

High Prevalence of Unconfirmed Positive HIV Polymerase Chain Reaction Test Results Among African Infants With HIV Exposure in the International Epidemiology Databases to Evaluate AIDS Consortium

James G. Carlucci,^{1,✉} Thomas Huntington,² Karl-Günter Technau,³ Marcel Yotebieng,⁴ Valérie Leroy,⁵ Kim Anderson,⁶ Madeleine Amorissani-Folquet,⁷ Kara Wools-Kaloustian,⁸ and Andrew Edmonds⁹, on behalf of the International epidemiology Databases to Evaluate AIDS Consortium

¹Department of Pediatrics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; ²Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; ³Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, Empilweni Services and Research Unit, Rahima Moosa Mother and Child Hospital, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ⁴Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, New York, USA; ⁵CERPOP, SPHERE, Inserm, University of Toulouse, Toulouse, France; ⁶Faculty of Health Sciences, Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Research, School of Public Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; ⁷Department of Pediatrics, University Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire; ⁸Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; and ⁹Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

In a large, multiregional cohort of African infants with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) exposure, 44% of those with a positive HIV polymerase chain reaction test lacked a confirmatory positive test. Efforts are needed to ensure high-fidelity implementation of HIV testing algorithms so that all positive results are confirmed.

Keywords. HIV; infant; Africa; polymerase chain reaction; confirmatory testing.

Vertical transmission prevention services have reduced incident infections among infants with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) exposure (IHE); however, as vertical transmission declines, so does the positive predictive value of HIV diagnostic tests [1]. While nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) for HIV are very accurate [2–4], they fall short of 100% specificity

in real-world settings [5–7]. Consequently, there is a small risk of false-positive results [2, 8], and diagnostic dilemmas can occur if antiretroviral therapy (ART) is initiated prior to confirmatory testing since virally suppressed and uninfected children can be difficult to distinguish [9–15]. Balancing the need for rapid ART initiation among infants with HIV against the risk of misdiagnosis based on false-positive results, the World Health Organization recommends that all infants with reactive HIV tests receive repeat testing from a new specimen concurrent with ART initiation [16]. Up to 50% of African IHE with reactive HIV tests might not receive confirmatory testing [6, 17, 18]; however, the extent to which this occurs in various regions and over time has not been well described. In this study, we determined the prevalence of unconfirmed positive HIV test results among IHE in the International epidemiology Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) Consortium.

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

In this retrospective cohort study, we used data from IeDEA, which includes 7 regional data centers that collect, pool, and harmonize data from patients receiving routine HIV care at various clinical sites [19]. This analysis included paired mother–infant data from the following 4 IeDEA regions: Central Africa (CA), East Africa (EA), Southern Africa (SA), and West Africa (WA) [20]. CA included sites in Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo, and Republic of Congo; EA included sites in Kenya; SA included 1 site in South Africa; and WA included sites in Benin, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, and Togo.

Participants and Data Sources

All IHE born from 2004–2021 and enrolled in care at an IeDEA-affiliated site at age <18 months were eligible for inclusion. ART cohort sites (ie, sites reporting data only for patients receiving ART) were excluded since these often lack initial and confirmatory testing data obtained prior to ART initiation. Only 1 SA site was included since all others were ART cohort sites.

Data were collected by local clinicians who provide routine care. Laboratories analyzed HIV NAATs following standard protocols and procedures. Clinical and laboratory data were recorded in medical records, then extracted, deidentified, and cleaned by regional data managers prior to being harmonized by IeDEA for analyses [21].

Variables and Definitions

IHE with only 1 positive NAAT (ie, qualitative or quantitative DNA or RNA polymerase chain reaction [PCR]) at age

Received 22 January 2024; editorial decision 16 April 2024; accepted 07 May 2024; published online 14 May 2024

Presented in part: International Workshop on HIV and Pediatrics 2023, Brisbane, Australia, 21–22 July 2023, and 12th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science, Brisbane, Australia, 23–26 July 2023.

Correspondence: J. G. Carlucci, Department of Pediatrics, Indiana University School of Medicine, 705 Riley Hospital Drive, RI 5903, Indianapolis, IN 46202 (jimcarlu@iu.edu); A. Edmonds, Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 123 W. Franklin Street, Building C, Suite 310, CB #8050, Chapel Hill, NC 27599 (aedmonds@unc.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2024;79(6):1475–8

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciae251

<18 months and no additional positive NAAT or antibody test at age ≥ 18 months were considered “unconfirmed positives.” “Confirmed positives” were defined as IHE with any combination of 2 or more positive HIV tests. The primary outcomes of interest were prevalence of unconfirmed positives among all IHE and IHE with any positive NAAT.

