

https://dspace.org/

Paint wastewater treatment using Fe3+ and Al3+ salts

Ntwampe, Irvin Oupa Lesele

2014-09-10
http://hdl.handle.net/10539/15498

Downloaded from a DSpace repository. https://dspace.org/

PAINT WASTEWATER TREATMENT USING Fe^{3+} AND Al^{3+} SALTS

Irvin Oupa Lesele Ntwampe

A PhD thesis submitted to the Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering.

Johannesburg 2013

DECLARATION

I solemnly declare that this thesis is my own work. It is being submitted for the Doctoral Degree in Philosophy in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. I also declare that it has never been submitted for any degree or examination in another university.

Signature of Irvin Oupa Lesele Ntwampe

----------day of ----------2013

ABSTRACT

This study involves the investigation of the paint wastewater treatment using inorganic coagulants such as $FeCl_3$, $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$, $AlCl_3$ and $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ in a jar test during rapid and slow mixing for 250 and 100 rpm respectively, settled the samples, measure the pH and turbidity. The pH, turbidity and area covered by the flocs were used as measurements in this study to determine the quality of treated paint wastewater.

In the first experiment, 200 mL sample of 169.2 g of paint wastewater dissolved in 1L of potable water was poured into six 500 mL glass beakers sample dosed with $FeCl_3$ only, combined $FeCl_3$ and $Ca(OH)_2$ or $Mg(OH)_2$ as well as $FeCl_3$-$Ca(OH)_2$ and $FeCl_3$-$Mg(OH)_2$ polymers respectively, run through a jar test with rapid and slow mixing. The supernatant was extracted after 1 hour settling to measure the pH and turbidity. The observations showed that combined $FeCl_3$ and $Mg(OH)_2$ as well as $FeCl_3$-$Mg(OH)_2$ polymers yielded identical and slightly higher turbidity removal than combined $FeCl_3$ and $Ca(OH)_2$ and $FeCl_3$-$Ca(OH)_2$ polymers.

Another batch of experiments was carried out using the same metal salts with $Ca(OH)_2$ and $Mg(OH)_2$ respectively for pH adjustment. The samples were treated in a jar test using various dosing patterns such as dosages, dosing prior or during mixing, combined dosages interchangeably, retention time. A third batch of experiments was carried out by dosing synthetic polymers of $FeCl_2$-$Ca(OH)_2$ and $FeCl_2$-$Mg(OH)_2$

respectively using similar dosing patterns. The results obtained in first set of experiments, were Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} salts were added in paint wastewater showed that the changing pH correlates with turbidity removal. It was also observed that dosing prior or during mixing do not play any significant role in wastewater treatment. Another observation showed that flocculation of the paint wastewater dosed with $FeCl_2$-$Ca(OH)_2$ or $FeCl_2$-$Mg(OH)_2$ polymers do not show correlation between the pH and turbidity, which indicates that the pH is not an indicator of turbidity removal in a more alkaline solutions such as paint wastewater.

A second study was carried out using the same paint wastewater samples (200 mL) and samples dosed with Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} salts treated in a jar test and immediately two drops of supernatant were placed on a microscope slide and view it under a microscope connected to a camera, images were captured after 1, 60 and 90 minutes respectively (Exp A). Samples were prepared from the original paint wastewater and the standard solution of Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} in a small scale using identical metal salt/paint wastewater volume ratios as above. Two drops from the paint wastewater and metal salt solution were place on a microscope slide and images were captured as above using 1, 60 and 90 minutes respectively (Exp B). All the visuals were printed and the visuals obtained in Exp A were compared with their corresponding visuals in Exp B in accordance with time. The results obtained showed that the percentage area covered by flocs treated in a jar test (Exp A) correlates linearly with the percentage area covered by the flocs from a microscope slide (Exp B). The results obtained using

this technique also confirm that the reaction between the drops of a sample and the drops of coagulant produces well-developed solid hydrolysis species.

