

DECLARATION

I, Shaun Ramroop declare that this research report is my own work. It is being submitted for the degree of Master in Public Health (MPH) in the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at this or any other University. The use of work of others has been duly acknowledged in the text.

Shaun Ramroop:

Date:

ABSTRACT

Motivation

Occupational driving has often been associated with a high prevalence of low-back pain (LBP). Truck drivers in the petrochemical industry in South Africa are vulnerable to occupational related LBP because of the very nature of their job, and this vulnerability has to date not been quantified. The individual and job factors that contribute to cause this pain are diverse and might include prolonged sitting, exposure to whole-body vibration, heavy physical work, smoking and other psychosocial factors. Studies on the prevalence and knowledge of the risk factors associated with occupational related LBP are important since they allow not only for the determination of the impact of the condition on society or on a given social stratum, but can also help to organize health services and channel investments necessary for the prevention and control of occupational related LBP.

Aim

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of occupational related LBP in a defined cohort of truck drivers and to ascertain if certain risk factors i.e. demographic factors of the truck drivers, manual material handling (heavy physical work), static work posture and perceived levels of whole-body vibration are associated with truck driving and LBP.

Methods

The study design chosen was descriptive, cross-sectional and quantitative in nature. For the study, the widely established “Standardized Nordic Questionnaire” (SNQ) was used to collect data. The questionnaire was divided into three sections, viz. biographical, low-back pain, and risk factors for ease of completion by the participants of this study. A total of 450 drivers participated in the study by completing the questionnaire. SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to analyze the data. Descriptive and inferential statistics was used in the analysis of the data.

Results

Of the 450 drivers, 385 (86%) experience LBP and 65 (14%) reported to have not experienced any form of LBP. On the scale of 0 to 10, 68 drivers (15%) indicated that they had pain as bad as it could be, whereas 32 drivers (7%) indicated that they suffered no back pain at all. When the severity of the pain was categorised, as low, medium and high, 40.5 % of the LBP respondents indicated that they had a high severity of LBP. Smoking, static work posture and total years of driving were all found to be significantly associated with LBP. Whole-body vibration, body mass index (BMI) and heavy physical work were found to have no association with LBP which was not expected.

Conclusion

The prevalence of occupational related low-back pain in petrochemical truck drivers was 89%. Smoking, static work posture and total years of driving were the risk factors associated with LBP amongst the truck drivers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the following individuals and institutions for their assistance and contribution during:

My employer Sasol Oil (Pty) Ltd for partly funding this study;

The Management of the Truck Stop in Gauteng, Sasolburg for allowing me the opportunity to administer the questionnaires at the facility;

All truck drivers who participated in the study;

Andrew Swanepoel my supervisor for his professional and academic guidance and support throughout the completion of this report;

Professor Kerry Gardiner my co-supervisor from the United Kingdom for his expert advice on the challenging discipline of ergonomics and occupational hygiene and

Ranitha my wife who has always supported me throughout my academic endeavours;

Thank you all.

DEFINITIONS

Low-back pain (LBP): Any acute or chronic pain, ache or stiffness experienced by the worker in the lower part or lumbar region of the back, lumbago or chronic recurrent discomfort in the lower back/lumbar area excluding sciatic pain radiating into the legs (Kirkaldy-Willis & Bernard, 1999).

Risk factors: Characteristics (e.g. race, sex, age, obesity) or variables (e.g. smoking, occupational exposure level, whole-body vibration, material manual handling) associated with increased probability of a toxic or adverse health effect (Karwowski & Marras, 1999).

Ergonomics: The application of human biological sciences with the engineering sciences to achieve optimum mutual adjustment of people and their work, the benefits measured in terms of human efficiency and well-being (Karwowski & Marras, 1999).

