REFERENCE LIST

Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2006). Preservice and experienced biology teachers' global and specific subject matter structures: implications for conceptions of pedagogical content knowledge. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 2*(1), 1-29.

Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Akerson, V. (2007). On the role and use of "theory" in science education research: A response to Johnson, Sutherland and Sowell. *Science Education, 91*(1), 187-194.

Abell, S. (2008). Twenty years later: Does pedagogical content knowledge remain a useful idea? *International Journal of Science Education, 30*(10), 1405-1416.

Abimbola, I. (1988). The problem of terminology in the study of student's conceptions in science. *Science Education, 72*(2), 175-184.

Aguillard, D. (1999). Evolution education in Louisiana public schools: A decade following Edward v Aguillard. *The American Biology Teacher, 61*(3), 182-188.

Alles, D. (2001). Using evolution as the framework for teaching biology. *The American Biology Teacher, 63*(1), 20-23.

Antolin, M., & Herbers, J. (2001). Perspective: evolution's struggle for existence in America's public schools. Evolution. *International Journal of Organic Evolution, 55*(12), 2379-2388.

Asghar, A., Wiles, J., & Alters, B. (2007). Canadian pre-service elementary teachers' conceptions of biological evolution and evolution education. *McGill Journal of Education, 42*(2), 189-210.

Bahar, M., Johnstone, A., & Hansell, M. (1999). Revisiting learning difficulties in biology. *Journal of Biological Education, 33*(2), 84-86.

Baker, T. (1994). *Doing social research* (2nd ed). New York. McGraw-Hill.

Bednarz, D. (1985). Quantity and quality in evaluation research: a divergent view. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 8*, 289-306.

Bell, J. (1987). Doing your research project: a guide for first-time researchers in education and social science. (2nd ed.). Open University Press: Milton Keynes.

Berry, A., Loughran, J., & van Driel, J. (2008). Revisiting the roots of pedagogical content knowledge. *International Journal of Science Education, 30*(10), 1271-1279.

Berdie, D., & Anderson, J. (1974). *Questionnaires*: design and use. Metuchen, new jersey: The Scarecrow Press, Inc.

Besterman, H., & Baggott la Velle, L. (2007). Using human evolution to teach evolutionary theory. *Journal of Biological Education, 41*(2), 76-81.

Bizzo, N. (1994). From down house landlord to Brazilian high school students: what has happened to evolutionary knowledge on the way? *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 31*, 537-556.

Bishop, B., & Anderson, C. (1990). Student's conception of natural selection and its role in evolution. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 27*(5), 415-427

BouJaoude, S., Wiles, J., Asghar, A., & Alters, B. (2011). Muslim, Egyptian and Lebanese students' conceptions of biological evolution. *Sci & Educ, 20*, 895-915.

Brem, S., Renny, M., & Schindel, J. (2003). Perceived consequences of evolution: College students perceive negative personal and social impact in evolutionary theory. *Science Education, 87*, 181-206.

Brumby, M. (1984). Misconceptions about the concept of natural selection by medical biology students. *Science Education, 68*(4), 493-503.

Bull, J., & Wichman, H. (2001). Applied evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 32*(2), 183-217.

Caliendo, S., & Kyle, W. (1996). Establishing the theoretical frame. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 33*(3), 225-227.

Campbell, N., Reece, J., Urry. L., Cain, M., Wasserman, S.A., Minorsky, P., & Jackson, R. (2008). *Biology* (8th ed.). San Francisco: Pearson Benjamin Cummings.

Carlsen, W. (1999). Domains of teacher knowledge. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining Pedagogical Content Knowledge: the construct and its implications for science education*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp 133-144.

Cavallo, A., & McCall, D. (2008). Seeing may not mean believing: examining students' understanding and beliefs in evolution. *The American Biology Teacher, 70*(9), 522-530.

Clores, M., & Limjap, A. (2006). Diversity of students' beliefs about biological evolution. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 26*(1), 65-77.

Clough, M. P. (1994). Diminishing students' resistance to biological evolution. *The American Biology Teacher, 56*(7), 409-414.

Cochran, K., DeRuiter, J., & King, R. (1993). Pedagogical content knowing: an integrative model for teacher preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education, 44* (4), 263-272.

Cohen, L., & Manion, L. (1986). Research methods in education (2nd ed.). London and New York: Routledge.