Among unconfirmed positives, NAAT testing performed at any time after the initial positive result was described. In EA where ART data were most complete, the proportion of unconfirmed positives taking ART as well as the timing of ART initiation relative to test results were described. ART was defined as at least 3 antiretroviral medications taken for HIV treatment.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to describe IHE and their testing and ART data. Results were stratified by region and calendar year. Analyses were completed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

Ethics Approvals

The study was approved by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Institutional Review Board. Within IeDEA, each site and regional data center has ethical approval from local institutions to collect and share retrospective data. Individual written informed consent was not required since only routinely collected, deidentified data were used.

RESULTS

This analysis included 72 618 IHE with data from 2004–2020 in CA, 2004–2021 in EA, 2014–2017 in SA, and 2004–2018 in WA (Table 1). Overall, 3652 (5%) of IHE had at least 1 positive NAAT at age <18 months. Among those with any positive test, 2042 (56%) were confirmed positives, while 1610 (44%) lacked documentation of a confirmatory positive test and were considered unconfirmed positives. The proportion of unconfirmed positives among all IHE decreased over time (Supplementary Figure 1A); however, there was no secular trend when the proportion of unconfirmed positives among

infants with any positive test was examined (Supplementary Figure 1B). Among the 1610 unconfirmed positives, 1393 (87%) lacked documentation of any testing after the initial positive result, while 217 (13%) had additional testing that was not positive (Supplementary Figure 2).

In EA, there were 1256 unconfirmed positives, of whom 403 (32%) received ART. Among those on ART, 32 (8%) initiated ART prior to their positive NAAT, and in 17 of these cases, the positive test was a high viral load (median, 292 080 copies/mL; interquartile range [IQR], 60 761–1 683 035), essentially confirming an HIV diagnosis.

Of the 161 who were unconfirmed positives in EA and had additional testing that was not positive, 50 (31%) received ART. Among those on ART, 4 (8%) initiated ART prior to their positive NAAT; in 3 of these cases, their positive test was a high viral load (7 671, 186 804, and 687 923 copies/mL). The remaining 46 started ART after their positive NAAT; 10 had a high viral load (median, 107 354 copies/mL; IQR, 3659–275 419), 2 had viral loads at the lower limit of detection (≤ 40 copies/mL), and 34 had DNA PCR tests that were positive. The 34 infants who started ART after a single positive DNA PCR test were a median age of 6.2 months (IQR, 1.9–11.7) at the time of their positive test, 13 of them had a subsequent negative NAAT on the same day or before starting ART, and 21 had their subsequent negative NAAT after starting ART. In this latter group, negative tests could be due to viral suppression on ART or an initial false-positive result.

DISCUSSION

In a large cohort of African IHE at IeDEA-affiliated sites from 2004–2021, almost half of IHE who had a positive HIV NAAT before age 18 months lacked documentation of a confirmatory test, consistent with previous studies in South Africa that reported confirmatory testing rates of 50%–75% [6, 17]. We also found that the proportion of unconfirmed positives among IHE with any positive NAAT did not decrease over time, suggesting that the decrease in unconfirmed positives among all

Table 1. Infants With Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Exposure and Their HIV Testing Data Stratified by International Epidemiology Databases to Evaluate AIDS Region

	Central Africa, 2004–2020	East Africa, 2004–2021	Southern Africa, 2014–2017	West Africa, 2004–2018	All Regions
1. Infants with human immunodeficiency virus exposure, n	10 520	47 015	8600	6483	72 618
2. Any positive virologic test at age <18 m among no. 1, n (%)	415 (4)	2980 (6)	154 (2)	103 (2)	3652 (5)
3. Only 1 positive test (ie, “unconfirmed positives”) among no. 2, n (%)	240 (58)	1256 (42)	20 (13)	94 (91)	1610 (44)
4. No additional virologic test performed after the initial positive test among no. 3, n (%)	192 (80)	1095 (87)	19 (95)	87 (93)	1393 (87)
5. Additional (≥ 1) virologic test performed and not positive among no. 3, n (%)	48 (20)	161 (13)	1 (5)	7 (7)	217 (13)

IHE was a function of fewer positives over time rather than improvement in confirmatory testing rates. A small proportion of IHE with unconfirmed positive HIV tests later had testing that was not positive, raising the possibility that initial results were falsely positive, perhaps leading to misdiagnoses of HIV.

