

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
PRESENTATION AND PUBLICATION.....	iv
ABSTRACT.....	v-vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii-xx
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xxi-xxvi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xxvii
NOMENCLATURE	xxviii
PREFACE.....	xix
 1.0 INTRODUCTION	 1 - 16
1.1 Objective of study	1
1.2 Importance of the problem	1
1.3 Limitations of this undertaking	2
1.4 Significance of this study.....	3
1.5 Preview of the organisation of the rest of dissertation: study design	3
1.6 Past and present status of the problem: literature survey	4
1.7 Benefits of the cell block technique	7
1.8 Benefits of IHC / ICC in cytopathology	8
1.9 FNA in tumours metastatic to the lung and lymph nodes	11
1.10 Biomarkers / immunocytochemical studies of FNA in tumours metastatic to the lung and lymph nodes	12

Table 1.1: Histological distribution of CK7 and CK20 in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)	12
Table 1.2: Histological distribution of CK7 and CK20 in lung adenocarcinoma	13
Table 1.3: Histological distribution of CK7 and CK20 in small cell carcinoma of lung	13
1.11 Cytokeratin (CK 7)	13
1.12 Cytokeratin (CK 20)	14
1.13 TTF1	14
1.14 Synaptophysin	14
1.15 Cytokeratin (CK) AE1/3 (pankeratin)	14
1.16 Hepar-1	15
1.17 FNA in tumours metastatic to the liver and lymph nodes	15
1.18 Biomarkers / immunocytochemical studies of FNA in tumours metastatic to the liver and lymph nodes	15
Table 1.4: The most likely immunocytochemistry panel in hepatocellular carcinoma for distinguishing from metastatic carcinoma	16
Table 1.5: The most likely ICC panel in hepatocellular carcinoma for the identification of the unknown primary tumour metastatic to the liver	16
1.19 Conclusion to introduction	16
2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	17 - 25
2.1 Study sample and sampling method	17
Table 2.1: The grading system.....	20
Table 2.2: Primary antibodies: source and specifications	21
2.2 The flow of processes	22
2.3 Statistical method used	23
Figure 2.1: FNA performed on site	24
Figure 2.2: Split-slide immunocytochemistry	24

Figure 2.3: Fixative for cell block samples (Shandon Formal- Fixx [®]), consumables, reagents from Shandon Cytoblock kit [®] and complete cell block	25
Figure 2.4: Shandon Cytoblock Kit [®] and consumables	25
3.0 RESULTS	26 - 82
3.1 Overview	26
3.2 Comparison of cellularity between FNA and cell block samples	26 -29
Figure 3.2.1 Symmetry matrix for cellularity of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (n = 50)	28
Figure 3.2.2 Symmetry matrix for cellularity of FNA and cell block samples with dedicated aspiration (n = 30)	28
Figure 3.2.3 Comparison of cellularity grading scores between FNA with dedicated aspiration and cell block samples with either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (n = 50)	29
Figure 3.2.4 Comparison of cellularity grading scores between FNA and cell block samples with dedicated aspiration (n = 30)	29
3.3 Comparison of morphological preservation between FNA and cell block samples	30 - 32
Figure 3.3.1 Symmetry matrix for morphological preservation (of nuclear and cellular detail) of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (n = 50)	30
Figure 3.3.2 Symmetry matrix for morphological preservation (of nuclear and cellular detail) of FNA and cell block samples with dedicated aspiration (n = 30)	31
Figure 3.3.3 Comparison of morphological preservation grading scores of FNA with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (n = 50)	31
Figure 3.3.4 Comparison of morphological preservation grading scores of FNA and cell block samples with dedicated aspiration (n = 30)	32

3.4 Comparison of architectural preservation between FNA and cell block samples 33 - 34

Figure 3.4.1 Symmetry matrix for architectural preservation of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (n = 50) 33

Figure 3.4.2 Symmetry matrix for architectural preservation of FNA and cell block samples with dedicated aspiration (n = 30) 33

Figure 3.4.3 Comparison of architectural preservation grading scores of FNA with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle Rinse (n = 50) 34

Figure 3.4.4 Comparison of architectural preservation grading scores of FNA and cell block samples with dedicated aspiration (n = 30) 34

3.5 Comparison of immunostains between FNA and cell block samples 35 - 36

Table 3.5.1 Comparison of number of FNA samples (with dedicated aspiration) and cell block samples (with either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse) in respective ICC grading score categories 35

