

**Labour Dispute Resolution in Relation to Dismissals for
Misconduct Heard in the Commission for Conciliation,
Mediation and Arbitration**

E D Khosa

**Labour Dispute Resolution in Relation to Dismissals for
Misconduct Heard in the Commission for Conciliation,
Mediation and Arbitration**

Eva Dorothy Khosa

A research report submitted to the Faculty of Management, University of the
Witwatersrand, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Masters of Management (in the field of Public and Development
Management)

August 2006

Declaration

I declare that this report is my own, unaided work. It is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Masters of Management (in the field of Public and Development Management) in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before any degree or examination in any other university or institution of higher learning.

Eva Dorothy Khosa

07 August 2006

ABSTRACT

Disputes between workers and their employers continue to take place in the country and elsewhere in the world. These disputes cause disruption in workplaces. The disputes are evident in the different sectors - in small and large enterprises, and in the public and the private sectors. The State has established institutions that are responsible for resolving labour disputes, like the Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration (CCMA). This institution does not only resolves disputes but also prevents them in order to have harmonious workplaces. The object of this research is to assess how the CCMA resolve labour disputes in relation to dismissals for misconduct, by exploring the management processes and the procedures employed. The research also compares the processes of labour dispute resolution in South Africa and in Namibia.

The research concludes that the management of dispute resolution of cases heard in the CCMA requires an upgrade in the institutional provisions for managing disputes. The findings of the research require the State and the CCMA in particular, to find ways of improving the institution's administrative processes in a manner that ensures efficient and effective dispute resolution.

The research recommends that the CCMA develop a comprehensive communication strategy between the institution and its users. There should be ongoing education and training on labour relations and also the CCMA processes, to both the CCMA users and the staff of the institution. Ongoing amendments to labour legislation should be implemented when necessary and that should be agreed between all role players.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my family, in particular my husband Godwin Khosa who has been supportive and encouraging throughout the period that I have spent towards studying for the degree, especially when conducting the research and finishing the report. I hope that this piece of work will be an inspiration to my two young boys, Xirimelo and Xihlala who have been patient throughout my studies. To my parents, Cedric and Constance Shilote, I really appreciate your words of encouragement throughout my life and my studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere gratitude goes to Advocate Robert Lagrange and Ms Barbara Adair, my supervisors for their guidance throughout conducting and finishing this research. I thank the Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration (CCMA) for allowing me to use some of its material, specifically Mr Nersan Govender who allowed me to take time off and finish the report. This could not have been possible without Anthea Edwards, who spent time generating some of the data that I have used for the research. I also thank the Gauteng officials who made their precious time available to feed into this piece of work. These include: Commissioners Ronelda Juries, Portia Molefe and Elias Hlongwane. I also thank the Gauteng registry staff and Ms Sandra Mathebula who shared their views with me concerning the research. I thank all my colleagues at the CCMA head office who I have had discussions with and access to their literature relating to this research. I appreciate the effort made by Ms Barbara English for editing the report. Above all I thank Almighty God for his guidance and giving me courage to finish this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Abbreviations	i
-----------------------	---

List of Tables	ii
----------------	----

CHAPTER 1: BACKGROUND TO THE RESEARCH

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	The Research Question	4
1.3	The Methodology	5
1.4	Limitations of the Research	7
1.5	Organisation of the Report	8

CHAPTER 2: A FRAMEWORK FOR EXPLORING LABOUR DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO DISMISSALS FOR MISCONDUCT HEARD IN THE CCMA

2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Brief History of Dispute Resolution in South Africa	12
2.3	The Establishment of the CCMA	14
2.4	Definition of a Labour Dispute	15
2.5	Dispute Resolution for Dismissal Cases Heard in the CCMA	16
2.6	Misconduct	17
2.7	Dismissal	17
2.8	Dismissal for Misconduct	19
2.9	Referring and Resolving Disputes at the CCMA for Cases of Dismissal for Misconduct	27
2.9.1	Conciliation in Cases of Dismissal for Misconduct	27
2.9.2	Arbitration in Cases of Dismissal for Misconduct	29
2.9.3	“Con-arb” in Cases of Dismissal for Misconduct	30
2.9.4	Pre-dismissal Arbitration in Cases of Dismissal for	

