

https://dspace.org/

The long-term effects of fire frequency and season on the woody vegetation in the Pretoriuskop Sourveld of The Kruger National Park

O'Regan, Sean Patrick

2007-03-01T12:26:35Z
http://hdl.handle.net/10539/2180

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

THE LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF FIRE FREQUENCY AND SEASON ON THE WOODY VEGETATION IN THE PRETORIUSKOP SOURVELD OF THE KRUGER NATIONAL PARK

Sean Patrick O'Regan

WitsETD

A dissertation submitted to the faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand, in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Johannesburg, February 2005

I declare that this dissertation is my own work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Master of Science at the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University.

_____

Sean Patrick O'Regan

On this _____ day of _____ 2005

WitsETD

Writing is refined thinking. If your master's thesis is no more organised than a high school essay entitled "Why Shania Twain Turns Me On", you're in big trouble.

Stephen King – On writing: A memoir

WitsETD

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Every traveller on life's journey is a messenger, and every destination is the beginning of a new cycle.

Jamie Sams and David Carson – Medicine Cards

Thanks and appreciation goes to many organizations and individuals, without whom I would never have been able to embark on and complete this Masters Dissertation.

I am deeply in debt to the following people for providing support and guidance while doing this project:

- Thanks to **Belinda** for her kindness, encouragement, motivation, love, energy, support, and for sharing the burden. Also thanks for believing I would finish, and thanks for giving me the opportunity to finish I could never have completed it part-time.
- Thanks to **Prof. Ed Witkowski** my supervisor for guidance, encouragement, funding, and above all patience, many thanks.
- Thanks to **Dr Brian Van Wilgen** for inspiration, guidance, and mentorship, and to **Jane**, **Nicola**, and **Lawrence** with whom I had fun.
- Thanks to **Andre Potgieter** for funding, guidance, patience and for maintaining the experiment in such a meticulous fashion, and to **Maryna Potgieter** for her help and laughter.
- Thanks to **Richard Matthews** and **Angus Moir** for generously giving me the time off work to finish this Masters Dissertation and to **Richard** for pushing me to get it done. I am deeply grateful.
- Thanks to **Rensen Thethe**, **Johan Baloye**, and **Richard Mashabane** for being so dedicated and patient in the field, for teaching me the different tree species, and making the work so effortless and enjoyable.
- Thanks to **Gwinn** and **Nick Zambatis** for their patience in helping me identify trees from scant material and for helping cross-reference the species in the historical surveys.
- Thanks to **Harry** and **Rena Biggs** for inspiration and input.
- Thanks to my parents **John** and **Anne** for their love, kindness, teachings, faith, energy, and prayer.
- Thanks to the rest of my **family** and **friends** for their encouragement, love, and support.
- Thanks to **Andrew Parker** for his input and constant encouragement. We worked together on our dissertations for over seven years and it was good to have someone in the same boat with me.
- Thanks to **Kevin Schoof** for hospitality and friendship.
- Thanks to **Toni Braack** with whom I had so much fun, and who was always on the look out for something new to do or create.
- Thanks to **Kobus Kruger** and **Ben Pretorius** the section rangers of Pretoriuskop for all their assistance and for including me in so much.
- Thanks to all the **staff** at the **University of Witwatersrand** who lectured and tutored me during my studies.
- Thanks to the **staff** of **Scientific Services in Kruger National Park** for the support, guidance and laughs.

WITS ETD

I am deeply in gratitude to the following organizations for providing funding for this project:

- Thanks to the **Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)** for their kind funding in 1998, and continued pressure to complete this project.
- Thanks to the **South African National Parks (SANP)** – The Kruger National Park (KNP) Scientific Services for funding in 1997 and 1998.
- Thanks to the **Foundation for Research and Development (FRD)** for funding in 1996 and 1997.
- Thanks to the **University of Witwatersrand** for funding in 1996 and 1997.
- Thanks to **Andre Potgieter** of the Kruger National Park (KNP) Scientific Services for providing funds from his own research budget during 1996.
- Thanks to **Agatha Design** (my current employers) for their kind funding in 2003 and 2004, and pushing me to finish off this MSc.

Without these funds this project would certainly not have been possible, many thanks.

WitsETD

PREFACE

Sitting here in Johannesburg, it is a glorious summer, full of greens and greys, and balmy afternoon heat, with the ever-present afternoon storm pending. It has been almost nine years since I started this MSc in April 1996.

I can clearly remember December 1995 lying in a large tent in Nwaswitshaka Research Camp listening to the night animals. I had arrived in Skukuza to find out more about a fire experiment that had been running for over forty years. The idea of working on one of the world's longest running ecological research programmes was for me the most exciting thing I had ever embarked on, not to mention the opportunity of working (and living) in the Kruger National Park.

Looking back on my proposal, I find myself smiling. The time plan was ambitions, I started the fieldwork in May 1996, and it was to take 3-5 months. This included resurveying the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop plots, scanning archived fixed-point photographs of the plots before the treatments were started, and taking another set of fixed-point photographs as an end reference. I was to complete the data capturing and analysis by mid winter 1997, culminating in final product by early 1998. It was all clear cut and clinical.

The fieldwork took the better part of two years, and it was only completed in September 1997. This set the precedent for a much longer project than I had expected, although I suspect that some people had seen this coming.

I started to work full time in October 1998 already having overshot my proposed completion deadline by ten months with still no real end in sight. For any supervisor this is always a heart wrenching time, to be outwardly excited about the student's good job prospects, but knowing that it spells disaster for the project. Ed was supportive, and I clearly remember assuring him that I would complete my MSc soon.

Doing this MSc has not been as easy as I had imagined when I first started it. For most of the time, I have had all the information but lacked the inspiration. Well, some weddings and funerals later with two solar eclipses and a number of lunar eclipses in between, I am finally completing the circle. I no longer have to hang my head in shame when I go to WITS or KNP, I no longer have to say "It's nearly finished … no really it is". There were times when completing this dissertation really took everything out of me and I thought I would never finish it, on the whole, though it has been good, and I am sad that it is over.

Many thanks to all who supported me while completing this MSc, and even more thanks to those who pushed me to finish this MSc.

WITS-ETD

ABSTRACT

O'Regan SP, 2005. **The long-term effects of fire frequency and season on the woody vegetation in the Pretoriuskop sourveld of the Kruger National Park**. MSc Dissertation, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

The role of fire in the management of conservation areas has historically been a contentious issue in which traditional agricultural principles and ever-changing conservation principles tend to collide. The Kruger National Park (KNP) in the early 1950s was no exception where the appropriate use of fire and its ecosystem consequences were hotly debated. The controversy surrounding the management of fire in the KNP highlighted the significant lack of understanding of fire and its role in the ecosystem and because of this controversy, the Experimental Burn Plot (EBP) experiment was established in 1954. The EBP experiment comprised 12 treatments, and a pseudo-randomised block design was used in which the 12 fire treatments were replicated four times each in four of the six major vegetation zones identified at the time. The EBP experiment originally comprised 192 experimental plots approximately 7 Ha in size each and covered approximately 12 km^2 in the KNP. The twelve fire treatments were an annual burn in August, biennial and triennial burns in February, April, August, October, and December, and a control on which fire was excluded. Despite having been plagued with negative assessments from internal and external researchers from its inception, the EBP experiment was meticulously maintained, and it has now become a valuable research asset in the KNP.

Four replicates of twelve plots each were located in the Pretoriuskop sourveld landscape of the KNP. These replicates were named Fayi, Kambeni, Numbi, and Shabeni after nearby landmarks. The Pretoriuskop region is a moist infertile mesic-savanna, which experiences on average 744mm of rain annually. The dominant tree species in Pretoriuskop are *Dichrostachys cinerea* and *Terminalia sericea* and the dominant grass species is *Hyperthelia dissoluta*. A baseline survey of the woody vegetation was done on all the Pretoriuskop plots in 1954 by HP Van Der Schijff. A second survey of the woody vegetation on all the Pretoriuskop plots was done in 1996 by SP O'Regan. This provided a 42-year period of treatment application over which the effects of fire frequency and season on the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop region were studied.

The aim of this study was to investigate the long-term effects of the twelve fire treatments on the density, structure, and species composition of the woody vegetation in Pretoriuskop. The objectives of this study were:

1. To carry out a complete re-survey of the trees and shrubs on the Pretoriuskop EBPs using similar methods as those used in the baseline survey in 1954.
2. To capture into a digital format pertinent woody vegetation survey data from surveys that had been conducted on the Pretoriuskop EBPs between 1954 and 1996.
3. To compare the density, structure, and composition of the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop EBPs between 1954 and 1996, to determine the effects of fire on the woody vegetation of Pretoriuskop.
4. To investigate the history of the Kruger National Park Experimental Burn Plots experiment.

