

Market entry and competitive advantage of new solar ventures in South Africa

Juan Labuschagne

309084

Juan.Labuschagne@students.wits.ac.za

**Submitted to the Faculty of Commerce, Law and Management, University
of the Witwatersrand, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Business Administration**

Johannesburg, 2025

KEYWORDS

solar energy, South Africa, market entry, competitive advantage

Approved: DR HORNE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
----------------------------	-----------

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	vii
-----------------------------------	------------

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	9
--------------------------------------	----------

1.1	STATEMENT OF PURPOSE	9
1.2	BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY	9
1.3	RESEARCH PROBLEM	9
1.4	RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	10
1.5	RATIONALE.....	10
1.6	DELIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	11
1.7	DEFINITION OF TERMS	11
1.8	ASSUMPTIONS	12
1.9	CHAPTER OUTLINE.....	13
	THE CHAPTERS OF THIS REPORT ARE STRUCTURED AS FOLLOWS:	13
	CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	13
	CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	14
	CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	14
	CHAPTER 4: RESULTS.....	15
	CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	15
	CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	15

CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	16
---	-----------

2.1	INTRODUCTION	16
2.2	DEFINITION OF TOPIC OR BACKGROUND DISCUSSION	16
2.3	WHAT MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES CHARACTERISE SUCCESSFUL GLOBAL SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES?	17
2.3.1	PROPOSITION 1	17
2.4	WHAT ARE THE MOST SUCCESSFUL MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES EMPLOYED BY EMERGING SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA?.....	17
2.4.1	PROPOSITION 2	18
2.5	WHAT ARE THE INITIAL BARRIERS TO MARKET ENTRY WITHIN SOUTH AFRICA'S SOLAR ENERGY SECTOR?	18
2.5.1	PROPOSITION 3	19
2.6	WHICH INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL FACTORS MOST SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THE SUCCESS OF NEW SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA? ..	19
2.6.1	PROPOSITION 4	19
2.7	ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK	19
2.7.1	THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	20
2.7.2	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	21

2.8	CONCLUSION OF LITERATURE REVIEW	22
2.8.1	PROPOSITION 1	23
2.8.2	PROPOSITION 2	23
2.8.3	PROPOSITION 3	23
2.8.4	PROPOSITION 4	23

CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY24

3.1	RESEARCH APPROACH	24
3.1.1	WHY A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH APPROACH IS APPROPRIATE	24
3.1.2	IMPLEMENTATION FOR THIS STUDY	24
3.2	RESEARCH DESIGN	25
3.2.1	STUDY DESIGN JUSTIFICATION	25
3.2.2	ADVANTAGES OF THE DESIGN	25
3.2.3	DISADVANTAGES OF THE DESIGN	25
3.3	DATA COLLECTION METHODS	26
	JUSTIFICATION FOR DATA COLLECTION METHODS:	26
3.4	POPULATION AND SAMPLE.....	26
3.5	THE RESEARCH INSTRUMENT	27
3.6	PROCEDURE FOR DATA COLLECTION	27
3.7	DATA ANALYSIS STRATEGIES AND INTERPRETATION	28
3.8	QUALITY ASSURANCE.....	29
3.8.1	EXTERNAL TRANSFERABILITY.....	29
3.8.2	INTERNAL CREDIBILITY	29
3.8.3	DEPENDABILITY	29
3.9	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	30

CHAPTER 4. RESULTS.....32

4.1	MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES CHARACTERISING SUCCESSFUL GLOBAL SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES	34
4.1.1	INNOVATIVE MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES	34
4.1.2	FINANCIAL INNOVATION FOR ACCESSIBILITY	35
4.1.3	STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS AND COLLABORATION.....	35
4.1.4	TECHNOLOGICAL LEADERSHIP AND INNOVATION MANAGEMENT	36
4.2	MOST SUCCESSFUL MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES EMPLOYED BY EMERGING SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA	36
4.2.1	INNOVATIVE FINANCIAL MODELS FOR MARKET PENETRATION.....	36
4.2.2	STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR RESOURCES AND SCALABILITY	37
4.2.3	REGULATORY ADAPTATION AND ALIGNMENT WITH POLICY FRAMEWORKS.....	37
4.2.4	TARGETED MARKET SEGMENTATION AND TAILORED OFFERINGS.....	38
4.2.5	TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AS A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE	38
4.3	INITIAL BARRIERS TO MARKET ENTRY WITHIN SOUTH AFRICA'S SOLAR ENERGY SECTOR.....	39
4.3.1	HIGH FINANCIAL BARRIERS AND INVESTMENT CHALLENGES.....	39
4.3.2	COMPLEX REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT AND POLICY UNCERTAINTY	39
4.3.3	GRID CONNECTIVITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITATIONS	40
4.3.4	LACK OF MARKET EDUCATION AND CONSUMER AWARENESS	40
4.3.5	ECONOMIC INSTABILITY AND COMPETITIVE PRESSURE	41

4.4	INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL FACTORS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING THE SUCCESS OF NEW SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA.....	41
4.4.1	TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AND PRODUCT QUALITY	41
4.4.2	BUSINESS PROCESS INNOVATION AND CUSTOMER-CENTRIC APPROACHES	42
4.4.3	SUSTAINABILITY AND SCALABILITY.....	42
4.4.4	ADAPTABILITY AND RISK MANAGEMENT.....	43
4.4.5	STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS AND COLLABORATION.....	43
4.4.6	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT	43

CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION45

5.1	MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES CHARACTERISING SUCCESSFUL GLOBAL SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES	45
5.1.1	INNOVATIVE MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES	45
5.1.2	FINANCIAL INNOVATION FOR ACCESSIBILITY	46
5.1.3	STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS AND COLLABORATION.....	46
5.1.4	TECHNOLOGICAL LEADERSHIP AND INNOVATION MANAGEMENT	46
5.2	MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES EMPLOYED BY EMERGING SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA	46
5.2.1	INNOVATIVE FINANCIAL MODELS FOR MARKET PENETRATION.....	47
5.2.2	STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR RESOURCES AND SCALABILITY	47
5.2.3	REGULATORY ADAPTATION AND ALIGNMENT WITH POLICY FRAMEWORKS.....	47
5.2.4	TARGETED MARKET SEGMENTATION AND TAILORED OFFERINGS.....	47
5.2.5	TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AS A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE	48
5.3	INITIAL BARRIERS TO MARKET ENTRY WITHIN SOUTH AFRICA'S SOLAR ENERGY SECTOR.....	48
5.3.1	HIGH FINANCIAL BARRIERS AND INVESTMENT CHALLENGES.....	48
5.3.2	COMPLEX REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT AND POLICY UNCERTAINTY	48
5.3.3	GRID CONNECTIVITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITATIONS	49
5.3.4	LACK OF MARKET EDUCATION AND CONSUMER AWARENESS	49
5.3.5	ECONOMIC INSTABILITY AND COMPETITIVE PRESSURE.....	49
5.4	INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL FACTORS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING THE SUCCESS OF NEW SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA.....	49
5.4.1	TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AND PRODUCT QUALITY	50
5.4.2	BUSINESS PROCESS INNOVATION AND CUSTOMER-CENTRIC APPROACHES	50
5.4.3	SUSTAINABILITY AND SCALABILITY.....	50
5.4.4	ADAPTABILITY AND RISK MANAGEMENT.....	50
5.4.5	STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS AND COLLABORATION.....	51
5.4.6	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT	51

CHAPTER 6. CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....52

6.1.1	WHAT MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES CHARACTERISE SUCCESSFUL GLOBAL SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES?	52
6.1.2	WHAT ARE THE MOST SUCCESSFUL MARKET ENTRY STRATEGIES EMPLOYED BY EMERGING SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA?	52
6.1.3	WHAT ARE THE INITIAL BARRIERS TO MARKET ENTRY WITHIN SOUTH AFRICA'S SOLAR ENERGY SECTOR?	53
6.1.4	WHICH INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL FACTORS MOST SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THE SUCCESS OF NEW SOLAR ENERGY VENTURES IN SOUTH AFRICA?.....	53
6.2	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	53
6.3	RECOMMENDATIONS	54

REFERENCES	56
APPENDIX A Research instrument.....	67
APPENDIX B Consent form.....	71
APPENDIX C Participant information sheet.....	73

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Profile of participants.....	32
---------------------------------------	----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CEO – Chief Executive Officer

C&I – Commercial and Industrial

DFIs – Development Finance Institutions

PPA – Power Purchase Agreement

PV – Photovoltaic

REIPPPP – Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme

SSEG – Small Scale Embedded Generation

SMEs – Small- to Medium-sized Enterprises

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Statement of purpose

The purpose of this study is to explore market entry and the competitive advantage of new solar ventures in South Africa.

1.2 Background of the study

The imperative to diversify South Africa's energy portfolio beyond its traditional coal dependency has positioned solar power as a strategic priority for the nation's energy security framework (Mulaudzi, Muchie, & Makhado, 2012). The ongoing energy crisis has emerged as a crucial catalyst, necessitating an accelerated transition toward renewable energy solutions to foster economic stability and growth (Berahab, 2022). This shift is facilitated by progressive regulatory reforms that have lowered barriers to entry for solar initiatives, while simultaneously delivering substantial socio-economic and environmental advantages (Tladi et al., 2024; Hansen et al., 2020). The sector's transformation is further propelled by the global decline in photovoltaic (PV) technology costs, coupled with heightened environmental consciousness, marking a decisive evolution toward sustainable energy practices (Dobrotkova et al., 2018).

1.3 Research problem

Main Research Problem: The study aims to analyse how new solar ventures in South Africa can overcome market entry challenges and secure a competitive position while supporting the nation's shift to renewable energy.

The sub problems are as follows:

- a) Sub problem 1:
Analyse successful strategies and patterns from global solar ventures that influence market presence.
- b) Sub problem 2:

Examine market entry strategies of South Africa's emerging solar ventures and those leading to success.

c) Sub problem 3:

Explore initial barriers for solar companies in South Africa, understanding their origins and overcoming strategies.

d) Sub problem 4:

Assess internal and external factors affecting the success of new solar ventures within South Africa's solar energy sector.

1.4 Research questions

- a) What market entry strategies and competitive advantages characterise successful global solar energy ventures?
- b) What are the most successful market entry strategies employed by emerging solar energy ventures in South Africa?
- c) What are the initial barriers to market entry within South Africa's solar energy sector?
- d) Which internal and external factors most significantly affect the success of new solar energy ventures in South Africa?

1.5 Rationale

This research examines the evolution and expansion of solar energy enterprises in South Africa, contextualised within the concurrent challenges of a national energy crisis and global sustainability imperatives. The study's significance is underpinned by three key factors:

Firstly, South Africa's persistent dependence on coal-based power generation has resulted in chronic electricity supply constraints, creating an urgent imperative for renewable energy alternatives. Secondly, the expanding solar sector, bolstered by increasing investment flows and enabling policy frameworks, presents a unique opportunity to investigate entrepreneurial dynamics within an emerging market undergoing energy transition. Thirdly, the findings aim to inform policy development, enhance solar venture viability strategies, and advance digital business practices through the lens of technological innovation in energy systems.

The research seeks to generate actionable insights for promoting energy sustainability and addressing market barriers faced by solar ventures, thereby making a substantial contribution to the broader discourse on energy transition and sector transformation.

1.6 Delimitations of the study

The research design adopts a phenomenological qualitative approach, utilising semi-structured interviews to investigate the expansion of South Africa's solar energy sector, with particular emphasis on ventures contributing to energy crisis mitigation. The study's scope encompasses solar energy enterprises established within the past decade, examining their market penetration strategies and competitive positioning.

The research population comprises founders and senior executives of solar energy firms, selected based on their strategic involvement in South Africa's solar sector. This targeted sampling approach enables deep insights into the national context while acknowledging the limitations of this geographical focus.

While the study draws on global industry context for broader perspective, it explicitly excludes comparative international analysis meaning it does not examine or contrast South Africa's solar energy sector with those of other countries. Additionally, the study does not address other renewable energy sources or focus on individual performance metrics. The theoretical framework is anchored in strategic management and entrepreneurship literature, specifically examining competitive advantage development and market entry strategies within the context of South Africa's energy transition landscape.

