OPEN

Efficacy and Safety of Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate Versus Low-Dose Stavudine Over 96 Weeks: A Multicountry Randomized, Noninferiority Trial

Willem Daniel Francois Venter, FCP (SA),* Andrew Kambugu, MMed,† Matthew F. Chersich, PhD,* Stephen Becker, MD,‡ Andrew Hill, PhD,§ Natasha Arulappan, National Diploma,* Michelle Moorhouse, MBBCh,* Mohammed Majam, MBA,* Godspower Akpomiemie, MPH,* Simiso Sokhela, MBBCh,* Selvamuthu Poongulali, PhD, Charles Feldman, FCP (SA), Chris Duncombe, PhD,# David H. Brown Ripin, PhD,** Alinda Vos, MD,††* and Nagalingeswaran Kumarasamy, PhD

Received for publication July 17, 2018; accepted October 15, 2018.

- From the *Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; †Infectious Diseases, College of Health Sciences at Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; ‡Independent Consultant, Yountville, CA; §Pharmacology Department, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom; []Chennai Antiviral Research and Treatment Clinical Research Site, YRGCARE Medical Centre, Voluntary Health Services, Chennai, India; ¶Department of Internal Medicine, Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital and Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; #HIV, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA; **Clinton Health Access Initiative, Boston, MA; and ††Julius Global Health, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands.
- Supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, USAID, and the South African Department of Health.
- Presented in part at the HIV Drug Therapy Conference; October 28–31, 2018; Glasgow, Scotland; Poster P047.
- The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
- W.D.F. Venter, A. Kambugu, and N. Kumarasamy were involved in conceiving the study, leading at their respective sites and study implementation, as well as providing critical insights into the data sets, aided by N. Arulappan, M. Moorhouse, M. Majam, G. Akpomiemie, S. Sokhela, S. Poongulali, and C. Feldman. M.F. Chersich, with W.D.F. Venter, provided reworking and oversight of the final version of the manuscript, did additional analyses on the data set, and coordinated submission. S. Becker, A. Hill, C. Duncombe, and D.H.B. Ripin were instrumental in trial conception, design, advice on trial conduct, and analysis. A. Vos contributed to advice on toxicity data. All authors contributed to the final manuscript. The study sponsors had no role in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.
- Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.jaids.com).
- Correspondence to: Willem Daniel Francois Venter, FCP (SA), Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Hugh Solomon Building, 22 Esselen Street, Hillbrow, 2001, South Africa (e-mail: fventer@wrhi.ac. za).
- Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background: Reducing doses of antiretroviral drugs, including stavudine (d4T), may lower toxicity, while preserving efficacy. There are substantial concerns about renal and bone toxicities of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF).

Setting: HIV-1–infected treatment-naive adults in India, South Africa, and Uganda.

Methods: A phase-4, 96-week, randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial compared d4T 20 mg twice daily and TDF, taken in combination with lamivudine (3TC) and efavirenz (EFV). The primary endpoint was the proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA <50 copies per milliliter at 48 weeks. Adverse events assessments included measures of bone density and body fat. The trial is registered on Clinicaltrials. gov (NCT02670772).

Results: Between 2012 and 2014, 536 participants were recruited per arm. At week 96, trial completion rates were 75.7% with d4T/3TC/EFV (n = 406) and 82.1% with TDF/3TC/EFV (n = 440, P = 0.011). Noncompletion was largely due to virological failure [6.2% (33) with d4T/3TC/EFV versus 5.4% (29) with TDF/3TC/EFV; P = 0.60]. For the primary endpoint, d4T/3TC/EFV was noninferior to TDF/3TC/EFV (79.3%, 425/536 versus 80.8% 433/536; difference = -1.49%, 95% CI: -6.3 to 3.3; P < 0.001). Drug-related adverse event discontinuations were higher with d4T (6.7%, 36), than TDF (1.1%, 6; P < 0.001). Lipodystrophy was more common with d4T (5.6%, 30) than TDF (0.2%, 1; P < 0.001). Creatinine clearance increased in both arms, by 18.1 mL/min in the d4T arm and 14.2 mL/min with TDF (P = 0.03). Hip bone density measures, however, showed greater loss with TDF.

Conclusions: Low-dose d4T combined with 3TC/EFV demonstrated noninferior virological efficacy compared with TDF/3TC/EFV, but mitochondrial toxicity remained high. Little renal toxicity occurred in either arm. Implications of bone mineral density changes with TDF warrant investigation.

Key Words: tenofovir, stavudine DEXA, HIV, India, renal, South Africa, toxicity, trial, Uganda, dose reduction, resource allocation, public health

(J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2019;80:224–233)

INTRODUCTION

For over a decade, World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines have advocated the use of a combination of 2 nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in first-line antiretroviral treatment (ART) of HIV infection.^{1,2} Over this period, toxicity concerns arose with several recommended agents, especially with the NRTI thymidine analogue stavudine (d4T).^{3,4} In 2010, WHO recommended phasing out of stavudine, due to the high incidence of serious and often irreversible mitochondrial toxicity, including lipodystrophy, peripheral neuropathy, and lactic acidosis.⁵ Because of d4T's low cost and widely available coformulation with other ART drugs, the drug had been the most prescribed antiretroviral, after lamivudine (3TC), in the world but was rapidly replaced with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF).^{2,6}

Dose finding for many licensed antiretrovirals was achieved through identification of the maximum-tolerated dose that results in HIV virological suppression.7 Pharmaceutical companies focus on optimizing the chances of success of registration studies, titrating doses against biological markers such as CD4 cell count and viral load, generally over 48-96 weeks. The initial dose of d4T used was 40 mg twice daily (BD) for most adults (30 mg BD for patients weighing under 60 kg).⁸ Studies involving substantial numbers of patients suggested that lower doses were less toxic, while maintaining viral suppression,⁹⁻¹² and, in 2007, WHO recommended a weight-independent reduction to 30 mg BD for all adults and adolescents.¹³ Further data, although limited, suggested that d4T doses even lower than 30 mg might maintain virological suppression, while reducing drug toxicity.14-19

The d4T 40-mg dose has been compared head-to-head with TDF in a trial including mostly white males in the United States,²⁰ which showed no difference in virological suppression or incidence of resistance after 3 years. Lipid profiles, however, were better with TDF, and lipodystrophy, the highly stigmatizing loss of fat in the limbs and trunk, was strongly associated with d4T, affecting 19% of patients compared with 3% in the TDF arm.