A third study was carried out by pouring 200 mL of the same paint wastewater samples into six 500 mL glass beakers and with Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} salts as above, run through a jar test during 30, 45 and 60 seconds rapid mixing (250 rpm) only for 2 minutes respectively. The samples settled for 1 hour, and then pH and turbidity were measured. Another experiment was carried out using the similar method as above with samples run through a jar test at 250 rpm during 30, 45 and 60 seconds rapid mixing (250 rpm) for 2 minutes followed by slow mixing (100 rpm) for 10 minutes (combined rapid and slow mixing). The samples settled for 1 hour, and then pH and turbidity were measured. The results obtained from the jar tests (comparison between flocculation during rapid mixing only and combined rapid and slow mixing) showed that the pH in the samples with rapid mixing shows an insignificant change compared to their corresponding samples with combined rapid and slow mixing; turbidity in the samples with 30, 45 and 60 seconds rapid mixing showed that most of the flocs are formed within 30 seconds. There is a correlation between the pH and turbidity when paint wastewater is dosed with Fe^{3+} or Al^{3+} metal ions in their respective metal salts without pH adjustment. The Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} of the same concentration yield a similar pH and turbidity trend.

PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS FROM THIS RESEARCH WORK

1) Influence of flocculation on settlement rate of paint wastewater during treatment using inorganic coagulants. Ntwampe, I.O,; Jewell, L,; Glasser, D,; University of Witwatersrand. (Presented at Water Institute of South Africa Conference, Sun City, South Africa, May 2008).

2) Determination of the effective rate of hydrolysis between coagulation and flocculation process with Fe and Al salts dose. Ntwampe, I.O,; Jewell, L,; Glasser, D,; University of Witwatersrand. Presented as a poster during inter-varsity poster exhibition between the University of Witwatersrand and Tshwane University of Technology, August 2009.

3) Ntwampe, I.O.;Jewell, L.L and Glasser, D.; The effect of mixing on the treatment of paint wastewater with Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} salts. Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology (2013) Vol. 5 No. 1, 7-16.

4) Ntwampe, I.O.;Jewell, L.L and Glasser, D.; The effect of water hardness on paint wastewater treatment by coagulation-flocculation. Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology (2013) Vol. 5 No. 3, 47-56.

5) Ntwampe, I.O.;Jewell, L.L and Glasser, D.; Comparison of a jar tests and microscope slide experiments for flocculation. Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology (2013) Vol. 5 No. 6, 172-180. .

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to the team of researchers that accepted me to pursue studies towards a PhD at the University of the Witwatersrand, my supervisor and co-supervisors for believing in me and the quality of work I carried out, external examiners, library staff, God who gave me strength and courage to strive towards success and achieve the set goal and my family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I humbly express my profound gratitude to the following persons that supported and guided me, while carrying out the experiments and writing up of the thesis:

Professors David Glasser and Linda Jewell for their guidance on my experimental results.

Engineering library staff for invaluable assistance in procurement of material I used for my thesis.

National Research Fund (NRF) for financial support.

The University of Witwatersrand Financial Aid Scheme for financial support.

COMPS for the registration financial assistance.

The Almighty God to bless me with the opportunity, strength, enthusiasm and morality to carry out my work in a satisfactory, dedicatedly and respectable manner.

CONTENTS

PAINT WASTEWATER TREATMENT USING Fe^{3+} AND Al^{3+} SALTS----------i

DECLARATION----------ii

ABSTRACT----------iii

DEDICATION----------v

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS----------vi

CHAPTER 1: Literature review---------- 1

Introduction----------1

Research overview----------3

1.1 Background and justification of wastewater treatment----------6

1.1.1 Conventional methods in wastewater treatment ----------15

1.1.2 Other reagents used in wastewater treatment----------21

1.1.3 Properties of paint wastewater ----------21

1.2 Colloids and colloidal suspension----------25

1.3 Dispersion of colloidal particles----------27

1.4 Theory of coagulation and flocculation----------27

1.5 Mixing ----------42

1.6 Destabilization process ----------45

1.7 Properties of coagulants----------47

1.7.1 Common coagulants in wastewater treatment---------- 47

1.7.2 Combination of coagulant and coagulant-aids dosages----------47

1.7.3 Effect of the pH in coagulation-flocculation----------50

1.7.4 Factors which affect hydrolysis----------53

1.7.5 Hydrolysis and formation of precipitate species----------58
1.8 Comparison of aluminium sulphate and ferric chloride---------- 68
1.9 Physical and chemical factors in colloidal suspensions----------71
1.9.1 Hydrodynamic interaction between particles----------71
1.9.2 Intermolecular forces in a colloid----------73
1.10 Challenges in paint wastewater treatment----------=75
1.11 Benefits of the study----------77