3.5.1 Overview 35

Table 3.5.2: Comparison of number of FNA and cell block samples (with dedicated aspiration) in respective ICC grading score categories 36

3.5.2 Overview 36

3.5.3 CK7 Immunostain 37 - 39

Figure 3.5.3.1 Symmetry matrix for CK7 immunostain in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse 37

Figure 3.5.3.2 Comparison of CK7 immunostain grading scores of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse ($K = 0.22$; $p = 0.02$) 38

Figure 3.5.3.3 Symmetry matrix for CK7 immunostain in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with a dedicated aspiration 38

Figure 3.5.3.4 Comparison of CK7 immunostain grading scores of FNA and cell block sample with a dedicated aspiration 39

3.5.4 CK 20 immunostain 40 - 42

Figure 3.5.4.1 Symmetry matrix for CK20 immunostain in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse 40

Figure 3.5.4.2 Comparison of CK20 immunostain grading scores of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (K- statistic 0.20; p-value 0.14)41

Figure 3.5.4.3 Symmetry matrix for CK20 immunostain in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with a dedicated aspiration 41

Figure 3.5.4.4 Comparison of CK20 immunostain grading scores of FNA and cell block sample with a dedicated aspiration 42

3.5.5 TTF1 immunostain 43 - 45

Figure 3.5.5.1 Symmetry matrix for TTF1 immunostain in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse 43

Figure 3.5.5.2 Comparison of TTF1 immunostain grading scores of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse (K = 0.18; p = 0.36) 44

Figure 3.5.5.3 Symmetry matrix for TTF1 immunostain in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with a dedicated aspiration 44

Figure 3.5.5.4 Comparison of TTF1 immunostain grading scores of FNA and cell block sample with a dedicated aspiration 45

3.5.6 Synaptophysin immunostain 46 - 48

Figure 3.5.6.1 Symmetry matrix for synaptophysin (syn) immunostain in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse 46

Figure 3.5.6.2 Comparison of synaptophysin immunostain grading scores of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse 47

Figure 3.5.6.3 Symmetry matrix for synaptophysin (syn) immunostain in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with a dedicated aspiration 47

Figure 3.5.6.4 Comparison of synaptophysin (syn) immunostain grading scores of FNA and cell block sample with a dedicated aspiration	48
3.5.7 Hepar-1 immunostain	49 - 51
Figure 3.5.7.1 Symmetry matrix for Hepar-1 (Hep-1) immunostain in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	49
Figure 3.5.7.2 Comparison of Hepar-1 (Hep-1) immunostain grading scores of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block samples with either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	50
Figure 3.5.7.3 Symmetry matrix for Hepar-1 (Hep-1) immunostain in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with a dedicated aspiration	50
Figure 3.5.7.4 Comparison of Hepar-1 (Hep-1) immunostain grading scores of FNA and cell block sample with a dedicated aspiration	51
3.5.8 AE1/3 immunostain	52 - 54
Figure 3.5.8.1 Symmetry matrix for AE1/3 immunostain in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	52
Figure 3.5.8.2 Comparison of AE1/3 immunostain grading scores of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	53
Figure 3.5.8.3 Symmetry matrix for AE1/3 immunostain in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with a dedicated aspiration	53
Figure 3.5.8.4 Comparison of AE1/3 immunostain grading scores of FNA and cell block sample with a dedicated aspiration	54
3.5.9 Discrepant result	55 - 56
Figure 3.5.9.1 FNA sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. CK7 immunostain x 40	55
Figure 3.5.9.2 Paired cell block sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. CK7 immunostain x 20	55