1.7 Definition of terms

Competitive advantage encompasses distinctive organisational attributes enabling market superiority over competitors through technological innovation, cost efficiency, product quality, brand strength or service excellence (Barney, 1991; Porter, 1980; Nybakk & Jenssen, 2012). In solar energy, these advantages are crucial for superior operational efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

Market entry strategies represent methodical approaches for introducing products or services to new markets via direct investment, partnerships, joint ventures or franchising, specifically adapted to South Africa's unique energy sector constraints and opportunities (Kotler & Keller, 2016).

Policy and regulatory environment constitutes the legislative and regulatory framework governing energy sector operations, including licensing, subsidies and tax incentives that influence solar energy ventures (South African Department of Energy, 2019).

Renewable energy policies comprise targeted regulations promoting renewable energy adoption through feed-in tariffs, certificates and financial mechanisms designed to support sustainable energy projects (REN21, 2019).

Solar energy ventures encompass organisations involved in solar energy production, distribution and retail, including PV manufacturers, solar farm operators, technology developers and installation service providers (Yin, 2014).

Technological innovation in solar energy involves advancing PV cells, thermal systems and storage solutions to enhance efficiency, reduce costs and improve renewable energy viability (Zahra & Nielsen, 2002).

1.8 Assumptions

This research examines South Africa's emerging solar energy sector through several key assumptions. It posits that industry participants' experiences reflect common sectoral challenges and strategies, whilst acknowledging individual variations. Whilst regulatory framework changes are considered fundamental to market evolution, the study recognises the influence of technological advancement and global trends. The research applies established strategic and market entry theories to the South African context, understanding local conditions may affect their relevance. The methodology assumes participants' candid engagement whilst acknowledging potential response bias. Though focused on South Africa's unique environment, the study aims to generate insights with broader applicability, albeit with appropriate contextual considerations.

1.9 Chapter Outline

The chapters of this report are structured as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction

- a) **Statement of purpose:** This section outlines the primary aim of the study, which is to explore market entry and the competitive advantage of new solar ventures in South Africa.
- b) **Background of the study:** This part provides context for the study, discussing the imperative to diversify South Africa's energy portfolio beyond coal dependency and the role of solar power in the nation's energy security framework.
- c) **Research problem:** This section identifies the main research problem, which is to analyze how new solar ventures in South Africa can overcome market entry challenges and secure a competitive position.
- d) **Research questions:** This part lists the specific research questions that the study aims to answer, such as the market entry strategies and competitive advantages of successful global solar energy ventures.
- e) **Rationale:** This section explains the significance of the research, highlighting the importance of solar energy in addressing South Africa's energy crisis and the potential benefits of the study's findings.
- f) **Delimitations of the study:** This part outlines the scope and limitations of the study, including the focus on solar energy enterprises established within the past decade and the exclusion of comparative international analysis.
- g) **Definition of terms:** This section provides definitions for key terms used in the study, such as competitive advantage, market entry strategies, and renewable energy policies.
- h) **Assumptions:** This part discusses the assumptions underlying the research, such as the influence of regulatory framework changes and the relevance of established strategic and market entry theories to the South African context.

- i) **Chapter outline:** This section provides an overview of the structure of the report, listing the chapters and their contents.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

- a) **Introduction:** Explores the dynamics of market entry strategies and competitive advantages in the solar energy sector, focusing on global insights and specific challenges within South Africa.
- b) **Global market entry strategies and competitive advantages:** Analyses strategic management models, technological selection, and energy storage solutions as keys to global solar energy ventures' success.
- c) **Market entry strategies in South Africa:** Highlights the importance of aligning with local policies, leveraging financial and regulatory incentives, and adapting to local market demand for successful market entry.
- d) **Barriers to market entry:** Identifies major obstacles such as Eskom's resistance, regulatory hurdles, and socio-economic factors impacting solar energy ventures in South Africa.
- e) **Internal and external factors affecting success:** Discusses how financial landscapes, policy support, market demand, and innovation influence the viability of solar ventures.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

- a) **Research approach:** Justifies the qualitative approach for exploring market entry, competitive advantages, and barriers in the solar energy sector, emphasising the use of semi-structured interviews.
- b) **Research design:** Details the adoption of semi-structured interviews for a nuanced exploration of the sector, underscoring advantages such as depth of insight and flexibility to adjust to emerging themes.
- c) **Data collection methods:** Outlines procedures for conducting interviews with stakeholders, from participant recruitment to data analysis, highlighting thematic analysis as the core methodology.
- d) **Population and sample:** Describes the purposive sampling method for selecting industry professionals with direct experience and insights into solar energy ventures.

- e) **Ethical considerations:** Addresses ethical concerns, participant confidentiality, and the process of obtaining informed consent.

Chapter 4: Results

- a) **Overview of participants:** Summarises participant roles and diversity to contextualise findings.
- b) **Market entry strategies:** Presents identified strategies such as financial innovation, partnerships, and technology adoption.
- c) **Barriers to entry:** Details major obstacles, including regulatory challenges, grid limitations, and consumer awareness gaps.
- d) **Internal and external success factors:** Highlights factors like sustainability, adaptability, and socio-economic impact.

Chapter 5: Discussion

- a) **Strategic insights:** Analyses the role of innovative models and partnerships in overcoming market barriers.
- b) **Barriers and opportunities:** Explores the interplay between challenges and strategies employed by solar ventures.
- c) **Emerging themes:** Discusses patterns across data, such as the impact of financial and technological innovation on market success.
- d) **Comparison with literature:** Links findings to existing research, validating or challenging established theories.

Chapter 6: Conclusion, limitations and recommendations

- a) **Conclusion:** Synthesises key insights on market strategies, barriers, and success factors, addressing the research questions.
- b) **Limitations:** Reflects on the study's scope, methodological constraints, and the evolving nature of the solar sector.
- c) **Recommendations:** Offers actionable advice for industry stakeholders and suggestions for future research, focusing on innovation, regulatory alignment, and infrastructure development.

CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Introduction

The evolving solar energy landscape presents distinct opportunities and challenges for market penetration and competitive positioning, particularly within South Africa. This review synthesises key research findings on strategic approaches and market barriers. Foundational theories on strategic management and technological innovation in solar energy emerge from Kunz and Warren (2011) and Kapoor and Furr (2015). Within the South African context, Brent & Pretorius (2011) and Baker & Wlokas (2015) highlight the criticality of policy alignment and market dynamics. Ting & Byrne (2020) and Kenny et al. (2015) examine regulatory hurdles and utility resistance, whilst Baker (2015) and Zhang & White (2016) explore the complex interplay of factors influencing solar venture success in South Africa's energy market.

2.2 Definition of topic or background discussion

This research examines market entry and competitive positioning strategies within South Africa's emerging solar sector. It builds upon Kunz & Warren's (2011) innovation management framework and Kapoor & Furr's (2015) insights on localising technologies and strategies. The investigation centres on solar ventures' navigation of an evolving marketplace.

Market entry strategies prove essential for overcoming sectoral barriers and establishing market presence (Kunz & Warren, 2011; Tsai, Wu, & Ho, 2013). Competitive advantage, achieved through distinctive organisational capabilities (Kuik, Branger, & Quirion, 2019; Mappangara & Kartini, 2019), emerges from technological innovation and strategic scaling. Sustainability remains fundamental to long-term venture viability and social impact (Elmustapha & Hoppe, 2020).

The focus on market entry and competitive advantage strategies provides a framework for analysing solar venture success factors, contributing to the broader discourse on South Africa's energy transition.

2.3 What market entry strategies and competitive advantages characterise successful global solar energy ventures?

Kunz and Warren (2011) outline technology lifecycle management for market entry, whilst Kapoor and Furr (2015) examine strategic technology positioning. Awate, Ajith, and Ajwani-Ramchandani (2018) explore innovation strategies enabling emerging market firms to compete with established players. Tsai, Wu, and Ho (2013) emphasise local market knowledge through their Chinese market analysis, complemented by Kittner, Lill, and Kammen's (2017) focus on storage innovation.

Competitive advantage stems from innovation and market responsiveness (Kuik, Branger, & Quirion, 2019). Holaschutz (2012) and Mappangara & Kartini (2019) analyse national strategies and firm-level differentiation, whilst Kim (2021) examines policy influences on sector growth. Porter's Diamond Model applications by Dögl, Holtbrügge, and Schuster (2012), Dögl and Holtbrügge (2010), and Widianoro et al. (2011) underscore the importance of local market alignment.

This literature emphasises strategic management and market adaptation as crucial for competitive positioning in solar energy markets.

2.3.1 Proposition 1

Global solar ventures succeed by applying innovative management, choosing strategies and technologies that meet industry demands and adapting to new markets through local insights.

2.4 What are the most successful market entry strategies employed by emerging solar energy ventures in South Africa?

South Africa's expanding solar sector presents a compelling context for market entry analysis. Success hinges on technological innovation and sustainable practices (Brent & Pretorius, 2011; Batinge et al., 2017), with strategies spanning industrial and commercial markets (Brent & Pretorius, 2011). Regulatory frameworks, trade dynamics and national policies shape competitive positions in technology and innovation (Kuik et al., 2019; Holaschutz, 2012; Mappangara & Kartini, 2019; Kim, 2021), whilst Porter's Diamond Model evaluates market-strategy alignment (Dögl et al., 2012; Widianoro et al., 2011).

Regional market intelligence, particularly in the Western Cape, proves crucial (Votteler et al., 2014), complemented by strategic flexibility in niche markets (Markham, 2018; Clark, 2011). Davy's (2023) analysis of latecomer firms emphasises the value of local expertise and technological innovation.

This literature advocates strategic innovation and market adaptation aligned with renewable energy trends for competitive advantage in South Africa's solar landscape.

2.4.1 Proposition 2

Emerging solar ventures in South Africa succeed by aligning with renewable policies, using financial and regulatory incentives, innovating in technology, and adapting to local demands and competition.

2.5 What are the initial barriers to market entry within South Africa's solar energy sector?

South Africa's solar sector faces significant entry barriers. Eskom's resistance to renewables and entrenched coal dependencies challenge market penetration (Ting & Byrne, 2020; Kenny et al., 2015), whilst policy and regulatory complexities necessitate clearer frameworks for solar adoption (Ansari et al., 2013; Medina et al., 2015). However, there have been significant strides in the adoption of solar energy. Government initiatives, such as the relaxation of requirements for private power generation and the introduction of tax rebates for solar panel installations, have facilitated a rapid increase in rooftop solar PV capacity (Tladi, Kambule, & Modley (2024)).

Financial obstacles, including high initial costs and limited funding access, impede new entrants (Rai, Reeves, & Margolis, 2016). Technical challenges encompass grid infrastructure inadequacies and integration issues (Strupeit & Palm, 2016; Haas et al., 2018).

Consumer awareness and market education require enhancement (Rai, Reeves, & Margolis, 2016), whilst socio-economic factors, including workforce capabilities and local manufacturing capacity, remain crucial for sector development (Nikas et al., 2020; Al-Mimar, 2016).

2.5.1 Proposition 3

South Africa's solar sector faces barriers like complex regulations, financial hurdles, infrastructure challenges, low demand, and socio-economic issues. Addressing these requires policy reform, financial support, infrastructure upgrades, awareness, and workforce training.

2.6 Which internal and external factors most significantly affect the success of new solar energy ventures in South Africa?

Success in South Africa's evolving solar sector depends on both external factors, including financial and policy frameworks, and internal capabilities. Baker (2015) emphasises the critical role of finance and policy in enabling large-scale solar deployment, whilst Krupa & Burch (2011) advocate for clear policies facilitating adoption. Financial access barriers and risk perception remain significant challenges, with government support and incentives proving crucial (Baker, 2015).

Market demand, consumer awareness and modern grid infrastructure are fundamental to solar integration (Malen & Marcus, 2017; Provance, Donnelly, & Carayannis, 2011). Strategic partnerships, innovation and adaptable business models emerge as key internal success factors (Zhang & White, 2016; Provance, Donnelly, & Carayannis, 2011). Sustained innovation and skills development underpin economically viable, environmentally sustainable and socially inclusive business models (Malen & Marcus, 2017; Elmustapha & Hoppe, 2020).