Conversely, TDF has well-described renal and bone effects.^{1,21} Renal toxicity, although unusual, is potentially catastrophic in settings where renal replacement therapy, such as dialysis, is limited. The impact of TDF on bone mineral density is also well-described in dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) studies.²²

At the time of initiation of the study, TDF was the most expensive component of WHO-recommended first-line therapy, costing almost four-fold more than d4T.²³ Potentially, with a dose reduction, the overall burden toxicities with d4T could approximate those of TDF. This would raise important questions around how to balance the relative costs of the

drugs and of treating the toxicities, as well as potential impacts on patient wellbeing of the 2 drugs. Although studies in India and South Africa indicate that TDF has cost effectiveness benefits when measured against conventionally dosed d4T,^{24–26} these findings may not hold true against lower doses of d4T. We thus evaluated low-dose d4T (20 mg BD) against the current gold-standard TDF, for virological efficacy and toxicity, in 3 lower- and middle-income countries (LMICs), with an emphasis on monitoring renal and bone toxicity.

METHODS

Study Design

This study, WRHI001, was a randomized, phase 4, multicenter, parallel-group, noninferiority trial to assess the efficacy and safety of treatment with either d4T (20 mg BD) or TDF (300 mg daily) administered in combination with 3TC (150 mg BD) and efavirenz (EFV) (600 mg daily) over 96 weeks in patients with HIV-1 infection. Participants were recruited from a clinical trial site in Johannesburg, South Africa (n = 600), in Kampala, Uganda (386), and in Chennai, India (86). The study was approved by relevant country research regulatory and ethics review bodies, and registered on Clinicaltrials.gov (identifier NCT02670772).

Participants

Patients were 18 years and older (and younger than 65 years in the India site) and antiretroviral-naive with plasma HIV RNA levels >1000 copies per milliliter. Exclusion criteria included any exposure to ART (including mother-tochild prophylaxis), pregnancy (or desire for pregnancy in next 2 years), symptomatic peripheral neuropathy, CD4 >350 cells/µL (the threshold for ART initiation in each country at that time), hepatitis B antigen positivity, or an estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min based on the Cockcroft-Gault equation. Tuberculosis was not an exclusion criterion. As part of the informed consent procedures, participants were given detailed information on the relevant toxicities of both drugs and on the toxicity equipoise of the drugs. Participants only provided written informed consent after having taken the form home for perusal, and having had group, as well as one-on-one discussions on the study procedures and risks of participation.

Randomization and Masking

Participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to either d4T/3TC/EFV, "the d4T arm," or TDF/3TC/EFV, "the TDF arm." The randomization schedule was generated using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) and stratified by country to yield a balanced distribution of patients in the 2 arms within each site. Randomization was managed through a telephone-activated interactive voice response system. Study medications were not coformulated and were administered in a double-blind, double-dummy design. Other antiretrovirals were administered in an open-label fashion.



FIGURE 1. Trial profile.

Procedures

The study had separate screening and baseline visits, and 9 scheduled study visits. Women who became pregnant could elect to continue participation. Participants who developed persistent virological failure (>1000 copies per milliliter on at least 2 measures) were withdrawn from the study.

Safety evaluations included the monitoring of adverse events (AEs), physical examinations, monitoring for bone density and fat changes using DEXA, and extensive laboratory assessments. Lipodystrophy was diagnosed clinically, either after a patient complaint or on clinical examination at each visit. DEXA scans, performed at baseline, and weeks 24, 48, and 96, were sent to a central imaging organization for review, and calculation of hip and lumbar spine bone mineral density, and fat measurements (limb and truncal). In women who became pregnant, the scan was deferred until after pregnancy. Investigators did not have access to DEXA results to prevent unnecessary drug discontinuation. AEs were graded according to Division of AIDS grading.²⁷ Patients who developed grade 1 or 2 AEs could continue at investigator discretion. Patients with grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormalities were to have the results confirmed, and interruption or discontinuation of study drug considered. Substitutions of 3TC or EFV were permitted at investigator discretion, in the event of toxicity.

Outcomes

The primary endpoint was viral suppression (HIV-1 RNA levels <50 copies per milliliter) at 48 weeks, using the "snapshot approach" in an intention-to-treat analysis.²⁸ Secondary endpoints compared virological suppression at other time points and viral load cut-offs, CD4 cell count recovery, treatment emergent AEs, and laboratory toxicities at 96 weeks.