The four replicates in the Pretoriuskop region were found generally to have very similar woody vegetation traits (density, species composition, and structural composition). However, the EBPs were established and surveyed in two distinct phases, the first phase comprised the control, August Annual, and the Biennial plots, and the second phase comprised the Triennial plots. The baseline structural composition of the plots established in the first phase was different from the structural composition of the plots in the second phase.

WISER

Furthermore, the Pretoriuskop EBPs are located in two distinct vegetation types, namely the open and the closed *Terminalia sericea* \ *Combretum* woodlands of the Pretoriuskop region. The Numbi and Shabeni replicates are in the open *Terminalia sericea* \ *Combretum* woodlands, and the Kambeni and Fayi replicates are in the closed *Terminalia sericea* \ *Combretum* woodlands. It was found that the species composition of the plots was influenced by the location of the plots in the different vegetation types.

The exclusion of fire in the Pretoriuskop sourveld results in an increase in the density of the overstorey and understorey woody vegetation, and an increase in the number of species, species diversity, and species evenness. This is because fire sensitive and fire intolerant woody species become more abundant as the period between fires increases. In Pretoriuskop, there is no evidence of relay floristic succession, because fire sensitive and fire intolerant woody species do not replace fire tolerant species. Instead, the floristic succession is accumulative and fire tolerant, fire sensitive, and fire intolerant woody species coexist as the period between fires increases. Woody species tolerant of frequent fires in Pretoriuskop are *Albizia versicolor*, *Catunaregam spinosa*, *Lonchocarpus capassa*, *Pavetta schumanniana*, *Senna petersiana*, *Strychnos madagascariensis*, and *Turraea nilotica*. Woody species that are sensitive or intolerant of fire in Pretoriuskop are *Acacia swazica*, *Bauhinia galpinii*, *Combretum mossambicense*, *Commiphora neglecta*, *Croton gratissimus*, *Dalbergia melanoxylon*, *Diospyros lycioides*, *Diospyros whyteana*, *Euclea natalensis*, *Hyperacanthus amoenus*, *Kraussia floribunda*, *Ochna natalitia*, *Olea europaea*, *Psydrax locuples*, *Putterlickia pyracantha*, *Tarenna supra-axillaris*, and *Zanthoxylum capense*. *Dichrostachys cinerea* and *Terminalia sericea* were found to dominate in areas that had been burnt frequently as well as areas where fire has been excluded. The change in the density of the woody vegetation as the inter-fire period increases is not linear but rather J shaped with an initial decrease in the density as the inter-fire period increases from 1 year to 3 years. This initial decrease in density is the result of a loss of very short (<1m tall) woody individuals. In contrast, there is no initial decrease in the number of tree equivalents (phytomass) of the woody vegetation as the inter-fire period increases. After the initial decrease in the density of the woody vegetation, the density increases as the inter-fire period increases beyond 3 years. Generally in Pretoriuskop, post fire age of the vegetation was found to be an important factor affecting the structure of the woody vegetation, and as the inter-fire period increases the number of structural groups, the structural diversity, and the structural evenness of the woody vegetation increases. As the inter-fire period increases the number of single-stem individuals relative to the number of multi-stem individuals increases, and the average height of the woody vegetation increases. The findings regarding the effects of fire frequency on the Pretoriuskop EBPs were similar to the findings on other fire experiments in mesic African savannas. The finding on the Pretoriuskop EBPs differed from the findings in other fire trials that were in arid savannas in Africa. Generally, the exclusion of fire in moist savannas (> 600 mm of rain annually) results in the woody vegetation becoming denser, while the exclusion of fire in arid to semi-arid savannas (< 600mm of rain annually) does not result in the woody vegetation becoming denser.

In Pretoriuskop, fires occurring in summer between December and February have a different impact on the density, species composition, and structure of the woody vegetation than fires occurring in winter between August and October. Furthermore, fires occurring in April have a different impact on the density, species composition, and structure of the woody vegetation in Pretoriuskop. Woody vegetation burnt by summer fires is denser than woody vegetation burnt by winter fires. The number of species and species diversity of the woody vegetation is also higher in vegetation burnt by summer fires in comparison with vegetation burnt by winter fires. The density and species composition of woody vegetation in areas that have been burnt in summer fires is more similar to areas where fire has been excluded than to areas that have been burnt in winter fires. The woody species associated with vegetation burnt in summer fires and where fire has been excluded are *Euclea natalensis*, *Antidesma venosum*, *Diospyros lycioides*, *Phyllanthus reticulatus*, *Grewia flavescens*, *Grewia monticola*, *Ochna natalitia*, *Peltophorum africanum*, *Rhus pyroides*, *Diospyros mespiliformis*, *Rhus transvaalensis*, *Securinega virosa*, *Putterlickia pyracantha*, *Rhus pentheri*, *Commiphora neglecta*, *Heteropyxis natalensis*, and *Olea europaea*. Structurally the average height of the woody vegetation is taller in areas burnt by winter fires than in areas burnt by summer fires. The woody vegetation in areas burnt in summer fires have more single-stem individuals relative to multi-stem individuals than in areas burnt in winter fires. The structural composition of areas burnt in summer fires is more similar to areas

where fire has been excluded than with areas burnt in winter fires. The structure of the woody vegetation in areas burnt in winter fires is generally dominated by multi-stem individuals that are 0-1m tall or 3-5m tall. The structure of the woody vegetation in areas burnt in summer fires or where fire has been excluded is dominated by both single-stem and multi-stem individuals of all heights and basal diameters. Findings regarding the effect of early dry season fires (April) in comparison with late dry season fire (August) on the woody vegetation are consistent with the findings on other fire trails in Africa. However, a comparison of all the fire-timing treatments between the Pretoriuskop and Satara EBPs in the KNP reveals that the timing of fires affects the woody vegetation differently in different areas even when the affects at certain times appear similar.

The data collected on the Pretoriuskop EBPs reveals that there have been significant changes in the woody vegetation in Pretoriuskop between 1954 and 1996. The density of the woody vegetation increased between 1954 and 1996 by almost 200%. The number of species and the species diversity of the woody vegetation also increased between 1954 and 1996. In 1954, there were approximately equal numbers of single-stem and multi-stem individuals, while in 1996 there were more multi-stem individuals than single-stem individuals. The increase in atmospheric CO_2 levels between 1954 and 1996 is believed to have been a factor that has driven the changes in the woody vegetation of Pretoriuskop between 1954 and 1996.

WitsETD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements ..... **I**
Preface ..... **III**
Abstract ..... **IV**
Table of contents ..... **VII**
List of figures ..... **XII**
List of tables ..... **XVI**
Terms and definitions ..... **XIX**
1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Aims and Objectives 1
2 Literature review **3**
2.1 The determinants of fire 3
2.1.1 The environmental determinants of fire 3
2.1.1.1 Climate and weather 3
2.1.1.2 Soil and Topography 5
2.1.1.3 Herbivory 5
2.1.1.4 Ignition sources 5
2.1.2 The characteristics of vegetation in fire prone ecosystems 5
2.1.2.1 Structure and chemistry of the vegetation 5
2.1.2.2 Species composition of the vegetation 6
2.1.2.3 Density of the vegetation 6
2.1.3 The characteristics of fuel in fire prone ecosystems 6
2.1.3.1 Fuel load 6
2.1.3.2 Chemistry of the fuel 6
2.1.3.3 Particle sizes of the fuel 6
2.1.3.4 The vertical and horizontal arrangement of the fuel 7
2.1.4 The characteristics of fire 7
2.1.4.1 Type of fire 7
2.1.4.2 Timing of fire 7
2.1.4.3 Frequency of fire 7
2.1.4.4 Intensity of fire 7
2.1.4.4.1 The effect of fire frequency and fire timing on fire intensity 8
2.1.4.5 Extent of fire 8
2.2 How do plants survive fire events? 8
2.2.1 Surviving the direct effects of fire 8
2.2.2 Post fire survival strategies 9
2.2.3 Post fire resilience and inertia 10
2.2.4 Understanding species responses under different fire regimes 11
2.3 Savannas as fire prone environments 11
2.3.1 Determinants of southern African savannas 12
2.3.2 The effect of fire on the woody vegetation of savanna ecosystems 12
2.3.3 The role of fire in the management of savanna ecosystems 13
2.4 Elevated CO_2 levels, fire and the management of savanna ecosystems 13
3 Study area **15**
3.1 The Experimental Burn Plot experiment layout and design 15
3.2 The Pretoriuskop landscape 15
3.2.1 Environmental features of the Pretoriuskop region 17
3.2.2 Vegetation description of the Pretoriuskop region 17
3.3 The Layout of the Experimental Burn Plots in the Pretoriuskop region 19
3.3.1 The fire characteristics of the Experimental Burn Plots experiment in the Pretoriuskop region 19
3.3.1.1 The extent or size of the fires on the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments 19
3.3.1.2 The type of fires on the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments 19
3.3.1.3 The intensity of fires on the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments 19
3.3.1.4 The timing of the fires on the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments 22
3.3.1.5 The frequency of the fires on the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments 23
4 Research hypotheses **25**
4.1 Change in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition on the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plots between 1954 and 1996 25
4.2 Differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition between the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plot replicates 25
4.3 The effects of fire frequency and timing on the density, structure, and composition of the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plots 26
4.4 The effect of not burning on the density, structure and composition of the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plots 27
5 Materials and methods **28**
5.1 Methods of historical analysis 28
5.2 Field methods 28
5.3 Capturing and collating historical survey data 29
5.3.1 Cross-referencing species 30
5.4 Estimating height for the 1954 and 1959 data 30
5.4.1 Estimating height class 30