	Misconduct	32
2.9.5	Pre-conciliation Meeting (Tele-conciliation) in Cases of Dismissal for Misconduct	34
2.10	CCMA Jurisdiction on Misconduct Cases	35
2.11	Labour Dispute Resolution in Namibia	35
2.12	Conclusion	37

CHAPTER 3: CASE STUDIES ON LABOUR DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO CASES OF DISMISSALS FOR MISCONDUCT HEARD IN THE CCMA

3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Information Generated by “Esperant”	40
3.2.1	Sectors Referring Disputes to the CCMA	40
3.2.2	Outcome Category of Disputes	42
3.3	Views of the CCMA Officials	44
3.3.1	Most Common Misconduct Cases Referred to the CCMA	45
3.3.2	Most Common Reasons for Cases not heard on Specified Dates	45
3.3.3	Officials’ Impression of Cases not Proceeding on Scheduled Dates	45
3.3.4	Other General Comments Concerning the CCMA Processes and the Users	46
3.4	Views of the CCMA Users	47
3.4.1	Views Gathered from the Employee Parties	47
3.4.1.1	The Types of the Alleged Misconduct	48
3.4.1.2	The Outcome of the Process	48
3.4.1.3	The Settlement Amount	48
3.4.1.4	Costs Incurred in Resolving the Dispute	48
3.4.1.5	Preference between Conciliation and Arbitration as a Method of Dispute	

	Resolution in Dismissals for Misconduct	49
3.4.1.6	Labour Court Review	49
3.4.1.7	Employee's Views of the Commissioner in Charge of their Cases	49
3.4.1.8	Assistance with the Processes from the CCMA	50
3.4.2	Views Gathered from the Employer Parties	50
3.4.2.1	The types of the Alleged Misconduct	51
3.4.2.2	The Outcome of the Process	51
3.4.2.3	The Settlement Amount	51
3.4.2.4	Costs Incurred in Resolving the Dispute	52
3.4.2.5	Preference between Conciliation and Arbitration as a method of Dispute Resolution in Dismissals for Misconduct	52
3.4.2.6	Labour Court Review	52
3.4.2.7	Employers' Views of the Commissioner in Charge of their Cases	53
3.4.2.8	Assistance with the Processes from the CCMA	53
3.5	Conclusion	54

CHAPTER 4: ANALYSIS OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS

4.1	Introduction	55
4.2	Information Generated by "Esperant"	56
4.2.1	Sectors Referring Disputes to the CCMA	56
4.2.2	Outcome Category of Disputes	60
4.3	Views of the CCMA Officials	63
4.3.1	Most Common Misconduct Cases Referred to the CCMA	64
4.3.2	Most Common Reasons for Cases not being heard on Specified Dates	65
4.3.3	Officials' Impression of Cases not Proceeding on	

	Scheduled Dates	65
4.3.4	Other General Comments Concerning the CCMA Processes and the Users	65
4.4	Views of the CCMA Users	66
4.4.1	Comparison of the Views Gathered from the Employee and Employer Parties	67
4.4.1.1	The Types of the Alleged Misconduct	67
4.4.1.2	The Outcome of the Process	67
4.4.1.3	The Settlement Amount	68
4.4.1.4	Costs Incurred in Resolving the Dispute	69
4.4.1.5	Preference between Conciliation and Arbitration as a method of Dispute Resolution in Dismissals for Misconduct	70
4.4.1.6	Labour Court Reviews	70
4.4.1.7	Employers' and Employees' Views of the Commissioners in Charge of their Cases	71
4.4.1.8	Assistance with the Processes from the CCMA	72
4.5	Conclusion	73
CHAPTER 5:	CONCLUSION	75
LIST OF REFERENCES		80
LIST OF INTERVIEWS		83