

**DENSIFICATION, MICROSTRUCTURE AND
PROPERTIES OF LIQUID-PHASE SINTERED
SILICON CARBIDE MATERIALS**

Antionette Can

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Johannesburg, 2004

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University.

(Signature of candidate)

_____ day of _____ 2004

ABSTRACT

The relationships between densification and microstructure, and between microstructure and mechanical and electrical properties of liquid phase sintered silicon carbide were studied in detail using hot pressing, gas pressure sintering and ultra-high pressure sintering techniques. Silicon carbide was sintered with 10 mass-% addition of the Y_2O_3 - Al_2O_3 system, with various molar ratios.

Hot pressing was carried out at 1925°C under 30 MPa, in argon, for half an hour. Materials were gas pressure sintered at 1925°C, under a final gas pressure of 80 bars (8MPa), in argon, for an hour. Ultra-high pressure sintering was done at ca. 1550°C, under 5.5 GPa pressure, for 15 minutes. The hot pressed and gas pressure sintered materials were subsequently heat treated at 1925°C and 1975°C. Most of the silicon carbide materials were sintered to a density around 99% of theoretical density.

The heat treatment of the hot pressed materials resulted in an increase in density not changing the porosity. The densities of the heat treated hot pressed materials corresponded to the density of the gas pressure sintered materials. This resulted from the difference in composition of grain boundary phases – yttrium silicates in the hot pressed materials and yttrium aluminates in the gas pressure sintered and heat treated materials.

The average silicon carbide grain size in the materials strongly depended on the densification method. In gas pressure sintered and heat treated materials the mean grain size was up to three times higher than that in the hot pressed materials. Grain growth appeared to be higher in the highest alumina-content materials. The heat treatment at 1975 °C resulted in more pronounced anisotropic grain growth.

The ratio of the silicon carbide polytypes of sintered materials and materials heat treated materials at 1925°C, did not change significantly. In the materials heat treated at 1975°C Rietveld analysis revealed a decrease in SiC-6H polytype and an increase in amount of 4H and 15R polytypes, compared to the materials heat treated at 1925°C. This can be attributed to the increase in diffusion rates of aluminium into the SiC lattice at 1975°C.

Segregation patterns were observed in the high yttria content materials, with $Y_2O_3:Al_2O_3$ molar ratios greater than or equal to two, after gas pressure sintering and heat treatments. This was suggested to be due to the poor wetting of the silicon carbide grains by the yttria-rich grain boundary phase.

On heat treatment, the Vickers hardness of hot pressed materials was found to be increased from 20 to 26 GPa and elastic modulus from 318 to 338 GPa. In addition, the log of the electrical conductivity of liquid phase sintered silicon carbide (measured at 330°C) ranged from 10^{-8} to 10^{-3} with the changes in grain boundary phases observed after the heat treatments.

The grain boundary phase composition also influenced the strength of the materials, The highest strength, 657 ± 50 MPa, was measured for the hot pressed material containing the YAG phase.

Indentation fracture toughness was mostly influenced by the SiC grain growth during heat treatments. The most significant increase in fracture toughness, the largest being from $3.7 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ up to $5.6 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$, was observed in the higher alumina content materials after heat treatment at 1975°C. The increase in fracture toughness was attributed to the presence of a higher amount of platelet-like SiC grains within a broader grain size distribution. These elongated grains increased fracture toughness by increasing crack path deflection and crack bridging.

The electrical properties were evaluated by Impedance Spectroscopy measurements between room temperature and 330°C. The LPS SiC materials can be classified into three groups with different electrical properties. This classification could be related to the grain boundary phases present in the materials. The materials with the lowest conductivity were all hot pressed materials, containing crystalline silicates and amorphous grain boundary phases. The materials with intermediate conductivity include gas pressure sintered materials and a hot pressed material, which contained crystalline aluminates ($\text{Y}_3\text{Al}_5\text{O}_{12}$, YAlO_3 and $\text{Y}_4\text{Al}_2\text{O}_9$) in their grain boundaries. The materials with the highest conductivity only contained the aluminates, YAlO_3 and $\text{Y}_4\text{Al}_2\text{O}_9$. A pseudo-percolation model of conduction was proposed, in which electrons move along a path which goes through the thinner intergranular layers, with largest nearest neighbour contact.