UNISA

5.4.2 Estimating height 31
5.4.3 Assumptions made in estimating height classes for the 1954 data 31
5.5 Data analysis 31
5.5.1 Indices calculated to summarise key vegetation structure and composition characteristics 31
5.5.1.1 Woody plant density 32
5.5.1.2 Mean woody plant height 32
5.5.1.3 Number of tree equivalents 32
5.5.1.4 Single-stem to multi-stem index 32
5.5.1.5 Diversity 33
5.5.1.5.1 Species diversity 33
5.5.1.5.2 Structural diversity 33
5.5.1.5.3 Indices of diversity 33
5.5.1.5.3.1 Number of species or structure groups 33
5.5.1.5.3.2 Menhinick's species or structural richness 33
5.5.1.5.3.3 Shannon's species or structural diversity index 34
5.5.1.5.3.4 Shannon's species or structural evenness index 35
5.5.2 Quantifying the data distributions and testing the vegetation indices calculated per plot for normality 35
5.5.2.1 Skewness and Kurtosis 35
5.5.2.2 Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample tests for normality 35
5.5.3 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) 36
5.5.3.1 The size of the tests 36
5.5.3.2 The data groupings used in the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 36
5.5.3.2.1 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop EBPs between 1954 and 1996 36
5.5.3.2.2 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation between Pretoriuskop EBPs found on the four replicates, Fayi, Kambeni, Numbi and Shabeni 36
5.5.3.2.3 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation between Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different frequencies (Annual, Biennial, Triennial and no burn) 37
5.5.3.2.4 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation between the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different times of the year (February, April, August, October, and December) 37
5.5.3.2.5 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation between the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year (i.e. between the EBP treatments) 37
5.5.3.2.6 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop EBP Control (no burn) plots between 1954, 1959 and 1996 37
5.5.3.3 The covariates for the Analysis of Covariance 37
5.5.3.4 Post hoc analyses on the significant results from the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 38
5.5.3.5 Graphs showing the least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 38
5.5.4 Horn's index of community similarity 38
5.5.4.1 Calculating Horn's index 39
5.5.4.2 Cluster analyses of Horn's similarity indices 40
5.5.4.2.1 Maps of the layout of the Pretoriuskop EBPs with the plots colour coded to the clusters at the second distance (four clusters) 40
5.5.5 Correspondence Analyses (CA) 40
5.5.5.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted eigenvectors of the species, structure, and plot scores 41
5.5.5.2 Cluster analyses of the first four weighted eigenvectors of the species, structure, and plot scores from the Correspondence Analyses 41
5.5.5.2.1 The stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster method 41
5.5.5.2.2 Maps of the layout of the Pretoriuskop EBPs with the plots colour coded to the level 2 clustering of the plots 42
6 Results 43
6.1 A critical analysis of the history of the Kruger National Park Experimental Burn Plots experiment 43
6.1.1 A brief history of fire management in the Kruger National Park 43
6.1.1.1 The proclamation of the Kruger National Park (1926) 44
6.1.1.2 A new era – Management by intervention 44
6.1.1.3 Abandoning prescribed burning – The wilderness years 45
6.1.2 The KNP experimental burn plots 46
6.1.2.1 Why set up such a large experiment in the early 1950s, and why fire? 46
6.1.2.2 The objective of the experiment 47
6.1.2.3 The experimental layout and design 48
6.1.2.4 The construction of the experimental plots and replicates 51
6.1.2.5 The application of the experimental treatments to the plots treatments 51
6.1.2.6 The application of the first fire treatments on the EBPs between 1954 and 1957 51
6.1.2.7 The collection of the baseline data 52
6.1.2.7.1 The woody vegetation survey - methodology and data 52
6.1.2.7.2 The grass and herb survey - methodology and data 52
6.1.2.8 Assessment of the belt transect baseline survey 53
6.1.2.9 A second assessment of the baseline surveys and further recommendations 54
6.1.2.10 Quadrate middle-point surveys – another method 55
6.1.2.11 Step point method (1970) 55
6.1.2.12 Half hectare survey plot – a permanent monitoring plot 55
6.1.2.13 To continue with the EBPs, or not to continue with the EBPs? 57
6.2 Results from the univariate analysis testing the effects of fire on the density, structure and composition of the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop EBP 59
6.2.1 Skewness and kurtosis of the data and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample tests for normality 59
6.2.1.1 Testing the distribution of woody plant density per plot for normality 59
6.2.1.2 Testing the distribution of mean plant height per plot for normality 59
6.2.1.3 Testing the distribution of number of tree equivalents per plot for normality 60
6.2.1.4 Testing the distribution of the single-stem to multi-stem index per plot for normality 61
6.2.1.5 Testing the distribution of the number of species per plot for normality 61
6.2.1.6 Testing the distribution of species richness per plot for normality 61