2.6.1 Proposition 4

New solar ventures in South Africa thrive through a mix of external and internal factors: evolving finance, policy support, market and infrastructure readiness, alongside entrepreneurial initiatives, innovation, strategic planning, and tech adaptability.

2.7 Analytical framework

The analytical framework employs thematic analysis, a qualitative method adept at identifying patterns within textual data, particularly suited to examining complex, contextual topics in South Africa's solar sector.

Following Braun and Clarke's (2006) methodology, the analysis systematically codes qualitative data from semi-structured interviews with key industry stakeholders. An inductive approach clusters meaningful features into themes representing participant experiences. This process adheres to Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework: data familiarisation, initial coding, theme identification, theme review, theme definition, and reporting.

The framework incorporates triangulation principles to ensure finding reliability, cross-referencing themes across diverse participants from CEOs to technical developers (Nowell et al., 2017). This approach enables nuanced understanding of venture strategies and challenges whilst linking findings to broader theoretical contexts.

This methodology demonstrates efficacy in synthesising complex qualitative data into actionable insights, whilst ensuring findings remain grounded in practitioner experiences.

2.7.1 Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework synthesises established theories to examine market entry strategies, competitive advantages and new entrant challenges:

Innovation Diffusion Theory (Rogers, 1962) examines technology and business model propagation, focusing on adoption factors including technological advancement, policy frameworks and societal acceptance of renewable energy.

Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991) evaluates ventures' cultivation of internal resources and capabilities for competitive advantage, emphasising proprietary technologies, workforce expertise and strategic partnerships.

Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) explores regulatory and policy impacts on ventures, examining how institutional norms shape renewable energy business strategies.

Porter's Five Forces Model (Porter, 1980) assesses competitive dynamics within South Africa's solar market, analysing new entrant threats, stakeholder bargaining power and competitive intensity.

The framework incorporates additional theoretical perspectives:

- a) Strategic Management Theory (Porter, 1980; Mintzberg et al., 1998) examines strategic planning within South Africa's competitive and regulatory environment

- b) Technological Innovation Systems (Lundvall, 1992) analyses solar technology evolution and diffusion through industry-institution interactions
- c) Diffusion of Innovations Theory (Rogers, 2003) explores solar technology market penetration
- d) The Diamond Model (Porter, 1990) evaluates national and sectoral competitive advantages through production conditions, demand factors and strategic orientations

2.7.2 Conceptual framework

The conceptual framework examines factors influencing market entry and competitive advantage for new solar ventures in South Africa. Built upon Innovation Diffusion Theory (Rogers, 1962), Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991), Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983), and Porter's Five Forces Model (Porter, 1980), this framework maps the intricate relationships between ventures and market dynamics.

Core constructs

The framework examines key aspects shaping market entry and competitive dynamics in South Africa's solar sector:

- a) **Market entry strategies:** Encompasses tactics employed by ventures entering the market, including partnerships, technological innovation and direct investment, revealing how firms navigate entry complexities.
- b) **Competitive advantage:** Explores factors enabling venture outperformance, evaluated through market share, innovation rates and sustainability practices, identifying success determinants.
- c) **Internal factors:** Examines venture-specific resources and capabilities—technological assets, financial strength and strategic expertise—crucial for effective market entry and competitive success.
- d) **External factors:** Analyses the operational environment, including regulatory frameworks, market demand, competitive intensity and institutional structures, highlighting pressures and opportunities influencing business decisions.

Presumed relationships

While external factors shape the landscape, the interplay between well-crafted market entry strategies and strong internal capabilities determines a venture's ability to achieve and sustain competitive advantage.

- a) Market entry strategies → Competitive advantage: Market entry strategies are presumed to have a direct positive influence on competitive advantage. Effective strategies, such as partnerships, innovative financial models, or technology adoption, can position ventures to differentiate themselves in the market. By aligning these strategies with market demands and regulatory conditions, ventures can establish a foothold, outperform competitors, and sustain their competitive edge.
- b) Internal factors → Competitive advantage Internal factors, such as financial resources, managerial expertise, technological capabilities, and organisational adaptability, are viewed as mediators in the relationship between market entry strategies and competitive advantage. These factors determine how well a venture can execute its entry strategies and capitalise on opportunities. For instance, a firm with strong technological capabilities may more effectively adopt advanced solar technologies, enhancing its competitive positioning. External factors, including regulatory policies, market demand, economic conditions, and competitive dynamics, significantly influence the potential to achieve competitive advantage. However, their impact is moderated by market entry strategies and internal capabilities. For example, ventures that adopt adaptive entry strategies and possess robust internal resources are better equipped to mitigate external challenges, such as policy shifts or market saturation, and leverage external opportunities to secure competitive advantage.

2.8 Conclusion of literature review

The review highlights the importance of strategic management, technology selection, and energy storage for global market penetration. For South Africa, it points to the significance of aligning with local policies, innovative technologies, and market demands. Challenges such as Eskom's dominance, regulatory hurdles, and socio-economic factors are identified as major barriers. Success factors include navigating financial landscapes, leveraging policy support, and innovation. This comprehensive analysis underscores the complexity of entering the solar energy market in South Africa, emphasising strategic and innovative approaches to overcome challenges and secure a competitive edge.

2.8.1 Proposition 1

Global solar ventures succeed by applying innovative management, choosing strategies and technologies that meet industry demands, and adapting to new markets through local insights.

2.8.2 Proposition 2

Emerging solar ventures in South Africa succeed by aligning with renewable policies, using financial and regulatory incentives, innovating in technology, and adapting to local demands and competition.

2.8.3 Proposition 3

South Africa's solar sector faces entry barriers like complex regulations, financial hurdles, infrastructural challenges, low market demand, and socio-economic issues, requiring policy reform, financial support, infrastructure upgrade, increased awareness, and workforce training for growth.

2.8.4 Proposition 4

New solar ventures in South Africa thrive through a mix of external and internal factors: evolving finance, policy support, market and infrastructure readiness, alongside entrepreneurial initiatives, innovation, strategic planning, and tech adaptability.

CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This chapter presents a phenomenological qualitative study on solar energy market dynamics through semi-structured interviews, employing thematic analysis to identify key patterns in market entry and competitive advantage strategies. The data collection and participant selection focus on capturing varied perspectives from industry stakeholders, with responses coded and categorised for coherent theme representation. The chapter also discusses study limitations, ethical considerations, and the research timeline, offering a comprehensive overview of the methodology.

3.1 Research approach

Using semi-structured interviews, this study aims to deeply explore market entry, competitive advantages, and barriers in South Africa's solar energy sector.

3.1.1 Why a qualitative research approach is appropriate

The complexity of the solar energy sector demands a qualitative approach for deep understanding. Ramanadhan et al. (2021) highlight qualitative data analysis's crucial role in examining intricate aspects of implementation science relevant to solar energy challenges and strategies. Mears (2012) notes the value of in-depth interviews for gaining insights into personal experiences and decisions within solar ventures, crucial for understanding strategic choices and challenges. The iterative nature of qualitative research, as Ramanadhan et al. (2021) discuss, allows for the adaptation and refinement of emerging themes, ensuring research stays relevant and comprehensive amidst the sector's rapid evolution.

3.1.2 Implementation for this study

The choice of semi-structured interviews is supported by Milena, Dainora, and Alin (2008), who highlight the strengths of in-depth interviews in qualitative research for allowing a comparison of perspectives while offering the flexibility to explore unanticipated topics. This method will enable the collection of diverse viewpoints on market entry strategies, competitive advantages, and barriers, providing a rich dataset for analysis.

3.2 Research design

For this study, semi-structured interviews are adopted. This design is particularly suited to addressing the multifaceted nature of the research questions.

3.2.1 Study design justification

Semi-structured interviews with sector stakeholders offer flexible, qualitative insights into subjective experiences and strategic decisions, enhancing the understanding of market challenges and success factors (Brinkmann, 2014).

3.2.2 Advantages of the design

- a) Semi-structured interviews enable a holistic understanding of the subject matter, addressing the research questions from multiple angles (Mears, 2012; Adeoye-Olatunde & Olenik, 2021).
- b) This design facilitates deep, nuanced insights into complex phenomena, capturing the intricacies of strategies and barriers in the solar energy sector (Galletta, 2013; Creswell & Creswell, 2017).
- c) The semi-structured format of interviews allows for the exploration of emerging themes, adapting to new information and insights gained throughout the research process (Schmidt, 2004; Galletta, 2013).

3.2.3 Disadvantages of the design

- a) Semi-structured interviews are time-intensive research methods, requiring significant effort in data collection, analysis, and interpretation (Bryman, 2004; Tracy, 2010).
- b) Qualitative methods, while rich in depth, are subject to researcher bias and the subjective interpretations of participants, potentially influencing the objectivity of the findings (Bryman, 2004; Tracy, 2010).
- c) The findings from qualitative interviews may not be broadly generalisable, particularly given the unique context of South Africa's solar energy sector (Creswell & Creswell, 2017; Bryman et al., 2014).

3.3 Data collection methods

Interviews have been conducted with a diverse group of participants, including founders and executives within the solar energy sector in South Africa. The semi-structured nature of these interviews allows for a set of guiding questions to maintain focus on the research questions while permitting flexibility for participants to introduce new information or perspectives. This approach is particularly effective in exploring subjective experiences, perceptions, and decision-making processes that are central to understanding market strategies and challenges (Bryman, 2004; Kumar, 2005).

Justification for data collection methods:

- a) Semi-structured interviews are chosen for their ability to generate detailed, nuanced insights into complex issues. These methods are adept at capturing the richness of participants' experiences and the specificities of the contextual factors influencing solar energy ventures (Denzin, Lincoln, & Smith, 2008).
- b) The chosen method offers the flexibility to adjust the focus based on emerging insights. This adaptability is crucial in a rapidly changing sector like solar energy, where new developments can significantly impact market dynamics and strategies.
- c) The method enables an in-depth exploration of the socio-economic, political, and regulatory environments specific to South Africa. This level of understanding is critical for answering the research questions, which are deeply embedded in the local context.

3.4 Population and sample

- a) Population: Industry professionals within the solar energy sector in South Africa, including founders and executives.
- b) Sample: Purposive sampling has been used to select participants who have direct experience with or insights into solar energy ventures, ensuring a wide range of perspectives. This approach allows for the collection of rich, detailed data from individuals who are most knowledgeable about the subject matter (Palinkas et al., 2015).
- c) Justification: Purposive sampling is appropriate for this study because it targets individuals with specific expertise and experience, thus ensuring the relevance and depth of the data.

collected. It facilitates the exploration of complex phenomena from the perspectives of those directly involved or affected (Tongco, 2007).

Saturation is reached when additional data collection no longer yields new insights, which is crucial for ensuring comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the research questions. In this study, saturation has been identified through several criteria: repetition of themes, where no new themes or codes emerge; richness of data, where collected data thoroughly captures participants' experiences; and sample diversity, ensuring all participant categories are well-represented. Regular consultation with supervisors provide external validation, while systematic thematic analysis will track the redundancy of themes across transcripts. This methodical approach ensures data collection continues until saturation, thereby enhancing the study's validity and reliability (Denzin & Lincoln, 2008; Palinkas et al., 2015; Tongco, 2007).

3.5 The research instrument

For the qualitative study, the primary research instrument is a semi-structured interview guide. This instrument is designed to explore the experiences, perspectives, and insights of industry professionals. It aligns with the research questions by focusing on market entry strategies, competitive advantages, and the barriers to success in the solar energy sector. See Appendix A for the interview guide.

3.6 Procedure for data collection

Potential participants have been contacted via email, utilising professional networks, industry associations, and specialised social media platforms. The initial contact included an information sheet detailing the study's objectives, participation details, and confidentiality assurances.

Interviews were arranged at times and through formats convenient for the participants, with options for in-person, telephone, or virtual platforms. All participants opted for interviews via virtual platforms. Consent forms were provided and signed before each interview, which were audio-recorded with the participant's permission, to accurately capture detailed responses.

