i>Afrikaans English</i>	106
5.2.2.1 Literature on Afrikaans English	107
5.2.3 <i>Coloured English</i>	108
5.2.4 <i>South African Indian English</i>	110
5.2.4.1 Literature on SAIE	111
5.3 Summary of linguistic variables	112
5.3.1 <i>Modification of the variable index</i>	115
5.3.2 <i>Perception of variants</i>	123
5.4 Conclusion	126
Chapter 6. Methodology and Design	128
6.1 Research design	128
6.1.1 <i>The interview</i>	128
6.1.2 <i>Subject selection and sample design</i>	136
6.2 Analytical methodology	138
6.2.1 <i>Statistical analysis</i>	138
6.2.2 <i>Analysis of variables</i>	140
6.2.3 <i>Analysis of domains and language attitudes</i>	142
6.3 Conclusion	145

Chapter 7. Results	147
7.1 PCA Results of the eight vowel variants	148
<i>7.1.1 Demographics of lect 1 and lect 2</i>	161
7.2 Language domains	167
<i>7.2.1 Codeswitching vs. one language</i>	175
7.3 Open questions and comments	177
Chapter 8. Discussion	186
8.1 Discussion of the linguistic variables – lects 1 and 2 in detail	187
<i>8.1.1 Discussion of lect 1</i>	188
8.1.1.1 Variables with very or fairly strong representation in lect 1	188
8.1.1.2 Variables with little or no representation in lect 1	191
<i>8.1.2 Lect 2</i>	195
8.1.2.1 Variables with very or fairly strong representation in lect 2	195
8.1.2.2 Variables with little or no representation in lect 2	197
<i>8.1.3 Categories of variants</i>	199
8.1.3.1 L1 interference variants	199
8.1.3.2 The lect 1 variant	208
8.1.3.3 “New” variants	209
<i>8.1.4 Conclusion</i>	213
8.2 Discussion of codeswitching	222
8.3 Discussion of attitudes	223
8.4 Conclusion of code switching and attitudes	232
8.5 Status of and attitudes towards other African languages – a digression	233
<i>8.5.1 Zulu</i>	234
<i>8.5.2 Afrikaans</i>	236
9. Conclusion	239
9.1 Summary of major findings	239
9.2 Contribution of the study	244
9.3 Limitations of the study	246
9.4 Implications for further research	246
References	248
Appendices	277

List of Figures

- Figure 1.* Language Distribution in South Africa, Johannesburg and the University of the Witwatersrand
- Figure 2.* Percentage of L1 Speakers of English in South Africa by Ethnic Group
- Figure 3.* Overall L1 of students at the University of the Witwatersrand
- Figure 4.* L1 of black students at the University of the Witwatersrand
- Figure 5.* L1 of white students at the University of the Witwatersrand
- Figure 6.* The South African Chain Shift
- Figure 7.* The Sotho and Nguni vowel systems
- Figure 8.* Variants placed in terms of how speakers contrast target variants from one another
- Figure 9.* Hypothetical depiction of variants placed in terms of how speakers contrast target variants from one another associated with a social variable
- Figure 10.* Eigenvalue plot
- Figure 11.* Speakers in relation to PC1 and PC2
- Figure 12.* Distribution of the variants in lects 1 and 2 based on variant mean score
- Figure 13.* Distribution of speakers in lects 1 and 2 by educational background
- Figure 14.* Distribution of speakers by educational background across lects 1 and 2
- Figure 15.* Distribution of speakers in lect 2 by ethnicity
- Figure 16.* Distribution of speakers by ethnicity across lects 1 and 2
- Figure 17.* Distribution of speakers by gender across lects 1 and 2
- Figure 18.* Rank of languages according to subjects' response to the question of most spoken language in Johannesburg
- Figure 19.* Language distribution in Johannesburg
- Figure 20.* Hypothetical examples of scattergrams with a hypothetical correlation coefficient
- Figure 20.1* Scattergram a
- Figure 20.2* Scattergram b
- Figure 20.3* Scattergram c
- Figure 20.4* Scattergram d
- Figure 21.* Scattergram a with a hypothetical regression line