Statistical Analysis

Monitoring and support for the study were undertaken by Pharmaceutical Product Development (PPD, Wilmington, DE). Oversight of study conduct was through a dedicated Data Safety Monitoring Board that had access to unblinded data. Stopping boundaries (critical values of *P*-value) were used by the Board for making recommendations. The study

	Treatment Group 1, d4T/3TC + EFV (N = 536)	Treatment Group 2, TDF/3TC + EFV (N = 536)
Age (yr)		
Mean (SD)	35.5 (8.4)	35.0 (8.1)
Sex, n (%)		
Female	326 (60.8)	293 (54.7)
Race, n (%)		
Black African	492 (91.8)	492 (91.8)
Indian	43 (8.0)	43 (8.0)
Other	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)
Country, n (%)		
India	43 (8.0)	43 (8.0)
South Africa	300 (56.0)	300 (56.0)
Uganda	193 (36.0)	193 (36.0)
Previous or current TB (all forms), n (%)	76 (14.2)	86 (15.9)
Weight (kg), median (IQR)		
Median (IQR)	61.6 (54.4-70.0)	61.8 (55-70.0)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)		
Median (IQR)	23.0 (20.4-26.6)	22.8 (20.7-25.9)
Underweight (BMI <18.5), n (%)	54 (10.1)	33 (6.2)
CD4 cell count cell/µL		
Median (IQR)	206 (128-274)	205.5 (123-270)

TABLE 1. Baseline Characteristics of Participants

3TC, lamivudine; d4T, stavudine; EFV, efavirenz; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; IQR, interquartile range.

was powered based on a noninferiority margin of 10%, according to the then standards of the Department of Health and Human Services.²⁹

Statistical analysis was undertaken using SAS software (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC) and EAST software (Cytel, Inc, Cambridge, MA). Efficacy analysis included all randomized patients, a per protocol analysis of those who received \geq 80% of their doses and had an evaluable week 48 viral load, and a safety analysis including all patients who had received at least one dose of study drug. Differences in proportions of patients who achieved the primary endpoint between the groups were analyzed using the binomial noninferiority test. To adjust for the planned interim analyses, a group sequential design after the Lan-DeMets β spending approach with the O'Brien-Fleming parameter was applied to control the type-2 error rate for the primary endpoint. The proportions of patients with the primary endpoint in each treatment group, the estimates for the difference in proportion between the groups, and the corresponding noninferiority test P-values were presented. Between-treatment comparisons for incidence of treatment emergent AEs were performed using χ^2 and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests, as appropriate.

RESULTS

Of 1517 patients screened, 1072 were randomized between July 23, 2012, and January 24, 2014 (Fig. 1). Of 536 patients in the d4T arm, 533 received at least one dose of study medication, as did 534 of 536 in the TDF arm. Week 96 completion rates were 75.7% in the d4T arm (406) and 82.1% in the TDF arm (440, P = 0.011). The most frequent reasons for discontinuation of the study in the d4T arm were serious or intolerable AEs [36 (6.7%)] and virological failure [33 (6.2%)]. In the TDF arm, a similar number discontinued for virological failure [29 (5.4%), P = 0.60], but fewer for AEs [6 (1.1%), P < 0.001].

Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1 and were similar between the 2 groups. The overall mean age of participants was 35.2 years (SD \pm 8.3) and 57.7% were female. Most participants were black (91.8%, 984), with the remainder Indian. The median CD4 count at baseline was 206 cells/µL (interquartile range, 124–272). Almost 1 in 7 patients had a history of previous or current TB at study entry, nearly all pulmonary TB. Over the study, cotrimoxazole was taken by 72% of patients, isoniazid prophylaxis by 44%, and dapsone by 3%.

For the primary endpoint, in the all-randomized set, the proportion of participants who had an HIV-1 RNA level <50 copies per milliliter at week 48 was similar in both arms: 79.3% in the d4T arm (425/536) and 80.8% (433/536) with TDF (Table 2). The d4T arm was noninferior to the TDF arm (treatment difference: -1.49%, 95% CI: -6.3 to 3.3; P < 0.001). The results of the per protocol set were similar to the all-randomized set. Similarly, the virological suppression secondary endpoints were comparable between arms, as was viral decay across all time points (see Figure 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B241). Virological failure rates were low in both treatment groups, 43 (8.0%) patients in the d4T arm and 39 (7.3%) in the TDF arm (P =0.65). There were very similar increases in CD4 cell count from baseline in both groups, over all periods, and in both the all-randomized and per-protocol set (see Figure 2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B241).

Results of subgroup analyses (Table 2), comparing the primary efficacy endpoint, showed that the proportion of patients with <50 copies per milliliter at week 48 was similar between the study arms when stratified by country, sex, baseline HIV-1 RNA level (> or $\le 100,000$ copies per milliliter), and baseline CD4 cell count (> or ≤ 200 cells/µL).

In aggregate, at week 48, 83.2% (480/577) of patients who had a pre-ART VL <100,000 copies per milliliter were suppressed, compared with 67.6% (378/559) if VL was >100,000 copies per milliliter (P < 0.001). Virological suppression was also less likely if the pre-ART CD4 cell count was <200 cells/µL. Country, TB therapy (both more and less than 3 months), a switch from EFV for toxicity, and pregnancy had no detectable impact on the rate of decrease of viral load or of reaching undetectable levels. No interaction was noted between treatment group and these associations.

Overall, 90.4% of d4T arm participants had at least one AE (482), compared with 89.0% in the TDF arm (475; P = 0.43). However, more d4T recipients had one or more treatment-related AE [166 (31.1) versus 129 (24.2);