Cohen, L., & Manion, L. (1994). Research methods in education (4th ed.). London and New York: Routledge.

Collins English Dictionary: Major new edition (1999). (3rded.). Aylesburg, England: Market House Books Ltd.

Collopy, R. (2003). Curriculum materials as a professional tool: how a mathematics textbook affected two teachers' learning. *The Elementary School Journal, 103*(3), 287-311.

Dagher, Z., & BouJaoude, S. (1997). Scientific views and religious beliefs of college students: the case of biological evolution. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 34*, 429-455.

Dawkins, R. (2008). Why Darwin matters. The Guardian, 9 Feb 2008. http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2008/feb/09/darwin.dawkins1/print. Accessed 17 July 2009.

Dempster, E., & Hugo, W. (2006). Introducing the concept of evolution in South African schools. *South African Journal of Science*. *102*(3/4), 106-112.

Denzin, K., & Lincoln, Y. (2000). The discipline and practice of qualitative research. In K. Denzin and Y. Lincoln (Eds.). *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks. California: Sage.

Department of Education. (2003). National curriculum statement Grades 10-12 (General). Pretoria: Department of Education.

Department of Education. (2007). National curriculum statement Grades 10-12 (General). Content framework for *Life Sciences*. Circular 67/2007. Pretoria: Department of Education.

Department of Education. (2008a). *Life Sciences examination guidelines, Grade 12 2008.* http://www.education.gov.za/Curriculum/Examination%20Guidelines%20Grade2012%20NCS%202008/LifeSciences%20Sciences. Accessed 6 June 2008.

Department of Education. (2008b). Learning programme guidelines: *Life Sciences*. Pretoria: Department of Education.

Dobzhansky, T. (1973). Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, *35*(3), 125-129.

Dodge,B.(1996). *Teaching for active learning*. http://edweb.sdsu.edu/people/bdodge/active/activelearning.html. Accessed 07 August 2011

Education Labour Relations Council (2003). *Policy Handbook for Educators*. Bruton, C. & Partners (Eds.). Universal Print group.

Farber, P. (2003). Teaching and the nature of science. *The American Biology Teacher, 65*(5), 347-354.

Feiman-Nemser, S., & Parker, M. B. (1990). Making subject matter part of the conversation in learning to teach. *Journal of Teacher Education, 41*(3), 32-43.

Foster, C. (2012). Creationism as a misconception: socio-cognitive conflict in the teaching of evolution. *International Journal of Science Education, 34*(14), 2117-2180.

Fisher, K., & Lipson, J. (1986). Twenty questions about student errors. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 23*(9), 783-803.

Fraenkel, J., & Wallen, N. (1990). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company.

Freeman, S., & Herron, J. (2004). *Evolutionary analysis* (3rd ed). United States of America: Pearson Prentice Hall.

Freeman, S., & Herron, J. (2007*). Evolutionary analysis* (4th ed). United States of America: Pearson Prentice Hall.

Futuyma, D. (2009). Evolution (2nd ed). Sunderland, Massachusetts United States of America: Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publishers

Galfo, A.J. (1975). *Interpreting educational research* (3rd ed.). United States of America: W. Brown Company Publishers.

Geddis, A., Onslow, B., Beynon, C., & Oesch, J. (1993). Transforming content knowledge: learning to teach about isotopes. *Science Education, 77*(6), 575-591.

Geddis, A., & Wood, E. (1997). Transforming subject matter and managing dilemmas: a case study in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 13*(6), 611-626.

Gerking, J. (2003). "Turn on" the evolution light bulb. *The Science Teacher, 70*(8), 8.

Gess-Newsome, J. (1999). Secondary teachers' knowledge and beliefs about subject matter and their impact on instruction. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. Lederman (Eds.). *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp. 51-94.

Gravetter, F., & Forzano, L. (2009). Research methods for the behavioural sciences (3rd ed). California. Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Green, J., & Caracelli, V. (1997). Defining and describing the paradigm issue in mixed-method evaluation. In J. Green and V. Caracelli (Eds.). Advances in mixed-method evaluation: the challenges and benefits of integrating diverse paradigms. *New Directors for Evaluation, 74*. San fransicsco. Jossey-Bass.

Gregory, T. (2009). Understanding natural selection: essential concepts and common misconceptions. *Evolution Education Outreach, 2*(2), 156-175.

Grossman, P. (1989). A study in contrast: sources of pedagogical content knowledge for secondary English. *Journal of Teacher Education, 40*(5), 24-31.