UNISA

6.2.1.7 Testing the distribution of species diversity per plot for normality 62
6.2.1.8 Testing the distribution of species evenness per plot for normality 63
6.2.1.9 Testing the distribution of the number of structure groups per plot for normality 63
6.2.1.10 Testing the distribution of structural richness per plot for normality 63
6.2.1.11 Testing the distribution of structural diversity per plot for normality 63
6.2.1.12 Testing the distribution of structural evenness per plot for normality 64
6.2.2 Analyses of Variance and Analyses of Covariance statistical analyses 64
6.2.2.1 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition on the Pretoriuskop EBPs between 1954 and 1996 64
6.2.2.1.1 Change in woody plant density between 1954 and 1996 64
6.2.2.1.2 Change in woody plant mean height between 1954 and 1996 64
6.2.2.1.3 Change in number of tree equivalents between 1954 and 1996 65
6.2.2.1.4 Change in the single-stem to multi-stem ratio between 1954 and 1996 65
6.2.2.1.5 Change in the number of species between 1954 and 1996 65
6.2.2.1.6 Change in the species richness between 1954 and 1996 66
6.2.2.1.7 Change in species diversity between 1954 and 1996 67
6.2.2.1.8 Change in species evenness between 1954 and 1996 67
6.2.2.1.9 Change in number of structure groups between 1954 and 1996 67
6.2.2.1.10 Change in structural richness between 1954 and 1996 67
6.2.2.1.11 Change in structural diversity between 1954 and 1996 68
6.2.2.1.12 Change in structural evenness between 1954 and 1996 68
6.2.2.2 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition between Pretoriuskop EBPs found on the four replicates, Fayi, Kambeni, Numbi, and Shabeni 68
6.2.2.2.1 Differences in woody plant density between replicates 68
6.2.2.2.2 Differences in woody plant mean height between replicates 68
6.2.2.2.3 Differences in tree equivalents between replicates 69
6.2.2.2.4 Differences in single-stem to multi-stem index between replicates 69
6.2.2.2.5 Differences in the number of species between replicates 70
6.2.2.2.6 Differences in species richness between replicates 70
6.2.2.2.7 Differences in species diversity between replicates 71
6.2.2.2.8 Differences in species evenness between replicates 71
6.2.2.2.9 Differences in the number of structural groups between replicates 72
6.2.2.2.10 Differences in structural richness between replicates 74
6.2.2.2.11 Differences in structural diversity between replicates 75
6.2.2.2.12 Differences in structural evenness between replicates 75
6.2.2.3 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition between Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different frequencies (Annual, Biennial, Triennial and no burn) 75
6.2.2.3.1 Differences in woody plant density between plots burnt at different frequencies 75
6.2.2.3.1.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the woody plant density using the No-August-Annual data grouping 75
6.2.2.3.1.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the woody plant density using the August-Control data grouping 76
6.2.2.3.1.3 Summary of the general relationship between woody plant density and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 76
6.2.2.3.2 Differences in woody plant height between plots burnt at different frequencies 77
6.2.2.3.2.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the mean woody plant height using the No-August-Annual data grouping 77
6.2.2.3.2.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the mean woody plant height using the August-Control data grouping 77
6.2.2.3.2.3 Summary of the general relationship between woody plant height and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 78
6.2.2.3.3 Differences in the number of tree equivalents between plots burnt at different frequencies 78
6.2.2.3.3.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the number of tree equivalents using the No-August-Annual data grouping 78
6.2.2.3.3.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the number of tree equivalents using the August-Control data grouping .. 78
6.2.2.3.3.3 Summary of the general relationship between the number of tree equivalents and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 78
6.2.2.3.4 Differences in the single-stem to multi-stem index between plots burnt at different frequencies 80
6.2.2.3.4.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the single-stem to multi-stem index using the No-August-Annual data grouping 80
6.2.2.3.4.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the single-stem to multi-stem index using the August-Control data grouping 80
6.2.2.3.4.3 Summary of the general relationship between the single-stem to multi-stem index and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 80
6.2.2.3.5 Differences in the number of species between plots burnt at different frequencies 81
6.2.2.3.5.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the number of species using the No-August-Annual data grouping 81
6.2.2.3.5.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the number of species using the August-Control data grouping 82
6.2.2.3.5.3 Summary of the general relationship between the number of species and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 82
6.2.2.3.6 Differences in species richness between plots burnt at different frequencies 82
6.2.2.3.6.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the species richness using the No-August-Annual data grouping 82
6.2.2.3.6.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the species richness using the August-Control data grouping 82
6.2.2.3.6.3 Summary of the general relationship between the species richness and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 83
6.2.2.3.7 Differences in species diversity between plots burnt at different frequencies 83
6.2.2.3.7.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the species diversity using the No-August-Annual data grouping 83
6.2.2.3.7.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the species diversity using the August-Control data grouping 83
6.2.2.3.7.3 Summary of the general relationship between the species diversity and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 83
6.2.2.3.8 Differences in species evenness between plots burnt at different frequencies 84
6.2.2.3.8.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the species evenness using the No-August-Annual data grouping 84
6.2.2.3.8.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the species evenness using the August-Control data grouping 85
6.2.2.3.8.3 Summary of the general relationship between the species evenness and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 85

6.2.2.3.9 Differences in the number of structural groups between plots burnt at different frequencies 85
6.2.2.3.9.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the number of structural groups using the No-August-Annual data grouping 85
6.2.2.3.9.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the number of structural groups using the August-Control data grouping. 85
6.2.2.3.9.3 Summary of the general relationship between the number of structural groups and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 86
6.2.2.3.10 Differences in structural richness between plots burnt at different frequencies 87
6.2.2.3.10.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the structural richness using the No-August-Annual data grouping 87
6.2.2.3.10.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the structural richness using the August-Control data grouping 88
6.2.2.3.10.3 Summary of the general relationship between the structural richness and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 88
6.2.2.3.11 Differences in structural diversity between plots burnt at different frequencies 88
6.2.2.3.11.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the structural diversity using the No-August-Annual data grouping..... 88
6.2.2.3.11.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the structural diversity using the August-Control data grouping 88
6.2.2.3.11.3 Summary of the general relationship between the structural diversity and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 89
6.2.2.3.12 Differences in structural evenness between plots burnt at different frequencies 89
6.2.2.3.12.1 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the structural evenness using the No-August-Annual data grouping 89
6.2.2.3.12.2 ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the structural evenness using the August-Control data grouping 89
6.2.2.3.12.3 Summary of the general relationship between the structural evenness and fire frequency based on the No-August-Annual and August-Control ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 90
6.2.2.4 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition between the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different times of the year (February, April, August, October, and December) 91
6.2.2.4.1 Differences in woody plant density between plots burnt at different times of the year 91
6.2.2.4.2 Differences in woody plant height between plots burnt at different times of the year 92
6.2.2.4.3 Differences in the number of tree equivalents between plots burnt at different times of the year 92
6.2.2.4.4 Differences in the single-stem to multi-stem index between plots burnt at different times of the year 92
6.2.2.4.5 Differences in the number of species between plots burnt at different times of the year 94
6.2.2.4.6 Differences in species richness between plots burnt at different times of the year 94
6.2.2.4.7 Differences in species diversity between plots burnt at different times of the year 94
6.2.2.4.8 Differences in species evenness between plots burnt at different times of the year 96
6.2.2.4.9 Differences in the number of structural groups between plots burnt at different times of the year 96
6.2.2.4.10 Differences in structural richness between plots burnt at different times of the year 96
6.2.2.4.11 Differences in structural diversity between plots burnt at different times of the year 98
6.2.2.4.12 Differences in structural evenness between plots burnt at different times of the year 98
6.2.2.5 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition between the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year (i.e. between the EBP treatments) 98
6.2.2.5.1 Differences in woody plant density between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year.. 99
6.2.2.5.2 Differences in the mean woody plant height between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 99
6.2.2.5.3 Differences in the number of tree equivalents between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 99
6.2.2.5.4 Differences in the single-stem to multi-stem index between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 100
6.2.2.5.5 Differences in the number of species between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 101
6.2.2.5.6 Differences in species richness between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 104
6.2.2.5.7 Differences in species diversity between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 104
6.2.2.5.8 Differences in species evenness between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year ... 105
6.2.2.5.9 Differences in the number of structural groups between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 105
6.2.2.5.10 Differences in structural richness between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 106
6.2.2.5.11 Differences in structural diversity between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 106
6.2.2.5.12 Differences in structural evenness between plots burnt at different frequencies and at different times of the year 108
6.2.2.6 Testing for differences in the woody vegetation density, structure and composition on the Pretoriuskop EBP Control (no burn) plots between 1954, 1959 and 1996 108
6.2.2.6.1 Differences in woody plant density on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 109
6.2.2.6.2 Differences in the mean woody plant height on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 109
6.2.2.6.3 Differences in the number of tree equivalents on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 109
6.2.2.6.4 Differences in the single-stem to multi-stem index on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 110
6.2.2.6.5 Differences in the number of species on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 110
6.2.2.6.6 Differences in species richness on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 110
6.2.2.6.7 Differences in species diversity on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 110
6.2.2.6.8 Differences in species evenness on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 110
6.2.2.6.9 Differences in the number of structural groups on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 111
6.2.2.6.10 Differences in structural richness on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 112
6.2.2.6.11 Differences in structural diversity on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 112
6.2.2.6.12 Differences in structural evenness on the Control treatment between 1954, 1959, and 1996 112
6.2.3 Analyses of species composition and structure composition on the Pretoriuskop EBPs using Horn's index of similarity 113
6.2.3.1 Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on the similarity of species between EBPs in 1954 113
6.2.3.2 Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on the similarity of species between EBPs in 1996 116
6.2.3.3 Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on the similarity of structure between EBPs in 1954 119
6.2.3.4 Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on the similarity of structure between EBPs in 1996 122
6.3 Results from the multivariate analysis exploring the effects of fire on the composition and structure of the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop experimental burn plots 125
6.3.1 Results from the Correspondence Analysis on the 1954 species by plot data 125
6.3.1.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted species and plot eigenvectors showing the relationship between the species and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1954 125