Group	Treatment Group 1, d4T/3TC/EFV, n/N (%)	Treatment Group 2, TDF/3TC/EFV, n/N (%)	Difference (95% CI)	Р
All randomized set: HIV-1 RNA level <50 copies per milliliter at week 48	425/536 (79.3)	433/536 (80.8)	-1.49 (-6.3 to 3.3)	< 0.001
All randomized set: HIV-1 RNA level <200 copies per milliliter at week 96	391/536 (72.9)	426/536 (79.5)	-6.53 (-11.6 to -1.4)	0.090
All randomized set: subgroups <50 copies per milliliter at week 48				
Country				
South Africa	245/300 (81.7)	237/300 (79.0)	2.67 (-3.7 to 9.0)	< 0.001
Uganda	151/193 (78.2)	160/193 (82.9)	-4.66 (-12.5 to 3.2)	0.092
India	29/43 (67.4)	36/43 (83.7)	-16.28 (-34.1 to 1.6)	0.755
Gender				
Female	255/326 (78.2)	231/293 (78.8)	-0.62 (-7.1 to 5.9)	0.002
Male	170/210 (81.0)	202/243 (83.1)	-2.18 (-9.3 to 4.9)	0.015
Baseline HIV-1 RNA level				
\leq 100,000 copies per milliliter	226/271 (83.4)	254/306 (83.0)	0.38 (-5.7 to 6.5)	< 0.001
>100,000 copies per milliliter	199/262 (76.0)	179/228 (78.5)	-2.56 (-10.0 to 4.9)	0.025
Baseline CD4 cell count				
$\leq 200 \text{ cells/mm}^3$	194/249 (77.9)	198/259 (76.4)	1.46 (-5.8 to 8.8)	0.001
>200 cells/mm ³	231/284 (81.3)	235/275 (85.5)	-4.12 (-10.3 to 2.0)	0.031
Per-protocol set: HIV-1 RNA level <50 copies per milliliter at week 48	421/451 (93.3)	430/459 (93.7)	-0.33 (-3.5 to 2.9)	< 0.0001
Per-protocol set: HIV-1 RNA level <200 copies per milliliter at week 96	387/451 (85.8)	418/459 (91.1)	-5.26 (-9.4 to -1.1)	0.0125
Noninferiority test P-value.				

TABLE 2.	Results of all	Randomized and	per Protocol Anal	vses, by Stud	v Arm and Po	pulation Subgroups
	incourts of un	Nunuonnizcu unu	per riotocor Ana	yses, by stud		pulution Jubgroups

P = 0.011, Table 3]. Occurrence of at least one serious AE in the d4T arm [49 (9.2%)] was similar to the TDF arm [47 (8.8%); P = 0.83].

Thirteen patients died in each arm. Deaths were distributed across a broad number of causes that included infections, neoplasms, and trauma, with insufficient numbers in any category to make any meaningful inferences. No death could be ascribed to the study drugs, although cause of death was often difficult to determine because of nonspecific symptoms and the absence of an autopsy. TB was the commonest single cause of death (6 in d4T arm and 2 in TDF arm). Overall, 40 cases of TB (16 in d4T arm and 24 in TDF arm) were diagnosed during the study, including 8 cases of TB-immune reconstitution syndrome (5 in d4T arm and 3 in TDF arm). A total of 49 patients in the d4T arm and 36 in the TDF arm had a positive pregnancy test during the study, all of whom elected to continue in the study.

A single patient in the TDF arm developed acute renal failure, an Indian male diabetic with extrapulmonary TB, who was hospitalized and subsequently discharged with improving renal function, but then relocated and refused further contact. Four patients on the d4T arm developed lactic acidosis, all of whom recovered after discontinuation of d4T.

The incidence of the following events was higher in the d4T than the TDF arm: lipodystrophy [30 (5.6%) versus 1 (0.2%); P < 0.001], peripheral neuropathy [37 (6.9%) versus 24 (4.5%); P = 0.067], gastritis [15 (2.8%) versus 3 (0.6%); P = 0.004], lower respiratory tract infection [32 (6.0%) versus 20 (3.7%); P = 0.087], and pyrexia [26 (4.9%) versus 12 (2.2%); P = 0.02]. The incidence of the following events was

higher in the TDF than the d4T arm: upper respiratory tract infection [99 (18.5%) versus 72 (13.5%); P = 0.025] and urinary tract infection [91 (17.0%) versus 68 (12.8%); P =0.049]. Two patients in the d4T arm had a bone fracture and 3 in the TDF arm, whereas 5 in the d4T arm had gynecomastia as did 6 with TDF. Nine patients in the d4T arm and 20 in the TDF arm had their EFV switched due to toxicity, predominantly rash and gynecomastia (P = 0.039).

At 96 weeks, compared with patients in the TDF arm, those receiving d4T were more likely to have elevated levels of triglycerides (P = 0.017), total cholesterol (P = 0.006), and low density lipoprotein (LDL) (P = 0.039). Fasting high density lipoprotein (HDL) levels rose overall, and similarly in both arms. Lactate increased in the d4T arm over 96 weeks but did not change in the TDF arm. Seven patients in the d4T arm had their medication stopped because of raised lactate, despite being asymptomatic. There were differences between the 2 arms in several other laboratory parameters, including hematology, alkaline phosphatase, gamma globulins, and lactate dehydrogenase (see Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B241). Creatinine clearance went up in both arms, overall change by end of study of 18.1 mL/min in d4T arm versus 14.2 in TDF arm (P = 0.03). White blood cells were detected in urine in 128 of the patients in the TDF arm and 96 of the patients in the d4T arm. One case of asymptomatic pancreatitis (grade 4 lipase and amylase) occurred in the d4T arm in a patient who had a previous history of pancreatitis and gastrointestinal TB. The patient, withdrawn from the study, recovered after study drug discontinuation. Another patient developed significant

TABLE 3. Treatment-Emergent and Treatment-Related

Adverse Events

	Treatment Group 1, d4T/3TC/EFV (N = 533), n (%)	Treatment Group 2, TDF/3TC/EFV (N = 534), n (%)	Р
No. of treatment- related AEs	259	199	< 0.001
Number who discontinued trial due to AE*	52 (9.8)	19 (3.6)	
No. of patients with ≥ 1 treatment- related AE	166 (31.1)	129 (24.2)	<0.011
Type of treatment- emergent AE			
Dizziness	176 (33.0)	175 (32.8)	0.93
Peripheral neuropathy	37 (6.9)	24 (4.5)	0.067
Lipodystrophy	30 (5.6)	1 (0.2)	< 0.001
Nausea	20 (3.8)	25 (4.7)	0.45
Somnolence	27 (5.1)	24 (4.5)	0.66
Vomiting	20 (3.8)	31 (5.8)	0.12
Asthenia	21 (3.9)	11 (2.1)	0.072
Diarrhea	32 (6.0)	35 (6.6)	0.71
Gastritis	15 (2.8)	3 (0.6)	0.004
Pyrexia	26 (4.9)	12 (2.2)	0.02
Upper respiratory tract infection	72 (13.5)	99 (18.5)	0.025
Lower respiratory tract infection	32 (6.0)	20 (3.7)	0.087
Urinary tract infection	69 (13.0)	91 (17.0)	0.06
Weight decreased	77 (14.4)	86 (16.1)	0.45
Appetite decreased	24 (4.5)	18 (3.4)	0.34
Abnormal dreams	14 (2.6)	20 (3.7)	0.30

