SCHOOLS AS LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS? TWO SOUTH AFRICAN CASES

Njabuliso H. Nsibande

A thesis submitted to the School of Education, University of the Witwatersrand in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

JOHANNESBURG
June 2005

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own unaided work. It is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other university, nor has it been prepared with the assistance of any other body or institution, group, or person outside the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

| Njabuliso Happy Nsibande | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| day of | 200 |

Dedication to

Khulu, my late granny-friend,
who raised me up so that I can stand on mountains;
My father, for teaching me to fight for the things I believe in; and
Mashalaika, my daughter who taught me about the beauty of angels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to several individuals, groups, and organizations for making this study a success.

I would like to first express my appreciation to my supervisor and mentor, Professor Shirley Pendlebury. She tirelessly and generously gave constructive comments. I thank her for her encouragement and for constantly questioning my assumptions and making me outperform myself. I also thank her, as my mentor, for exposing me to the academic community while I was an associate lecturer in the School of Education and for providing me with financial support to participate in and present at local and international conferences. My sincere appreciation also goes to Professor Magagula, the Acting Vice-Chancellor of the University of Swaziland, for being my 'expert supervisor' during my three months GOOT Scholarship in Swaziland. I thank him for the valuable insights he willingly shared despite his very busy schedule. I am also grateful to the funders of the Grow Our Own Timber (GOOT) Programme for making it possible for me to enjoy being an associate lecturer for the three years of my study and the opportunity of learning so much in such a short space of time.

I am extremely grateful to all the participants in my study for contributing so generously to make my study a success. Spending time in those schools, and hearing the professionals, learners, and school leaders talking about their lives and how these relate to organizational learning in schools has been the PhD I have always wanted. I thank each one of them for even helping others learn from their work and achievements.

Thanks go to my dear friend Juliet with whom I struggled and celebrated in many special ways. Thanks also go to all the friends who supported me from the margins and my colleagues at the Management of Schools Training Programme.

Last but not least, special thanks go to my family, especially my father, who always supported me and believed so much in me; my mother who never stopped praying for me; Mlashiya, my uncle and his wife, for the lessons they taught us about caring for family; 'Sister Mine' - Dolly - for helping me establish a home for my children while studying; my brother Babane for always celebrating my little successes; and Ndumie who thinks I am a role model to 'Khulu's' generation.

Most of all, I devote my deepest appreciation to Mashalaika (Shali), my PhD 'bonus' and angel who kept reminding me that life was not all about studies; Musa, my dear son who supported me and his baby sister in many special ways and who never lost his cool; Regina, my nanny who loved my daughter as her own; and Niimo, who saved me from insanity during the most difficult time in my life and encouraged me when I was at my lowest.

ABSTRACT

Since the 1990s, the corporate concept of learning organizations has been promoted as a solution to problems caused by ever-changing educational reforms and as a model for schools in the twenty-first century. Through an indepth analysis of two South African secondary schools in Gauteng, this study examines how organizational learning is nurtured and sustained, and considers whether and how the concept of learning organizations is applicable in schools. Three perspectives on learning organizations are considered: the normative, developmental and capability perspectives. The investigative framework links theories on organizational memory, organizational learning, organizational change and complexity theory to provide insights into why some organizations are 'smarter' than others and why educational reforms and innovations often fail.

The study used a multi-method approach, within a nested case design, at two contrasting schools, each facing the challenges of a changing society and education system, but under very different conditions: a state school in a poor informal settlement and a wealthy faith-based, independent school. Research participants included two principals, four heads of departments, ten teachers and fourteen learners. Although learners were included, the study focuses primarily on teachers and school leaders. At each school, key informants were selected from among those acknowledged to have contributed significantly to the school, either individually or as team members. In-depth interviews, as well as teachers' narrative accounts of their own learning and unlearning, and a variety of school-generated documents provided the data set. Two approaches were used for the stories of learning and unlearning — personal writing and elicitation through narrative interviews.