WISER

6.3.1.2 Step-wise Ward-Gamma cluster analysis of the first four weighted eigenvectors showing the relationship between the species and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1954 127
6.3.1.3 Summary of the results from the CA's on the 1954 species by plot data 128
6.3.2 Results from the Correspondence Analysis on the 1996 species by plot data 133
6.3.2.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted species and plot eigenvectors showing the relationship between the species and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 133
6.3.2.2 Step-wise Ward-Gamma cluster analysis of the first four weighted eigenvectors showing the relationship between the species and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 135
6.3.2.3 Summary of the results from the CA's on the 1996 species by plot data 137
6.3.3 Results from the Correspondence Analysis on the 1996 species by plot data with the first eight axes of the CA on the 1954 survey data as covariables 142
6.3.3.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted species and plot eigenvectors showing the relationship between the species and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 accounting for the relationship between the species and plots in 1954 142
6.3.3.2 Step-wise Ward-Gamma cluster analysis of the first four weighted eigenvectors showing the relationship between the species and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 accounting for the relationship between the species and plots in 1954 144
6.3.3.3 Summary of the results from the CA's on the 1996 species by plot data with covariables 146
6.3.4 Results from the Correspondence Analysis on the 1954 structure by plot data 151
6.3.4.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted structure and plot eigenvectors showing the relationship between the structures and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1954 151
6.3.4.2 Step-wise Ward-Gamma cluster analysis of the first four weighted eigenvectors showing the relationship between the structures and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1954 152
6.3.4.3 Summary of the results from the CA's on the 1954 structure by plot data 154
6.3.5 Results from the Correspondence Analysis on the 1996 structure by plot data 159
6.3.5.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted structure and plot eigenvectors showing the relationship between the structures and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 159
6.3.5.2 Step-wise Ward-Gamma cluster analysis of the first four weighted eigenvectors showing the relationship between the structures and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 161
6.3.5.3 Summary of the results from the CA's on the 1996 structure by plot data 162
6.3.6 Results from the Correspondence Analysis on the 1996 structure by plot data with the first eight axes of the CA on the 1954 survey data as covariables 167
6.3.6.1 Bi-plots of the first two weighted structure and plot eigenvectors showing the relationship between the structures and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 accounting for the relationship between the structures and plots in 1954 167
6.3.6.2 Step-wise Ward-Gamma cluster analysis of the first four weighted eigenvectors showing the relationship between the structures and plots in Pretoriuskop in 1996 accounting for the relationship between the structures and plots in 1954 168
6.3.6.3 Summary of the results from the CA's on the 1996 structure by plot data with covariables 169

7 Discussion 175
7.1 Similarities and differences in the woody vegetation between the Pretoriuskop EBP replicates 175
7.2 The effect of fire frequency on the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop sourveld 175
7.3 The effect of fire timing on the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop sourveld 191
7.4 Changes in the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop region between 1954 and 1996 197

8 References 201
8.1 Published journal articles and books 201
8.2 Digital and internet references 207
8.3 Unpublished research Reports: South African National Parks Board of Trusties: 208
8.4 Unpublished annual Reports: South African National Parks Board of Trusties: 209
8.5 Unpublished correspondence and comments: South African National Parks Board of Trusties 209
8.6 Unpublished minutes of meetings: South African National Parks Board of Trusties 209
8.7 Personal communication 209

9 Appendix 1: Woody plant Species Lists for the three surveys (1954, 1959 and 1996) conducted on the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plots 210

10 Appendix 2: List of the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plots and treatments 214

11 Appendix 3: Metadata for the surveys of The woody vegetation of The Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1954, 1959 and 1996 215

12 Appendix 4: Vegetation indices calculated to summarise the structure and composition of the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop Experimental burn plots 220

13 Appendix 5: Descriptive statistical summary of the data used in the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses 223

14 Appendix 6: Tukey Studentized *post hoc* analyses on the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses of the vegetation indices calculated 236

15 Appendix 7: The weighted eigenvector sample scores from the first four ordination axes from correspondence analyses (CA) on the 1954 and 1996 species and structure data 256

16 Appendix 8: Atmospheric CO_2 records from sites in the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) air sampling network from 1958 to 2003 271

17 Appendix 9: Conference talks, posters, and Papers published 273
17.1 The long-term effect of fire frequency and season on the trees and shrubs in the sourveld savannas of the Kruger National Park. 273
17.2 The role of the Experimental Burn Plots (EBP) in validating woody vegetation Thresholds for Potential Concern (TPC) with respect to the monitoring of management strategies in the Kruger National Park (KNP). 282
17.3 Responses of the woody vegetation, in the Pretoriuskop sourveld savannas of the Kruger National Park (KNP), to fires burnt at different frequencies. 287
17.4 The effect of different fire return periods on the structure and composition of woody vegetation in the Pretoriuskop sourveld 291
17.5 Long-term effects of fire on the species and structural diversity of the trees and shrubs in the sourveld bushveld of the Kruger National Park 295
17.6 The long-term effects of fire frequency and season on the woody vegetation in the Pretoriuskop sourveld of the Kruger National Park 303
17.7 A fire history of The savanna ecosystems in The Kruger National Park, South Africa, between 1941 and 1996 305

WITS

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Model showing the interdependence of the determinants and characteristics of fire. 4
Figure 3-1: The layout of the Experimental Burn Plot experiment in the four broad vegetation communities defined by Van Der Schijff (1958) in the Kruger National Park. 16
Figure 3-2: Pretoriuskop is located in the south west of the Kruger National Park. 17
Figure 3-3: Layout of the Experimental Burn Plots in the Pretoriuskop landscape, showing the placement of the four replicates and the treatments that were applied to each burn plot. 18
Figure 3-4: The fire characteristics of the Experimental Burn Plots (EBPs) based on the model showing the interdependence between the determinants and characteristics of fire shown in Figure 2-1 (p. 4). 24
Figure 5-1: Showing the placement of the two transects along the diagonals of a treatment plot in the 1996 woody vegetation survey of the Pretoriuskop EBPs. The belt transects were divided into six segments each. 29
Figure 5-2: Example of the tree cluster diagram showing the structure plot classification from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis of the first four ordination axes from the Correspondence Analyses on the 1954 structure by plot data. 42
Figure 6-1: The layout of the Experimental Burn Plot experiment in the four vegetation communities in the Kruger National Park. 49
Figure 6-2: Oblique and aerial view of the Shabeni replicate, showing how the treatment plots were setup with the single cleared fire breaks between the plots and the two cleared fire breaks surrounding the replicate. 50
Figure 6-3: Showing the typical application of a fire treatment to an EBP, with a protective back burn to prevent the head burn from jumping the firebreak and burning the neighbouring plot or the surrounding landscape. 52
Figure 6-4: Showing the placement of the two transects along the diagonals of a treatment plot in the baseline woody vegetation survey of the EBPs in 1954. 53
Figure 6-5: Normal curves fitted to the distribution of vegetation indices calculated for each plot using the baseline data collected in 1954. The graphs show the Skewness, Kurtosis and Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-values for the data distributions. 60
Figure 6-6: Normal curves fitted to the distribution of vegetation indices calculated for each plot using the baseline data collected in 1996. The graphs show the Skewness, Kurtosis and Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-values for the data distributions. 62
Figure 6-7: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses between the 1954 and 1996 data testing for change in woody vegetation over time. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 66
Figure 6-8: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1954 data testing for pre-treatment differences between the four replicates in the Pretoriuskop region. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 72
Figure 6-9: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1996 data testing for post treatment differences between the four replicates in the Pretoriuskop region. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 73
Figure 6-10: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANCOVA analyses on the 1996 data (with the 1954 data as covariates) testing for post treatment differences between the four replicates in the Pretoriuskop region taking into account the state of the plots before the treatments began. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 74
Figure 6-11: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1954 data testing for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt at different frequencies using the No-August-Annual data grouping. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 79
Figure 6-12: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1996 data testing for the treatment effects between plots burnt at different frequencies using the No-August-Annual data grouping. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 81
Figure 6-13: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANCOVA analyses on the 1996 data (with the 1954 data as covariates) testing for the treatment effects between plots burnt at different frequencies taking into account the state of the plots before the treatments began. The No-August-Annual data grouping was used in these analyses. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 84
Figure 6-14: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1954 data testing for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt at different frequencies using the August-Control data grouping. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 86
Figure 6-15: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1996 data testing for the treatment effects between plots burnt at different frequencies using the August-Control data grouping. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 87
Figure 6-16: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANCOVA analyses on the 1996 data (with the 1954 data as covariates) testing for the treatment effects between plots burnt at different frequencies taking into account the state of the plots before the treatments began. The August-Control data grouping was used in these analyses. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 90
Figure 6-17: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1954 data testing for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt at different times of the year. The August annual treatments were excluded from these analyses. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 93
Figure 6-18: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1996 data testing for the treatment effects between plots burnt at different times of the year. The August annual treatments were excluded from these analyses. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 95
Figure 6-19: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANCOVA analyses on the 1996 data (with the 1954 data as covariates) testing for the treatment effects between plots burnt at different times of the year taking into account the state of the plots before the treatments began The August annual treatments were excluded from these analyses. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 97
Figure 6-20: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1954 data testing for pre-treatment differences between the plots assigned the different treatments. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 102
Figure 6-21: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1996 data testing the effect of the different treatments assigned to plots. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 103