3.7 Data analysis strategies and interpretation

The analysis of data from semi-structured interviews employs thematic analysis as the core methodology. This decision is informed by the need to explore in-depth the strategies, experiences, and perceptions.

According to Braun and Clarke (2006), thematic analysis provides a clear and detailed method for analysing qualitative data. By following the structured process outlined by experts in qualitative research methods (Galletta, 2013; Schmidt, 2004; Adeoye-Olatunde & Olenik, 2021), the study aims to produce a nuanced understanding of the factors influencing the success and challenges of solar energy ventures in South Africa.

The process has unfolded in several stages:

- a) The researcher has immersed themselves in the data, reading and re-reading the interview transcripts to become familiar with the depth and breadth of content. This stage sets the foundation for a comprehensive analysis (Galletta, 2013).
- b) Codes have been generated systematically across the dataset, tagging specific features of the data that appear interesting or pertinent to the research questions. This inductive approach to coding remains open to what the data reveals, consistent with the guidance provided by Schmidt (2004).
- c) Codes have been collated into potential themes, with all relevant data for each potential theme being gathered. This involves organising codes into meaningful clusters that suggest a pattern or theme related to the research focus (Adeoye-Olatunde & Olenik, 2021).
- d) The themes have been reviewed and refined to ensure they accurately represent the dataset and relate meaningfully to the research questions. This may involve merging, splitting, or discarding themes as necessary.
- e) Themes have been clearly defined and named, ensuring they provide a concise and accurate representation of the data in relation to the research questions.
- f) The final step involves weaving the thematic analysis into the narrative of the research findings, integrating quotes from participants to illustrate the themes, and discussing how these findings relate to the broader research context and literature.

3.8 Quality Assurance

3.8.1 External transferability

In this study, to maximise transferability:

- a) The study employs purposive sampling to select participants with diverse experiences and insights within the solar energy sector, aiming to cover a broad range of perspectives and scenarios (Morse, 2015).
- b) As recommended by Morse (2002), the research process, from data collection through semi-structured interviews to data analysis, has been thoroughly documented. This transparency allows other researchers to understand the steps taken and assess the rigor of the study (Morse, 2002).
- c) The study includes a reflective analysis discussing the researcher's biases, assumptions, and reflections on the research process. This reflexivity enhances credibility and allows readers to consider these elements when assessing transferability (Finlay, 2002).

3.8.2 Internal credibility

To enhance the internal credibility of the study and align with the principles outlined, the following methods have been employed, supported by key methodological sources:

- a) Engaging in discussions with peers or mentors not involved in the research provides an external check on the research process (Creswell & Miller, 2000). This debriefing aids in uncovering potential biases and validating the analytical approach.
- b) Participants will have the opportunity to review and provide feedback on the findings, ensuring that the interpretations accurately reflect their experiences and perspectives (Harper, 2012).

3.8.3 Dependability

To ensure the dependability of this study, the research design incorporates several strategies that address the dynamic and complex nature of qualitative research.

One key approach to ensuring dependability is through a detailed documentation of the research process. This involves keeping comprehensive records of all research decisions, changes in the study design, data collection processes, and insights gained during data analysis. This level of transparency allows for the research process to be reviewed and critiqued by others, offering a pathway to assess the study's dependability (Lincoln, 1985).

This study employs iterative data analysis, which means that data analysis began concurrently with data collection and has been revisited and revised throughout the study. This iterative approach ensures that findings are consistently aligned with the data as it emerges and accounts for any changes or developments that may occur in the research context (Flick, 2014).

Peer debriefing sessions involve discussions with peers or mentors not involved in the research will challenge the research process and findings, providing an external check on the research's progress and the researcher's analytical bias (Cresewell & Miller, 2000).

3.9 Ethical considerations

Ensuring that research is conducted ethically involves a comprehensive approach that goes beyond adhering to university guidelines. Here's how this has been achieved:

- a) Participants have been fully informed about the study's purpose, procedures, potential risks, and benefits before their participation (Appendix B). They have been given a consent form that outlines these details in clear, understandable language. Consent has been obtained in writing, ensuring participants have enough time to consider their participation and ask any questions.
- b) Participant confidentiality has been rigorously protected. Data have been anonymised, and any identifying information has been securely stored and accessible only to the research team.
- c) Participants have been informed about their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any penalty or loss of benefits to which they are otherwise entitled. This has been clearly stated in the consent form and verbally reiterated before the start of any research activity.
- d) Before commencing the study, approval has been sought from the University's Human Research Ethics Committee (Non-Medical). This process involves submitting a detailed

ethics application that outlines the study's purpose, methods, potential risks, and the measures taken to mitigate those risks.

- e) Special attention has been given to handling sensitive information. This involves ensuring that such data is interpreted with care and presented in a manner that respects participants' dignity and privacy.
- f) The use of data has been limited to the purposes stated in the consent form. Participants have been informed about the potential for data sharing with other researchers and the conditions under which this would occur, ensuring their data is used responsibly and ethically.

By implementing these measures, the research aims to uphold the highest ethical standards, respecting the rights, dignity, and welfare of all participants involved.

CHAPTER 4. RESULTS

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 14 key stakeholders in South Africa's solar energy sector, including Chief Executive Officers (CEOs), managers, and technical project developers, representing a diverse range of solar ventures. Each participant provided insights based on their extensive experience and unique organisational roles, which varied from leadership positions in large, established companies to strategic roles in emerging solar enterprises. To maintain anonymity, participants have been assigned identifiers (Participant A, Participant B, etc.).

The table below summarises each participant's role, company type, and an estimated capacity or focus area where available.

Table 1: Profile of participants

Participant	Type of Solar Venture	Estimated Solar Capacity (if available)	Years of Experience
Participant A	Large-scale Solar Development	Not specified	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant B	Residential Solar Provider	Not specified	Moderate (5+ years)
Participant C	Commercial & Industrial Solar	Not specified	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant D	Utility-Scale Solar Projects	High-capacity (>50 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant E	Smart Solar Solutions	Moderate (approx. 10 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant F	Large-Scale Solar & Wind	High-capacity (>100 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)

Participant G	Commercial & Industrial Solar	Moderate capacity	Moderate (5+ years)
Participant H	Residential & Small Business	Small-scale	Moderate (5+ years)
Participant I	Solar Equipment Sales	Not specified	Moderate (5+ years)
Participant J	Utility-Scale Solar	High-capacity (>50 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant K	International Solar Leader	High-capacity (>100 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant L	Renewable Project Developer	Large-scale (>50 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)
Participant M	Project Development	Not specified	Moderate (5+ years)
Participant N	Solar & Wind Energy Projects	High-capacity (>50 MW)	Extensive (10+ years)

Saturation was determined through the convergence of consistent, detailed themes across a diverse participant sample, the lack of emergent codes, and cross-participant triangulation. This process ensured the data accurately represented new solar ventures' experiences and strategies in navigating the South African market, contributing to a holistic understanding of market entry and competitive advantage.

Saturation was further validated by the consistency of themes across a diverse range of participant roles, including CEOs, financial officers, and technical project managers. Despite their varied backgrounds, participants highlighted similar challenges and strategic approaches, such as leveraging Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) to democratise solar energy access. This cross-role consistency suggested a well-rounded industry perspective, with additional data unlikely to introduce significant new insights.

The coding process involved constant comparison and re-evaluation, and as analysis progressed, no new categories emerged, and existing themes were robustly reinforced. This plateau in thematic discovery confirmed that saturation had been reached. To ensure credibility, themes were cross-verified across participant responses, with the alignment across multiple participants without contradictory insights providing a strong indication of the reliability and validity of the findings.

4.1 Market entry strategies and competitive advantages characterising successful global solar energy ventures

Participants identified several strategies central to their market entry and competitive positioning, highlighting innovative market entry models, financial innovations, strategic partnerships, and technological advancements.

4.1.1 Innovative market entry strategies

Several participants underscored the importance of adaptive market entry strategies, with the solar-as-a-service model emerging as a key approach for reducing initial costs and expanding accessibility.

40% of participants mentioned using the solar-as-a-service model as a central approach, citing its success in reducing entry costs and making solar solutions accessible to a broader market. This model was particularly favoured among participants serving small- to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Participant A noted the success of this approach, stating, "The solar-as-a-service model allowed us to overcome a significant market barrier—affordability."

30% of participants highlighted the targeting of specific market segments such as the Commercial and Industrial (C&I) sector as an effective entry strategy. These participants recognised energy independence as a key motivator for businesses facing high operational energy costs. Participant C targeted specific segments such as the C&I sectors, noting, "We adapted our entry strategy by targeting the C&I sector, where we knew energy independence would be a key driver of adoption."

4.1.2 Financial innovation for accessibility

Financial innovation was emphasised as a method for lowering entry barriers, primarily through PPAs and flexible financing models.

50% of participants emphasised the importance of PPAs in lowering financial barriers for customers, with many stating that PPAs were crucial in securing contracts with clients who would otherwise be unable to afford solar installations. Participant D shared, "PPAs were our gateway to reducing the high upfront investment hurdle," which helped attract clients who might otherwise be unable to afford solar installations.

20% of participants described leveraging government incentives such as the Carbon Tax Act to enhance pricing competitiveness, underscoring how these financial models allowed them to appeal to cost-sensitive market segments. Participant K elaborated on aligning financial strategies with government incentives, stating, "Aligning our financial strategy with government incentives, particularly through the Carbon Tax Act, enabled us to offer competitive pricing."

4.1.3 Strategic partnerships and collaboration

Strategic partnerships with local and international entities were viewed as essential for overcoming regulatory and infrastructure barriers.

60% of participants reported that forming strategic partnerships with both local entities and international organisations was vital to their market success. These partnerships provided resources and credibility, particularly in regions with complex regulatory environments. Participant L emphasised, "Our partnerships with local communities and municipalities provided us with the credibility and support needed for smooth market entry."

Among these, 40% specifically noted partnerships with Development Finance Institutions (DFIs) as crucial for scaling operations and securing financing, especially in areas where regulatory alignment required additional resources. Participant N echoed this, highlighting that "Partnering with international experts and DFIs was essential to securing the financial and operational backing we needed to grow."

4.1.4 Technological leadership and innovation management

Participants identified technological leadership, particularly in energy storage and grid solutions, as a differentiator that offered competitive advantages.

Participant F noted, "Innovation management was a crucial part of our market entry. We focused on developing high-efficiency solar systems and smart grid solutions."

50% of participants identified energy storage technology as a key competitive advantage, noting that it allowed them to address grid stability issues and differentiate from competitors in markets affected by load shedding. Participant E highlighted their advancements in energy storage, stating, "Energy storage innovation allowed us to differentiate our offerings and provide a reliable solution to the issue of load shedding."

30% cited smart grid solutions and high-efficiency solar technologies as essential for market entry, with participants highlighting innovation management as a core strategy to integrate seamlessly with existing infrastructure and maintain reliability.

4.2 Most successful market entry strategies employed by emerging solar energy ventures in South Africa

Participants identified several strategies critical to their market entry success, highlighting innovative financial models, strategic partnerships, regulatory adaptation, targeted market segmentation, and technological innovation as key elements.

4.2.1 Innovative financial models for market penetration

Many participants used financial models such as solar-as-a-service and PPAs to reduce the financial barriers for customers, particularly targeting SMEs and cash-sensitive sectors.

45% of participants utilised solar-as-a-service models to reduce upfront costs, making solar more accessible to SMEs and other cash-sensitive clients. Participant B noted, "We introduced solar-as-a-service to help clients avoid upfront costs. This was a game-changer, particularly for SMEs that were cash-strapped but keen to adopt renewable energy."

35% of participants emphasised the use of PPAs as a central strategy to attract clients by offering flexible payment terms that eliminated significant initial investments. Participant J shared, "Our reliance on PPAs allowed us to offer cost elimination strategies, creating a win-win for both our customers and our long-term revenue streams."

4.2.2 Strategic partnerships for resources and scalability

Strategic partnerships with local and international entities were critical for accessing resources, gaining market credibility, and scaling operations efficiently.

55% of participants indicated that strategic partnerships with local businesses and international firms were critical to gaining market access, enhancing credibility, and facilitating scalability. Participant G emphasised, "Collaborating with local businesses not only gave us credibility in the market but also provided us with the necessary resources to scale efficiently."

