

APPROACHES TO LEARNING ADOPTED BY STUDENTS IN THE GRADUATE ENTRY MEDICAL PROGRAMME AT THE UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND

Dianne Manning

A research report submitted to School of Education, Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education

Johannesburg
November 2007

DECLARATION

I declare that this research report is my own unaided work. It is submitted for the degree of Master of Education (in the field of Tertiary Teaching), in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any other degree or examination in any other University.

Dianne Mary Manning

day of November 2007

WITWATERSRAND

ABSTRACT

The new Graduate Entry Medical Programme at the University of the Witwatersrand widens access to study and aims to change the learning process. Content is integrated horizontally and vertically and the learning is organized around facilitated, problem-based learning (PBL) tutorials. This study investigated the approaches students have adopted to learning in the curriculum. Questionnaire data, PBL tutorial observation and focus group discussions revealed that uptake and adaptation were not the same for different groups of students. Those who were most mature in age showed the greatest tendency towards self-directed learning behaviour, while many students were unable to make appropriate use of the available time and resources. Although most students believed that they were able to integrate disciplinary information, they valued the psychosocial content areas less than the biomedical sciences. The attitudes, skill and identity of the facilitators were important for engaging students in the PBL process. These findings suggest that the social context of the learning may impact on the ability to access knowledge and develop a professional identity.

Key words: Medical curriculum, integration, graduate entry, problem-based learning, sociocultural context.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Very special thanks are due to my research supervisor Ms Lynne (Slo) Slonimsky for her passion, insights and guidance. She challenged me and extended my own learning in many unexpected ways.
- I gratefully acknowledge the role of the following lecturers in the Masters of Education programme: Professors Karin Brodie, Jane Castle and Yael Shalem, Ms Lynne Slonimsky, Mrs Stella Granville, Dr Jenny Stacey, Ms Allison Button, Mrs Laura Dison Ms Cheryl Chamberlain, and the late Mrs Irene Broekman. They provided opportunities for learning and created a community of practice, participation in which contributed substantially to my understandings and interpretations.
- Professor Shirley Booth contributed valuable ideas for the interpretation of the data and Dr Helen Perry gave advice on the analysis of quantitative data. All interpretations are, however, my own.
- Many of my colleagues in the Faculty of Health Sciences supported and encouraged me; particular thanks to Professor Detlef Prozesky, Mrs Bridget Smuts and Dr Lionel Green-Thompson.
- I am indebted to all the students and staff members who shared their experiences and opinions so generously – without them this research would not have been possible.
- To my family, Mike, Niki and Guy, grateful thanks for your patience and support and for giving me the time and space in which to complete this work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION	1-6
1.1 Background to the Research	2
1.1.1 <i>International perspective</i>	2
1.1.2 <i>Local perspective</i>	4
1.2 Aim of the Research	5
1.3 Theoretical framework	5
	7-12
Chapter 2: THE GEMP CURRICULUM	
2.1 Curriculum goals	7
2.2 Curriculum Structure and Organisation	7
2.2.1 <i>Organisation of contents</i>	7
2.2.2 <i>Rationale for the PBL process</i>	8
2.2.3 <i>Learning opportunities</i>	8
2.2.4 <i>The PBL tutorials and facilitators</i>	9
2.3 Assumptions about learning in the GEMP	11
Chapter 3: METHODOLOGY and RESEARCH METHODS	13-23
3.1 Nature of the research	13
3.2 Study population and sampling	13
3.3 Research instruments	14
3.3.1 <i>Questionnaire</i>	14
3.3.2 <i>PBL group observation</i>	16
3.3.3 <i>Focus group interviews</i>	16
3.4 Data collection	17
3.4.1 <i>Questionnaires</i>	17
3.4.2 <i>PBL group observations</i>	18
3.4.3 <i>Focus group interviews</i>	19

3.5	Data analysis	20
3.6	Ethical considerations	21
3.7	Validity and Reliability	22
3.8	Thematic analysis	23

Chapter 4: LITERATURE REVIEW 24-50

4.1	The Concept of Curriculum	24
4.1.1	<i>Perspectives of curriculum</i>	25
4.1.2	<i>Forms of curriculum</i>	26
4.2	Development and introduction of the GEMP	28
4.2.1	<i>The need for curricular change</i>	29
4.2.2	<i>Changing access to the study of medicine</i>	29
4.2.3	<i>Problem-based learning in medicine: theory and practice</i>	33
4.3	Changing the learning process	35
4.3.1	<i>Constructivism:</i> <i>Cognitive and metacognitive foundations of PBL</i>	37
4.3.2	<i>The zone of proximal development:</i> <i>The role of social mediation in the PBL model</i>	38
4.3.3	<i>Communities of practice:</i> <i>Medical education as situated learning</i>	39
4.4	Student approaches to learning	42
4.4.1	<i>Surface and deep learning</i>	42
4.4.2	<i>Learning for professional practice</i>	43
4.5	The challenge of curricular change	47

Chapter 5: RESEARCH FINDINGS 51-100

5.1	The intended versus the enacted curriculum	51
5.2	Students' experience of the structure and organisation of the curriculum	54
5.2.1	<i>Self-directed learning and use of curricular time</i>	54
5.2.2	<i>Use of unscheduled curricular time</i>	55
5.2.3	<i>Effective use of PBL tutorials</i>	58
5.2.4	<i>Adaptation to curricular change</i>	60
5.2.5	<i>Change in language competence</i>	61
5.2.6	<i>Change in approaches to learning</i>	61
5.3	The experience of learning in the GEMP	64
5.3.1	<i>Motivation for learning</i>	64
5.3.2	<i>The process of learning</i>	69

5.3.3	<i>Selection of content for learning</i>	74
5.4	The social context of learning in the GEMP	87
5.4.1	<i>Participation in PBL group activities</i>	87
5.4.2	<i>Role of the facilitator</i>	92
5.4.3	<i>Developing a professional identity</i>	95
5.4.4	<i>The role of language and culture in learning</i>	96
	 Chapter 6: CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS	 101-115
6.1	Conclusions	101
6.1.1	<i>Theme 1 : Integrated Curricular Structure</i>	101
6.1.2	<i>Theme 2 : Access</i>	103
6.1.3	<i>Theme 3: Student Approaches to Learning</i>	103
6.2	Implications of the research findings	105
6.2.1	<i>The structure of knowledge and the integrated curriculum code</i>	105
6.2.2	<i>Curriculum as socially contextualised activity</i>	106
6.2.3	<i>Research in problem-based learning in medicine</i>	111
6.3	Limitations	112
6.4	Recommendations	113
6.5	Future research directions	114
	 REFERENCES	 116-123
	 APPENDICES	 124-137
	Appendix A: Questionnaire form	124
	Appendix B: Focus group questions	129
	Appendix C: Questionnaire responses	131