Types of AEs reported only if sum of treatment-related events in both groups \geq 8, or significant differences noted between trial arms. Treatment-emergent events refer to AEs not present before the study, or present but worsened after exposure to study medication. Treatment-related AEs include "possible", "probable," and "definite" relationship to study medication. For each types of AEs listed, a participant was counted once, even if they had >1 event.

*Develops condition or requires new drugs that were an exclusion criteria, or death. 3TC lamivudine; d4T stavudine.

hypophosphatemia after 12 weeks, in the d4T arm, which resolved spontaneously.

Although both arms showed a decrease in bone density from baseline, the TDF arm decrease was greater than the d4T arm for hip and lumbar spine measures (Table 4, Figs. 2 and 3). Among participants who had a hip t score of above -1 at baseline, more developed osteopenia (t < -1) during the study in the TDF arm than the d4T arm [58/391 (14.8%) versus 44/421 (10.5%); P = 0.06]. The median change in lumbar spine t scores from baseline was larger at weeks 24, 48, and 96. No differences were, however, observed between the study arms for lumbar spine median t scores for each time point, nor in the overall numbers of patients who developed osteopenia during the trial (66 in d4t arm and 71 with TDF). TDF arm patients experienced a sustained gain in DEXA-measured fat (1.18-kg gain in limb fat and 1.21 in trunk fat at week 96), whereas the corresponding figures for the d4T arm were -0.14 kg and 1.47 kg.

DISCUSSION

The trial, the first large study to compare 20 mg BD of d4T to another antiretroviral, demonstrated low virological failure overall and noninferiority in terms of virological suppression. This suggests that d4T at 20 mg BD may have been as effective as 40 mg BD, the dose used for d4T for many years. There was, however, still substantial toxicity despite the lower d4T dose, and many more patients discontinued the study because of AEs in that arm. Generally, many of these toxicities seem to have occurred at a similar frequency to previous trials involving higher doses of the drug. However, the dose reduction may account for the lower rates of lipodystrophy with d4T in this trial than in previous studies using higher doses.^{2,20} DEXA data confirmed the fat loss, with the d4T arm reversing fat gains made in the first 48 weeks, over the subsequent life of the study, a pattern similar to earlier studies²⁰.

It has been speculated that components of the lipodystrophy syndrome are due to immune reconstitution on ART.^{30,31} Our data suggest that the lipodystrophy component is due almost entirely to d4T, and not immune reconstitution, because almost all lipodystrophy events were in the d4T arm. This was significant because the study was fully blinded, and the diagnosis required either a patient-specific complaint, or clinician diagnosis. Other potential mitochondrial toxicities were noted. There was a small difference in the incidence of peripheral neuropathy, a recognized complication of d4T, and again, the differences were similar to those seen in registration studies and local evaluations.² Four patients developed lactic acidosis on the d4T arm, and one pancreatitis, also recognized complications.³²

The intensive toxicity monitoring allowed us to make a detailed assessment of the bone and renal toxicities of TDF. This is currently very topical because TDF may be phased out in favor of recently licensed tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), which has shown renal and bone benefits in recent registration trials, although their clinical significance remains uncertain.^{33–36}

In our study, TDF was very well-tolerated clinically, again in keeping with other studies, with only a single case of renal failure, in a diabetic with TB.^{20,37,38} There was a small increase in GFR in both arms, noting that all started with a GFR >60 mL/min. It is difficult to explain this finding, other than to speculate that renal compromise was present at baseline because of advanced disease, which recovered somewhat as their health improved. In most other studies, TDF has been shown to decrease GFR in people with normal GFRs,³⁷ but clinical trial aggregated data showed no impact when combined with EFV.²² Of note, there were more urinary tract infections in the TDF arm and more reports of positive urine white cell counts. It is interesting to speculate that this may be abnormal urine dipstix findings, because of tubular abnormalities, that clinicians' misassigned as infections, or that they had greater urine glucose levels and hence greater risk for infection. However, we did not see any laboratory marker changes suggesting tubular dysfunction, and the only