The temperature dependence of the log of the conductivity of hot pressed and gas pressure sintered materials showed that the conduction mechanism in these liquid-phase sintered silicon carbide materials was variable range hopping conduction of electrons between defect sites. The non-Arrhenius behaviour, together with the observed wide range of peak frequencies, led to the conclusion that the effect of silicon carbide itself was not observed in the impedance spectra. The $1/T^{0.25}$ log conductivity dependence showed that the Cole-Cole arcs are due to insulating grain boundary phases rather than semiconducting SiC.

In the Cole-Cole plots of the hot pressed and heat treated hot pressed materials only the effect of one phase could be observed. In the gas pressure sintered materials and the hot pressed material containing mainly YAG phase, the effects of two phases were seen in the frequency range measured.

Ultra-high pressure liquid-phase sintered silicon carbide materials showed ultra-fine SiC grains, which were highly inter-grown. Segregated grain boundary “core-rim” structures, consisting of an inner core of non-equilibrium yttria and outer rim of equilibrium yttrium silicate were observed in materials containing 4 mass-% to 15 mass-% sintering additives. The hardness of ultra-high pressure sintered 10 mass-% materials increased with alumina-content, from 20 GPa – 22 GPa, and increased with decrease in sintering additive, up to 23 GPa (for the 4 mass-% material).

**To my parents, my sisters, my husband, Jarred;
and to Nathan “Nunu-Worm”, whom we will always dearly
love and sadly miss.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author received many valuable suggestions, help and encouragement from colleagues and friends during the course of this research work. It is impossible to thank all of them individually, but special thanks are given to the following.

I thank my supervisors, Prof. D.S. McLachlan and Dr S.M. Ozbayraktar, for their guidance and advice that motivated me to write the thesis.

I thank Dr Mathias Herrmann of the Fraunhofer Institute for Ceramic Technologies and Sintered Materials in Dresden, Germany for his continuous support. I must thank him for all the time and energy he sacrificed to guide me through the PhD period.

I would like to also thank Godfrey Sauti for his work in doing the Impedance Spectroscopy experimental measurements.

Also thanks to the support staff at Wits University and the Fraunhofer Institute, for assistance in experimental work.

I would like to thank Jörg Adler, Jan Ihle and Gisela Standke (Fraunhofer Institut) for their kindness, support and advice during my stay in Germany.

I wish to thank Element Six (Pty) Ltd Diamond Research Laboratory, and DAAD for the financial support which made this work and the many experiences accompanying it, possible.

I wish to also thank my family, (especially my father and mother, Roeloff and Lena Vorster) for their sacrifices, support, patience and encouragement through the years.

My spiritual family in the Old Apostolic Church of Africa. Thank you to God for the Blessings of health, Love, Comfort and Grace in many trying times.

Finally, my husband, Nedret Can. Without your continuous love, patience and support, I would not have had the strength to complete this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
DEDICATION	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
CONTENTS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF TABLES	xxvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 History of Silicon Carbide	5
2.2 Crystal Structure of Silicon Carbide	8
2.3 Properties of Pure Silicon Carbide	14
2.4 Overview of Different Silicon Carbide	15
Materials and their Properties	
2.4.1 Ceramically bonded Silicon Carbide	18
2.4.2 Recrystallised Silicon Carbide	19
2.4.3 Reaction Bonded Silicon Carbide	19
2.4.4 Silicon-infiltrated Silicon Carbide	20
2.4.5 Sintered silicon carbide: Solid-state	21
sintered Silicon Carbide (SSiC)	
vs Liquid-Phase Sintered Silicon Carbide (LPSSiC)	