Grossman, P., & Stodolsky, S. (1995). Content as context: the role of school subjects in secondary school teaching. Educational Researcher, 24(8), 5-11.

Guba, E., & Lincoln, Y. (1983). Epistemological and methodological bases of naturalistic inquiry. In Madaus, Scriven and Stufflebeam (Eds.). *Evaluation models*. Boston: Kluwer Nijhoff.

Guba , E., & Lincoln, Y. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln (Eds). *Handbook of qualitative research.* Thousand Oaks. California: Sage. pp. 105-117.

Halim, L., & Meerah, S. (2002). Science trainee teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and its influence on physics teaching. *Research in Science and Technology Education, 20*(2), 215-225.

Hall, G., & Hord, S. (2006*). Implementing change: patterns, principles, and potholes*. (2nd ed.). Boston: Pearson.

Hashweh, M. (1987). Effects of subject matter knowledge in the teaching of biology and physics. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 3*, 109-120.

Haury, D. (1996). Teaching evolution in school science classes. Eric Digest. http//www.ericdigests.org/198-1/evolution.htm. Accessed 01 March 2010.

Hausfather, S. (2001). Where's the content? The role of content in constructivist teacher education. *Educational Horizons, 80*(1), 5-19.

Hemenway, R. (1999). The evolution of a controversy in Kansas shows why scientists must defend the search for truth. *The Chronicle of Higher Education, 46*(10), 7-8.

Hendrik (2009). Creationism taught as science in South African state schools. *The Word of the Blog.* http://wordoftheblog.wordpress.com/

Herek, G. (1995). Developing a theoretical framework and rationale for a research proposal. In W. Pequegnant & E. Stover (Eds.*). How to write a successful research grant application: a guide for social and behavioural scientists*. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 85-91.

Hoyakem, H., & BouJaoude, S. (2008). College students' perceptions of the theory of evolution. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 45*(4), 395-419.

Hyman, L., Lamb, J., & Bulmer, M. (2006). The use of pre-existing survey questions: implications for data quality. Proceedings of European Conference of Quality in Survey Statistics. http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/pages/portal/quality/documents/pre-existing%20surveys. Accessed 08August2011.

Johnson, M. (1977). *A review of research methods in education*. Chicago: Rand McNally College Publishing Company.

Johnson, R., & Onwuegbuzie, A. (2004). Mixed-methods research: a research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher, 33*(7), 14-26.

Keeves, J. (Ed.). (1988). *Educational research, methodology and measurement: an international handbook*. London: Pergamon Press.

Kerlinger, F. (1986). Foundations of behavioural research (3rd Ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

Kirchweger, G. (2001). The biology of skin color: black and white. The evolution of race was as simple as the politics of race is complex. *Discover, 22* (2), 1-3. http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/07/03/text_pop/l_073_04.html.

Lawson, A., & Worsnop, W. (1992). Learning about evolution and rejecting a belief in special creation: effects of reflective reasoning skill, prior knowledge, prior belief and religious commitment. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 29*(2), 143-166.

LeCompte, M., & Preissle, J. (1993). *Ethnography and qualitative design in educational research* (2nd ed.). San Diego: Academic Press.

LeCompte, M., & Schensul, J. (1999). *Designing and conducting ethnographic research*. Walnut Creek, California: AltaMira Press.

Leedy, P. (1989). *Practical research planning and design* (4th ed.). New York: McMillan Publishing Company.

Lincoln, Y. and Guba, E. (2000). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences. In N, Denzin and Y, Lincoln, (Eds.). *Handbook of Qualitative Research.* London: Sage Publication Inc.

Lombrozo, T., Thanukos, A., & Weisberg, M. (2008). The importance of understanding the nature of science for accepting evolution. *Evolution Education Outreach, 1*(3), 290-298.

Loughran, J., Mulhall, P., and Berry, A. (2004). In search of pedagogical content knowledge in science: developing ways of articulating and documenting professional practice. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41,* 370-391.

MacMillan English Dictionary for Advanced Learners: international student edition (2002). (1st Ed.). London: MacMillan Publishers Ltd.

Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining pedagogical content knowledge.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp 95-132.

Mail & Guardian (2007). Schools' (r)evolution: religious backlash expected as evolution forms part of curriculum. October 26 – November 1. pp 9.