TABLE 4. DEXA Scan Results

Laboratory Test	Treatment Group 2, d4T/3TC + EFV	Treatment Group 1, TDF/3TC + EFV	Р
Hip t score ≤ -1 (n/N) %			
Baseline	(82/531) 15.4	(110/532) 20.7	0.027
Week 24	(86/494) 17.4	(123/489) 25.2	0.003
Week 48	(97/448) 21.7	(127/453) 28.0	0.027
Week 96	(93/396) 23.5	(137/427) 32.1	0.006
Hip t score median (IQR)			
Baseline	-0.09 (-0.72 to 0.50)	-0.19 (-0.85 to 0.49)	0.083
Week 24	-0.16 (-0.80 to 0.47)	-0.36 (-1.00 to 0.32)	0.003
Week 48	-0.25 (-0.88 to 0.38)	-0.45 (-1.07 to 0.23)	0.002
Week 96	-0.33 (-0.95 to 0.30)	-0.52 (-1.18 to 0.19)	0.010
Hip t score change from baseline median (IQR)			
Week 24	-0.06 (-0.15 to 0.03)	-0.14 (-0.24 to -0.05)	< 0.001
Week 48	-0.13 (-0.25 to -0.01)	-0.24 (-0.37 to -0.11)	< 0.001
Week 96	-0.20 (-0.35 to -0.06)	-0.28 (-0.45 to -0.14)	< 0.001
Lumbar spine t score ≤ -1 (n/N) %			
Baseline	(251/527) 47.6	(244/529) 46.1	0.625
Week 24	(261/494) 52.8	(269/486) 55.4	0.429
Week 48	(248/449) 55.2	(248/450) 55.1	0.970
Week 96	(219/396) 55.3	(241/426) 56.6	0.714
Lumbar spine t score median (IQR)			
Baseline	-0.92 (-1.58 to -0.17)	-0.90 (-1.61 to -0.10)	0.489
Week 24	-1.09 (-1.77 to -0.36)	-1.13 (-1.91 to -0.34)	0.301
Week 48	-1.11 (-1.80 to -0.34)	-1.16 (-1.90 to -0.39)	0.232
Week 96	-1.13 (-1.88 to -0.38)	-1.18 (-1.92 to -0.46)	0.338
Lumbar spine t score change from baseline median (IQR)			
Week 24	-0.15 (-0.31 to 0.00)	-0.28 (-0.45 to -0.12)	< 0.001
Week 48	-0.15 (-0.34 to 0.03)	-0.27 (-0.49 to -0.11)	< 0.001
Week 96	-0.17 (-0.43 to 0.02)	-0.31 (-0.55 to -0.09)	< 0.001
Limb fat (kg) median (IQR)			
Baseline	8.32 (5.29 to 12.3)	7.95 (4.86 to 11.62)	0.036
Week 24	9.10 (5.84 to 13.30)	8.72 (5.20 to 12.21)	0.005
Week 48	8.54 (5.55 to 12.41)	8.93 (5.57 to 13.08)	0.372
Week 96	7.59 (4.89 to 11.17)	9.11 (5.94 to 13.59)	< 0.001
Limb fat change from baseline median kg (IQR)			
Week 24	0.61 (-0.32 to 1.68)	0.27 (-0.54 to 1.27)	< 0.001
Week 48	0.42 (-1.14 to 2.02)	0.86 (-0.35 to 2.32)	< 0.001
Week 96	-0.14 (-2.00 to 1.70)	1.18 (-0.23 to 2.92)	< 0.001
Trunk fat (kg)			
Baseline	5.85 (3.48 to 9.14)	5.53 (3.39 to 8.87)	0.478
Week 24	6.75 (3.91 to 10.11)	6.06 (3.60 to 9.63)	0.066
Week 48	7.09 (4.47 to 10.80)	6.67 (4.03 to 10.63)	0.230
Week 96	7.28 (4.53 to 10.93)	7.16 (4.46 to 11.40)	0.820
Trunk fat change from baseline median kg (IQR)			
Week 24	0.56 (-0.34 to 1.46)	0.18 (-0.67 to 1.16)	< 0.001
Week 48	0.99 (-0.23-2.63)	0.71 (-0.52 to 2.34)	0.054
Week 96	1.47 (0.05 to 2.98)	1.21 (-0.29 to 3.16)	0.710

case of severe hypophosphatemia was in a d4T patient. It is difficult to make sense of some of the other clinical and laboratory toxicity data differences (for instance, more gastritis in the d4T arm, and more lower respiratory tract infections in the d4T arm, but more upper respiratory tract infections in the TDF arm). These differences were generally not large and may have been due to type 2 errors given the multiple adverse clinical and laboratory outcomes assessed.



FIGURE 2. DEXA scan—hips bone mineral density percentage change from baseline.

The study had some of the most intense monitoring of bone data ever undertaken in LMIC populations and confirmed other studies showing a significant decrease in bone density with TDF, although some loss was also seen with d4T.^{20,22} The impact from TDF and d4T was higher than seen in the initial TDF studies that used DEXA measurements and assessed TDF-induced bone loss, despite less time-to-follow-up.^{20,22} Other studies have similarly shown higher bone losses than the initial studies, also over shorter periods.^{35,39–41} Reviews suggest no impact on fracture risk with a TDF/FTC/EFV combination.^{22,42} Fractures, both upper and lower limb, were documented during the study, across both treatment arms, but numbers were too small to make any meaningful inference, and longer term follow-up is needed.

The study also allowed us to evaluate the effect of ART metabolically in a predominantly African cohort. Gynecomastia occurred in 11 men. Although this effect is uncommon, it is still responsible for 11% of all AE reports to the South African National HIV and Tuberculosis Health Care Worker Hotline.⁴³ EFV has been linked to elevations in glucose⁴⁴ and lipid changes in some studies.^{45–49} In our study, there was a general rise in lipids, more notably in the d4T arm, but in the absence of a control group, it is not possible to assess the impact of EFV on this rise. No impact, however, was seen on glucose metabolism in the study. The regimens were also considerably less effective in patients with higher viral loads (and similar across both arms), an effect also seen with the non-NRTI rilpivirine, as well as triple NRTI regimens.⁵⁰ Although this was not an aim of the study, the difference is another reason why a move to newer, potent integrase inhibitors may be important. Finally, there were relatively few incident cases of TB (40 cases) during the study, despite all sites being in high TB prevalence areas. This is probably due to the fact that staff doing TB screening at baseline were experienced in HIV care, and almost half of the patients took isoniazid prophylaxis.

Several strengths and limitations warrant mention. The trial broadly aimed to address the public health question about whether the levels of toxicity and associated costs with d4T would be comparable with the toxicities and costs of TDF. Such findings may have warranted the continued use of d4T. This was an important question because TDF was 4-fold more costly than d4T at the time, and global HIV resource allocations were increasingly constrained. Subsequent



FIGURE 3. Lumbar spine bone mineral density and percentage change from baseline.