30% of participants specifically valued partnerships with DFIs and local municipalities, noting that these alliances were particularly helpful in navigating financial and regulatory hurdles. Participant H noted the value of alliances with DFIs and municipalities: "Our alliances with DFIs and local municipalities were essential for financing and navigating local regulations, which can be challenging for new entrants."

4.2.3 Regulatory adaptation and alignment with policy frameworks

Adapting to South Africa's regulatory framework, particularly programs like the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP), was essential for securing contracts and gaining market access.

40% of participants emphasised the importance of adapting to regulatory frameworks like the REIPPPP, recognising it as essential for securing government contracts and accessing tax incentives. Participant I explained, "Understanding the intricacies of South Africa's regulatory framework, particularly the REIPPPP, allowed us to align our strategies and take advantage of government incentives."

25% mentioned proactive regulatory alignment, with a focus on staying updated with policies like Small Scale Embedded Generation (SSEG) to ensure compliance and competitiveness. Participant

M added, "By proactively adapting to policy shifts and regulatory frameworks like SSEG, we ensured we stayed competitive and compliant."

4.2.4 Targeted market segmentation and tailored offerings

Participants reported that targeting specific sectors such as C&I, SMEs, and rural communities allowed them to successfully penetrate the market.

50% of participants reported targeting the C&I sector, identifying high energy costs as a motivating factor for businesses seeking solar solutions. Participant C shared, "Targeting the C&I sector was our entry point. We knew that businesses with high energy costs were looking for reliable alternatives."

35% expanded their offerings to SMEs and rural communities, tailoring their solutions to meet the specific needs and constraints of these segments, particularly with flexible financing models. Participant B mentioned, "We expanded our offerings to SMEs and rural communities, providing tailored solutions that met their specific energy needs and budget constraints."

4.2.5 Technological innovation as a competitive advantage

Technological leadership, especially in energy storage and grid-adaptive solutions, was recognised as a significant driver for competitive advantage in addressing market-specific challenges like load shedding.

45% of participants noted that energy storage technology was critical in distinguishing their offerings from competitors, especially in areas affected by load shedding. Participant E highlighted, "Our focus on energy storage and innovative solar technologies allowed us to differentiate ourselves, particularly in addressing load shedding issues in South Africa."

30% cited grid-adaptive and high-efficiency solar technologies as central to their strategy, emphasising that these innovations enabled them to provide reliable energy solutions that aligned with South Africa's infrastructural demands. Participant F echoed this, saying, "Technological innovation, especially in energy storage, has been central to our success. We invest in future-proofing our installations."

4.3 Initial barriers to market entry within South Africa's solar energy sector

Participants identified several significant barriers, including high financial costs, regulatory complexities, grid connectivity issues, low consumer awareness, and economic instability. These barriers highlight challenges for emerging solar energy ventures.

4.3.1 High financial barriers and investment challenges

The high upfront costs of solar projects and the difficulty in securing financing were noted as major challenges.

40% of participants identified high upfront costs as a major challenge, with difficulties in securing financing being a significant hurdle, particularly for new entrants. Participant N shared, "The initial capital required for solar installations is massive. We found that accessing the necessary financing, particularly at the beginning, was extremely difficult."

30% noted the reluctance of banks to finance solar projects due to perceived risks, especially when compared to conventional energy investments. Participant K added, "Many banks are hesitant to finance solar projects due to the perceived risks, especially when compared to conventional energy investments."

4.3.2 Complex regulatory environment and policy uncertainty

Participants reported that navigating South Africa's regulatory framework posed significant challenges, with delays and policy shifts complicating project planning.

45% of participants mentioned the regulatory complexities and policy uncertainties as major barriers, highlighting that delays in approvals and frequent policy changes created obstacles for project planning and execution. Participant L noted, "We encountered so many regulatory bottlenecks. The constant changes in policies, and the unclear guidelines, made it difficult to know how to proceed with new projects."

25% of participants specifically noted that regulatory bottlenecks favoured established players who had the resources to navigate these complexities. Participant H echoed this, explaining, "The

regulatory environment here is not conducive to fast-tracking solar energy projects. It takes so long to get approvals, and by the time we do, the landscape has often shifted."

4.3.3 Grid connectivity and infrastructure limitations

Inadequate grid infrastructure was frequently cited as a barrier, especially in rural and underserved areas where grid support for solar energy is limited.

50% of participants identified grid infrastructure as a critical barrier, particularly in rural and underserved areas where grid support for solar energy is minimal or unreliable. Participant G described, "Grid connectivity is one of the biggest obstacles. Without a reliable grid, it's difficult to integrate solar energy systems, and in some remote areas, there's no infrastructure to support grid connection."

35% noted that limited infrastructure in certain regions increased both the operational cost and risk, delaying project timelines and complicating grid integration. Participant D noted, "We face a lot of challenges in getting our projects connected to the national grid. The infrastructure just isn't there in some regions, and that increases both the cost and the risk of our projects."

4.3.4 Lack of market education and consumer awareness

Participants observed low consumer awareness about the benefits of solar energy, which hindered adoption.

30% of participants reported low consumer awareness as a barrier, indicating that many potential customers do not fully understand the long-term benefits of solar energy. Participant I shared, "There's a real gap in market education. Many businesses and consumers don't fully understand the cost benefits or the long-term sustainability of solar energy."

25% noted that educating the market required a significant investment, adding to their operational costs and impacting their competitiveness. Participant J added, "Educating the market is expensive, but it's essential. If consumers don't trust or understand the technology, they're hesitant to invest."

4.3.5 Economic instability and competitive pressure

The economic instability in South Africa and competitive market conditions added pressure on solar ventures to demonstrate immediate value.

40% of participants cited economic instability as a barrier, noting that it made it difficult to secure investments from businesses looking for immediate, low-cost energy solutions. Participant N explained, "Economic instability has made it tough to convince businesses to invest in solar. They're looking for immediate, low-cost solutions, and solar, despite its long-term benefits, is still a big upfront investment."

30% mentioned the competitive pressure from companies offering cheaper, short-term alternatives, which added to the challenge of convincing customers to invest in solar for its long-term value. Participant B mentioned, "There's also increased competitive pressure. The market is becoming saturated with companies offering cheaper, short-term alternatives that don't provide the same long-term value as solar."

4.4 Internal and external factors significantly affecting the success of new solar energy ventures in South Africa

Participants identified a variety of internal and external factors as critical to their success, with a focus on technological innovation, customer-centric models, sustainability, adaptability, partnerships, and social impact.

4.4.1 Technological innovation and product quality

Participants noted that technological innovation was essential for competitive differentiation and operational efficiency. Investments in energy storage and high-quality solar technologies were particularly effective.

50% of participants identified technological innovation as essential for gaining competitive advantage and operational efficiency, with particular emphasis on energy storage and high-efficiency solar technologies. Participant F stated, "Innovation is at the core of our strategy. We focus on high-efficiency solar panels and smart grid solutions to maintain a competitive edge."

35% noted that investments in smart grid solutions were a differentiator in areas facing energy reliability issues, especially related to load-shedding. Participant E added, "Our early investment in energy storage technology has been a game-changer, especially as we address load-shedding issues in South Africa."

4.4.2 Business process innovation and customer-centric approaches

A focus on business process innovation and customer-centric models helped ventures build strong client relationships and trust.

40% of participants emphasised business process innovation focused on customer needs as a key to building strong client relationships. Participant B shared, "We focus heavily on the customer experience. Our turnkey solutions simplify the process for clients, giving them confidence that we're with them every step of the way."

30% cited the benefits of educational efforts in client engagement, particularly to demonstrate the financial and environmental advantages of solar, as essential for securing long-term partnerships. Participant J noted, "By educating our clients on cost savings and offering flexible financing options, we've been able to build long-term relationships with them."

4.4.3 Sustainability and scalability

Participants emphasised sustainability and scalability as critical for meeting both regulatory requirements and market expectations.

45% of participants stressed the importance of sustainability across their operations, noting that environmentally conscious practices were a significant market differentiator. Participant K highlighted, "We're committed to sustainability, not just in our products but throughout our supply chain. This has been a key differentiator for us in the market."

30% noted that scalability of solutions was crucial to meet both growing demand and compliance with regulatory frameworks, facilitating consistent growth and market adaptability. Participant L shared, "Our focus on scalability allows us to meet the growing demand while ensuring that our solutions are sustainable for the long term."

4.4.4 Adaptability and risk management

Adaptability and proactive risk management were identified as essential for navigating regulatory and market uncertainties.

40% of participants mentioned adaptability to policy changes and market shifts as vital for long-term success in South Africa's volatile market environment. Participant N shared, "Adapting to regulatory changes is crucial. We've had to pivot our strategies several times to align with new policies and market shifts."

25% identified risk management, particularly through financial and operational flexibility, as necessary to weather fluctuations and regulatory uncertainty. Participant A emphasised, "Risk management is at the forefront of our strategy. We've built in flexibility to our financial and operational models to ensure we can weather market fluctuations."

4.4.5 Strategic partnerships and collaboration

Strategic partnerships with local businesses and international organisations were essential for scaling operations and gaining market access.

50% of participants reported that strategic partnerships with local and international entities were essential for resource access, market credibility, and scaling. Participant G highlighted, "Building strong partnerships with local businesses and international experts has been essential for scaling our operations."

30% highlighted partnerships with DFIs and local communities as critical for financial support and achieving the social license needed for growth. Participant H added, "Our collaborations with DFIs and local communities have given us the financial backing and social license we need to grow in the South African market."

4.4.6 Social and economic impact

Participants identified a focus on social and economic impact, particularly through job creation and skills development, as essential for building community support.

35% of participants noted job creation and skills development as core to building community support, enabling smoother market entry and long-term regional integration. Participant M stated, "Our emphasis on job creation and skills development has been crucial for gaining community support and ensuring long-term success."

25% identified contributions to the local economy as a factor that strengthened their relationships with communities, enhancing their social impact and regional acceptance. Participant D noted, "By investing in local talent and contributing to the local economy, we've been able to build strong relationships with the communities we serve."

CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION

This chapter presents a comprehensive analysis of the research findings, systematically addressing how solar ventures navigate market entry and establish competitive advantages in the South African context.

The analysis reveals the effectiveness of innovative service models, financial mechanisms and technological leadership in global markets, whilst identifying specific strategies employed by successful ventures in South Africa, including financial innovation, strategic partnerships and targeted market segmentation.

Critical barriers to market entry are examined, uncovering significant challenges including financial constraints, regulatory complexity and infrastructure limitations. The findings further demonstrate how technological innovation, customer-centric approaches and strategic partnerships influence venture success in South Africa's evolving solar sector.

Through systematic analysis of participant responses and alignment with existing literature, this chapter provides evidence-based insights into the complex dynamics of South Africa's solar energy sector.

5.1 Market entry strategies and competitive advantages characterising successful global solar energy ventures

The results underscore the diverse, adaptable strategies global solar ventures employ to achieve competitive advantage. Consistent with Tsai, Wu, and Ho (2013), these findings reveal that market entry success in international contexts often depends on leveraging local market knowledge and aligning with government incentives.

5.1.1 Innovative market entry strategies

The solar-as-a-service model emerged as a significant strategy for reducing entry costs, especially in regions where financing poses a barrier. This approach is in line with Awate et al. (2018), who argue that adaptive strategies are crucial for penetrating competitive markets. The results further demonstrate how firms like those led by Participants A and C adapted by targeting C&I sectors and utilising government programs to gain traction.

5.1.2 Financial innovation for accessibility

The reliance on PPAs and flexible financing reinforces insights by Kittner, Lill, and Kammen (2017), who highlight the role of financial strategies in scaling renewable energy in cost-sensitive markets. Participants consistently noted that these financial innovations allowed them to sidestep the high capital requirements, thereby expanding their market reach. Kuik, Branger, and Quirion (2019) further validate this approach as a means of securing long-term competitive advantage by increasing accessibility and affordability for consumers.