Figure 3.5.9.3 FNA sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. Synaptophysin immunostain x 40	55
Figure 3.5.9.4 Paired cell block sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. Synaptophysin immunostain x 40	55
Figure 3.5.9.5 FNA sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. CK20 immunostain x 40	56
Figure 3.5.9.6 Paired cell block sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. CK20 immunostain x 40	56
Figure 3.5.9.7 FNA sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. Negative control immunostain x 40	56
Figure 3.5.9.8 Paired cell block sample obtained by dedicated needle aspiration. Negative control immunostain x 20	56
3.6 Comparison of background staining (BG) in immunostains between FNA and cell block samples	57- 71
Table 3.6.1 Comparison of number of FNA samples (with dedicated aspiration) and cell block samples (with either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse) in respective background (BG) score categories in ICC tests	58
Table 3.6.2 Comparison of Number of FNA and cell block samples (with dedicated aspiration) in respective background (BG) score categories in ICC tests	59
Figure 3.6.1 Symmetry matrix for CK7 background (BG) staining in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	59
Figure 3.6.2 Comparison of CK7 background (BG) staining grading scores in FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse.....	60
Figure 3.6.3 Symmetry matrix for CK7 background (BG) staining in FNA and cell block (CB) samples with dedicated aspiration	60
Figure 3.6.4 Comparison of CK7 Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA and Cell Block sample with Dedicated aspiration	61
Figure 3.6.5 Symmetry Matrix for CK20 Background (BG) staining in FNA samples with Dedicated Aspiration and Cell Block (CB) samples either Dedicated Aspiration or Needle Rinse	61

Figure 3.6.6 Comparison of CK20 Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA samples with Dedicated aspiration and Cell Block sample either Dedicated aspiration or Needle Rinse.....	62
Figure 3.6.7 Symmetry Matrix for CK20 Background (BG) staining in FNA and Cell Block (CB) samples with Dedicated Aspiration	62
Figure 3.6.8 Comparison of CK20 Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA and Cell Block sample with Dedicated aspiration	63
Figure 3.6.9 Symmetry Matrix for TTF1Background (BG) staining in FNA samples with Dedicated Aspiration and Cell Block (CB) samples either Dedicated Aspiration or Needle Rinse	63
Figure 3.6.10 Comparison of TTF1Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA samples with Dedicated aspiration and Cell Block sample either Dedicated aspiration or Needle Rinse	64
Figure 3.6.11 Symmetry Matrix for TTF1 Background (BG) staining in FNA and Cell Block (CB) samples with Dedicated Aspiration	64
Figure 3.6.12 Comparison of TTF1Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA and Cell Block sample with Dedicated aspiration	65
Figure 3.6.13 Symmetry Matrix for Synaptophysin (syn) Background (BG) staining in FNA samples with Dedicated Aspiration and Cell Block (CB) samples either Dedicated Aspiration or Needle Rinse	65
Figure 3.6.14 Comparison of Synaptophysin (syn) Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA samples with Dedicated aspiration and Cell Block sample either Dedicated aspiration or Needle Rinse	66
Figure 3.6.15 Symmetry Matrix for Synaptophysin (syn) Background (BG) staining in FNA and Cell Block (CB) samples with Dedicated Aspiration	66
Figure 3.6.16 Comparison of Synaptophysin (syn) Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA and Cell Block sample with Dedicated aspiration	67
Figure 3.6.17 Symmetry Matrix for Hepar-1 (Hep-1) Background (BG) staining in FNA samples with Dedicated Aspiration and Cell Block (CB) samples either Dedicated Aspiration or Needle Rinse	67
Figure 3.6.18 Comparison of Hepar-1 (Hep-1) Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA samples with Dedicated aspiration and Cell Block sample either Dedicated aspiration or Needle Rinse.....	68

Figure 3.6.19 Symmetry Matrix for Hepar-1 (Hep-1) Background (BG) staining in FNA and Cell Block (CB) samples with Dedicated Aspiration	68
Figure 3.6.20 Comparison of Hepar-1(Hep-1) Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA and Cell Block sample with Dedicated aspiration	69
Figure 3.6.21 Symmetry Matrix for AE 1/3 Background (BG) staining in FNA samples with Dedicated Aspiration and Cell Block (CB) samples either Dedicated Aspiration or Needle Rinse	69
Figure 3.6.22 Comparison of AE 1/3 Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA samples with Dedicated aspiration and Cell Block sample either Dedicated aspiration or Needle Rinse	70
Figure 3.6.23 Symmetry Matrix for AE 1/3 Background (BG) staining in FNA and Cell Block (CB) samples with Dedicated Aspiration	70
Figure 3.6.24 Comparison of AE 1/3 Background (BG) staining Grading Scores in FNA and Cell Block sample with Dedicated aspiration	71
3.7.0 COMPARISON OF BACKGROUND / ABERRANT STAINING IN NEGATIVE CONTROLS OF IMMUNOSTAINS BETWEEN FNA AND CELL BLOCK SAMPLES	72 - 75
Figure 3.7.0.1 Symmetry matrix for background (BG) / aberrant staining in negative controls (for immunostains) of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block (CB) samples either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	73
Figure 3.7.0.2 Comparison of background (BG) /aberrant staining in negative controls (for immunostains) of FNA samples with dedicated aspiration and cell block sample either dedicated aspiration or needle rinse	73
Figure 3.7.0.3 Symmetry matrix for background (BG) / aberrant staining in negative controls (for immunostains) of FNA and cell block (CB) samples with dedicated aspiration	74
Figure 3.7.0.4 Comparison of background (BG) /aberrant staining in negative controls (for immunostains) of FNA and cell block sample with dedicated aspiration.....	74
Figure 3.7.0.5 FNA right lung mass. Negative control for immunocytochemistry stain x 10	75
Figure 3.7.0.6 FNA right lung mass, paired cell block sample (of above FNA sample). Negative control for immunocytochemistry stain x 40.....	75

