

# **D E C L A R A T I O N**

I declare that this thesis is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Master of Science in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University.

.....

Signature of candidate

..... day of ..... 2005

## ABSTRACT

The analysis of micromammal remains from the MSA deposits at Sibudu Cave, KwaZulu-Natal, constitutes the first in-depth study of the micromammal assemblage at this site. This study highlights the usefulness of taphonomic analyses and micromammal assemblages in the reconstruction of past environments. Little digestive etching suggests that *Tyto alba*, *Tyto capensis* and *Asio capensis*, are the most likely nocturnal raptors responsible for the accumulation of the assemblage. The environmental information from the Sibudu micromammal assemblage showed similarities with other proxy site data from charcoal, seed and macrofaunal analyses. These studies indicate that the immediate environment around Sibudu Cave was very similar at  $53.4 \pm 3.2$  kyr (OSL) and  $35.2 \pm 1.8$  kyr (OSL), consisting of a complex mosaic environment, dominated by open savannah grassland with a small woodland and riverine element.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere thanks to Prof. Lyn Wadley (University of the Witwatersrand) and Dr. Margaret Avery (Iziko Museum Cape Town) for their patience, dedication and supervision, without which, this project would not have been possible. I would like to thank the Transvaal Museum and its staff, who greatly assisted this project by providing access to their microfaunal collection for comparative material.

I gratefully acknowledge the Palaeo-Anthropology Scientific Trust, National Research Foundation, and the Wits Postgraduate Merit Award for their generous financial assistance.

Numerous people have assisted me, lending their time, expertise, and friendship, enabling the successful completion of this project. Frank Senegas, from the Transvaal Museum, deserves my overwhelming gratitude for his unflagging patience and taking me under his wing. Thanks to Dr. Chester Cain from ACACIA for his guidance and lending his expertise, which were invaluable and taught me much. My grateful thanks also go to experts in their various fields: Dr. Lucy Allott (charcoal analyses), Dr. Job Kibii (fauna), Dr. Mike Raath (for providing comparative material), Dr. Sally Reynolds and Christine Scott. Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Laura Glenny, for her love, patience, dedication, and moral support during this endeavour.

Dedicated to my son.

## LIST OF TABLES

|                  |                                                                                             |    |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>Table 1.1</b> | A summary of modification caused by digestion on molars and incisors.....                   | 10 |
| <b>Table 2.1</b> | Current interpretation of stratigraphy in the north and east of Sibudu excavation grid..... | 18 |
| <b>Table 4.1</b> | Assemblage frequencies and percentages per layer..                                          | 27 |
| <b>Table 4.2</b> | Percentages of the total postcranial assemblage represented by various elements.....        | 28 |
| <b>Table 4.3</b> | Percentages of the total postcranial assemblage represented in each layer.....              | 29 |
| <b>Table 4.4</b> | Percentages of the total cranial assemblage represented in each layer.....                  | 30 |
| <b>Table 4.5</b> | Cranial elements used in species identification.....                                        | 34 |
| <b>Table 4.6</b> | Percentage representation of total bone in each square.....                                 | 36 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|                   |                                                                                                                                                                            |    |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>Figure 2.1</b> | Position of Sibudu Cave.....                                                                                                                                               | 15 |
| <b>Figure 2.2</b> | Plan View of Sibudu Cave.....                                                                                                                                              | 16 |
| <b>Figure 4.1</b> | SMF: 1054. Lingual view of a mandible of <i>Otomys irroratus</i> from layer YSp.....                                                                                       | 31 |
| <b>Figure 4.2</b> | SMF: 1772. Occlusal view of a maxilla of <i>Otomys irroratus</i> from layer Co.....                                                                                        | 31 |
| <b>Figure 4.3</b> | SMF: 1135. Lingual view of a mandible of <i>Mastomys natalensis</i> from layer RSp.....                                                                                    | 32 |
| <b>Figure 4.4</b> | SMF: 1830. Occlusal view of a maxilla of <i>Mastomys natalensis</i> from layer RSp.....                                                                                    | 32 |
| <b>Figure 4.5</b> | SMF: 1861. Basal view of a partial cranium and buccal view of a mandible of <i>Myosorex varius</i> from layer RSp.....                                                     | 33 |
| <b>Figure 4.6</b> | SMF: 1855. Lingual view of a partial mandible of <i>Otomys irroratus</i> from the layer RSp, showing the degree of breakage and etching modifications on the incisors..... | 33 |