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Figure 6-22: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANCOVA analyses on the 1996 data (with the 1954 data as covariates) testing the effect of the different treatments assigned to plots taking into account the state of the plots before the treatments began. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 107
Figure 6-23: The least squares means and standard errors of the vegetation indices from the ANOVA analyses on the 1954, 1959, and 1996 control plot data testing for the effects of not burning. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10).....111
Figure 6-24: Hierarchical cluster tree from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in species between Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1954. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 114
Figure 6-25: Map showing the clustering of the Pretoriuskop EBPs at distance 2 from the Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in species between plots in 1954 shown in Figure 6-24.....115
Figure 6-26: Hierarchical cluster tree from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in species between Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1996. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) 117
Figure 6-27: Map showing the clustering of the Pretoriuskop EBPs from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in species between plots in 1996 shown in Figure 6-26.....118
Figure 6-28: Hierarchical cluster tree from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in structure between Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1954. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) 120
Figure 6-29: Map showing the clustering of the Pretoriuskop EBPs from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in structure between plots in 1954 shown in Figure 6-28.....121
Figure 6-30: Hierarchical cluster tree from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in structure between Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1996. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) 123
Figure 6-31: Map showing the clustering of the Pretoriuskop EBPs from a Pearson-Ward cluster analysis on Horn's indices of similarity in structure between plots in 1996 shown in Figure 6-30.....124
Figure 6-32: Classification of treatment plots and species using a step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes from the CA on the 1954 species by plot data. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) and the codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210).....129
Figure 6-33: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1954 species by plot data showing variation in species composition between treatment plots. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of species and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-32). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214).....130
Figure 6-34: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1954 species by plot data showing variation in location (plots) between species. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of species and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-32). The codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210).....131
Figure 6-35: Map showing the level 2 clusters from the step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes of the CA on the 1954 species by plot data (Figure 6-32). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 3-1 (p. 15).....132
Figure 6-36: Classification of treatment plots and species using a step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) and the codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210).....138
Figure 6-37: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data showing variation in species composition between treatment plots. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of species and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-36). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214).....139
Figure 6-38: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data showing variation in location (plots) between species. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of species and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-36). The codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210).....140
Figure 6-39: Map showing the level 2 groupings of a classification of treatment plots using a stepwise cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes of a CA on the 1996 species by plot data (Figure 6-36). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 3-1 (p. 15). 141
Figure 6-40: Classification of treatment plots and species using a step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 species by plot CA as covariables. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) and the codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210). 147
Figure 6-41: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data showing variation in species composition between treatment plots with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 species by plot CA as covariables. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of species and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-40). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214).....148
Figure 6-42: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data showing variation in location (plots) between species with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 species by plot CA as covariables. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of species and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-40). The codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210). 149
Figure 6-43: Map showing the level 2 groupings of a classification of treatment plots using a stepwise cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes of a CA on the 1996 species by plot data with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 species by plot CA as covariables (Figure 6-40). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 3-1 (p. 15). 150
Figure 6-44: Classification of treatment plots and structures using a step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes from the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) and the codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34).....155
Figure 6-45: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data showing variation in structural composition between treatment plots. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of structures and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-44). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 156
Figure 6-46: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data showing variation in location (plots) between structures. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of structures and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-44). The codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34). 157
Figure 6-47: Map showing the level 2 clusters from the step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes of the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data (Figure 6-44). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 3-1 (p. 15).....158

Figure 6-48: Classification of treatment plots and structures using a step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) and the codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34).....163
Figure 6-49: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data showing variation in structural composition between treatment plots. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of structures and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-48). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 164
Figure 6-50: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data showing variation in location (plots) between structures. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of structures and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-48). The codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34). 165
Figure 6-51: Map showing the level 2 clusters from the step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes of the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data (Figure 6-48). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 3-1 (p. 15).....166
Figure 6-52: Classification of treatment plots and structures using a step-wise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 structure by plot CA as covariables. The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214) and the codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34). 171
Figure 6-53: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data showing variation in structural composition between treatment plots with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 structure by plot CA as covariables. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of structures and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-52). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214).....172
Figure 6-54: Bi-plot of the first two ordination axes from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data showing variation in location (plots) between structures with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 structure by plot CA as covariables. The groups are the result of a visual assessment of the grouping of structures and plots along the first two eigenvectors, and the graph points are colour coded to the level 2 clusters from the stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis on the first four eigenvectors (Figure 6-52). The codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34).....173
Figure 6-55: Map showing the level 2 groupings of a classification of treatment plots using a stepwise cluster analysis on the first four ordination axes of a CA on the 1996 structure by plot data with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 structure by plot CA as covariables (Figure 6-52). The codes for the treatments are defined in Table 3-1 (p. 15). 174
Figure 7-1: Model of the change in the density (plants/Ha) and the number of tree equivalents (tree equivalents/Ha) of the woody vegetation in the Pretoriuskop region as the period between fires increases. 176
Figure 7-2: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation at different height classes of vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies. 177
Figure 7-3: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation at different heights on the control plots at three different years (1954, 1959, and 1996). 178
Figure 7-4: Conceptual model showing the vegetation density, height structure, and composition of the Pretoriuskop vegetation after being subjected to high frequency (Annual) fires. 179
Figure 7-5: Conceptual model showing the vegetation density, height structure, and composition of the Pretoriuskop vegetation after being subjected to medium frequency (Biennial and Triennial) fires. 180
Figure 7-6: Conceptual model showing the vegetation density, height structure, and composition of the Pretoriuskop vegetation after being protected from fire for 42 years. 181
Figure 7-7: Conceptual model showing the changes in the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop region as the fire frequency decreases from high frequency fires to fire exclusion. 182
Figure 7-8: The typical accumulative floristic succession of the woody vegetation in the Pretoriuskop region as the inter-fire period increases. 183
Figure 7-9: The density (plants/Ha) of single-stem and multi-stem woody plants in vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies. 184
Figure 7-10: The density (plants/Ha) of different diameter classes of single-stem woody plants in vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies.....185
Figure 7-11: The density (plants/Ha) of different diameter classes of multi-stem woody plants in vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies.....185
Figure 7-12: The density (plants/Ha) of single-stem and multi-stem woody plants on the control plots at three different years (1954, 1959, and 1996).....186
Figure 7-13: Model showing the combined effect of fire frequency and fire intensity on the height structure of the woody vegetation on the Pretoriuskop EBPs. 186
Figure 7-14: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation at different height classes burnt under two fire regimes, Fire regime 1 denotes hot fires burnt frequently or cool to moderately hot fires burnt with a medium frequency. Fire regime 2 denotes where fire has been excluded, or hot to extremely hot fires burnt with a medium frequency. 187
Figure 7-15: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies at different height classes on the Morale Fire experimental plots in 1981 (Sweet 1982). 188
Figure 7-16: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies at different height classes on the Satara EBPs in 1971 (Gertenbach and Potgieter 1975). 189
Figure 7-17: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation burnt at different times of the year at different height classes. Note: The August Annual treatment data was excluded. 191
Figure 7-18: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation burnt with different fire intensities. The fire intensity classes used are those defined in “The intensity of fires on the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments” p. 19.....193
Figure 7-19: The density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation burnt at different fire frequencies at different height classes on the Satara EBPs in 1971 (Gertenbach and Potgieter 1975). Note: The August Annual treatment data was excluded 195
Figure 7-20: The mean density of woody vegetation (plants/Ha) on the Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1954, 1969, and 1996, and the atmospheric CO_2 concentration (ppmv) between 1958 and 2003 taken as the average annual atmospheric CO_2 concentration at 10 Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) air sampling sites (Keeling and Whorf 2004). The annual average atmospheric CO_2 concentration for each SIO site is presented in Appendix 8 Table 16-1 (p.271).....198
Figure 7-21: Graph showing the upper limits of the Thresholds of Potential Concern for the density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation within a landscape in the KNP (Provided by N Zambatis KNP Scientific Services). The colours green, orange, and red indicate the level of concern that expressed regarding the magnitude of change, namely green is ok, orange is caution, and red is potential concern.....199
Figure 17-1: Layout of the four blocks of plots in the Pretoriuskop landscape, showing the placement of the six treatments focused on in this presentation.....275

Figure 17-2: Graph showing the relative abundances of woody individuals in each of the five height classes for each of the six treatments. 278
Figure 17-3: Change over area graph modelling the suggested Thresholds of Potential Concern for the density of the woody vegetation in the KNP (Provided by N Zambatis KNP Scientific Services). 283
Figure 17-4: Model showing the effect of fire frequency on the structure and composition of the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop sourveld. 290
Figure 17-5: Model showing the effect of fire frequency on the structure and composition of the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop sourveld. 294