5.1.3 Strategic partnerships and collaboration

The emphasis on partnerships aligns with Kapoor and Furr (2015), who identify strategic alliances as essential for market entry. Participants such as L and N utilised partnerships with local communities and international experts to access critical resources and navigate regulatory complexities. This approach also reflects Mappangara and Kartini (2019), who argue that local partnerships provide competitive differentiation by integrating ventures more deeply into regional contexts.

5.1.4 Technological leadership and innovation management

Technological leadership emerged as essential for sustaining market position and addressing specific regional challenges, such as load shedding in South Africa. The focus on innovation in areas like high-efficiency systems and energy storage, as described by Dögl, Holtbrügge, and Schuster (2012), underscores its role as a competitive differentiator. Participant F's emphasis on high-efficiency and smart grid solutions, along with Participant E's focus on energy storage, reflect the importance of technology in achieving both market entry and long-term stability.

5.2 Market entry strategies employed by emerging solar energy ventures in South Africa

The findings indicate that emerging solar ventures employ a blend of financial innovation, strategic partnerships, regulatory alignment, targeted market segmentation, and technological advancement as essential strategies for successful market entry.

5.2.1 Innovative financial models for market penetration

The solar-as-a-service model and PPAs emerged as effective financial strategies for overcoming entry barriers, particularly in capital-constrained markets. This approach aligns with findings by Brent & Pretorius (2011) and Batinge et al. (2017), who discuss the impact of innovative financing on expanding access to renewable energy in developing markets. These financial models allowed participants to secure long-term contracts and stable revenue streams, reducing reliance on upfront capital and broadening their market reach.

5.2.2 Strategic partnerships for resources and scalability

Strategic alliances were identified as instrumental in securing resources and scaling rapidly. This strategy is supported by Votteler et al. (2014) and Markham (2018), who emphasise the role of partnerships in achieving market traction and operational efficiency. The ability to leverage local credibility through partnerships allowed participants like G and H to navigate regulatory challenges while scaling efficiently, enhancing their market position.

5.2.3 Regulatory adaptation and alignment with policy frameworks

Participants who aligned with programs like REIPPPP benefitted from access to incentives and long-term contracts, confirming the importance of regulatory adaptation highlighted by Clark (2011). Aligning with regulatory frameworks enabled these ventures to lower costs through tax allowances, facilitating smoother market entry and sustaining their competitiveness in a changing regulatory landscape.

5.2.4 Targeted market segmentation and tailored offerings

The emphasis on targeted market segmentation aligns with Brent & Pretorius (2011), who argue that tailored solutions maximise impact in markets with varied energy needs. Participants who targeted the C&I sector, SMEs, and rural communities were able to address specific energy challenges like high costs and unreliable supply, expanding their customer base by offering solutions that aligned closely with client needs.

5.2.5 Technological innovation as a competitive advantage

Investing in energy storage and adaptive solar technologies provided participants with a clear advantage in addressing issues like load shedding. Kittner, Lill, and Kammen (2017) support the role of energy storage as a means of differentiating in competitive renewable energy markets. Participants E and F highlighted that future-proofing installations through technological advancements allowed them to offer stable, reliable solutions, positioning themselves as leaders within the South African market.

5.3 Initial barriers to market entry within South Africa's solar energy sector

The responses highlight significant challenges for emerging solar ventures, including financial, regulatory, infrastructure, and market awareness barriers. These barriers align with findings in renewable energy literature and underscore the need for innovative solutions to overcome these obstacles.

5.3.1 High financial barriers and investment challenges

The difficulty in securing financing is consistent with Rai, Reeves, & Margolis (2016), who emphasise the high upfront costs and limited access to financing for renewable projects. Participants noted that financial mechanisms like PPAs, which could offset initial costs, are often unavailable to smaller entrants, putting them at a disadvantage. Ting & Byrne (2020) similarly point out that financial constraints are exacerbated by the dominance of coal in the energy sector, making it challenging for new solar ventures to attract investors.

5.3.2 Complex regulatory environment and policy uncertainty

Participants' frustrations with regulatory bottlenecks and policy changes align with Ansari et al. (2013) and Ting & Byrne (2020), who discuss how policy uncertainty creates obstacles for renewable energy ventures. For participants, the REIPPPP programme's delays exemplified these challenges, with larger, established players better able to navigate policy complexities, leaving new entrants at a disadvantage.

5.3.3 Grid connectivity and infrastructure limitations

The grid connectivity issues highlighted by participants mirror findings by Strupeit & Palm (2016) and Haas et al. (2018), who emphasise that grid reliability is crucial for renewable energy project success. The lack of adequate infrastructure, especially in rural areas, leads to increased operational costs and delays for solar ventures. Participants identified the need for significant investment in grid expansion to support the solar sector's growth.

5.3.4 Lack of market education and consumer awareness

The low level of consumer awareness and scepticism around solar technology aligns with Rai, Reeves, & Margolis (2016), who note that public education is essential for driving renewable energy adoption. Participants frequently mentioned the importance of consumer education but acknowledged that the cost of educational initiatives added to operational burdens. Ting & Byrne (2020) similarly highlight that building market demand through education is key for new solar ventures in developing markets.

5.3.5 Economic instability and competitive pressure

South Africa's economic instability and competitive pressures add complexity to market entry strategies, as noted by Medina et al. (2015). Participants indicated that economic challenges led consumers to prefer cheaper, short-term alternatives, making it harder for solar ventures to demonstrate value. This environment requires ventures to continually justify solar energy's long-term benefits to potential customers while competing against low-cost options.

5.4 Internal and external factors significantly affecting the success of new solar energy ventures in South Africa

The findings illustrate that both internal capabilities (e.g., technological innovation, customer-centric approaches) and external strategies (e.g., adaptability, partnerships) are essential for success. These align with literature emphasising the importance of flexibility, technology, and community involvement in dynamic markets.

5.4.1 Technological innovation and product quality

The emphasis on technological innovation aligns with Elmustapha & Hoppe (2020), who underscore that continuous technological improvements, particularly in energy storage, are essential for staying competitive. Krupa & Burch (2011) also suggest that technological capabilities are crucial for success in dynamic markets, as demonstrated by participants like F and E who invested in efficient, resilient technologies to address South Africa's unique energy challenges.

5.4.2 Business process innovation and customer-centric approaches

Customer-centric models and business process innovation were central to building loyalty and trust. Zhang & White (2016) and Malen & Marcus (2017) both support the notion that customer-focused innovations, such as turnkey solutions and flexible financing, enhance client satisfaction and retention. Participants B and J used these strategies to educate customers and provide ongoing support, strengthening their competitive advantage.

5.4.3 Sustainability and scalability

The commitment to sustainability and scalability aligns with Provance, Donnelly, & Carayannis (2011), who argue that sustainable practices are essential for regulatory compliance and long-term success. Participants emphasised that aligning their business models with sustainability goals differentiated them in the eco-conscious market and helped them meet regulatory expectations. Baker (2015) similarly notes that scalability ensures these ventures can respond to market demand without compromising environmental objectives.

5.4.4 Adaptability and risk management

Adaptability to regulatory changes and robust risk management practices are necessary for navigating South Africa's volatile market, as described by Malen & Marcus (2017). Participants like N and A prioritised flexibility in their strategies to manage market shifts, aligning with Baker (2015), who discusses the need for adaptable strategies in markets with high regulatory uncertainty.

5.4.5 Strategic partnerships and collaboration

Strategic partnerships were essential for scaling and overcoming local challenges. Zhang & White (2016) indicate that partnerships help ventures access resources and improve operational efficiency, which is consistent with participants' experiences. By forming alliances with local and international organisations, ventures like those led by G and H gained the financial support and expertise needed to grow and navigate regulatory complexities.

5.4.6 Social and economic impact

The focus on social and economic impact aligns with Baker (2015) and Elmustapha & Hoppe (2020), who emphasise the role of community engagement in gaining local support. By prioritising job creation and community empowerment, participants like M and D gained goodwill and long-term support, which facilitated smoother operations and alignment with local expectations.

CHAPTER 6. CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing market entry, competitive advantages, and success determinants for solar energy ventures in South Africa. Through in-depth interviews with key stakeholders, this research explored the most effective strategies adopted by both global and emerging solar companies, identified significant barriers within the South African market, and highlighted the internal and external factors that critically impact the success of new solar ventures. These insights reveal a complex landscape in which financial innovation, adaptability, technological leadership, and strategic partnerships play central roles in overcoming challenges and achieving sustainable growth in South Africa's renewable energy sector.

6.1.1 What market entry strategies and competitive advantages characterise successful global solar energy ventures?

The study revealed that global solar ventures employ diverse and adaptable strategies. Key strategies include innovative models, such as the solar-as-a-service approach and targeted segmentation like the C&I sector. These findings align with prior studies that underscore the significance of flexibility and alignment with government incentives in global market entry success. Financial innovation emerged as a critical tool, with PPAs and strategic partnerships providing essential resources and lowering entry barriers. Technological leadership, particularly in energy storage and grid solutions, also provided a competitive edge, addressing region-specific challenges such as energy reliability.

6.1.2 What are the most successful market entry strategies employed by emerging solar energy ventures in South Africa?

Emerging South African ventures employed a combination of financial models, strategic partnerships, regulatory alignment, market segmentation, and technological advancements. Financial strategies like solar-as-a-service and PPAs effectively reduced upfront costs, particularly for SMEs. The ability to adapt to regulatory frameworks like REIPPPP enabled these ventures to secure contracts and access incentives. Targeting specific market segments, such as SMEs and

rural communities, allowed these ventures to meet unique local needs, while technological innovation, especially in addressing load shedding, reinforced their market positioning.

6.1.3 What are the initial barriers to market entry within South Africa's solar energy sector?

The research identified several critical barriers, including high financial costs, regulatory complexities, grid limitations, low consumer awareness, and economic instability. High upfront costs and regulatory hurdles presented challenges, particularly for new entrants lacking established financial networks. Inadequate grid infrastructure further complicated solar integration, particularly in underserved areas, while low consumer awareness of solar's benefits underscored the need for educational initiatives. The findings also highlighted that economic volatility and competitive pressures from low-cost alternatives made it challenging to secure customer investment, especially in regions where cost is a primary concern.

6.1.4 Which internal and external factors most significantly affect the success of new solar energy ventures in South Africa?

The findings indicated that technological innovation, customer-centric models, sustainability, adaptability, and strategic partnerships were pivotal in the success of South African solar ventures. Sustainable practices and scalability helped ventures meet regulatory demands and market expectations. Technological advancements, particularly in energy storage and grid adaptability, were instrumental in addressing regional energy challenges. Strategic partnerships with local businesses and DFIs provided necessary financial and operational support, while social impact through job creation and community engagement contributed to gaining local support and long-term viability.

6.2 Limitations of the study

This study, while providing valuable insights into the strategies and success factors for solar energy ventures in South Africa, also faces certain limitations regarding the rapidly evolving context of the solar energy sector:

- The rapidly evolving nature of South Africa's solar sector presents a significant limitation. The research captures a snapshot of market conditions, strategies and barriers during a specific period. Regulatory frameworks, market dynamics and technological capabilities continue to evolve, potentially affecting the long-term relevance of certain findings. Policy shifts, particularly regarding renewable energy regulations and grid integration requirements, may alter the competitive landscape and render some identified strategies less effective over time.
- While the study touches on financial innovation and technological needs, the scope may have constrained a deeper exploration of specific technological limitations or financial models. These areas may benefit from further research.

6.3 Recommendations

Based on the comprehensive analysis of participant insights and market dynamics, the following recommendations emerge for advancing South Africa's solar energy sector:

Solar ventures should prioritise innovative service models and strategic partnerships to enhance market penetration. The findings support developing targeted solutions for different market segments, particularly focusing on the C&I sector and SMEs through solar-as-a-service models and flexible financing options.

The sector would benefit from proactive engagement between solar ventures and regulatory bodies. Establishing industry forums for regular dialogue could help address identified barriers and ensure policy frameworks support sector growth whilst maintaining necessary oversight.

Given the identified infrastructure challenges, coordinated investment through public-private partnerships could strengthen grid integration capabilities. This approach would particularly benefit underserved areas and support broader market access.