References----------78

CHAPTER 2: The effect of metal salts and metal hydroxides on the pH-turbidity in hard paint wastewater treatment----------85

2.1 Introduction----------86
2.2 Material and methods----------92
2.2.1 Paint wastewater sample----------92
2.2.2 Coagulants----------93
2.3 Procedure in jar tests----------94
2.3.1 Experiment A-dosages with Fe and Al salts only ----------96
2.3.2 Experiment B----------98
2.4 Performance evaluation----------99
2.4.1 pH measurement----------99
2.4.2 Turbidity----------100
2.5 Results----------100
2.6 Discussion----------108
2.7 Conclusions----------115

References----------117

CHAPTER 3: Comparison of jar tests and microscope slide experiments for flocculation----------120

3.1 Introduction----------121

3.2 Material and methods----------124

3.2.1 Preparation of synthetic paint wastewater and coagulant solutions----------124

3.2.2 Experiment A: Jar test----------124

3.2.3 Experiment B: Microscope slide----------125

3.2.4 Sludge drying and characterization----------126

3.3 Results and discussion----------.----------127

3.4 Conclusions----------135

References----------136

CHAPTER 4: The effect of mixing on the treatment of paint wastewater with Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} salts----------139

4.1 Introduction----------140

4.2 Material and methods----------144

4.2.1 Paint wastewater sample----------144

4.2.2 Coagulants----------145

4.2.3 Procedure for jar tests and microscopic observations----------145

4.3 Performance evaluation----------147

4.2.1 pH----------148

4.3.2 Turbidity ----------148

4.3.3 Area covered by the flocs----------148

4.4 Results---- ----------149

4.5 Discussion----- ----------158

4.6 Conclusion----------168

References----------169

CHAPTER 5.0 General conclusions----------173

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Simplified diagram of water flow in paint manufacturing----------8

Figure 1.2: Wastewater minimization decision-tree adopted from Perry *et al*. (1997) and Chereminisinoff *et al*. (1989)----------11

Figure 1.3: Suspensions quantified by sedimentation volume (f)----------38

Figure 1.4: Forces acting on a particle----------40

Figure 1.5: Movement of colloid during rapid mixing----------42

Figure 1.6: Ferric hydroxide species distribution curve (Flynn, 1984)----------60

Figure 1.7: Aluminium hydroxide species distribution curve (Flynn, 1984)--------66

Figure 1.8: Forces exerted between the colloidal particles----------74

Figure 2.1: pH vs dosage with increasing Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} salt in separate experiments----------100

Figure 2.2: pH vs turbidity for samples dosed with $FeCl_3$ and $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ or $AlCl_3$ salts added before and during stirring----------101

Figure 2.3: pH vs turbidity for samples dosed with either constant (20 mL) $FeCl_3$ or increasing $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ or $AlCl_3$ salts or constant $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ or $AlCl_3$ and increasing $FeCl_3$, $FeCl_3$ is added in increasing order whereas $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ or $AlCl_3$ salt in decreasing and increasing order----------103

Figure 2.4: pH vs turbidity for the samples dosed with increasing $FeCl_3$ only, $FeCl_3$ and $Mg(OH)_2$ or $Ca(OH)_2$, $FeCl_3$+$Ca(OH)_2$ or $Mg(OH)_2$ polymers-104

Figure 2.5: pH, turbidity and dosage for samples with increasing $FeCl_3$, $FeCl_3$ and $Ca(OH)_2$ or $Mg(OH)_2$ during rapid mixing----------106

Figure 2.6: pH, turbidity and dosage for samples with increasing $FeCl_3$, $FeCl_3$ and $Ca(OH)_2$ or $Mg(OH)_2$ without mixing----------107

Figure 3.1: Microscopic observation using 0.043 M Fe^{3+} in $FeCl_3$ and $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$ dosing----------128