WitsETD

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: The twelve treatments applied to the plots on four replicates (blocks) within each of the four major plant communities defined at the time by Van Der Schijff (1958). The table also shows the year in which the treatments were started, and the treatment code by which the treatments are referred to throughout this document in tables and figures. 15
Table 3-2: The average length of time (in minutes) taken for a treatment to burn, and the average temperature (°C) of the treatments at different heights. The data was collected in 1969 at the same time as the quadrate middle-point surveys of the EBPs were done (Van Wyk 1971). The data was not presented in Van Wyk (1971) though, and was found in the archive material for the EBPs. 20
Table 3-3: The average fire intensity for the Fayi, Kambeni, Numbi, and Shabeni EBP replicates on the Pretoriuskop region, based on the data that was collected on the five treatments in Table 3-4 in 1983 (Trollope and Potgieter 1983). 20
Table 3-4: The average fire intensity for five of the Pretoriuskop EBP treatments. The data was collected in 1982 (Trollope and Potgieter 1983). 21
Table 3-5: The fire intensity for the August and October Biennial treatments of the Pretoriuskop EBPs. The data was collected in 1992 as part of the ground component projects in SAFARI 92 (Trollope *et al.* 1994) 21
Table 3-6: Five fire categories based on fire intensity (Trollope and Potgieter 1983, Trollope and Potgieter 1985) 21
Table 3-7: Fire treatments of the Experimental Burn Plots ranked from most intense fire treatment to least intense fire treatment based on the timing and frequency of the treatment. 22
Table 5-1: The single-stem and multi-stem diameter classes used to classify individuals during the 1954 baseline survey (Van Der Schijff 1958). 30
Table 5-2: The height classes used to classify individuals in the 1996 survey. 31
Table 5-3: The structural classification used to group the woody plants surveyed in the 1954, 1959, and 1996 surveys. The height and diameter class codes are defined in Table 5-1 and Table 5-2. 34
Table 5-4: Plot groupings used in the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). 38
Table 5-5: Example of how species, structures, and plots were classified by a stepwise Gamma-Ward cluster analysis of the first four ordination axes from the Correspondence Analyses. 42
Table 6-1: The twelve treatments applied within each of the plant communities. The treatment code refers to the codes used in the database. 48
Table 6-2: Results of the ANOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test for changes in the woody vegetation on the treatment plots between 1954 and 1996. 65
Table 6-3: The results from correlation and linear regression analyses testing the relationships between the woody vegetation in 1954 and 1996 using the vegetation indices calculated. The colour coding shows the level of the test significance (yellow: α=0.05, and orange: α = 0.10). 67
Table 6-4: Results of the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test for homogeneity between the replicates in the Pretoriuskop region. 70
Table 6-5: Results of the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test the frequency effects of the fire treatments. The August annual treatments were not included in these analyses (No-August-Annual data grouping). 76
Table 6-6: Results of the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test the frequency effects of the fire treatments. Only the Control treatments and August annual, biennial, and triennial treatments were used in these analyses (August-Control data grouping). 77
Table 6-7: Results of the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test the timing effects of the fire treatments (the August annual treatments were not included in these analyses). 91
Table 6-8: Results of the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test the combined effects of timing and frequency of the fire treatments. 100
Table 6-9: Results of the ANOVA analyses on the vegetation indices calculated to test the effects of not burning between 1954 and 1996. 109
Table 6-10: Eigenvalues for the first four ordination axes of a Correspondence Analysis (CA) on the species by plot data collected in 1954. 125
Table 6-11: Eigenvalues for the first four ordination axes of a Correspondence Analysis (CA) on the species by plot data collected in 1996. 133
Table 6-12: Eigenvalues for the first four ordination axes of a Correspondence Analysis (CA) on the species by plot data collected in 1996 with the first eight ordination axes of the 1954 CA as covariables. 142
Table 6-13: Eigenvalues for the first four ordination axes of a Correspondence Analysis on the structure by plot data collected in 1954 151
Table 6-14: Eigenvalues for the first four ordination axes of a Correspondence Analysis on the structure by plot data collected in 1996 159
Table 6-15: Eigenvalues for the first four ordination axes of a Correspondence Analysis on the structure data collected in 1996 with the first four ordination axes of the 1954 Correspondence analysis as covariables. 167
Table 7-1: The average density of woody vegetation (plants/Ha) on the Control EBPs (fire excluded) in the Pretoriuskop region 1954, 1959, 1969, and 1996. The densities of the woody vegetation in 1954, 1959, and 1996 were from belt transect surveys of the Pretoriuskop EBPs. The density of the woody vegetation in 1969 was from a quadrate middle-point survey of the Pretoriuskop EBPs (Van Wyk 1971). 183
Table 7-2: Results from analyses performed on the density (plants/Ha) of the woody vegetation in 1981 on the Morale Fire experimental plots burnt at different frequencies between 1958 and 1981 (Sweet 1982). 187
Table 7-3: Data from five vegetation surveys on the Olokemeji Fire experiment plots showing the density of woody plant (plants/Ha) on plots that were burnt annually at different times of the year (Fuwape 1991). 194
Table 7-4: Data from two vegetation surveys on the Kainji Fire experiment plots showing the density of woody plant (plants/Ha) on plots that were burnt annually at different times of the year (Fuwape 1991). 195
Table 7-5: The average density of woody vegetation (plants/Ha) on the twelve Pretoriuskop EBP treatments in 1954, 1969, and 1996. The densities of the woody vegetation in 1954, and 1996 were calculated from the belt transect surveys of the Pretoriuskop EBPs. The densities of the woody vegetation in 1969 were calculated from the quadrate middle-point survey of the Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1969 (Van Wyk 1971). 197
Table 9-1: List of all woody species found on the Pretoriuskop EBPs in the 1954, 1959, and 1996 surveys with the species nomenclature according to Palgrave (1991). The list shows the species codes used when displaying species data in tables and figures through out this document. 210
Table 9-2: List showing the woody species found on the Pretoriuskop EBPs in the three surveys conducted in 1954, 1959, and 1996. This list links the species code defined in Table 9-1 to the species name used at the time of each of the surveys. 212