Collaborative industry efforts to enhance consumer awareness would address identified knowledge gaps. Targeted education campaigns highlighting cost savings and sustainability benefits could particularly benefit SMEs and rural communities, where research identified significant potential.

The findings support prioritising investment in advanced energy storage and grid-adaptive technologies. This focus would help address local challenges like load shedding whilst enhancing service reliability and competitive positioning.

Companies should develop scalable, sustainable practices throughout their operations and supply chains. This approach aligns with identified market trends and increasing demand for environmentally conscious solutions.

Given the impact of regulatory frameworks on market development, policymakers should consider streamlining approval processes and developing clear guidelines for grid connection, particularly focusing on areas identified as significant barriers by participants.

These recommendations, derived directly from participant insights and market analysis, offer practical steps for advancing South Africa's solar energy sector whilst addressing identified challenges and opportunities.

REFERENCES

- Mulaudzi, S. K., Muchie, M., & Makhado, R. (2012). Investigation of the solar energy production and contribution in South Africa: research note. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 4(4), 233-254.
- Berahab, R. (2022). The energy crisis of 2021 and its implications for Africa. *Policy Brief*, 6, 22.
- Tladi, B., Kambule, N., & Modley, L. A. (2024). Assessing the social and environmental impacts of the just energy transition in Komati, Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 111, 103489.
- Hansen, U. E., Nygaard, I., Morris, M., & Robbins, G. (2020). The effects of local content requirements in auction schemes for renewable energy in developing countries: A literature review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 127, 109843.
- Dobrotkova, Z., Surana, K., & Audinet, P. (2018). The price of solar energy: Comparing competitive auctions for utility-scale solar PV in developing countries. *Energy Policy*, 118, 133-148.
- Nybakk, E., & Jenssen, J. I. (2012). Innovation strategy, working climate, and financial performance in traditional manufacturing firms: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 16(2), 1-25.
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education, Inc.
- Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors*. The Free Press.
- Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Century (REN21). (2019). *Renewables 2019 Global Status Report*. REN21 Secretariat.
- South African Department of Energy. (2019). *Integrated Resource Plan*. Retrieved from [government website]
- Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Zahra, S. A., & Nielsen, A. P. (2002). Sources of Capabilities, Integration and Technology Commercialisation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(5), 377-398.

2.1 & 2.2

Kunz, V. D., & Warren, L. (2011). From innovation to market entry: a strategic management model for new technologies. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 23(4), 345-366.

Kapoor, R., & Furr, N. R. (2015). Complementarities and competition: Unpacking the drivers of entrants' technology choices in the solar photovoltaic industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(3), 416-436.

Kittner, N., Lill, F., & Kammen, D. M. (2017). Energy storage deployment and innovation for the clean energy transition. *Nature Energy*, 2(9), 1-6.

Brent, A. C., & Pretorius, L. (2011). Sustainable energy reviews and industrial opportunities. *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 6254-6261.

Baker, L., & Wlokas, H. (2015). South Africa's renewable energy policy roadmaps. Energy Research Centre, University of Cape Town.

Votteler, R., Hough, G., & Venter, P. (2014). The impact of policy on solar energy development in South Africa. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 14(1), 51-58.

Ting, M., & Byrne, J. (2020). The role of Eskom in South African energy policy. *Energy Policy*, 140, 111-123.

Kenny, A., et al. (2015). Operational and financial challenges in the South African energy sector. *Energy Policy*, 86, 404-414.

Pandarum, R., Lekoloane, J., & Milazi, D. (2019). Solar PV distributed generation: Market potential and development challenges in South Africa. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 101, 123-132.

Krupa, J., & Burch, S. (2011). The political ecology of South African renewable energy. *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 6254-6261.

Zhang, W., & White, S. (2016). Entrepreneurial action and the emergence of China's private solar photovoltaic firms. *Research Policy*, 45(3), 604-617.

Tsai, H. Y., Wu, C. R., & Ho, Y. R. (2013). Entry decision model for solar power industry in Taiwan. *Advanced Science Letters*, 19(9), 2800-2802.

Kuik, O., Branger, F., & Quirion, P. (2019). Competitive advantage in the renewable energy industry. *Renewable Energy*, 131, 472-481.

Mappangara, D., & Kartini, D. (2019). Competitive determinants strategy in Indonesia's solar panel industry. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 9(3), 117.

Elmustapha, H., & Hoppe, T. (2020). Business models in sustainable transitions: Solar energy in Lebanon. *Energies*, 13(3), 670.

2.3

Kunz, V. D., & Warren, L. (2011). From innovation to market entry: a strategic management model for new technologies. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 23(4), 345-366.

Kapoor, R., & Furr, N. R. (2015). Complementarities and competition: Unpacking the drivers of entrants' technology choices in the solar photovoltaic industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(3), 416-436.

Awate, S., Ajith, V., & Ajwani-Ramchandani, R. (2018). Catch-up as a survival strategy in the solar power industry. *Journal of International Management*, 24(2), 179-194.

Tsai, H. Y., Wu, C. R., & Ho, Y. R. (2013). Developing and Implementing Entry Chinese Market Decision Model for Solar Power Industry in Taiwan. *Advanced Science Letters*, 19(9), 2800-2802.

Kittner, N., Lill, F., & Kammen, D. M. (2017). Energy storage deployment and innovation for the clean energy transition. *Nature Energy*, 2(9), 1-6.

Erkamo, E. (2013). Market entry plan for Finnish solar energy technology: case: Suncol Oy & Germany.

Kuik, O., Branger, F., & Quirion, P. (2019). Competitive advantage in the renewable energy industry: Evidence from a gravity model. *Renewable energy*, 131, 472-481.

Holaschutz, D. (2012). *The seeds of solar innovation: how a nation can grow a competitive advantage* (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

Mappangara, D., & Kartini, D. (2019). The competitive determinants strategy and its impact on competitive advantage (Study of solar panel industry in Indonesia). *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 9(3), 117.

Kim, S. Y. (2021). National competitive advantage and energy transitions in Korea and Taiwan. *New Political Economy*, 26(3), 359-375.

Dögl, C., Holtbrügge, D., & Schuster, T. (2012). Competitive advantage of German renewable energy firms in India and China: An empirical study based on Porter's diamond. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 7(2), 191-214.

Dögl, C., & Holtbrügge, D. (2010). Competitive advantage of German renewable energy firms in Russia-An empirical study based on Porter's diamond. *Journal for East European Management Studies*, 34-58.

Widiantoro, D. M., Daudi, P., Nguyen, K., & Sharief, M. U. (2011). Porter's Diamond: National Competitiveness in Solar Energy Industry. *Available at SSRN 2078915*.

2.4

Walwyn, D. R., & Brent, A. C. (2015). Renewable energy gathers steam in South Africa. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 41, 390-401.

Brent, A., & Pretorius, M. (2011). Industrial and commercial opportunities to utilise concentrating solar thermal systems in South Africa. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa*, 22(4), 15-30.

Batinge, B., Musango, J. K., & Brent, A. C. (2017). Leapfrogging to renewable energy: The opportunity for unmet electricity markets. *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 28(4), 32-49.

Baker, L., & Wlokas, H. L. (2015). South Africa's renewable energy procurement: A new frontier?.

Votteler, Roman, Johan Hough, and Chanel Venter. "An analysis of the solar service provider industry in the Western Cape, South Africa." *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa* 25.2 (2014): 70-80.

Clark, S. J. (2011). A market entry strategy for Metso for the biomass-based power generation solutions market in South Africa.

Pegels, A. (2010). Renewable energy in South Africa: Potentials, barriers and options for support. *Energy policy*, 38(9), 4945-4954.

Montmasson-Clair, G., & das Nair, R. (2017). 8 South Africa's renewable energy experience: Inclusive growth lessons. *Competition law and economic regulation*, 189.

Aanesen, K., Heck, S., & Pinner, D. (2012). Solar power: Darkest before dawn. *McKinsey on Sustainability & Resource Productivity*, 14.

Markham, P. (2018). The application of real options to renewable energy investments in South Africa: the case of solar energy technology for small businesses and individual homeowners.

Davy, E. (2023). Latecomer Firms, Capability Building and Sustainable Industrialisation: The Case of Solar PV in Kenya and South Africa.

2.5

Ting, M. B., & Byrne, R. (2020). Eskom and the rise of renewables: Regime-resistance, crisis and the strategy of incumbency in South Africa's electricity system. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 60, 101333.

Kenny, A., Cronje, F., Jeffery, A., Moloi, L., Dimant, T., Kane-Berman, J., ... & Zwane, S. (2015). The rise and fall of Eskom—and how to fix it now. *Policy Bulletin*, 2(18), 1-22.

Tladi, B., Kambule, N., & Modley, L. A. (2024). Assessing the social and environmental impacts of the just energy transition in Komati, Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 111, 103489

Pandarum, A., Lekoloane, G. A., & Milazi, D. B. (2019). Trends and statistics of solar PV distributed generation in South Africa. *Alive2Green*.

Jaglin, S., & Dubresson, A. (2016). *ESKOM: electricity and technopolitics in South Africa*. Juta and Company (Pty) Ltd.

- Ansari, M. F., Kharb, R. K., Luthra, S., Shimmi, S. L., & Chatterji, S. (2013). Analysis of barriers to implement solar power installations in India using interpretive structural modeling technique. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 27, 163-174.
- Kihlström, V., & Elbe, J. (2021). Constructing markets for solar energy—a review of literature about market barriers and government responses. *Sustainability*, 13(6), 3273.
- Rai, V., Reeves, D. C., & Margolis, R. (2016). Overcoming barriers and uncertainties in the adoption of residential solar PV. *Renewable Energy*, 89, 498-505.
- Strupeit, L., & Palm, A. (2016). Overcoming barriers to renewable energy diffusion: business models for customer-sited solar photovoltaics in Japan, Germany and the United States. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 123, 124-136.
- Haas, J., Palma-Behnke, R., Valencia, F., Araya, P., Díaz-Ferrán, G., Telsnig, T., ... & Jiménez-Estévez, G. (2018). Sunset or sunrise? Understanding the barriers and options for the massive deployment of solar technologies in Chile. *Energy Policy*, 112, 399-414.
- Nikas, A., Stavrakas, V., Arsenopoulos, A., Doukas, H., Antosiewicz, M., Witajewski-Baltvilks, J., & Flamos, A. (2020). Barriers to and consequences of a solar-based energy transition in Greece. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 35, 383-399.
- Sindhu, S., Nehra, V., & Luthra, S. (2016). Identification and analysis of barriers in implementation of solar energy in Indian rural sector using integrated ISM and fuzzy MICMAC approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 62, 70-88.
- Medina, E., de Arce, R., & Mahía, R. (2015). Barriers to the investment in the Concentrated Solar Power sector in Morocco: A foresight approach using the Cross Impact Analysis for a large number of events. *Futures*, 71, 36-56.
- Xuegong, S., Liyan, G., & Zheng, Z. (2013). Market entry barriers for foreign direct investment and private investors: Lessons from China's electricity market. *Energy strategy reviews*, 2(2), 169-175.
- Pažėraitė, A., & Brandišauskas, D. (2022). Assessment of the barriers towards more rapid development of solar power: the case of Lithuania. *Energetika*, 68(1).

Al-Mimar, S. (2016). The Swedish sustainable energy market: Opportunities and barriers for new actors.: Barriers and obstacles to Swedish solar market.

2.6

Baker, L. (2015). The evolving role of finance in South Africa's renewable energy sector. *Geoforum*, 64, 146-156.

Krupa, J., & Burch, S. (2011). A new energy future for South Africa: The political ecology of South African renewable energy. *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 6254-6261.

Malen, J., & Marcus, A. A. (2017). Promoting clean energy technology entrepreneurship: The role of external context. *Energy Policy*, 102, 7-15.

Zhang, W., & White, S. (2016). Overcoming the liability of newness: Entrepreneurial action and the emergence of China's private solar photovoltaic firms. *Research Policy*, 45(3), 604-617.

Provance, M., Donnelly, R. G., & Carayannis, E. G. (2011). Institutional influences on business model choice by new ventures in the microgenerated energy industry. *Energy Policy*, 39(9), 5630-5637.

