

https://dspace.org/

Acceptance of online shopping by individuals in South African townships

Dzimati, Shorai

2018

https://hdl.handle.net/10539/27162

Dzimati, Shorai (2018) Acceptance of online shopping by individuals in South African townships, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, <http://hdl.handle.net/10539/27162>

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

ACCEPTANCE OF ONLINE SHOPPING BY INDIVIDUALS IN SOUTH AFRICAN TOWNSHIPS

Report corrections and how they were corrected

Submitted by:

Shorai Dzimati
1437167

Supervisor:

Professor Ray Kekwaletswe



Highlighted Correction Items by marker	Actions Done to Correct the Items by learner
Abstract - Have a leaner abstract with regards to the background and focus more on theoretical and methodological aspects of the research together with the practice and business implications. – (Page 2)	Revised the abstract and removed some of the background elements and added some aspects around the theoretical, methodological as well as practitioner and business implications. – (Page 2)
Chapter 1 - Further development to enrich the it with the potential practitioner contribution in South Africa – (Page 8)	Added more information to include the potential practitioner of the study in South Africa – (Page 8)
Chapter 1 - Correction of minor grammatical errors in – (Pages 8, 10 & 14)	Grammatical errors corrected – (Pages 8, 10 & 14)
Chapter 2 – Section of biometrics not necessary – (Page 22)	Section not removed from the report to further explain technology acceptance theories. – (Page 22)
Chapter 2 – Excess content about competing acceptance models – (Page 17 to 22)	Content not removed from the report to assist in explaining the different technology acceptance theories and models. – (Page 17 to 22)
Chapter 2 – Did not unpack the context-specific peculiarities of our economy and why online shopping – (Page 17 to 22)	Added a section to unpack the South African context-specific peculiarities and why online shopping – (Page 17 to 18)
Chapter 2 - No inclusion and explanation of systematic literature review (SLR) search terms – (Page 17 to 22)	Section for SLR added – (Page 18)
Chapter 3 – The justification for cross-sectional as opposed to longitudinal form not particularly strong – (Page 36)	Additional justification for choosing cross-sectional over longitudinal added – Page (36)
Chapter 3 – No research design limitations in the chapter – (Page 35 to 45)	Research design limitations added – (Page 37)
Chapter 3 – No explicit declaration that the pilot testing results would not be incorporated in the actual analysis – (Page 42 to 43)	Statement added to declare that pilot testing results would not be incorporated in the actual analysis – (Page 43)
Chapter 4 – Some comments on the sample size and response rate relative to the overall population would have benefited the reader – (Page 45 to 46)	Section on comments on the sample size and response rate has been added – (Page 46)
Chapter 4 – In the PCA section it was not made clear whether the five factors emerged automatically or were enforced through the SPSS – (Page 54 to 55)	A statement was added to make it clear that the five factors that emerged after the principal component analysis, emerged automatically without being enforced into SPSS – (Page 54 to 55)

Chapter 4 – It would be advisable to include the researcher's thoughts on potential reasons for the dropping of the construct of Effort Expectancy (EE) during principal component analysis. – (Page 54 – 55)	Researcher's thoughts regarding to the insignificance of Effort Expectancy and being dropped by SPSS during the principal component analysis. – (Page 55).
Chapter 5 – The marker did not get the sense of the study being confirmatory or contradictory – (Page 73 to 74)	Section supporting that the study was a confirmatory study was added – (Page 74)
Chapter 5 – The limitations should be expanded with reference to both research methods, theory and research precedent – (Page 77)	Additional information has been added to expand the limitations section – (Page 77)
Chapter 6 – Section for future research was a little light. It was important to mention re-including the moderating factors removed from UTAUT – (Page 81)	A section that mentions the re-inclusion of the excluded moderating factors of UTAUT has been added – (Page 82)