2.5	Densification Methods of Silicon Carbide	26
2.5.1	Pressureless sintering	27
2.5.2	Hot pressing (HP)	29
2.5.3	Hot isostatic pressing (HIP)	31
2.5.4	Gas pressure sintering (GPS)	32
2.5.5	Ultra-high Pressure sintering (UHP)	36
2.6	Liquid-Phase Sintered Silicon Carbide	38
2.6.1	Sintering additives	39
2.6.2	Sintering mechanism	42
2.6.3	Decomposition reactions observed in the Y ₂ O ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃ system	44
2.6.4	Microstructure	47
2.6.5	Microstructure-property relationships	56
2.6.6	Materials applications	77
2.7	Motivation for the Research Objectives and Structure of Experimental Work	77
2.7.1	Motivation for research project	78
2.7.2	Structure of experimental work	79
3.	EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS	84
3.1	Raw Materials and their Characterisation	84
3.2	Experimental Apparatus	87
3.3.	Powder Processing	88
3.3.1	Preparation of powders for hot pressing in HP20 equipment (making HP-W materials)	88
3.3.2	Preparation of powders for “HP” and “GPS” materials	89
3.3.3	Green sample preparation for GPS	93

3.4	Burning Out Organic additives	93
3.5	Densification and Post-Sintering Heat Treatment	94
3.5.1	Hot pressing (HP)	94
3.5.2	Gas pressure sintering	98
3.5.3	Ultra high pressure sintering	100
3.5.4	Post sintering heat treatments	102
3.6	Analysis of Samples	103
3.6.1	Density measurements	103
3.6.2	X-ray diffraction phase analysis: qualitative and quantitative	104
3.6.3	X-ray Fluorescence and ICP emission	106
3.6.4	Microstructural analysis	107
3.6.4.1	Optical light microscope investigation	107
3.6.4.2	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) investigation	107
3.6.5	Mechanical Properties	112
3.6.5.1	Vicker's hardness and fracture toughness determination	112
3.6.5.2	Four-point bending strength	114
3.6.5.3	Elastic modulus determination	117
3.6.5.4	Crack resistance determination	118
3.6.5.5	Analysis of cracks propagating from Vicker's indentations	118
3.6.6	Electrical properties	119
3.7	Nomenclature of samples	126
4.	RESULTS FOR HOT PRESSED, GAS PRESSURE SINTERED AND HEAT	130

TREATED MATERIALS

4.1	Densification and Heat Treatment	130
4.1.1	Densification by hot pressing (HP)	130
4.1.2	Densification by gas pressure sintering (GPS)	132
4.1.3	Post-sintering heat treatments	134
4.2	Qualitative and Quantitative Phase Analysis (X-Ray diffraction) and X-ray Fluorescence (XRF)	136
4.3	Quantitative Microstructure Analysis (FESEM)	141
4.4	Mechanical Properties of the Materials	148
4.4.1	Elastic modulus, Vicker's hardness and indentation toughness	148
4.4.2	Four-point bending strength and fractographical study of the materials	153
4.5	Electrical Properties	155
4.5.1	Ac conductivity behaviour of the different materials at a fixed temperature	156
4.5.2	Cole-Cole plots of the LPSSiC materials	157
4.5.3	Temperature dependence of conductivity	161
4.5.4	Dielectric constant and peak frequency of the different materials	163
4.5.5	Ohmic and non-Ohmic behaviour of materials	169
4.5.6	Measurement of SSiC, HP0.7YS and GP1Y4Al ₄ materials	170
5	DISCUSSION OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DENSIFICATION, MICROSTRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES	174

5.1	Relationships between Densification Technique and Microstructure	174
5.1.1	Effect of composition on densification	174
5.1.2	Relationships between densification/ heat treatments on microstructure	202
5.2	Relationships between Microstructure and Mechanical Properties	212
5.2.1	Microstructure to hardness relationships	212
5.2.2	Microstructure to fracture toughness relationships	219
5.2.3	Microstructure to strength relationships	232
5.2.4	Microstructure to elastic modulus property relationships	235
5.3	Relationships between Microstructure and Electrical Properties	241
5.3.1	Proposed conduction path	241
5.3.2	Influence of grain boundary phases on log conductivity behaviour	246
5.3.3	Further discussion of the dispersion curves and Cole-Cole plots	252
6.	DENSIFICATION BY ULTRA-HIGH PRESSURE SINTERING (UHP)	257
6.1	Density, phase and mechanical properties analysis	257
6.2	Microstructural characterization	263

7.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	275
7.1	Relationships between Densification, Microstructure and Properties	275
7.2	Future Work	282
8.	APPENDICES	285
	APPENDIX A	285
	APPENDIX B	296
	APPENDIX C	297
	APPENDIX D	312
	APPENDIX E	315
	APPENDIX F	321
	APPENDIX G	322
9.	REFERENCES	326

