

https://dspace.org/

Strength evaluation of dry-stack masonry

Pave, Rogério Francisco

2008-09-25T12:47:21Z
http://hdl.handle.net/10539/5691

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

STRENGTH EVALUATION OF

DRY-STACK MASONRY

Rogério Francisco Pave

A research report submitted to the Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Science in Engineering.

Johannesburg, May 2007

DECLARATION

I declare that this dissertation is my own work. It is being submitted for the degree of Masters of Science in Engineering in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University.

Signature: _____

Date: May 7th, 2007

ABSTRACT

Accelerated dry-stack masonry construction is seen all over the world in the last two decades. Intense investigation on structural behaviour of dry-stack masonry is also seen worldwide. This research work, presents an investigation of the structural behaviour of Hydraform dry-stack masonry developed in South Africa. Unit compressive strength, masonry wall compressive strength and flexural resistance of dry-stack masonry/reinforced concrete beams were investigated.

Due to the interlocking mechanism nature of Hydraform dry-stack blocks, three different unit compressive testing methods were investigated. The methods are described as:

- Shoulder test;
- Centre test;
- Cube test.

Tests were carried out under different humidity conditions:

- Dry;
- Wet;
- Normal.

Influence of different cement contents within the block units and moisture contents were investigated. The study made proposal of Hydraform block unit grads to be used for design.

Compressive strength of dry-stack masonry walls was experimentally investigated. Dry-stack masonry wall specimens made by different block grades were subjected to in-plane vertical uniformly distributed load. Test results were used to establish dry-stack masonry characteristic compressive strength for several block grades.

Flexural strength of dry-stack masonry/reinforced concrete composite beams was investigated. Series of beams were tested for flexural resistance. Applicability of conventional reinforced masonry flexural analysis philosophy was established.

STATEMENT OF ACHIEVEMENT

The following technical papers were derived from this investigation:

1. Uzoegbo, H. C. and Pave, R. F. “Compressive Strength Evaluation of Compressed-Soil Cement Interlocking Blocks”, 4° Congresso Luso-Moçambicano de Engenharia, Maputo - 2005 (Published);

2. Pave, R. F. and Uzoegbo, H. C. “Strength Evaluation of Compressed Soil-Cement interlocking Masonry Unit and Walling System”, 3rd Young Concrete Engineer’s, Practitioners’ & Technologists’ Conference, Midrand - 2006 (Published);

3. Uzoegbo, H. C.; Senthivel, R. and Pave, R. F. “Seismic Behaviour of Single-Storey URM Buildings with Lightweight Steel Roof”, 7th International Masonry Conference of the British Masonry Society; London - 2006 (Published);

4. Pave, R. F. and Uzoegbo, H. C. “Flexural Strength Evaluation of Reinforced Interlocking Block Beams”, The Third International Masonry Conference on Structural Engineering, Mechanics and Computation, Cape Town, South Africa, 10 - 12 September 2007 (Published).

DEDICATION

To all my family, special to

Celeste and Nicol Pave,

“The essence of knowledge is, having it to apply it”

Confucius 551 - 497 BC

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank the following people and organizations for the contribution they made to make this research work a possibility:

Professor Uzoegbo my supervisor, for your simple but enriched advice, friendship and availability;

WITS Civil Engineering staff for technical assistance;

Faculdade de Engenharia da Univeridade Eduardo Mondlane and SATED Project for sponsoring my studies;

To Hydraform (Pty) Ltd for sponsoring the project and technical advice;

Mr. Chemane, General Director of Construtores Chemane, for financial support for my family during my studies;

Sing Sang, my friend and colleague in Mozambique and currently studying for PhD in Newcastle, for technical advice and friendship;

To Celeste and young Nicol Pave, whose existence has inspired me to finish my studies and come back home to join you;

Lastly but not the least, to all people and institutions for the contribution they made for this research work.

