

**SOUTH AFRICA AND LIBYA IN THE FORMATION OF THE AFRICAN UNION (AU):
IDEOLOGICAL VERSUS MATERIAL POWER**

BY

BEKIWE PEPETTA

STUDENT NO: 396670

University of the Witwatersrand

**SOUTH AFRICA AND LIBYA IN THE FORMATION OF THE AFRICAN UNION (AU):
IDEOLOGICAL VERSUS MATERIAL POWER**

BY

BEKIWE PEPETTA

Submitted in the fulfilment of the requirements

For the Degree of

MASTER OF ARTS

In the subject of International Relations

At the

UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND

SUPERVISOR: GILBERT KHADIAGALA

DECLARATION

MAY 21, 2010

I, Bekiwe L. Peppetta, declare that the thesis entitled:

**SOUTH AFRICA AND LIBYA IN THE FORMATION OF THE AFRICAN UNION:
IDEOLOGICAL VERSUS MATERIAL POWER**

is my own work and that all the sources used have been acknowledged by means of complete references. To the best of my knowledge, this thesis has not been submitted before, for any degree or examination in any university in South Africa or elsewhere in the world.

PROF. GIBLERT KHADIAGALA
Supervisor

BEKIWE L. PEPETTA
MA, International Relations Candidate
Student, No. 396670

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to God, my Master and source of inspiration;
and to my parents, Andrew and Laura Peppetta.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is with deep gratitude that I would like to thank:

God for helping me complete this dissertation. Without His wisdom, inspiration and guidance, I would not have completed it.

My dear parents, Andrew and Laura Peppetta, who were my in-house cheering squad and a constant source of encouragement and ideas to the very end.

My supervisor, Professor Gilbert Khadiagala, who provided insight and guidance for my topic.

The rest of my family and friends for their prayers and encouragement.

ABSTRACT

This thesis looks at the roles played by South Africa and Libya in the transformation of the Organization of African Unity (OAU) into the African Union (AU). South Africa and Libya were selected because they are both highly influential in Africa and very different from each other in leadership, politics, history, economics, languages, location and regional memberships. For each of the two countries, this thesis tries to determine what the most powerful explanations were for their foreign policy actions. Was it to maximize material power as postulated by realism or was it idealism as constructivists claim? Given the different political and leadership styles of each country, the thesis also examines which of the two variables, realism or constructivism, stood out more. The thesis concedes that though material power is important, it was both material and ideological concerns that were factors in South Africa and Libya's foreign policy decisions in the formation of the AU.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background	1
1.2.1	European Integration	1
1.2.2	Integration in the Americas	2
1.2.3	Asian Integration	3
1.2.4	Middle East Integration	3
1.2.5	The Formation of the Organization of African Unity (OAU)	3
1.2.6	The Transformation of the OAU to the African Union (AU)	5
1.2.6.1	Accommodating the Interests of South Africa and Libya	7
1.3	Aim of the Thesis	9
1.4	Rationale of the Thesis	9
1.5	Key Research Question	11
1.5.1	Related Research Questions	11
1.6	Literature Review	11
1.6.1	South Africa and the African Union	12
1.6.2	Libya and the African Union	13
1.7	Theoretical Framework	15
1.7.1	The Theory of Realism	16
1.7.2	The Theory of Constructivism	18
1.8	Assumptions of Realism and Constructivism	19
1.8.1	Realism	19
1.8.2	Constructivism	19
1.9	Research Methodology	20
1.9.1	Limitations	21
1.9.2	Ethical Considerations	22
1.10	Conclusion	22

CHAPTER 2

FOREIGN POLICY MAKING IN SOUTH AFRICA AND LIBYA

2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	Defining Foreign Policy	23
2.2.1	Foreign Policy Making in Democracies	25
2.2.2	Foreign Policy Making in Non-Democracies	26
2.3	Foreign Policy Making in South Africa	27
2.3.1	General Framework	28
2.3.2	Institutional Landscape of Foreign Policy Making	29
2.3.3	Foreign Policy Making Under Nelson Mandela and Thabo Mbeki	30
2.3.3.1	A Better South Africa, A Better Africa, A Better World	31
2.3.4	Policy Towards Africa: Apartheid	36
2.3.5	Policy Towards Africa: Post-Apartheid	37
2.4	Foreign Policy Making in Libya	43
2.4.1	General Framework	43
2.4.2	Institutional Landscape of Foreign Policy Making	44
2.4.3	Policy Towards Africa	44
2.5	Analysis	46
2.6	Conclusion	47

CHAPTER 3

SOUTH AFRICA AND LIBYA IN THE FORMATION OF THE AU

3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Debates and Problems About the OAU	50
3.2.2	The Cairo Declaration	53
3.2.3	The Abuja Treaty	54
3.2.4	Problems with Implementation	56
3.3	The Making of the AU: South Africa and Libya, 1999-2002	59
3.3.1	Alliance Building	59
3.3.2	Initial Proposal to Reform the AU	61

3.4	From the OAU to the AU	63
3.4.1	Drafting the Constitutive Act	65
3.4.2	Lome, Togo, 2000	66
3.4.3	Lusaka Summit, July 2001	69
3.4.4	South Africa and Libya's Actions	70
3.4.5	Durban, South Africa, 2002	71
3.5	Analysis	75
3.5.1	Realist and Constructivist Takes on the AU	75
3.5.1.1	What This Means in the Context of the Formation of the African Union	78
3.6	Conclusion	84

CHAPTER 4

AREAS OF CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE BETWEEN SOUTH AFRICA AND LIBYA

4.1	Introduction	86
4.1.1	Background	88
4.2	NEPAD and the United States of Africa (USAf)	90
4.3	Convergent and Divergent Foreign Policies	102
4.3.1	Zimbabwe	102
4.3.2	Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC)	104
4.3.3	Sudan	106
4.4	Analysis	108
4.4.1	South Africa	108
4.4.2	Libya	110
4.5	Conclusion	112

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION AND WAY FORWARD

5.1	Introduction	114
5.2	Summary	115
5.2.1	What Were the Reasons for the Formation of a Continental Organization in Africa?	115
5.2.2	What are the Objectives of South Africa and Libya's Foreign Policies?	117
5.3	Answering the Research Question	119
5.3.1	South Africa's Motivation to Form the AU	120
5.3.2	Libya's Motivation to Form the AU	122
5.4	Conclusion	124
5.4.1	The Way Forward	125
5.5	The Current State of Affairs	127
BIBLIOGRAPHY		129