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The Acheson furnace in a) longitudinal and b) cross-section ⁽⁴⁾	6
2.2 Projection of the crystal structure of 3C-SiC	10
2.3 Projection of the crystal structure of 6H-SiC	10
2.4 Relationship between SiC polytype stability and Al content ⁽⁴⁾	11
2.5 LPSSiC material microstructure showing equiaxed grains ⁽⁴⁾	13
2.6 In-situ toughened microstructure of an LPSSiC material ⁽⁴⁾	13
2.7 Silicon-nitride bonded silicon carbide material (CSiC)	18
2.8 SEM micrograph of RSiC material ⁽⁴⁾	19
2.9 Microstructure of SiSiC material ⁽⁴⁾	21
2.10 Diffusion paths for coarsening and densification ⁽⁷⁷⁾	23
2.11 Microstructure of Solid-state sintered silicon carbide material ⁽⁴⁾	26
2.12 Stages of liquid phase sintering ⁽¹²³⁾	42
2.13 Schematic diagram of Core-rim structure as illustrated by Sigl <i>et al.</i> ⁽⁴¹⁾	53
2.14 TEM micrograph showing the amorphous film between two SiC grains (indicated by the arrows, approximately 1 nm thick) ⁽⁴¹⁾	55
2.15 TEM micrograph showing the amorphous film observation between SiC and YAG materials ⁽¹¹¹⁾	55
2.16 Relationship between fracture toughness and critical structural factors for LPSSiC	57
2.17 An example of crack bridging ⁽¹⁷⁴⁾	59
2.18 Relationship between strength and critical structural factors for LPSSiC	62
2.19 Relationship between hardness and critical structural factors for LPSSiC	65

2.20	Al₂O₃- Y₂O₃ binary phase diagram	80
2.21	Flow chart of experimental plan	83
3.1	SEM micrograph of UF 15 silicon carbide powder (H.C. Starck)	85
3.2	XRD pattern of the silicon carbide powder	85
3.3	SEM micrograph of grade C yttria powder (H.C. Starck)	86
3.4	SEM micrograph of AKP50 alumina powder (Sumitomo)	87
3.5	Hot pressing sintering program for HP-W materials	95
3.6	Die-punch system for hot pressing of HP-W materials	96
3.7	Schematic diagram to show encapsulation similar to what was used to ultra-high pressure sinter two samples at a time. Similar to capsule used by Gadzira et al. ⁽²²⁾	101
3.8	Figure indicating how the diagonals of the Vickers indentations and the crack lengths are measured	112
3.9	Fracture surfaces of broken HP4Y2Al bending bar (the arrows point to the fracture origin and the “ fracture mirror” region is in the region of circle	115
3.10	SEM micrograph of origin of failure region in HP4Y:2 Al. This is one example where surface damage is suspected to be the cause of failure	116
3.11	Schematic diagram to show parameters in elastic modulus determination	118
3.12	Cole-Cole plot for a Gas Pressure Sintered material (GP1Y4Al)	121
3.13	Plot to show characteristic frequency of two phases observed in the Cole-Cole plot in GP1Y4Al material	123
3.14	Cole-Cole plot of HT4HP3Y5Al, measured with the Novacontrol and Solartron at 300°C	125
3.15	Cole-Cole plot of HT4HP4Y2Al, showing the identical Novacontrol and Solartron results at 300°C	126