Abbreviations: 3TC, lamivudine; BMD, bone mineral density; d4T, stavudine; EFV, efavirenz; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

reductions in the cost of TDF and the phasing out of d4T reduce the relevance of the study. The study, however, is able to address considerable gaps in knowledge about the mediumterm safety of TDF. Most especially, we were able to examine use of TDF in Black and Asian patients, who have epidemiological and genetic differences regarding renal failure profiles and bone metabolism, when compared with whites, who made up most patients in registration studies.⁵¹ Importantly, the study provides compelling arguments for conducting dose-reduction studies for other ARVs and for pharmaceutics more generally. Although dose reductions offer a range of benefits, caution and close monitoring during trials are required, given the potential for virological failure and resistance if the reduced doses are subtherapeutic. Overall, however, the success of dose reduction studies to date calls into question the approach used by industry to determine the optimum dose of drugs in registration studies. Of note, before the study, there had been opposition to the trial's conduct, based on concerns that d4T would be associated with very high levels of toxicity, even with the dose reduction.52 However, the data were not available and needed to be generated so as to inform ART selection, and is part of a legacy of dose reduction studies that includes successful attempts to dose reduce didanosine, zidovudine and EFV, and quite likely other ARVs in future.7,53

CONCLUSIONS

Low-dose d4T is suppressive, but still associated with substantial and serious medium-term mitochondrial toxicity. There may be a role for temporary low-dose d4T in clinically unstable patients, until they can be moved to safer regimens, or where patent issues compromise access to other drugs. It is also conceivable that even lower doses may be equally suppressive and have less toxicity, but the availability of cheaper TDF, and its lower-cost and safer replacement, TAF, make any studies looking at this question unnecessary.

Tenofovir was very safe in terms of renal outcomes in this study, adding to the substantial body of evidence for the remarkable utility of the drug. These data are reassuring because millions of people are receiving TDF as part of their first-line regimen in both higher-income countries and LMICs. However, the DEXA data on TDF showed substantial spine and hip impact, and the implications of these concerns warrant long-term observation. In addition, the likely introduction of dolutegravir to replace EFV in the near future merits study of the renal and bone impacts of these new combinations.

REFERENCES

- 1. WHO. Consolidated Guidelines on the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection, Recommendations for a Public Health Approach. 2nd ed; 2016.
- Grayson ML, Cosgrove SE, Crowe S, et al. Kucers' the Use of Antibiotics: A Clinical Review of Antibacterial, Antifungal, Antiparasitic, and Antiviral Drugs. 7th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2017.

- McComsey G, Lonergan JT. Mitochondrial dysfunction: patient monitoring and toxicity management. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2004; 37(suppl 1):S30–S35.
- Subbaraman R, Chaguturu SK, Mayer KH, et al. Adverse effects of highly active antiretroviral therapy in developing countries. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;45:1093–1101.
- WHO. Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infection in Adults and Adolescents: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach. 2010 Revision; 2010.
- Clinton Health Access Initiative. ARV Market Report: The State of the Antiretroviral Drug Market in Low-and Middle-Income Countries, 2014– 2019. Issue 6; 2015.
- Vento S, Lanzafame M, Lattuada E, et al. Dose reduction of antiretrovirals: a feasible and testable approach to expand HIV treatment in developing countries. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2013;18:40–44.
- Hill A, Ananworanich J, Calmy A. Dose optimisation: a strategy to improve tolerability and lower antiretroviral drug prices in low and middle income countries. *Open Infect Dis J.* 2010;4:85–91.
- Hill A, Ruxrungtham K, Hanvanich M, et al. Systematic review of clinical trials evaluating low doses of stavudine as part of antiretroviral treatment. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2007;8:679–688.
- Sanchez-Conde M, de Mendoza C, Jimenez-Nacher I, et al. Reductions in stavudine dose might ameliorate mitochondrial-associated complications without compromising antiviral activity. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2005;6: 197–202.
- Milinkovic A, Martinez E, Lopez S, et al. The impact of reducing stavudine dose versus switching to tenofovir on plasma lipids, body composition and mitochondrial function in HIV-infected patients. *Antivir Ther.* 2007;12:407–415.
- Magula N, Dedicoat M. Low dose versus high dose stavudine for treating people with HIV infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;1: CD007497.
- WHO. Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infection in Adults and Adolescents: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach—2006 Revision; 2006.
- Koegl C, Wolf E, Postel N, et al. Low dose stavudine: as effective as standard dose but less side effects. Paper presented at: 9th European AIDS Conference, Abstract no. 9.8; October 25–29, 2003; Warsaw, Poland.
- Hanvanich M, Prasanthai V, Riengchan P, et al. Reduction of d4T improves lipoatrophy without virologic failure. Paper presented at: 2nd International AIDS Society Conference, Abstract no. 749; July 13–16, 2003; Paris, France.
- Delpierre C, Cuzin L, Alvarez M, et al. Lowering stavudine dosages does not compromise anti-viral efficacy in HIV-1-infected patients. Paper presented at: 9th European AIDS Conference, Abstract no. 9.4/2; October 25–29, 2003; Warsaw, Poland.
- 17. Hill A. d4T: keep it or abandon it? Asian Biomed. 2010;4:541-546.
- McComsey GA, Lo Re V III, O'Riordan M, et al. Effect of reducing the dose of stavudine on body composition, bone density, and markers of mitochondrial toxicity in HIV-infected subjects: a randomized, controlled study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;46:1290–1296.
- Menezes CN, Crowther NJ, Duarte R, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing metabolic parameters after 48 weeks of standard- and lowdose stavudine therapy and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate therapy in HIVinfected South African patients. *HIV Med.* 2014;15:3–12.
- Gallant JE, Staszewski S, Pozniak AL, et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir DF vs stavudine in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naive patients: a 3-year randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2004;292:191–201.
- Grant PM, Cotter AG. Tenofovir and bone health. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2016;11:326–332.
- Bedimo R, Rosenblatt L, Myers J. Systematic review of renal and bone safety of the antiretroviral regimen efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in patients with HIV infection. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2016;17:246–266.
- 23. MSF Geneva. Untangling the Web of ARV Price Reductions. 14th ed; 2011.
- Bendavid E, Grant P, Talbot A, et al. Cost-effectiveness of antiretroviral regimens in the World Health Organization's treatment guidelines: a South African analysis. *AIDS*. 2011;25:211–220.
- Bender MA, Kumarasamy N, Mayer KH, et al. Cost-effectiveness of tenofovir as first-line antiretroviral therapy in India. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010; 50:416–425.