Makgoba, M. (1999). Professional Educators' Union (Gauteng region) comments on teacher development. Gauteng Department of Education: District Development Conference, 21-23 September 1999. Gauteng Department of Education, Buffelspoort. pp 72-73.

Marks, R. (1990). Pedagogical content knowledge. From a mathematical case to a modified conception. *Journal of Teacher Education, 41*(3), 3-11.

Mashalaba, P. (2005). Grade 9 Natural Sciences textbooks as support materials for "activity-based" lessons in curriculum 2005 classrooms. Unpublished MSc research report. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Matimolane, M. (2004). The lesson planning practices of Natural Science teachers implementing Curriculum 2005. Unpublished MSc research report. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Matthews, D. (2001). Effects of a curriculum containing creation stories on attitudes about evolution. *The American Biology Teacher, 63*(6), 404-409.

McCarthy, T., & Rubidge, B. (2005). *The story of Earth and life: a southern African perspective on a 4.6-billion-year journey*. Cape Town: Struik Publishers.

McMillan, J., & Schumacher, S. (1993). *Research in education: a conceptual introduction*. New York: Harper Collins Publishers.

Meadows, L., Doster, E., & Jackson, D. (2000). Managing the conflict between evolution and religion. *The American Biology Teacher, 62*(2), 102-107.

Mertens, D. (2005). Research and evaluation in education and psychology (2nd ed). *Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative and mixed-methods*. California. Sage Publications, Inc.

Miller, M. (2007). Pedagogical content knowledge. In G. Bodner., & M. Orgill. (Eds.), *Theoretical frameworks for research in chemistry/science*. Upper Sadele River. Pearson-Prentice-Hall. pp 86-105.

Mishra, P. & Koehler, M. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: a framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers' College Records, 108*(6), 1017-1054.

Moolla, M., Rollnick, M., & Stanton, M. (2004). How do teachers acquire pedagogical content knowledge? In Buffler, A & Laugksch, R.C. (Eds). Proceedings of the 12th Annual Conference of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education. Durban. pp. 679-684.

Moore, R. (2003). Legal issues surrounding evolution and creationism. *The Science Teacher, 70*(8), 68-70.

Moore, R. (2007). What are students taught about evolution? *McGill Journal of Education, 42*, 177–188.

Moore, R., Decker, M., & Cotner, S. (2010). No prospect of an end … Chronology of the evolution-creationism controversy. Santa Barbara: Greenwood Press.

Moore, R., Froehle, A., Kiernan, J., & Greenwald B. (2006). How biology students in Minnesota view evolution, the teaching of evolution & the evolution-creationism controversy. *The American Biology Teacher*, online publication, pp. 35-41. Retrieved 2008

Moore, R., & Kraemer, K. (2005). The teaching of evolution and creationism in Minnesota. *The American Biology Teacher, 67*(8). 457-466.

Moran, L. (1993). *What is evolution?* The TalkOrigins Archive: exploring the creation/evolution controversy. http//:www.talkorigins.org/faqs/evolution-definition.html. Accessed 10 August 2008.

Muehlenbein, M. (2010). Human evolutionary biology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nadelson, L. (2009). Preservice teacher understanding and vision of how to teach biological evolution. *Evolution Education and Outreach, 2*(3), 490-504.

National Academy of Sciences and Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (2008). *Science, evolution and creationism*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

National Association of Biology Teachers. (1995). *Position statement on teaching evolution*. Adopted by Board of Directors, November 1985. http://www.nabt.org/sites/SI/Index.php?=65. Accessed April 2008.

National Science Teachers' Association. (2004). NSTA Position Statement: the teaching of evolution. http://www.nsta.org. Accessed April 2008.

National Science Teachers' Association. (2005). NSTA Position Statement: the teaching of evolution. http://www.nsta.org. Accessed April 2008.

Nehm, R., & Reilly, L. (2007). Biology majors' knowledge and misconceptions of natural selection. *Bioscience, 57*(3), 263-271.

Nelson, C. (2008). Teaching evolution (and all of biology) more effectively: strategies for engagement, critical reasoning, and confronting misconceptions. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *48*(2), 213-225/

Ngxola, N. (2012). Teaching evolution in a new curriculum: Life Sciences teachers' concerns and needs. Unpublished MSc research report. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Ngxola, N., & Sanders, M. (2008). Teaching evolution in the new curriculum: *Life Sciences* teachers' concerns. Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the South African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education. University of Lesotho, Maseru, Lesotho. pp. 799-807.