4.1	Part of XRF scan to show the presence of traces of Fe impurity in the GP3Y5Al material	140
4.2	FESEM micrograph of HP1Y4Al material	141
4.3	FESEM micrograph of GP1Y4Al material	143
4.4	FESEM micrograph of HT4GP1Y4Al material	143
4.5	FESEM micrograph of HT5GP1Y4Al material	144
4.6	FESEM micrograph of HP3Y5Al material	144
4.7	FESEM micrograph of GP3Y5Al material	145
4.8	FESEM micrograph of HT4GP3Y5Al material	145
4.9	FESEM micrograph of HT5GP3Y5Al material	146
4.10	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for the HP materials	147
4.11	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for the GPS materials	147
4.12	Grain boundary mean free path number distribution during HT for the HP1Y4Al material	148
4.13	Results of the high temperature hardness measurement carried out on the HP3Y5Al material	151
4.14	Graph showing the crack resistance of HP-W materials (on cross-section of material)	152
4.15	SEM micrograph showing origin of failure in HP3Y5Al material where EDS analysis of the marked larger grains, confirmed the presence of iron impurities (in dark region in circle)	154
4.16	SEM micrograph showing the origin in failure (iron impurities), as shown in Figure 4.15, at a higher magnification	155
4.17	Graph of the log of electrical conductivity as a function of the log of angular frequency at 200°C, where 1 = 3Y5Al, 2 = 4Y2Al, 3 = 1Y1Al, 4 = 4Y1Al, 5 = 1Y4Al	156
4.18	Graph of the log of electrical conductivity as a function of the log of angular frequency at 330°C	157
4.19	Cole- Cole plot of HP4Y2Al material at 200°C	158

4.20	Cole –Cole plot of HP3Y5Al material at 200°C	159
4.21	Cole-Cole plot of GP3Y5Al material at 200°C	159
4.22	Cole-Cole plot of HT4HP4Y2Al at 330°C	160
4.23	Cole-Cole plot of HT4HP4Y2Al at 300°C	160
4.24	Plot of log conductivity vs $1/T^{0.25}$, to show the best fit for the temperature dependence of HP1Y1Al	162
4.25	Specific resistance (resistivity) results of the only arc observed in the HP materials at 200°C	164
4.26	Specific resistance (resistivity) results of the 1 st , higher frequency arc observed in the GPS materials at 200°C	164
4.27	Specific resistance (resistivity) results of the 2 nd , lower frequency arc observed in the GPS materials at 200°C	165
4.28	Peak frequency of the only arc observed for most of the hot pressed materials at 200°C	165
4.29	Peak frequency of the 1 st , higher frequency arc for GPS and HP3Y5Al materials at 200°C	166
4.30	Peak frequency for the 2 nd , lower frequency arc observed in the GPS and HP3Y5Al and HP0.7YS materials at 200°C	166
4.31	Dielectric constant results for the 1 st , higher frequency arc in the HP materials	167
4.32	Dielectric constant results for the 1 st , higher frequency arc in the GPS materials	167
4.33	Dielectric constant results for the 2 nd (lower frequency) arc in the GPS materials	168
4.34	Cole-Cole plot of GP3Y5Al material at 330°C showing the non-Ohmic behaviour in the higher resistance phase (Novacontrol)	170
4.35	Cole-Cole plot for the SSiC material at room temperature	172
4.36	Cole-Cole plot for the SSiC material at liquid nitrogen	172

	temperature (-196°C)	
5.1	Curve of densification versus temperature / time	175
5.2	Densification rate versus temperature and isothermal sintering time during hot pressing	175
5.3	Y ₂ O ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃ -SiO ₂ phase diagram, (mass-%)	176
5.4	Relationship between densification rate and melting point of the sintering additives in the HP material systems	178
5.5	Curves of densification versus temperature/ time for two different total amounts of sintering additive contents: HP1Y4Al (10mass-% additive content) and HP1Y4Al_4 (4 mass-% additive content)	180
5.6	Results of gas pressure sintering of two runs (1 and 2) for different compositions under the same conditions (Cycle A in Table 4.2)	182
5.7	Graph of relative density versus relative Y ₂ O ₃ mole content for Cycles A,B,C and D	183
5.8	Graph of mass loss versus relative Y ₂ O ₃ :Al ₂ O ₃ mol ratio	183
5.9	Y ₂ O ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃ binary phase diagram	185
5.10	Dark-field images of: a) the HP4Y2Al material, b) the GPS4Y2Al material, c) the HT3HP4Y2Al material	187
5.11	FESEM micrographs of a) heat treated (1.5 hours at 1925°C) HP4Y2Al material, HT2HP4Y2Al , compared with b) HP4Y2Al heat treated for 5 hours at 1975°C, HT5HP4Y2Al	189
5.12	EDX analysis of segregated region in the GP4Y2Al material, showing that segregation of Yttrium-rich phases takes place	190
5.13	FESEM micrograph of HT5HP4Y2Al material	194
5.14	Mass losses of the heat treated materials	195
5.15	Densities of the heat treated materials	196
5.16	Changes in SiC polytype content as a result of	197