Table 10-1: List of the Pretoriuskop Experimental Burn Plots, the treatment assigned to the plot in 1954 and 1956, and the plot code used when displaying plot data in tables and figures through out this document. A description of the treatment codes are presented in Table 3-1 (p. 15). 214
Table 11-1: A list showing the information for each of the survey transects that was used to survey the woody vegetation of the Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1954, 1959, and 1996. 215
Table 12-1: Summary of the vegetation indices calculated from the data collected in the 1954 baseline survey. 220
Table 12-2: Summary of the vegetation indices calculated from the data collected in the 1959 survey on the No burn plots. 221
Table 12-3: Summary of the vegetation indices calculated from the data collected in the 1996 survey. 222
Table 13-1: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop EBPs in 1954 and 1996. This data was used to test for changes in the woody vegetation structure and composition on the Pretoriuskop EBPs between 1954 and 1996. 223
Table 13-2: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop replicates (Fayi, Kambeni, Numbi, and Shabeni) in 1954. This data was used to test for differences between the EBP replicates in the Pretoriuskop region in 1954. 224
Table 13-3: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop replicates (Fayi, Kambeni, Numbi, and Shabeni) in 1996. This data was used to test for differences between the EBP replicates in the Pretoriuskop region in 1996. 225
Table 13-4: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop EBPs to be burnt at different frequencies in 1954 excluding the August annual treatment. This data was used to test for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt at different frequencies in 1954. 226
Table 13-5: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different frequencies in 1996 excluding the August annual treatment. This data was used to test for the treatment effects on the plots burnt at different frequencies between 1954 and 1996. 226
Table 13-6: Summary of data collected on the No burn, August annual, August biennial, and August triennial treatments. This data was used to test for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt at different frequencies in 1954. 227
Table 13-7: Summary of data collected on the No burn, August annual, August biennial, and August triennial treatments. This data was used to test for the treatment effects on the plots burnt at different frequencies between 1954 and 1996. 228
Table 13-8: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different times of the year excluding the August annual treatment. This data was used to test for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt at different times of the year in 1954. 229
Table 13-9: Summary of data collected on the Pretoriuskop EBPs burnt at different times of the year excluding the August annual treatment. This data was used to test for the treatment effects on the plots burnt at different times of the year between 1954 and 1996. 230
Table 13-10: Summary of data used to test for pre-treatment differences between the plots to be burnt with different fire treatments in 1954. 231
Table 13-11: Summary of data used to test for treatment differences between the plots burnt with different fire treatments between 1954 and 1996. 233
Table 13-12: Summary of data used to test the effects of not burning between 1954, 1959, and 1996. 235
Table 14-1: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between the replicates in 1954. 236
Table 14-2: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between the replicates in 1996. 237
Table 14-3: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANCOVA analyses testing for differences between the replicates in 1996 using the baseline data (1954) as covariates. 238
Table 14-4: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots to be burnt at different frequencies in 1954 using the No-August-Annual data grouping. 239
Table 14-5: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots burnt at different frequencies between 1954 and 1996 using the No-August-Annual data grouping. 240
Table 14-6: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANCOVA analyses testing for differences between plots burnt at different frequencies between 1954 and 1996 using the baseline data (1954) as covariates. The No-August-Annual data grouping was used in these analyses. 241
Table 14-7: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots to be burnt at different frequencies in 1954 using the August-Control data grouping. 242
Table 14-8: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots burnt at different frequencies between 1954 and 1996 using the August-Control data grouping. 243
Table 14-9: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANCOVA analyses testing for differences between plots burnt at different frequencies between 1954 and 1996 using the baseline data (1954) as covariates. The August-Control data grouping was used in these analyses. 244
Table 14-10: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots to be burnt at different times of the year in 1954 using the No-August-Annual data grouping. 245
Table 14-11: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots burnt at different times of the year between 1954 and 1996 using the No-August-Annual data grouping. 246
Table 14-12: Tukey *post hoc* analyses for the ANCOVA analyses testing for differences between plots burnt at different times of the year between 1954 and 1996 using the baseline data (1954) as covariates. The No-August-Annual data grouping was used in these analyses. 248
Table 14-13: Tukey post hoc analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots to be burnt with different fire treatments in 1954. 250
Table 14-14: Tukey post hoc analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences between plots to be burnt with different fire treatments between 1954 and 1996. 251
Table 14-15: Tukey post hoc analyses for the ANCOVA analyses testing for differences between plots to be burnt with different fire treatments between 1954 and 1996 using the baseline data (1954) as covariates. 253
Table 14-16: Tukey post hoc analyses for the ANOVA analyses testing for differences in the plots that were not burnt between 1954, 1959, and 1996. 255
Table 15-1: Weighted eigenvector plot scores from the CA on the 1954 species by plot data. The table also shows the plot weights and the effective number of species that occur on the plots (N2 species diversity of plots) (Hill 1973). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 256
Table 15-2: Weighted eigenvector species scores from the CA on the 1954 species by plot data. The table shows the species weights and the effective number of occurrences of the species (N2 diversity of species) (Hill 1973). The codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210). 257
Table 15-3: Weighted eigenvector plot scores from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data. The table also shows the plot weights and the effective number of species that occur on the plots (N2 species diversity of plots) (Hill 1973). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 259
Table 15-4: Weighted eigenvector species scores from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data. The table shows the species weights and the effective number of occurrences of the species (N2 diversity of species) (Hill 1973). The codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210). 260

UNDER

Table 15-5: Weighted eigenvector plot scores from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data with the first eight weighted eigenvector axes of the CA on the 1954 species by plot data as covariables. The table also shows the plot weights and the effective number of species that occur on the plots (N2 species diversity of plots) (Hill 1973). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214).....262
Table 15-6: Weighted eigenvector species scores from the CA on the 1996 species by plot data with the first eight weighted eigenvector axes of the CA on the 1954 species by plot data as covariables. The table shows the species weights and the effective number of occurrences of the species (N2 diversity of species) (Hill 1973). The codes for the species are defined in Table 9-1 (p. 210).....263
Table 15-7: Weighted eigenvector plot scores from the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data. The table also shows the plot weights and the effective number of structural groups that occur on the plots (N2 structural diversity of plots) (Hill 1973). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 265
Table 15-8: Weighted eigenvector structure scores from the CA on the 1954 structure by plot data. The table shows the structure weights and the effective number of occurrences of the structures (N2 diversity of structures) (Hill 1973). The codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34). 266
Table 15-9: Weighted eigenvector plot scores from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data. The table also shows the plot weights and the effective number of structural groups that occur on the plots (N2 structural diversity of plots) (Hill 1973). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 267
Table 15-10: Weighted eigenvector structure scores from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data. The table shows the structure weights and the effective number of occurrences of the structures (N2 diversity of structures) (Hill 1973). The codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34). 268
Table 15-11: Weighted eigenvector plot scores from the CA on the 1996 structure by plot data with the first eight weighted eigenvector axes of the 1954 CA of the structure data as covariables. The table also shows the plot weights and the effective number of structural groups that occur on the plots (N2 structural diversity of plots) (Hill 1973). The codes for the treatment plots are defined in Table 10-1 (p. 214). 269
Table 15-12: Weighted eigenvector structure scores from the CA on the 1996 data with the first eight weighted eigenvector axes of the 1954 CA of the structure data as covariables. The table shows the structure weights and the effective number of occurrences of the structures (N2 diversity of structures) (Hill 1973). The codes for the structure groups are defined in Table 5-3 (p. 34).....270
Table 16-1: The mean annual atmospheric CO_2 levels (ppmv) at ten Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) air sampling sites between 1958 and 2003 (Keeling and Whorf 2004).....271
Table 17-1: The single-stem and multi-stem diameter classes used to classify individuals during the 1954 baseline survey (Van Der Schijff 1958). 296
Table 17-2: The height classes used to classify individuals in the 1996 survey. 296

WitSETD

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Block – Refers to a string of experimental plots adjacent to one another in the Experimental Burn Plot experiment. There are either 12 or 14 plots in a block (Biggs *et al* 2003). Block is interchangeable with replicate.

Control treatment – Refers to the Experimental Burn Plots treatments in which fire was excluded. Control treatment and no burn treatment are interchangeable.

EBP – Abbreviation for the Experimental Burn Plot experiment. This refers to the fire experiment established in the Kruger National Park in 1954.

Fire frequency –This refers to the regularity with which a fire treatment is applied. Fire frequency is inversely proportional to fire return period (inter-fire period). For example, a treatment that has been applied annually has a higher fire frequency than a treatment that has been applied triennially. Three fire frequencies were applied to the Experimental burn plots, namely Annual (every year), Biennial (every two years), and Triennial (every three years).

Fire return period – This refers to the period, in years, between fire events, namely annual (1 year), biennial (2 years), and triennial (3 years). Fire return period is interchangeable with inter-fire period.

Fire season – This refers to the time of the year of the fire events and is interchangeable with fire timing.

Fire timing – This refers to the time of the year of the fire events, namely February, April, August, October, and December. Fire timing is interchangeable with fire season.

Inter-fire period – This refers to the period, in years, between fire events, and is interchangeable with fire return period.

KNP – Abbreviation for the Kruger National Park.

LS Mean – Abbreviation for the least squares of a mean.

No burn treatment – Refers to the Experimental Burn Plots treatments in which fire was excluded. No burn treatment is interchangeable with control treatment.

Overstorey woody community – Refers to the community of woody individuals taller than 1m.

Plot – Refers to the smallest experimental unit in the Experimental Burn Plot experiment. Each plot is approximately 7ha in size. Treatments are applied to plots, and plots are grouped together in blocks or replicates (Biggs *et al* 2003).

Phytomass – This refers to the amount of vegetation as measured in the number of equivalent 1.5m high savanna trees (Trollope *et al*. 1990). Phytomass is not a measure of biomass. Phytomass is interchangeable with tree equivalents.

Replicate – This refers to a block of plots adjacent to one another in the Experimental Burn Plot experiment. Replicate is interchangeable with block.

ppmv – Abbreviation for parts per million by volume. The concentration of atmospheric CO_2 is measured in these units.

WitsETD

SADF – Abbreviation for the South African Defence Force.

SAFARI 92 – Abbreviation for the South African Fire-Atmosphere Research Initiative (SAFARI) that was conducted during 1992 (Van Wilgen *et al.* 1997).

SCOPE – Abbreviation for Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment project (SCOPE 1978).

SE – Abbreviation for Standard Error.

SEM – Abbreviation for the Standard Error of the Mean.

SIO – Abbreviation for the Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

Treatment – In the context of the Experimental Burn Plot experiment this refers to a combination of fire timing and fire frequency applied to treatment plots (i.e. Biennial burn in February) (Biggs *et al* 2003).

Tree equivalents – This refers to the measure of the number of equivalent 1.5m high savanna trees there are in a collection. Tree equivalents are interchangeable with phytomass.

Trial – Refers to all the replicates of the Experimental Burn Plots experiment in the Kruger National Park (Biggs *et al* 2003).

Understorey woody community – Refers to the community of woody individuals shorter than 1m.

WitsETD