- Rosen S, Long L, Fox M, et al. Cost and cost-effectiveness of switching from stavudine to tenofovir in first-line antiretroviral regimens in South Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008;48:334–344.
- 27. Division of AIDS (DAIDS). Table for Grading the Severity of Adult and Pediatric Adverse Events. Version 2.0; 2014.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). *Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 Infection: Developing Antiretroviral Drugs for Treatment Guidance for Industry*, 2015.
- 29. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (US) Clinical Medical. *Guidance for Industry: Antiretroviral Drugs Using Plasma HIV RNA Measurements—Clinical Considerations for Accelerated and Traditional Approval*; 2002.
- Rossouw T, Botes M, Conradie F. Overview of HIV-related lipodystrophy. South Afr J HIV Med. 2013;14:29–33.
- Giralt M, Domingo P, Guallar JP, et al. HIV-1 infection alters gene expression in adipose tissue, which contributes to HIV-1/HAARTassociated lipodystrophy. *Antivir Ther.* 2006;11:729–740.
- Fabian J, Venter WD, Mkhabela L, et al. Symptomatic hyperlactataemia in adults on antiretroviral therapy: a single-centre experience. S Afr Med J. 2008;98:795–800.
- 33. Buti M, Gane E, Seto WK, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;1:196–206.
- 34. Sax PE, Wohl D, Yin MT, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: two randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trials. *Lancet.* 2015;385:2606–2615.
- 35. Gallant JE, Daar ES, Raffi F, et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate given as fixed-dose combinations containing emtricitabine as backbones for treatment of HIV-1 infection in virologically suppressed adults: a randomised, double-blind, active-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3:e158– e165.
- Aloy B, Tazi I, Bagnis CI, et al. Is tenofovir alafenamide safer than tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the kidneys? *AIDS Rev.* 2016;18:184– 192.
- De Waal R, Cohen K, Fox MP, et al. Changes in estimated glomerular filtration rate over time in South African HIV-1-infected patients receiving tenofovir: a retrospective cohort study. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2017; 20:1–8.
- Nkhoma ET, Rosenblatt L, Myers J, et al. Real-world assessment of renal and bone safety among patients with HIV infection exposed to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-containing single-tablet regimens. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11:e0166982.
- Duvivier C, Kolta S, Assoumou L, et al. Greater decrease in bone mineral density with protease inhibitor regimens compared with nonnucleoside

reverse transcriptase inhibitor regimens in HIV-1 infected naive patients. *AIDS*. 2009;23:817–824.

- 40. McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES, et al. Bone mineral density and fractures in antiretroviral-naive persons randomized to receive abacavirlamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine along with efavirenz or atazanavir-ritonavir: Aids Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a substudy of ACTG A5202. J Infect Dis. 2011;203:1791–1801.
- Stellbrink HJ, Orkin C, Arribas JR, et al. Comparison of changes in bone density and turnover with abacavir-lamivudine versus tenofoviremtricitabine in HIV-infected adults: 48-week results from the ASSERT study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51:963–972.
- Hemkens LG, Ewald H, Santini-Oliveira M, et al. Comparative effectiveness of tenofovir in treatment-naive HIV-infected patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2015;16:178–189.
- Njuguna C, Swart A, Blockman M, et al. Cases of antiretroviralassociated gynaecomastia reported to the National HIV and Tuberculosis Health Care Worker Hotline in South Africa. *AIDS Res Ther.* 2016;13: 40.
- 44. Karamchand S, Leisegang R, Schomaker M, et al. Risk factors for incident diabetes in a cohort taking first-line nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95:e2844.
- 45. van Leth F, Phanuphak P, Stroes E, et al. Nevirapine and efavirenz elicit different changes in lipid profiles in antiretroviral-therapy-naive patients infected with HIV-1. *PLoS Med.* 2004;1:e19.
- Alonso-Villaverde C, Coll B, Gomez F, et al. The efavirenz-induced increase in HDL-cholesterol is influenced by the multidrug resistance gene 1 C3435T polymorphism. *AIDS*. 2005;19:341–342.
- Thamrongwonglert P, Chetchotisakd P, Anunnatsiri S, et al. Improvement of lipid profiles when switching from efavirenz to rilpivirine in HIV-infected patients with dyslipidemia. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2016;17:12–16.
- Dave JA, Levitt NS, Ross IL, et al. Anti-retroviral therapy increases the prevalence of dyslipidemia in South African HIV-infected patients. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0151911.
- Mouton JP, Cohen K, Maartens G. Key toxicity issues with the WHOrecommended first-line antiretroviral therapy regimen. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol.* 2016;9:1493–1503.
- British HIV Association. Guidelines for the Treatment of HIV-Positive Adults with Antiretroviral Therapy 2015 (2016 Interim Update); 2016.
- Zengin A, Prentice A, Ward KA. Ethnic differences in bone health. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2015;6:24.
- Andrieux-Meyer I, Goemaere, Lynch S, et al. Why it's time to say goodbye to stavudine ... everywhere: debate. South Afr J HIV Med. 2012;13:17–19.
- 53. Group ES, Carey D, Puls R, et al. Efficacy and safety of efavirenz 400 mg daily versus 600 mg daily: 96-week data from the randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority ENCORE1 study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2015;15:793–802.