Elmustapha, H., & Hoppe, T. (2020). Challenges and opportunities of business models in sustainable transitions: Evidence from solar energy niche development in Lebanon. *Energies*, 13(3), 670.

Wadin, J. L., Ahlgren, K., & Bengtsson, L. (2017). Joint business model innovation for sustainable transformation of industries—A large multinational utility in alliance with a small solar energy company. *Journal of cleaner production*, 160, 139-150.

Jolly, S., Raven, R., & Romijn, H. (2012). Upscaling of business model experiments in off-grid PV solar energy in India. *Sustainability Science*, 7, 199-212.

2.7

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1-13.

Rogers, E. M. (1962). Diffusion of Innovations.

Barney, J. (1991). "Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage." *Journal of Management*.

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). "The Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organisational Fields." *American Sociological Review*.

Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors*. New York, NY: Free Press.

Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B., & Lampel, J. (1998). *Strategy Safari: A Guided Tour Through The Wilds of Strategic Management*. New York, NY: Free Press.

Lundvall, B. A. (Ed.). (1992). *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. London: Pinter.

Carlsson, B., & Stankiewicz, R. (1991). On the nature, function and composition of technological systems. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 1(2), 93-118.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Free Press.

Porter, M. E. (1990). *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. New York, NY: Free Press.

3.1

Ramanadhan, S., Revette, A. C., Lee, R. M., & Aveling, E. L. (2021). Pragmatic approaches to analysing qualitative data for implementation science: an introduction. *Implementation Science Communications*, 2, 1-10.

Mears, C. L. (2012). In-depth interviews. *Research methods and methodologies in education*, 19, 170-176.

Milena, Z. R., Dainora, G., & Alin, S. (2008). Qualitative research methods: A comparison between focus-group and in-depth interview. *Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic Science Series*, 17(4), 1279-1283.

3.2

Brinkmann, S. (2014). Interview. In *Encyclopedia of Critical Psychology*, 1008-1010. Springer.

3.3

Bryman, A. (2004). *Social research methods*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Kumar, J. (2005). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.

Bryman, A., Bell, E., Hirschsohn, P., Santos, D., & Toit, J.D. (2014). *Research Methodology: Business and Management Contexts*. Oxford University Press Southern Africa (Pty) Limited.

Denzin, N. K., Lincoln, Y. S., & Smith, L. T. (Eds.). (2008). *Handbook of critical and indigenous methodologies*. Sage.

3.4

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Sage publications.

Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533-544.

Tongco, M. D. C. (2007). Purposive sampling as a tool for informant selection. *Ethnobotany Research and Applications*, 5, 147-158.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2008). *The Landscape of Qualitative Research*. SAGE Publications.

Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation

research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533-544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>

Tongco, M. D. C. (2007). Purposive sampling as a tool for informant selection. *Ethnobotany Research and Applications*, 5, 147-158. <https://doi.org/10.17348/era.5.0.147-158>

3.7

Adeoye-Olatunde, O. A., & Olenik, N. L. (2021). Research and scholarly methods: Semi-structured interviews. *Journal of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy*, 4(10), 1358-1367.

Galletta, A. (2013). *Mastering the semi-structured interview and beyond: From research design to analysis and publication* (Vol. 18). NYU Press.

Schmidt, C. (2004). The analysis of semi-structured interviews. *A Companion to Qualitative Research*, 253(41), 258.

3.9

Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight “big-tent” criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837-851.

Morse, J. M. (2015). Critical analysis of strategies for determining rigour in qualitative inquiry. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25(9), 1212-1222.

Morse, J. M., Barrett, M., Mayan, M., Olson, K., & Spiers, J. (2002). Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 1(2), 13-22.

Finlay, L. (2002). “Outing” the researcher: The provenance, process, and practice of reflexivity. *Qualitative Health Research*, 12(4), 531-545.

Denzin, N. K. (1978). *The Research Act: A Theoretical Introduction to Sociological Methods*. McGraw-Hill.

Creswell, J. W., & Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory Into Practice*, 39(3), 124-130.

Harper, M., & Cole, P. (2012). Member checking: Can benefits be gained similar to group therapy? *The Qualitative Report*, 17(2), 510-517.

Flick, U. (2014). *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. Sage Publications.

APPENDIX A Research instrument

Interview Guide

Welcome Statement:

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this interview. Your insights and experiences are invaluable to our study on the solar energy sector in South Africa, particularly focusing on market entry strategies, competitive advantages, and the barriers that ventures face.

Study Objectives:

The aim of this study is to explore the complexities of entering the solar energy market in South Africa, identify the competitive advantages that successful ventures hold, and understand the significant barriers to market entry. Through your perspective, we hope to gain a deeper understanding of these dynamics and contribute valuable knowledge to the field.

Confidentiality and Data Use:

I want to assure you that all information shared during this interview will be treated with the utmost confidentiality. Your responses will be anonymised, and any identifiable information will be removed to ensure privacy. The data collected will be used solely for academic purposes, including research publications and presentations related to this study and collected and processed in accordance with South African law.

Consent Confirmation:

Before we proceed, I need to confirm your consent to participate in this interview. You have the right to withdraw at any time without any consequence. Your participation is entirely voluntary, and you may choose not to answer any questions you feel uncomfortable with. Do you consent to participate under these conditions, and may we proceed with the interview?

Individual Competencies:

I'm Juan Labuschagne, a student at the Wits Business School undertaking a Masters in Business Administration.

Section A: Market Entry Strategies

Strategic Overview: Could you describe the primary strategies your venture used to enter the South African solar energy market? How did you decide on these approaches?

Innovation Management: Reflecting on your market entry, how did innovation management play a role in your strategy development? Were there any specific innovations in technology or business processes that you prioritised?

Strategic Adaptation to Policy: Given the dynamic policy environment in South Africa, how did you adapt your market entry strategies in response to regulatory changes or incentives related to solar energy? Can you provide examples where policy influenced your strategic decisions?

Sustainability Practices: Sustainability is a significant driver for solar energy ventures. How did sustainable practices influence your market entry strategy? Were there any sustainability initiatives that particularly resonated with the South African market?

Leveraging Financial and Regulatory Incentives: Financial and regulatory incentives can be pivotal for solar energy ventures. How did your venture leverage these incentives during the market entry phase? Did these incentives shape your strategy in any specific ways?

Overcoming Barriers: Based on the literature, ventures face several barriers when entering the solar energy market. What were the most significant barriers you encountered, and how did your entry strategy address them?

Market Analysis and Competitive Landscape: How did your understanding of the South African solar energy market and its competitive landscape influence your market entry strategies? How did you position your venture to stand out?

Customer Demand and Service Differentiation: Customer demand and service differentiation are critical for market penetration. How did your strategies address the specific needs and preferences of your target market segments? How did you differentiate your offerings?

Strategic Partnerships and Alliances: Partnerships can be crucial for successful market entry. Did your venture form any strategic partnerships or alliances to facilitate entry into the South African market? How did these partnerships contribute to your strategy?

Adaptation and Flexibility: The ability to adapt and be flexible is crucial in the solar energy sector. Can you share examples where you had to pivot or adapt your market entry strategies in response to unforeseen challenges or opportunities?

Reflecting on Theoretical Frameworks: Considering the theoretical frameworks of innovation management and strategic adaptation discussed in the literature, how do you see these concepts reflected in your market entry strategies?

Learning and Evolution: Finally, what key lessons did you learn through the process of market entry, and how have these insights shaped the evolution of your venture's strategy in the South African solar energy sector?

Section B: Competitive Advantages

Critical Competitive Advantages: Can you identify the competitive advantages that you believe were crucial for your venture's success in the South African solar energy market? How do these align with both global trends and the specific demands of the local market?

Alignment with Global Trends: How do your venture's competitive advantages align with global trends in solar energy? Can you provide examples of how adapting to these trends has benefited your venture?

Leveraging Internal Capabilities: How have your internal capabilities contributed to building these competitive advantages? Can you discuss any specific capabilities, such as technological innovation or strategic partnerships, that have been particularly valuable?

Strategic Partnerships and Innovation: Can you talk about the role of strategic partnerships and technological innovation in enhancing your competitive position in the market? How did these elements contribute to differentiating your venture?

Section C: Barriers and Challenges Interview Questions

Identifying Main Barriers: What were the main barriers your venture faced during the market entry and operational phases in South Africa? How did these barriers impact your strategies?

Overcoming Market Entry Barriers: Can you discuss the strategies your venture employed to overcome these market entry barriers? Were there any adjustments or pivots you had to make in response to these challenges?

Impact of Policy and Regulatory Environments: How did the policy and regulatory environments in South Africa shape the challenges you faced? Can you provide examples of how changes in policy or regulation presented barriers or opportunities for your venture?

Section D: Success Factors Interview Questions

Key Factors for Success: Reflecting on your venture's journey, what factors do you attribute to its success within the competitive landscape of South Africa's solar energy sector?

Strategic Decision-Making Processes: Can you offer insights into the strategic decision-making processes that guided your venture through challenges to success? How did these decisions align with your competitive advantages?

Sustainability and Social Impact: Finally, how have sustainability and social impact considerations influenced your business operations? Can you discuss how these factors not only contributed to societal benefits but also to the success and competitive advantage of your venture?

APPENDIX B Consent form

Market entry and competitive advantage of new solar ventures in South Africa

Juan Labuschagne

Thank you for considering participation in this research study. Before you agree to participate, it's important that you understand the scope of the study and what your participation will involve.

Please read the following statements and indicate your consent by checking "Yes" or "No" for each:

I have been informed about the purpose of this study and what it entails. I understand the information provided.

Yes No

I acknowledge that my participation is entirely voluntary, and I am free to withdraw at any point without any penalty.

Yes No

I give my consent for the recording of the interview through audio means for the purpose of this research.

Yes No

I agree to allow direct quotations from my participation to be included in the research report, manuscript, or book chapter, with the assurance that these will be used respectfully and ethically.

Yes No

I have been assured that my identity will remain confidential. My name or any identifying information will not be disclosed in any report, manuscript, or publication resulting from this study.

Yes No

I consent to the use of the information provided by me in this study by other researchers for future research, provided they have the necessary ethical approval. My personal information will remain confidential and will not be shared.

Yes No

Please sign below to indicate your agreement to the above terms:

Participant's Signature

Date

Juan Labuschagne

Date

This consent form aims to protect your rights as a participant and ensure the ethical conduct of this study. If you have any questions or require further clarification, feel free to contact the research team. Thank you for your contribution to this research.

APPENDIX C Participant information sheet

Dear Participant

I hope this message finds you well. My name is Juan Labuschagne, and I am currently undertaking a Masters in Business Administration at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, under the guidance of Dr. Renee Horne. My research focuses on exploring market entry strategies, competitive advantages, and the challenges faced by solar energy ventures in South Africa. The study is titled “Market entry and competitive advantage of new solar ventures in South Africa.”

I am reaching out to invite you to contribute to this research by participating in a semi-structured interview. This interview is an essential part of my study and is designed to gather in-depth insights from experts and stakeholders like you in the solar energy sector. The conversation is expected to last approximately one hour and can be scheduled at your convenience, either in person or via a virtual platform.

To ensure the integrity of our discussion, with your consent, the interview will be audio-recorded. Rest assured, all data collected will be stored securely for two years and will be accessible only to me. Any personal information will be kept strictly confidential, and your identity will not be disclosed in any related publications.

Participation in this study is entirely voluntary, and you may withdraw at any time without consequence. Your decision to participate or not will not affect any services, benefits, or rights you normally have. While there is no direct compensation for participating, I believe your insights will significantly contribute to understanding the dynamics of the solar energy market in South Africa.

The findings from this study will be compiled into a research report, which will be accessible via the university library website. Upon completion, I would be pleased to share a summary of the findings with you.

Should you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact me or my supervisor at the details provided below. Additionally, if you have any concerns regarding the ethical conduct of this study, you are welcome to contact the University Human Research Ethics Committee (Non-Medical).

Thank you for considering this invitation. I look forward to possibly working with you to shed light on this significant topic.

Warm regards

Juan Labuschagne

Juan.Labuschagne@students.wits.ac.za

+27 83 357 3113

Supervisor:

Dr Renee Horne

Renee.Horne@wits.ac.za

+27 11 717 3612