CONTENTS

DECLARATION …… II

ABSTRACT …… III

STATEMENT OF ACHIEVMENT …… V

DEDICATION …… VI

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS …… VII

CONTENTS …… VIII

LIST OF FIGURES …… XII

LIST OF TABLES …… XIV

LIST OF SYMBOLS …… XIV

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General …… 2

1.2 Research Methodology …… 4

1.3 Outline of the Research Work Report …… 5

CHAPTER 2

HYDRAFORM DRY-STACK CONSTRUCTION SYSTEM

2.1 General …… 8

2.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Dry-stack Masonry …… 8

2.3 Hydraform Block Unit Types …… 9

2.4 Typical Wall Construction Details …… 12

2.5 The Manufacturing Process …… 13

2.5.1 Soil Selection …… 13

2.5.2 Manufacturing, curing and transportation process ……..…..……14

2.6 Opportunities and Issues on Hydraform Dry-Stack System ……..……16

CHAPTER 3

BLOCK UNITS COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

3.1 General ……..…….. 19

3.2 Compressive Testing Methods for Hydraform Block Units …….. 20

3.3 Preparation of Specimens ……..24

3.4 Failure Mode for Unit Compressive Test ……..... 24

3.5 Test Results ……..…..….. 25

3.5.1 Wet specimens …… 26

3.5.2 Normal specimens ……..….. 27

3.5.3 Dry specimens ……. 28

3.5.4 Moisture influence on block strength …….. 28

3.6 Strength Relationship Between Full and Corner Block Units ……...29

3.7 Relationship Between Shoulder and Centre Loading Test ……..……..... 30

3.8 Remarks From Test Results ……... 30

3.9 Empirical Expressions to Predict the Unit Strength …….….. 32

3.10 Recommendations to Create Hydraform Standard Units …….….. 34

CHAPTER 4

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF DRY-STACK MASONRY PANEL

4.1 General ……..…….. 36

4.2 Conventional Masonry Panels Testing Procedure and Requirements ……. 36

4.3 Hydraform Dry-stack Panels Testing ……..…..…….. 39

4.3.1 Preparation of specimens …….…… 39

4.4 Failure Mode for Masonry Wall Compressive Test ……..….. 40

4.5 Prism Test ……..... 42

4.6 Test Results …….……..….. 44

4.7 Relationship Between Conventional and Dry-stack Compressive Strength…….. 44

4.8 Empirical Expressions for Masonry Strength …….. 46

4.9 Characteristic Compressive Strength for Dry-stack Masonry …….….. 47

CHAPTER 5

FLEXURAL RESISTANCE OF DRY-STACK MASONRY/REINFORCED CONCRETE COMPOSITE BEAMS

5.1 General …….….. 50

5.2 Flexural Resistance of Hydraform Dry-stack Masonry/Reinforced Concrete Composite Beams …….. 51

5.3 Shear Action and Resistance …….….. 53

5.4 Experimental Testing …….. 55

5.4.1 Test specimens …….. 55

5.5 Test Rig Arrangement ……..……..….. 57

5.6 Failure Mode for Dry-stack Masonry/Reinforced Concrete Composite beams …. 59

5.7 Test Results and Discussion ……..….. 62

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS …….….. 66

CHAPTER 7

REFERENCES ……..……..……..…….. 70

APPENDIX A

Block Unit Compressive Test Results ……..…….. 1 - A

APPENDIX B

Dry-stack reinforced beam design ……...……...….. 1 - B

APPENDIX C

Live Projects Using Hydraform Building System ……..……..….. 1 - C

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1 Full scale dry-stack block unit ……..... 10

