Examiner Detailed Report

The candidate attempts to assess the factors influencing inadequate implementation of risk control and monitoring techniques, as stated in the research topic. A well-structured research method section, data analysis and conclusions are provided. Analyses are aligned with the research objectives, and this shows that the candidate understands purpose of the investigation. In addition, this manuscript is sufficiently acquainted with the most recent relevant literature.

The scope and areas covered by the candidate is clear. Proper assessment of findings is made, however no discussion of findings is presented in the draft. In addition there is a significant need to look at some specific spots to make them more understandable for a reader from other disciplines. They need to be carefully considered in revisions (see numbered comments given below).

In overall, this manuscript needs to be revised before acceptation. Specific comments or suggestions which would improve the quality of the writing and must be made before acceptation are as follows:

1. Abstract does not usually contain references.
2. Abstract must show significance of study too. It is missed!
3. Limitations of a study are influences that the researcher cannot control. The researcher needs to revise the limitation section on Page 6 based on this definition.
4. Research significance/contributions of a study to both academic and practice is an important section in chapter 1 that needs to be reinforced by strong references. The researcher has not made such proper elaboration for his research significance. This needs to be taken into consideration in revision carefully.
5. It is suggested to provide a chapter summary for the chapter 1 similar to what is performed for other chapters.
6. Sections and sub-sections in your chapter 2 should be coherent. Paragraph/Section coherence is achieved when sentences are in a logical manner and when clear transitions link them. Try to make such clear transitions, at least between major sections and sub-sections.
7. It is expected to see your research question/gap at the end of your chapter 2. You might like to add it to your chapter summary.
8. Considering that your research population is 1300 people (which is not really a small number!), proper reasoning on why Census sampling is applied in this study must be provided.
9. Adding a summary table at the end of chapter 3 is highly suggested. This table might include, research objectives, questions, methods adopted, data collection techniques used, and data analyses methods applied for every research objectives. It will make your chapter 3 much more understandable for the reader.
10. You need to talk about existing literature on generalizability of research findings in your section 3.8 too.
11. Discussion of findings is totally missed in this study. I cannot see any bridging performed between research findings and existing literature reviewed neither in chapter 4, nor in chapter 5. Discussion of a study finds the similarities, contradictions, supports, etc.

between what you as researcher have concluded and what older researchers have obtained.

12. The implications of this research for academics and practitioners are suggested to be presented in two separate sub-sections within Section 5.7.

Research gap clarification and research methods sections are very well structured. Recommendations are practical too. No comments on them.

Best of lucks…