Findings pertain to participating schools, but also provide a basis for more general claims. In ethos, practices and leadership, both schools reflect a deep commitment to improving learners' lives. However, schools cannot operate as learning organizations unless they can harness individual staff members' aspirations as well. Internal and external circumstances impeded organizational learning and reciprocal professional commitment among staff. These included: personal problems; an individualistic school learning system with limited social interaction; concomitant anxiety about collaborative professional learning; a value system that favours competition; routine rather than reflective contexts for professional learning and communication; and unacknowledged gender issues. Another feature of a learning organization is a reflective openness to change. Yet both schools strive for stability and conformity to rules, and neither has developed tools for recognizing turning points, disjunctures and triggers for change. Learning organizations emphasize collective learning; yet teachers and department heads are 'starving' for personal recognition, especially in cases where they have become multi-skilled in the course of career advancement. The study also analyses complexities of school leadership and resulting trade-offs that have to be made between satisfying the diverse needs of school members and responding to external demands, especially at the level of policy. Overall, the study demonstrates that while the related concepts of learning organizations and organizational learning are generative for understanding, structuring and leading schools, the definitive purposes of schools and the external pressures one them preclude a simple transfer from the corporate world.

KEYWORDS: Learning organizations; schools; educational change; organizational learning; complex theory; school leadership.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CONTENTS | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Declaration | ii |
| Dedication | iii |
| Acknowledgements | iv |
| Abstract | V |
| OLIA DTED. ONE | |
| CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY: The 21 st century is here. Where | 4 |
| - | 1 |
| are schools as learning organizations? | 4 |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Statement of the problem | 2 |
| Research aims, questions, and objectives | 5 |
| The aim of the study | 5 |
| Specific research questions | 6 |
| Research objectives | 6 |
| Focus and scope of the study | 7 |
| Research assumptions | 8 |
| The study context | 9 |
| The rationale for the study | 12 |
| Organization of the thesis | 15 |
| CHAPTER TWO | 19 |
| THERORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW: | |
| What are learning organizations? What do the definitions | |
| mean for schools? | |
| Theoretical framework | 20 |
| Organizational memory | 21 |
| Organizational learning | 22 |
| Organizational learning and developmental pathways | 24 |
| Types of learning | 27 |

| Organizational change | 28 |
|---|----|
| Educational change and the complexity/chaos theory | 31 |
| Perspectives on learning organizations | 35 |
| The normative perspective | 36 |
| The developmental perspective | 41 |
| The capability perspective | 43 |
| Tensions in the models of practice | 46 |
| Dysfunctional learning experiences | 47 |
| Learning organizations as a leadership challenge | 51 |
| CHAPTER THREE | 58 |
| METHODOLOGY AND RESEARCH DESIGN: Towards | |
| understanding South African schools as learning organizations | |
| Research methodology and approach | 59 |
| Qualitative methodology | 59 |
| Qualitative case studies | 59 |
| Sampling | 61 |
| The research phases | 63 |
| Data collecting techniques | 63 |
| Interviews | 65 |
| Biographies | 69 |
| Observations | 70 |
| Self-administered questions | 71 |
| Documentary sources | 72 |
| Data presentation, analysis and interpretation | 73 |
| Trustworthiness | 75 |
| Limitations of the study | 75 |
| Ethical considerations | 77 |

| CHAPTER FOUR | 79 |
|--|-----|
| DATA ANALYSIS (A): School A context and learning practices | |
| Background to school A and its context | 79 |
| School leadership and the school culture | 84 |
| Maximization and recognition of learner achievement | 92 |
| External Partnerships | 98 |
| Strategic planning | 99 |
| School realities: through the learners' 'eyes' | 102 |
| School achievements as perceived by the learners | 105 |
| The story of learning: a sad ending | 107 |
| CHAPTER FIVE | 115 |
| DATA ANALYSIS (B): School B - context and learning practices | |
| Background to school B and its context | 115 |
| School culture and leadership in the school | 119 |
| Managing innovations for learners' achievements | 122 |
| Participation in the Olympiads | 123 |
| Cultural activities | 124 |
| Public speaking | 124 |
| The Media Centre | 125 |
| Community service | 127 |
| School development and strategic planning | 133 |
| School Climate Survey | 136 |
| Learner support and guidance: Head Prefects' views | 138 |
| School learning experiences and lasting memories | 140 |
| School challenges | 143 |
| Staff turnover | 145 |
| Support staff | 146 |
| Data management | 147 |