3.7.1 Aberrant staining: FNA CK 7 immunostain	76
3.7.2 Aberrant staining: FNA CK 20 immunostain	76 - 77
Figure 3.7.2.1 FNA liver aspirate – diagnosed as metastatic adenocarcinoma. CK20 immunostain shows aberrant nuclear staining. FNA CK20 immunostain X 40	76
Figure 3.7.2.2 Paired cell block of liver aspirate. CK20 negative without aberrant nuclear staining. Cell block CK20 X 40	77
3.7.3 Aberrant staining: FNA TTF1 immunostain	77 - 79
Figure 3.7.3.1 FNA lung aspirate diagnosed as bronchiolar-alveolar carcinoma. Negative control for immunostain showing faint aberrant nuclear staining. Immunostain negative control X 40	78
Figure 3.7.3.2 FNA TTF1 immunostain which cannot be interpreted definitively due to the presence of aberrant nuclear staining of the negative control (above). TTF1 immunostain x 40	78
Figure 3.7.3.3 Paired Cell Block Negative Control for immunostain without aberrant staining. Immunostain negative control X 40	79
Figure 3.7.3.4 Paired cell block of lung aspirate. TTF1 immunostain was negative and negative control does not display aberrant nuclear staining. TTF1 immunostain X 40	79
3.7.4 Aberrant staining: FNA synaptophysin immunostain	79 - 80
Figure 3.7.4.1 FNA liver aspirate- diagnosis favoured hepatocellular carcinoma and neuro-endocrine component could not be excluded. Synaptophysin immunostain with aberrant nuclear staining. Synaptophysin immunostain X 40	80
Figure 3.7.4.2 Paired cell block sample of liver aspirate. Synaptophysin immunostain without aberrant staining. Synaptophysin immunostain X 40	80
3.7.5 Aberrant staining: FNA Hepar-1 immunostain	81
Figure 3.7.5.1 FNA liver aspirate, diagnosed with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepar-1 immunostain with aberrant nuclear staining. FNA Hepar-1 immunostain X 40	81

Figure 3.7.5.2 Cell Block Hepar-1 immunostain without aberrant nuclear staining	
Cell Block Hepar-1 immunostain X 40	81
3.7.6 No aberrant staining FNA AE1/3 immunostain	82
3.8 Percentage adequacy of samples collected by pathologists, radiology registrars, medical officers and nurses	82
Table 3.8.1 Tabulation of percentage adequacy of samples collected by pathologists, radiology registrars, medical officers and nurses	82
4.0 DISCUSSION	83 - 99
4.1 Introduction to discussion	83
4.2 Cellularity and needle rinse versus dedicated aspiration	84
4.3 Cellular preservation	86
4.4 Logistical issues	88
4.5 Immunostaining	89
4.6 Standardization and quality assurance of immunostains	93
4.7 Other techniques	94
Table 4.1: Comparison of cell block preparation methods	96
Table 4.2: Advantages and disadvantages of cytology preparation methods	97
5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	98-99
6.0 APPENDICES	100 - 136
A: Ethics clearance certificate	101
B: Subject information & consent form	102
C: Cell block preparation	104

D: Pap stain	107
E: Diff-quick stain	108
F: H&E stain	109
G: Immunocytochemistry stain	110
H: Destaining pap-stained slides	119
I: Statistical data	120
7.0 REFERENCES	137 - 146