2.2 Corner dry-stack block unit …….…….…..... 10

2.3 Full scale partition dry-stack block unit …….……..... 11

2.4 Full scale conduit block units……..…….…..…..... 11

2.5 Full scale and corner conduit and grout block unit ……..…….…….…..... 12

2.6 Mobile block making machine …….…….…..... 12

2.7 Typical construction detail of Hydraform dry-stack system…….…….…..... 13

2.8 Block manufacturing, curing and storage activities in block yard …….…….…..... 15

2.9 Block stacking and covering process……..... 15

3.1 Hydraform blocks stacked to form a wall …….……..... 20

3.2 Shoulder loading of full and corner blocks ……..…….…..... 21

3.3 Centre loading of full and corner blocks …….…..…….…..... 21

3.4 Compressive loading of cubes ……..……..... 22

3.5 Cube positions within a full block …….……... 22

3.6 Test Specimens ……..…….…..……..... 23

3.7 Tinius Olsen testing machine……..…….…..……..... 23

3.8 Cube failure mechanism …….……..... 24

3.9 Block unit failure mechanism on shoulder testing …….……..... 25

3.10 Block unit failure mechanism on centre testing ……..……..... 25

3.11 Wet specimens' test results ……..……..……..... 27

3.12 Normal specimens' test results …….……..….. 27

3.13 Dry specimens' test results ……..…..……..... 28

3.14 Dry, normal and wet specimens' test results ……..... 29

3.15 Full vs. corner block unit strength …….……..... 29

3.16 Comparison of results from different test methods …….……..…..…..... 30

3.17 Test versus empirical results ……...……..... 33

4.1 Wall panel construction details and strain gauge positions ……..... 40

4.2 Wall plane view - failure line……...…….. 41

4.3 Cross section view of top cracks ……..... 41

4.4 Failure mode of panel made of low strength units ……..... 42

4.5 Prism failure mode when loaded at shoulders ……..…..... 42

4.6 Prism failure mode when loaded at centre ……..….... 43

4.7 Test vs. empirical results …….…….. 46

5.1 Flexural analysis of reinforced concrete sections …….…….…..... 51

5.2 Flexural analysis of reinforced masonry sections ……..... 52

5.3 Shear stress distribution on a rectangular section …….……..... 54

5.4 Dry-stack reinforced beams ……..…….……..... 56

5.5 Dry-stack feature and reinforcement detail ……..…..……..... 56

5.6 Dry-stack reinforced beams specimen's cross sections ……..... 57

5.7 Testing rig detail ……..…….……..... 58

5.8 Photo and sketch of the simply supported beam and load detail ……..... 58

5.9 Vertical flexural cracks ……..... 59

5.10 Inclined crack ……..... 60

5.11 Lateral instability on non symmetric high section …….……..... 60

5.12 No lateral instability on symmetric section ……..……..... 61

5.13 Excessive deflections of partial dry-stack masonry section type 4 …….…..... 61

5.14 Experimental versus theoretical results ……..... 63

5.15 Beam maximum displacements ……..... 63

LIST OF TABLES

2.1 Basic soil requirements for Hydraform block production ……..…..... 14

3.1 Compressive strength test results …….……..…..... 26

3.2 Hydraform block unit compressive strength ……... 34

4.1 Reduction factor, Ψ_m, for mortar strength ……..…..... 39

4.2 Dry-stack masonry compressive test results …….…….. 44

4.3 Dry-stack vs. conventional masonry strength ……..... 45

4.4 Hydraform dry-stack masonry mean and characteristic compressive strength ... 48

4.5 Hydraform dry-stack masonry characteristic compressive strength ……... 48

5.1 Dry-stack masonry/reinforced concrete composite beam test results …….…..... 62

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Cross section area
A_s	Area of tensile reinforcement
A_{si}	Cross section area of reinforcement of layer i
A_{sv}	Cross section area of resisting legs of a link
b	Cross section width
-	Percentage of cement content by volume in dry mixture
	Effective depth of a section
	Reinforcement modulus of elasticity
	Cube/block unit mean compressive strength
	Panel mean compressive test load
	Masonry characteristic compressive strength

f_m	The mean compressive strength of dry-stack wall panel
f_y	Reinforcement steel characteristic yield strength
f_{yv}	Characteristic strength of reinforcement links
h	Panel height
k	Loading method factor
L	Panel length
p_o	Specified compressive strength
p_1	Acceptance limit for compressive strength
p_u	Unit mean compressive strength obtained on laboratory
s_{sv}	Spacing of the links
t	Humidity conditions factor
t	Panel thickness
V	Acting shear force due to applied loads
ν^{acting}	Acting shear stress at a given cross section
$\nu^{reis\tan ce}$	Shear resistance stress at a given cross section
ν_c	Shear resistance attributed to plain concrete
ν_{sv}	Shear resistance attributed to shear reinforcement links
	Empirical material factor
	Reduction factor for strength of mortar
	Unit reduction factor
	Coefficient which accounts for the deviations in the masonry strength
	Coefficient adopted to correct x from the really parabolic stress-strain diagram to the approximate rectangular diagram

x	Depth of the compression zone of the section
$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{si}(x)$	Reinforcement strain on layer i and is a function of the neutral axis position x
ε_y	Yield strain for reinforcement
γ_{ms}	Safety coefficient for reinforcement
γ_m	Partial safety factor for material