A review reported that 5.4% of initial reactive HIV NAATs were followed by negative repeat tests, and up to 12.5% of all non-negative infant HIV PCR tests could be false-positives [22]. Barriers to confirmatory testing include preanalytical (eg, sample collection, labeling, and transport issues) and analytical (eg, cross-contamination) errors that lead to missed diagnostic opportunities or invalid results [18]. Performing confirmatory testing from a new specimen reduces the risk of contamination [7], and point-of-care testing [23] and other interventions to shorten turnaround time between sample collection and result reporting [6] can improve confirmatory testing rates. Confirmatory testing is cost-effective and reduces the risk of HIV misdiagnosis, protecting patients from potential medical, social, psychological, and economic burdens that come with an HIV diagnosis, associated stigma, and lifelong ART [2].

Because infants with HIV and taking ART can have negative HIV test results, diagnostic dilemmas can occur if confirmatory testing is not performed prior to starting ART [1, 5, 9–11, 24]. In a study from 3 African countries, retesting patients for HIV following suspected misdiagnoses did not decrease patients' confidence in the care programs and actually increased faith in testing outcomes [25]. Further work is needed to carefully address possible diagnostic dilemma cases among IHE.

Inferences about the marked regional variability in confirmatory testing rates are limited by the relatively small number of children in some regions, disparate observation periods, and the inclusion of only 1 site in the SA region where hospital-based birth testing is more common than elsewhere. However, at least part of the observed regional heterogeneity could be due to differing country- or program-level guidelines and practices, access to healthcare resources, or data quality. It is also difficult to discern whether confirmatory testing was simply not done or rather missing due to lack of documentation, death, or care disengagement prior to repeat testing. While it is a strength that our analysis included data from a large consortium of sites in 4 African regions over a long period of time, IeDEA-affiliated sites may not be representative of others in these regions.

In conclusion, nearly half of IHE with an initial positive HIV NAAT did not receive confirmatory testing, and there was no evidence of improvement in confirmatory testing rates over time. There is an ongoing need for initiatives to ensure higher-fidelity implementation of infant HIV testing algorithms, and future research should investigate the contexts in which unconfirmed positive tests occur and whether these have caused diagnostic dilemmas that might need to be resolved.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgments. The authors sincerely thank the patients and staff at contributing sites, as well as the International epidemiology Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) pediatric and mother and infant working groups and regional data managers.

Disclaimer. This work is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of any of the institutions listed here.

Data availability. Complete data for this study cannot be posted in a supplemental file or a public repository because of legal and ethical restrictions. For more information about access to data, please contact the IeDEA Executive Committee at: <https://www.iedea.org/working-groups/executive-committee/>.

Financial support. J. G. C. is supported by the US National Institutes of Health's Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development under award K23HD109056. IeDEA is supported by the US National Institutes of Health's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Cancer Institute, the National Institute of Mental Health, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, and the Fogarty International Center: Central Africa, U01AI096299; East Africa, U01AI069911; Southern Africa, U01AI069924; West Africa, U01AI069919. Data collection at selected clinics in the Democratic Republic of Congo was supported by a linked grant to IeDEA Central Africa (R01HD087993). Informatics resources are supported by the Harmonist project (R24AI24872).

Potential conflicts of interest. K. A. reports funding from ViiV Healthcare for an unrelated project. K. W. reports funding from the Eli Lilly and Company Foundation, Inc, and from the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust paid to her institution. V. L. reports funding from the French National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis and the World Health Organization paid to her institution. All other authors report no potential conflicts.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

1. Feucht UD, Forsyth B, Kruger M. False-positive HIV DNA PCR testing of infants: implications in a changing epidemic. *S Afr Med J* 2012; 102(3 Pt 1):149–52.
2. Dunning L, Francke JA, Mallampati D, et al. The value of confirmatory testing in early infant HIV diagnosis programmes in South Africa: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *PLoS Med* 2017; 14:e1002446.
3. Burgard M, Blanche S, Jasseron C, et al. Performance of HIV-1 DNA or HIV-1 RNA tests for early diagnosis of perinatal HIV-1 infection during anti-retroviral prophylaxis. *J Pediatr* 2012; 160:60–6.e1.
4. Sherman GG, Cooper PA, Coovadia AH, et al. Polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus infection in infancy in low resource settings. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2005; 24:993–7.
5. Sutcliffe CG, Moss WJ, Thuma PE. False-positive HIV test results in infancy and management of uninfected children receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2015; 34:607–9.
6. Mahlakwane KL, Preiser W, Nkosi N, Naidoo N, van Zyl G. Delays in HIV-1 infant polymerase chain reaction testing may leave children without confirmed diagnoses in the Western Cape province, South Africa. *Afr J Lab Med* 2022; 11:1485.
7. Dube Q, Dow A, Chirambo C, et al. Implementing early infant diagnosis of HIV infection at the primary care level: experiences and challenges in Malawi. *Bull World Health Organ* 2012; 90:699–704.

