

https://dspace.org/

Terms of Reference for conducting a comparative analysis of diagnostic tools for National Evaluation Systems in Africa

CLEAR-AA

2023-10-23
https://hdl.handle.net/10539/36832

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

Consultancy for conducting a comparative analysis of 'diagnostic' tools for National Evaluation Systems in Africa

Annex 2: Proposal Evaluation Matrix

To be completed by the Proposal Evaluation Committee. For your information only.

Criterio	Type[1]	Criterion	Description (as appearing in the call for proposals)	Source of confirmation	Scoring (0 to 10)[2] (type B criteria)	References for the evaluation (type B criteria)			
K1	A	Young and Emerging Evaluator based in Africa (Young-35 years/under by 31.12.23, Emerging-less than 5 years of experience in M&E)	Requirements	CV					
K2	A	Postgraduate degree in Social sciences or related fields and qualifications.	Requirements	CV					
K5	B	Understanding of the context of task undertaking (diagnostic/assessment tools, National Evaluation Systems and Evaluation capacity Development)	Written Essay			**0-3 Points: Very basic manifestation of understanding of diagnostic /assessment tools and their importance in contributing to strengthening National Evaluation Systems as part of Evaluation Capacity Development.**	**3-7 Points: Fair manifestation of understanding of diagnostic/assessment tools and their importance in contributing to strengthening National Evaluation Systems as part of Evaluation Capacity Development**	**7-10 Points: Very clear and elaborate manifestation of understanding of diagnostic /assessment tools and their importance in contributing to strengthening National Evaluation Systems as part of Evaluation Capacity Development.**	100%

(a) Technical score of the proposal[3]:	#WERT!
(b) Economic part of the proposal (total costs as specified in the Price Sheet, in US dollars):	$ -
(c) Overall score of the proposal (a)/(b)[4]:	**#WERT!**

Notes:

(1) Type A criteria are exclusive.
(2) 0 being the lowest score, and 10 being the highest score.
(3) Fulfillment of all the exclusive criteria (Yes=1/No=0) x weighted criteria K6 and K7 median.
(4) The proposal with the highest overall score shall be selected. Five decimal points are deleted for comparison purposes.