	various post-sintering heat treatments of HP1Y4Al	
5.17	Changes in SiC polytype content of various HP materials during heat treatment at 1975°C, for 5 hours (HT5)	198
5.18	Silicon Carbide mean grain size of hot pressed, gas pressure sintered and heat treated hot pressed materials	199
5.19	FESEM micrographs of a) HP1Y4Al and b) HT4HP1Y4Al materials	200
5.20	Mean Silicon carbide grain size for the gas pressure sintered and heat treated gas pressure sintered materials	201
5.21	Relationship between mean SiC grain size and heat treatment time for the different HP materials	202
5.22	SiC grain size distributions for the hot pressed and gas pressure sintered materials	203
5.23	SiC grain size distribution for the hot pressed and post-sintering heat treated 3Y ₂ O ₃ :5Al ₂ O ₃ composition materials	204
5.24	SiC grain size distributions for the HP1Y4Al material and subsequent heat treatments	204
5.25	FESEM micrographs showing the a) narrower grain size distribution in HP3Y5Al, b) the wider distribution in the HT4HP3Y5Al material, and c) the higher fraction of larger platelet-like grains in the HT5HP3Y5Al material	205
5.26	FESEM micrographs showing a) the narrower grain size distribution in HP1Y1Al material, and b) the higher fraction of larger platelet-like SiC grains in the HT5HP1Y1Al material	207
5.27	FESEM micrograph showing the blocky shaped grains in the finer part of the SiC grain distribution in the HT5HP1Y1Al material	208
5.28	Graph comparing hardness of hot pressed materials with those, which were heat treated and gas pressure	213

	sintered	
5.29	Hardness as a function of mean grain size, comparing the HP and GPS materials	214
5.30	FESEM image of HT5HP1Y4Al material, showing the increased porosity after heat treatment at 1975°C	217
5.31	Hardness of gas pressure sintered materials and materials after post-sintering heat – treatment	218
5.32	Indentation fracture toughness of as-hot pressed materials and hot pressed materials which were heat treated at 1925°C for 5 hours (V2)	220
5.33	Indentation fracture toughness of gas pressure sintered and materials post-sintering heat treated at 1925°C for 5 hours	221
5.34	Relationship between fracture toughness and SiC mean grain size	222
5.35	Fracture toughness of hot pressed and post-sintering heat treated HP materials at 1925°C for increasing time (HT2- HT4) and at 1975°C for 5 hours	224
5.36	The relationship between fracture toughness and roughness of the crack path in three materials (GP3Y5Al, GP1Y4Al and HT3GP1Y4Al)	225
5.37	Figure showing increased crack deflection resulting in increased fracture toughness	226
5.38	Fracture toughness versus number of crack bridges per unit length	226
5.39	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for the GP1Y4Al and subsequent heat treatments	227
5.40	Crack paths observed in (a) the HT5HP3Y5Al material, and (b) the HT5HP1Y4Al material	228
5.41	FESEM micrographs of the YAG composition (3Y ₂ O ₃ :5Al ₂ O ₃) materials after heat treatment at 1925°C for 8 hours (a and b) and at 1975°C for 5 hours (c and d)	230

5.42	Four-point bending strength results for HP and GPS materials	232
5.43	SEM micrograph of mirror region of failure in GP1Y1Al material	234
5.44	SEM micrograph of the origin of failure seen in the encircled area in Figure 5.43, in GP1Y1Al material – a large pore (about 35 μm in length)	234
5.45	SEM micrograph of GP1Y4Al material	235
5.46	Elastic modulus results of as-hot pressed and consequent heat-treated materials	236
5.47	Elastic modulus results of as-gas pressure sintered and consequent heat- treated materials	237
5.48	Example of a percolation model, where the yellow phase is the conducting component and the white phase is the insulating component of equal grain size ⁽²⁰³⁾	241
5.49	Illustration of the proposed favoured path of conduction in the pseudo-percolation model	243
5.50	(also 4.17) Graph of the log of electrical conductivity as a function of the log of angular frequency at 200°C	246
5.51	(also 4.18) Graph of the log of electrical conductivity as a function of the log of angular frequency at 330°C	247
5.52	Excitation energies of the higher frequency phase observed in the LPSSiC materials	248
6.1	Comparison between XRD peaks observed in the hot pressed and ultra-high pressure sintered materials	259
6.2	Hardness of the UHP materials sintered with different compositions	260
6.3	Hardness as a function of sintering additive content	261
6.4	FESEM micrograph of the UHP1Y4Al material	264
6.5	Bright (left) and dark field (right) optical light microscope images showing the same region in the UHP1Y4Al material, illustrating grain boundary segregation	265