| CHAPTER SIX | 149 |
|--|-----|
| STORIES OF LEARNING AND UNLEARNING: Life histories and the | |
| development of learning in schools as organizations | |
| Stories and their place in learning organizations | 149 |
| School A participants: humble beginnings | 151 |
| Triggers for (isolated) learning | 156 |
| School A: learning for survival | 153 |
| Shattered dreams | 158 |
| Schooling and dysfunctional learning experiences | 160 |
| Dysfunctional learning experiences and happy endings | 162 |
| Challenging times | 165 |
| Determination and 'bleak futures' | 167 |
| 'Unfortunate' circumstances | 167 |
| Analysis of the stories' content | 173 |
| Lessons learnt from experiences | 174 |
| Personal experiences and organizational learning | 177 |
| Learners' gains from teachers' experiences | 178 |
| School, Family and Education | 180 |
| Persistent problems | 181 |
| Cutting edge individual learning | 184 |
| The executive principal: Mr. Peedy | 184 |
| The Curriculum Director | 195 |
| Concluding remarks | 201 |
| CHAPTER SEVEN | 202 |
| INTERPRETIVE ANALYSIS: School images and contradictions in the efficacy of the learning organization model | |
| Resilient frameworks in a changing environment: reflections on | |
| the case studies | 202 |
| The schools' maintenance of order in a context of chaos | 203 |
| The idea of a 'school as community' | 212 |

| The struggle for personal and professional recognition in "I" | |
|--|-----|
| versus the "we" contexts | 217 |
| Innovation versus the quest for academic excellence | 221 |
| Vulnerable teams in resilient hierarchies | 224 |
| The leader-democracy paradox | 226 |
| CHAPTER EIGHT | 230 |
| CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS: Schools | |
| as learning organizations: The journey | |
| Conclusions | 230 |
| Implications for theory, practice and policy | 246 |
| CHAPTER NINE | 251 |
| ADDRESSING QUALITY CONCERNS INQUALITATIVE | |
| RESEARCH: Surviving the crossroads | |
| Adopting an emancipatory discourse: easier said than done | 252 |
| Drawings and Images: The taken-for-granted assumptions | 254 |
| Competing perspectives: challenging encounters | 254 |
| My cultural position: an analysis trap | 255 |
| Selection dilemmas: to choose or not to choose? | 256 |
| The micropolitics of schools: dilemmas of my 'outsider' position | 257 |
| Anecdotal sessions | 258 |
| Description or interpretation | 259 |
| Keeping pace with the rapidly changing target | 260 |
| Back to schools with data | 260 |
| Stories of learning | 262 |
| DEFEDENCES | 264 |

| APPENDICES | 280 |
|--|-----|
| APPENDIX 1 Professional learning questionnaire - | |
| School A and B | 280 |
| APPENDIX 1A Strategic planning meeting – School A | 286 |
| APPENDIX 1B Professional development questionnaire - | |
| School B | 292 |
| APPENDIX 2 Interview questionnaire with staff – School B | 298 |
| APENDIX 2A Interview questions with the director of | |
| curriculum development | 301 |
| APPENDIX 3A Interview with School A principal | 304 |
| APPENDIX 3B Interview with the executive principal - School B | 312 |
| APPENDIX 4 Learners' focus group interviews – School A | 324 |
| APPENDIX 5 Narratives of learning in specific contexts | 327 |
| APPENDIX 6 Follow-up questionnaire (School A) | 335 |
| FIGURES AND TABLES | |
| FIGURE 1: Theoretical framework | 20 |
| TABLE 1: Selected authors of the three perspectives of | |
| learning organizations | 36 |
| TABLE 2: Selected data-collection techniques, participants and | |
| related issues | 64 |
| TABLE 3: The development of themes and categories | 74 |
| TABLE 4: Grade 12 performance between 1993 and 2000 – School A | 83 |
| TABLE 5: Grade 12 performance between 1996 and 1999 – | |
| School B | 116 |