8. Vojnov L, Penazzato M, Sherman G, Ghadrshenas A, Abrams EJ, Doherty M. Implementing an indeterminate range for more accurate early infant diagnosis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **2019**; 82:e44–6.
9. Persaud D, Gay H, Ziemniak C, et al. Absence of detectable HIV-1 viremia after treatment cessation in an infant. *N Engl J Med* **2013**; 369:1828–35.
10. Luzuriaga K, Gay H, Ziemniak C, et al. Viremic relapse after HIV-1 remission in a perinatally infected child. *N Engl J Med* **2015**; 372:786–8.
11. Violari A, Cotton MF, Kuhn L, et al. A child with perinatal HIV infection and long-term sustained virological control following antiretroviral treatment cessation. *Nat Commun* **2019**; 10:412.
12. Kuhn L, Schramm DB, Shiau S, et al. Young age at start of antiretroviral therapy and negative HIV antibody results in HIV-infected children when suppressed. *AIDS* **2015**; 29:1053–60.
13. Payne H, Mkhize N, Otjombe K, et al. Reactivity of routine HIV antibody tests in children who initiated antiretroviral therapy in early infancy as part of the Children with HIV Early Antiretroviral Therapy (CHER) trial: a retrospective analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2015**; 15:803–9.
14. Koofhethile CK, Moyo S, Kotokwe KP, et al. Undetectable proviral deoxyribonucleic acid in an adolescent perinatally infected with human immunodeficiency virus-1C and on long-term antiretroviral therapy resulted in viral rebound following antiretroviral therapy termination: a case report with implications for clinical care. *Medicine (Baltimore)* **2019**; 98:e18014.
15. Veldsman KA, Maritz J, Isaacs S, et al. Rapid decline of HIV-1 DNA and RNA in infants starting very early antiretroviral therapy may pose a diagnostic challenge. *AIDS* **2018**; 32:629–34.
16. World Health Organization. Updated recommendations on HIV prevention, infant diagnosis, antiretroviral initiation and monitoring 2021. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK569318/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK569318.pdf. Accessed 22 January 2024.
17. Moyo F, Haeri Mazanderani A, Feucht UD, et al. Monitoring diagnosis, retention in care and viral load suppression in children testing HIV polymerase chain reaction-positive in two districts in South Africa. *S Afr Med J* **2019**; 109:686–92.
18. Haeri Mazanderani A, Moyo F, Sherman GG. Missed diagnostic opportunities within South Africa's early infant diagnosis program, 2010–2015. *PLoS One* **2017**; 12:e0177173.
19. Egger M, Ekouevi DK, Williams C, et al. Cohort profile: the International Epidemiological Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) in sub-Saharan Africa. *Int J Epidemiol* **2012**; 41:1256–64.
20. Edmonds A, Brazier E, Musick BS, et al. Clinical and programmatic outcomes of HIV-exposed infants enrolled in care at geographically diverse clinics, 1997–2021: a cohort study. *PLoS Med* **2022**; 19:e1004089.
21. Lewis JT, Stephens J, Musick B, et al. The IeDEA harmonist data toolkit: a data quality and data sharing solution for a global HIV research consortium. *J Biomed Inform* **2022**; 131:104110.
22. Luo R, Boeras D, Broyles LN, et al. Use of an indeterminate range in HIV early infant diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **2019**; 82:281–6.
23. Odhiambo CO, Githuka G, Bowen N, et al. Point-of-care early infant diagnosis improves adherence to the testing algorithm in Kenya. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care* **2020**; 19:2325958220906030.
24. Millar JR, Mvo Z, Bengu N, et al. Increasing diagnostic uncertainties in children with in utero HIV infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* **2019**; 38:e166–8.
25. Shanks L, Klarkowski D, O'Brien DP. False positive HIV diagnoses in resource limited settings: operational lessons learned for HIV programmes. *PLoS One* **2013**; 8:e59906.