6.6	FESEM micrograph of UHP4R3	266
6.7	FESEM micrograph of UHP3Y5Al	267
6.8	FESEM micrograph of UHP3Y5Al	267
6.9	FESEM micrograph of segregated grain boundary region in the UHP3Y5Al material	268
6.10	Core –rim structure observed in the UHP4R3 (4 mass-% material)	269
6.11	FESEM micrograph of UHP4Y2Al	270
6.12	FESEM micrograph of UHP1Y4Al	271
6.13	FESEM micrograph of segregated grain boundary region in UHP1Y4Al	271
6.14	UHP1Y4Al material large grains observed with crack surrounding them (also observed in the UHP15R3 material, Figure G7)	273
A1	SEM micrographs (secondary electron mode) of chemically etched materials	291
A2	Crack path in the HT3GP1Y4Al material	295
C1	FESEM micrograph of HP1Y4Al material	297
C2	FESEM micrograph of HP3Y5Al material	297
C3	FESEM micrograph of HP1Y1Al material	298
C4	FESEM micrograph of HP4Y2Al material	298
C5	FESEM micrograph of HP4Y1Al material	299
C6	FESEM micrograph of GP1Y4Al material	299
C7	FESEM micrograph of GP3Y5Al material	300
C8	FESEM micrograph of GP1Y1Al material	300
C9	FESEM micrograph of GP4Y2Al material	301
C10	FESEM micrograph of HT2GP4Y2Al material	301
C11	FESEM micrograph of HT3HP1Y4Al material	302
C12	FESEM micrograph of HT3HP3Y5Al material	302
C13	FESEM micrograph of HT3HP1Y1Al material	303
C14	FESEM micrograph of HT3GP1Y4Al material	303
C15	FESEM micrograph of HT3GP3Y5Al material	304

C16	FESEM micrograph of HT3GP1Y1Al material	304
C17	FESEM micrograph of HT4HP1Y4Al material	305
C18	FESEM micrograph of HT4HP3Y5Al material	305
C19	FESEM micrograph of HT4GP1Y4Al material	306
C20	FESEM micrograph of HT4GP3Y5Al material	306
C21	FESEM micrograph of HT5HP1Y4Al material	307
C22	FESEM micrograph of HT5HP3Y5Al material	307
C23	FESEM micrograph of HT5HP1Y1Al material	308
C24	FESEM micrograph of HT5HP4Y2Al material	308
C25	FESEM micrograph of HT5HP4Y2Al material	309
C26	FESEM micrograph of HT5GP1Y4Al material	309
C27	FESEM micrograph of HT5GP3Y5Al material	310
C28	FESEM micrograph of HT5GP1Y1Al material	310
C29	FESEM micrograph of HT5GP4Y2Al material	311
D1	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for GP3Y5Al and subsequently heat treated materials	312
D2	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for GP1Y4Al and subsequently heat treated materials	313
D3	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for HP1Y1Al and subsequently heat treated materials	313
D4	Silicon carbide grain size distributions for GP1Y1Al and subsequently heat treated materials	314
E1	Log conductivity plot of the LPSSiC materials at 330°C, results measured with the Solartron equipment	319
E2	Cole-Cole plot of GP3Y5Al material at 330°C showing the non-Ohmic behaviour in the higher resistance phase (Solartron)	319
E3	Cole-Cole plot of GP3Y5Al material at 300°C showing the non-Ohmic behaviour in the higher resistance phase (Solartron)	320
E4	Cole-Cole plot of GP4Y2Al material at 300°C showing the non-Ohmic behaviour of higher resistance phase (Solartron)	320