Figure 3.2: Percentage area covered by flocs vs mass ratio of sludge/solid paint in a solution using 0.043 M Al^{3+} and 0.043 M Fe^{3+} salts----------129

Figure 3.3a: Comparison of the microscopic images of the flocs formed during a jar test and microscope slide with 0.043 M ferric sulphate dosage-------130

Figure 3.3b: Comparison of the microscopic images of the flocs formed

during a jar test and microscope slide with 0.043 M ferric chloride dosage-------130

Figure 3.3c: Comparison of the microscopic images of the flocs formed during a jar test and microscope slide with 0.043 M aluminium sulphate dosage---------131

Figure 3.3d: Comparison of the microscopic images of the flocs formed during a jar test and microscope slide with 0.043 M ferric aluminium chloride dosage-131

Figure 4.1a: Measured pH after one hour settling with addition of 10 mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Fe^{3+} $FeCl_3$----------149

Figure 4.1b: Measured pH after one hour settling with addition of 10 mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Fe^{3+} $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$----------150

Figure 4.1c: Measured pH after one hour settling with addition of 10mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Al^{3+} $AlCl_3$----------150

Figure 4.1d: Measured pH after one hour settling with addition of 10mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Al^{3+} $Al_2(SO_4)_3$----------151

Figure 4.2a: Measured turbidity after one hour settling with addition of 10mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Fe^{3+} $FeCl_3$----------151

Figure 4.2b: Measured turbidity after one hour settling with addition of 10mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Fe^{3+} $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$----------153

Figure 4.2c: Measured turbidity after one hour settling with addition of 10mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Al^{3+} $AlCl_3$----------153

Figure 4.2d: Measured turbidity after one hour settling with addition of 10mL, 20mL and 30 mL respectively of 0.043 M Al^{3+} $Al_2(SO_4)_3$----------154

Figure 4.3: Percentage area covered by flocs with $FeCl_3$, $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$, $AlCl_3$ and $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ dosage in a jar test----------155

Figure 4.4: pH of the samples using 0.043 M Fe^{3+} ($FeCl_3$) with and without mixing----------157

Figure 4.5: Turbidity of the samples using 0.043 M Fe^{3+} ($FeCl_3$) with and without mixing----------157

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Gisclon *et al*. (2002) specifications from SABS (2002)----------8

Table 1.2: Properties of pigment and extender concentrates ----------23

Table 1.3: Precipitation of metal hydroxides from dilute solutions vs pH[a]----------30
Table 1.4: Particle radii for equal perikinetic and orthokinetic flocculation---------35
Table 1.5: Comparison between the properties Fe^{3+} and Al^{3} ----------57
Table 1.6: Comparison between particle size and settling velocity----------71
Table 2.1: Preparation of coagulant solution using distilled water----------94
Table 3.1: pH, turbidity, mass and moles of M^{3+} in the sludge, mass and volume of sludge----------134

Appendix A

Figure S1: SEM micrograph of 0.043 M $FeCl_3$-$Ca(OH)_2$ and 0.043 M $FeCl_3$-$Mg(OH)_2$ polymers----------178

Figure S2: XRD images of the dry sludge using 0.043 M Al^{3+} ($AlCl_3$) and 0.043 M Fe^{3+} salts----------179

Figure S3: XRD images of the dry sludge using 0.043 M Al^{3+} ($Al_2(SO_4)_3$) and 0.043 M Fe^{3+} salts----------179

Figure S4: TGA graph of dry paint sludge dosed with 0.043 M Al^{3+} and 0.043 M Fe^{3+} salts----------180

Figure S5: SEM images of dry paint sludge dosed with 0.043 M Al^{3+} and 0.043 M Fe^{3+} salts magnified 40 times----------180

Figure S6: Flocs formation in a colloidal system due to Brownian motion--------181

Appendix B

Run 1a----------182
Run 2a----------182
Run 3a----------183
Run 4a----------183
Run 1b----------183
Run 2b----------184
Run 3b----------184

Run 4b----------185
Run 1c----------185
Run 2c----------185
Run 3c----------185
Run 4c----------185

Appendix C

Run 1d----------186
Run 2d----------186
Run 3d----------186
Run 4d----------186