Oppenheim, A. (1966). *Questionnaire design and attitude measurement*. London: Heinemann.

Osborne, R., & Freyberg, P. (1985). Children's science. In R. Osborne & P. Freyberg (Eds.), *Learning in science*. Auckland, NZ: Heinemann, pp. 5-14.

Osif, B. (1997). Evolution and religious beliefs: a survey of Pennsylvania high school teachers. *The American Biology Teacher*, *59*(9), 552-556.

Ottevanger, W. (2001). Materials development as a catalyst for science curriculum implementation in Namibia. Doctoral dissertation. Enschede: University of Twente.

Parry, K. (2008). Memoirs of an ex-Christian: evolution and me: a personal story (part 3- the golden thread). http://mexc.blogspot.com/2008/11/evolution-and-me-personal-story-part-3.html. Accessed June 2009.

Patton, M. (1980). *Qualitative evaluation methods*. London: Sage Publications.

Patton, M. (2002). Qualitative research and evaluation methods. (3rd ed.). London: Sage Publications.

Pigliucci, M. (2005). Evolution's importance to society. http://www.actionbioscience.org/evolution/pigliucci.html. Accessed August 2009.

Pillay, R. (2002). Evaluating the effectiveness of in-service training to facilitate the use of activity-based lessons in Natural Sciences classrooms. Unpublished MSc research report. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Prinou, L., Halkia, L., & Skordoulis, C. (2008). What conceptions do Greek school students form about biological evolution? *Evolution Education Outreach*, *1*(3), 312-317.

Radhakrishna, R., Yoder, E. & Ewing, J. (2007). Strategies for linking theoretical framework and research types. Proceedings of the 2007 America Association Agricultural Education Research Conference, 34, 692-694. The Pennsylvania State University.

Raven, P., Johnson, G., Losos, J., & Singer, S. (2005). *Biology* (7th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Reece, J., Urry, L., Cain, M., Wasserman, S., Minorsky, P., & Jackson, R. (2011). *Campbell Biology*. (9th ed.). California. Pearson.

Reeves, T., & Hedberg, J. (2003). Interactive learning systems evaluation. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Education Technology Publications.

Ridley, M. (2004). *Evolution*. (3rd ed.). Malden, M.A. Blackwell Scientific Publishing.

Rice, J., Warner, D., Kelly, C., Clough, M., & Colbert, J. (2010). The theory of evolution is not an explanation for the origin of life. *Evolution Education Outreach, 3*(2), 141-142.

Robbins, J., & Roy, P. (2007). Natural selection: identifying & correcting non-science student's preconceptions through an inquiry-based, critical approach to education. *The American Biology Teacher, 69*(8), 460-466.

Rutledge, M., & Mitchell, M. (2002). High school biology teachers' knowledge structure, acceptance and teaching of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher, 64*(1), 21-27.

Rutledge, M., & Warden, M. (2000). Evolution theory, the nature of science and high school biology teachers: critical relationships. *The American Biology Teacher*, *62*(1), 23-28.

Sale, J., Lohfeld, L., & Brazil, K. (2002). Revisiting the quantitative-qualitative debate: implications for mixed-methods research. *Quality and Quantity, 36*, 43-53.

Sanders, M. (1993). A framework for improving the quality of quantitative research in science education. Paper presented at the annual conference of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. 15-19 April. Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

Sanders, M. (1998). Improving the quality of science education research by promoting the "rival hypothesis". Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. 19 – 22 April. San Diego, California, USA.

Sanders, M. (2008). Teaching about "evolution": More than just knowing content. Paper presented at the biennial conference of the South African Association of Science and Technology Education. 1-4 July. Johannesburg, South Africa.

Sanders, M., & Kasalu, L. (2004). Lack of understanding of policy as a factor affecting the theory-practice gap in implementing Curriculum 2005. In A. Buffer and R. Laugksch (Eds). Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education. January 2004. University of Cape Town, Cape Town: pp. 917-925.

Sanders, M., & Mokuku, T. (1994). How valid is face validity? Proceedings of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education. Durban: University of Durban Westville. 479-489.

Sanders, M., & Nduna, B. (2007). So what's the new curriculum all about? Understanding the requirements of the new South African curriculum: implications for science teachers. In H. Van Rooyen and J. De Beers (Eds). *Teaching science in the OBE classroom*. Braamfontein, South Africa: McMillan South African publishers (Pty) Ltd.