F1	Phase segregation as observed by Grande et al. ⁽⁹⁾	321
G1	FESEM micrograph of UHP3Y5Al material	322
G2	FESEM micrograph of grain boundary core-rim structure in UHP4Y2Al material	322
G3	FESEM micrograph of grain boundary core-rim structure in UHP4Y2Al material	323
G4	FESEM micrograph of UHP15R3 material	323
G5	FESEM micrograph of UHP15R3 material	324
G6	FESEM micrograph of grain boundary core- rim structure in UHP15R3 material	324
G7	FESEM micrograph of large SiC grain and surrounding crack in UHP15R3 material	325

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Physical properties of different types of silicon carbide materials ⁽⁴⁾	17
2.2	Summary of main differences between hot pressing and gas pressure sintering	32
3.1	Particle sizing, surface area and oxygen measurement results for the powders used in the sintering	84
3.2	Summary of experimental apparatus used	87
3.3	Table summarising milling parameters for HP-W materials	88
3.4	Alumina contamination in HP-W materials	89
3.5	Summary of milling conditions	90
3.6	Results of milling experiments for HP, GPS and UHP materials	90
3.7	Summary of the composition, volume content of the grain boundary phase and the theoretical densities of the materials prepared at IKTS	92
3.8	Summary of different sintering temperatures and times attempted in HP-W experiments (all for 10mass%, 1Y ₂ O ₃ :1Al ₂ O ₃ sintering additives)	95
3.9	(also 4.2): Gas pressure sintering cycles used	100
3.10	Ultra-high pressure optimisation sintering experiments	101
3.11	FESEM parameters	108
3.12	A summary of the sample nomenclature and conditions of preparation	127
3.13	A summary of the sample nomenclature for the post-sintering heat treated materials	128
3.14	List of samples in group 1 sintered by ultra-high pressure sintering	129
3.15	Summary of 10 mass-% ultra-high pressure sintered materials	129
4.1	Densities of hot pressed materials	131

4.2	Description of the gas pressure sintering cycles	132
4.3	Results of first set of gas pressure sintering experiments	133
4.4	Results of sintering cycles C and D for the high Y-content	134
4.5	Comparison of the mass loss and the densities of samples after different runs with the same cycle (“slower cycle”: Cycle A)	134
4.6	Summary of heat treatment of HP-W materials	135
4.7	Mass losses of materials during post sintering heat treatments	136
4.8	Densities of post-sintering heat treated materials	136
4.9	Summary of qualitative and quantitative SiC polytype content determination of the HP-W materials	137
4.10	Grain boundary phase content in HP-W materials	137
4.11	Summary of qualitative and quantitative SiC polytype content determination of the LPSSiC materials	138
4.12	Grain boundary phase content of LPSSiC materials	139
4.13	Results of XRF scans	140
4.14	Summary of the results of the microstructural image analysis calculations (MFP = mean free path)	142
4.15	Summary of elastic modulus, Vicker’s hardness and fracture toughness of the LPSSiC materials	149
4.16	Hardness and fracture toughness measurements made on V3 for comparison purposes	150
4.17	Fracture toughness and crack resistance results	152
4.18	Results of analysis of crack under FESEM	153
4.19	Four point bending strength results of HP and GPS materials	154
4.20	Results of excitation energies determined by best-fit to temperature dependence	163
5.1	Summary of the powders milled	177
5.2	Summary of phase content determined by XRD and segregation state of selected materials	192

6.1	Results for the UHP materials (for details on nomenclature of UHP samples see Tables 3.14 and 3.15 in Chapter 3)	262
A1	Summary of the Rietveld calculations conducted on different unsintered powders	286
A2	Investigating the reliability of the Rietveld calculations on unsintered powder mixtures	287
A3	Summary of texture observed in the powder mixture Rietveld studies	288
B1	Results for HP-W materials	296
E1	Electrical parameters determined by Impedance spectroscopy at room temperature (20°C)	315
E2	Electrical parameters determined by Impedance spectroscopy at 100°C	316
E3	Electrical parameters determined by Impedance spectroscopy at 200°C	317
E4	Electrical parameters determined by Impedance spectroscopy at 330°C	318