List of Tables

- Table 1.* Opinions of South Africans regarding the National Language Policy
- Table 2.* Percentages of L1 speakers of the 11 official languages in South Africa
- Table 3.* Language spoken in the domains of home, high school and work (in percent)
- Table 4.* Percentage of L2 English speakers that understand speeches and statements in English
- Table 5.* Educational background index of public schools
- Table 6.* Educational background index of independent schools
- Table 7.* Index of educational background according to demographic and linguistic environment
- Table 8.* Variables classified by ethnic group
- Table 9.* New classification of variables
- Table 10.* Classification of variables according to contrasting features
- Table 11.* Interview questions relating to demography
- Table 12.* Interview questions relating to language background
- Table 13.* Interview questions relating to language use in local domains
- Table 14.* Interview questions relating to educational background
- Table 15.* Sample design with ideal sample cells
- Table 16.* Actual cell – black subjects
- Table 17.* Actual cell – white subjects
- Table 18.* Hypothetical three-way table for the frequency of the use of a variable X
- Table 19.* Extract of token counts for each variant of the eight vowel variables: speakers 01/001 and 01/002
- Table 20.* Example of a data sheet for information on language use in terms of domains and language attitudes.
- Table 21.* Eigenvalues of the correlation matrix
- Table 22.* Loadings of the linguistic variables onto PC1
- Table 23.* Loadings of the linguistic variables onto PC2
- Table 24.* Language use of L2 English speakers listed by specific language.
- Table 25.* Analysis of language use by domain: Eng vs. Afr Lg
- Table 26.* Analysis of language switching and mixing by domains
- Table 27.* Subjects' responses regarding the most spoken language in Johannesburg by ethnicity
- Table 28.* List of answers according to subjects' responses to the question of how the subjects' schooling is different to that of their parents' generation
- Table 29.* Language chosen by black subjects to approach an older black female stranger
- Table 30.* Occurrence of variants in lects 1 and 2 (in percentage)
- Table 31.* Variants with a very strong representation in lect 1
- Table 32.* Variants with a fairly strong representation in lect 1
- Table 33.* Variants with strong/fairly strong representation in lect1 and their proximity to variants given for ESSA English and BSAE
- Table 34.* Variants with little representation in lect 1
- Table 35.* Variants with no representation in lect 1

Table 36. Variants with little or no representation in lect 1 and their proximity to variants given for ESSA English and BSAE

Table 37. Variants with a very strong representation in lect 2

Table 38. Variants with a fairly strong representation in lect 2

Table 39. Variants with strong/fairly strong representation in lect 2 and their proximity to variants given for ESSA English and BSAE

Table 40. Variants with little or no representation in lect 2 and their proximity to variants given for ESSA English and BSAE

Table 41. Demography of speakers that use GOOSE2 including frequency of use of the GOOSE variants

Table 42. Demography of speakers that use NURSE3 including frequency of use of the STRUT variants

Table 43. Demography of speakers that use STRUT2 including frequency of use of the STRUT variants

Table 44. Demography of speakers that use GOAT3 including frequency of use of the GOAT variants

Table 45. Demography of speakers that use FACE3 including frequency of use of the FACE variants

Table 46. Demography of speakers that use KIT3 including frequency of use of the KIT variants

Table 47. Demography of speakers that use PRICE1 including frequency of use of the PRICE variants

Table 48. Demography of speakers that use NURSE2 including frequency of use of the NURSE variants

Table 49. Demography of speakers that use NURSE4 including frequency of use of the NURSE variants

Table 50. Demography of speakers that use GOAT2 including frequency of use of the GOAT variants

Table 51. Prediction of shift of variants in lect 2

Table 52. Hypothetical correlation matrix

Table 53. Hypothetical example of component loadings

Abbreviations

AAVE	<i>African American Vernacular English</i>
AISU	<i>Academic Information Systems Unit</i>
ANC	<i>African National Congress</i>
BEE	<i>Black Economic Empowerment</i>
BSAE	<i>Black South African English</i>
DET	<i>Department of Education and Training</i>
EEO	<i>Employment Equity Opportunity</i>
ESSA	<i>English speaking South African</i>
HOD	<i>House of Delegates</i>
HOR	<i>House of Representatives</i>
HSRC	<i>Human Sciences Research Council</i>
ISASA	<i>Independent Schools Association South Africa</i>
L1	<i>first language</i>
L2	<i>second language</i>
LANGTAG	<i>Language Task Group</i>
LC	<i>lower class</i>
LMC	<i>lower middle class</i>
LOLT	<i>language of teaching and learning</i>
MOI	<i>medium of instruction</i>
NLPF	<i>National Language Policy Framework</i>
PanSALB	<i>Pan South African Languages Board</i>
PC	<i>Principle Component</i>
PCA	<i>Principle Components Analysis</i>
RP	<i>Received Pronunciation</i>
SABC	<i>South African Broadcasting Corporation</i>
SafE	<i>South African English</i>
SAIE	<i>South African Indian English</i>
SANLAM	<i>The Suid-Afrikaanse Lewensassuransiematskappij</i> <i>[South African Life Assurance Company]</i>
SANTAM	<i>The Suid-Afrikaanse Nasionale Trust- en Assuransiematskappij Beperk</i> <i>[The South African National Trust and Assurance Company]</i>
SASL	<i>South African Sign Language</i>
TED	<i>Transvaal Education Department</i>
WC	<i>working class</i>