Sanders, M., & Ngxola, N. (2009). Identifying teachers' concerns about teaching evolution. *Journal of Biological Education, 43*(3), 121-127.

Scharmann, L. (2005). A proactive strategy for teaching evolution. *The American Biology Teacher, 67*(1), 12-16.

Schumacher, S. & McMillan, J. (1993). *Research in education* (3rd ed.). New York: Harper Collins College publishers.

Schwabb, J. (1978). Education and the structure of the disciplines. In I. Westerbury and N. Wilkof (Eds). *Science, curriculum and liberal education: selected essays*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Science in Africa. (2003). Teaching evolution in schools "not a priority". http://www.scienceinafrica.co.za/2003/march/evolve.htm. Accessed June 2008.

Scott, E. (1999). Problem concepts in evolution: cause, purpose, design, and chance. National Centre for Science Education: defending the teaching of evolution in public schools. http://wwwncselegacy.org/problem/concepts in evolution. Accessed December 2009

Shulman, L. (1986). Those who understand: knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, *152*(2), 4-14.

Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review, 57*(1), 1-22.

Smaling, A. (1994). The pragmatic dimensions: paradigmatic and pragmatic aspects of choosing a qualitative or quantitative method. *Quality and Quantity, 28*, 233-249.

Smith, M. (2010). Current status of research in teaching and learning evolution: II. pedagogical issues. *Science and Education, 19*, 539-571.

Smith, III, J., diSessa, A., & Roschelle, J. (1993). Misconceptions reconceived: a constructivist analysis of knowledge in transition. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 3*(2), 115-163.

Smith, D., & Neale, D. (1989). The construction of subject matter knowledge in primary science teaching. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 5*(1), 1-20.

Smyth, R. (2004). Exploring the usefulness of a conceptual framework as a research tool: a researchers' reflection. *Issues in Educational Research, 14*, 1-10.

Stears, M. (2006). The FET curriculum and teaching of evolution: what are the challenges facing teachers? Proceedings of the 3rd Biennial Conference of the South African Association of Science and Technology Educators, University of Kwazulu-Natal, Durban, pp. 177-182.

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). *Basis of qualitative research: grounded theory, procedures and techniques*. Newbury Park: Sage.

Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. *Applied social research methods series, 46*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.

The Clergy letter project. http://www.butler.edu/clergyprojet.org/Christian_Clergy/ChrClergyLtr.htm. Accessed 25 June 2011.

The University of California Museum of Palaeontology, Berkeley (2006). Misconceptions. On the website "Understanding evolution for teachers". http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evosite/misconceptions/index.shtml. Accessed December 2010. http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/misconceptions.faq.php. Accessed December 2010. http://evolution.bekerly.edu/evosite/nature/IIprocess.shtml. Accessed July 2012.

Trani, R. (2004). I won't teach evolution: it's against my religion. And now for the rest of the story. *The American Biology Teacher, 66*(6), 419-427.

Tuckman, B.W. (1978). *Conducting educational research* (2nd ed.). New York: Harcourt Bruce Jovanovich, Inc.

Van Dalen, D. (1973). *Understanding educational research: an introduction* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

Van Dijk, E. (2009). Teachers' views on understanding evolutionary theory: a PCK-study in the framework of the ERTE-model. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 25*, 259-267.

Van Driel, J., de Jong, O. & Verloop, N. (2002). The development of preservice chemistry teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Science Education, 86*(4), 572-590

Van Teijlingen, E., & Vanora, H. (2001). The importance of pilot study. *Social Research Update*, (5). http://sru.soc.surrey.ac.uk/SRU35.html. Accessed 08 August 2011.

Wallace, J., & Louden, W. (2000). *Teachers' learning (stories of science education).* Hingham, M.A: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Weld, J., & McNew, J. (1999). Attitudes toward evolution. *The Science Teacher, 66*(9), 27-31.

Wiles, J. & Branch, G. (2008). Teachers who won't, don't or can't teach evolution properly: a burning issue. *The American Biology Teacher, 70*(1), 6-7.

Wuerth, M. (2004). Resources for teaching evolution. *The American Biology Teacher, 66*(2), 109-113.

Zimmerman, M. (1987). The evolution-creation controversy: opinions of Ohio high school teachers. *Ohio Journal of Science, 87*(4), 115-125