Key words: Low-back pain and risk factors.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Demographic details of the truck drivers.....	51
Table 4.2	Racial Group breakdown of the truck drivers.....	52
Table 4.3	One-Way Anova Test on Age and LBP.....	54
Table 4.4	Binomial Test on LBP.....	55
Table 4.5	Comparison between hospitalization and the Prevalence of LBP.....	57
Table 4.6	Chi-Square Test of the severity of LBP amongst the drivers.....	59
Table 4.7	Comparison between smoking and LBP.....	60
Table 4.8	Comparison between seated for most of the day and LBP.....	61
Table 4.9	Comparison between total years of driving and LBP.....	62

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The spinal column.....	8
Figure 2.2	The discs.....	11
Figure 2.3	Conceptual model of the relationship between psychosocial and biomechanical risk factors and low- back pain.....	17
Figure 3.1	The research flow process.....	29
Figure 3.2	Photograph illustrating trucks parked at a typical truck stop.....	30
Figure 4.1	Column chart illustrating the age distribution of the truck drivers.....	52
Figure 4.2	Column chart illustrating the point prevalence of low- back pain in truck drivers.....	55
Figure 4.3	Column chart illustrating the different treatment modalities experienced by the truck drivers for the treatment of their low-back pain.....	56
Figure 4.4	Column chart illustrating the severity levels of LBP amongst the truck drivers.....	58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	v
Definitions.....	vi
List of tables.....	vii
List of figures.....	viii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1	Preamble.....	1
1.2	Background of the study.....	1
1.3	Motivation of the study.....	2
1.4	Problem statement.....	2
1.5	Aim.....	3
1.6	Objectives.....	3
1.7	Rational for the study.....	3
1.8	Structure of the thesis.....	4
1.9	Summary.....	5

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

LOW-BACK PAIN (LBP) AND TRUCK DRIVING

2.1	Introduction.....	6
2.2	The back.....	8
2.2.1	The causes of back pain.....	11
2.2.2	Prevalence of low-back pain.....	12
2.3	Risk factors and truck driving.....	15
2.3.1	Material manual handling (heavy physical work).....	18
2.3.2	Whole- body vibration.....	20
2.3.3	Static work posture.....	22
2.3.4	Anthropometry.....	24
2.3.5	Smoking.....	26
2.4	Summary.....	27

CHAPTER 3

MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1	Introduction.....	28
3.2	Research design and methodology.....	28

3.3	The study environment.....	29
3.4	The study design.....	31
3.5	The sample.....	33
3.6	The research methods.....	36
3.6.1	The questionnaire.....	36
3.6.2	Structure of the questionnaire.....	38
3.6.3	Pre-testing of the questionnaire.....	38
3.6.4	Questionnaire administration.....	40
3.6.5	Computerization and coding of the data.....	40
3.7	Data analysis.....	41
3.7.1	Descriptive statistics.....	41
3.7.1.1	Frequencies and percentages.....	41
3.7.1.2	Mean.....	42
3.7.1.3	Measure of dispersion.....	42
3.7.2	Inferential statistics.....	43
3.7.2.1	Chi-Square Test (Pearson's X^2).....	43
3.7.2.2	F-test and Anova.....	44
3.8	Bias and confounding factors.....	46
3.9	Validity and reliability.....	47
3.9.1	Validity.....	47
3.9.2	Reliability.....	48
3.10	Ethics.....	49
3.11	Summary.....	50

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS

4.1	Introduction.....	51
4.2	Demographic characteristics.....	48
4.2.1	Age characteristics.....	53
4.3	Questionnaire items.....	54
4.3.1	Point prevalence of low-back pain.....	54
4.3.2	Comparison between hospitalization and the prevalence of low-back pain.....	56
4.3.3	Low-back pain severity.....	57
4.4	Correlational analysis.....	59
4.4.1	Smoking.....	60
4.4.2	Static work posture.....	60
4.4.3	Total years of driving and low-back pain.....	61
4.5	Summary.....	62

CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction.....	63
5.2	Response rate.....	63

5.3	Demographics.....	64
5.4	Point prevalence of low-back pain	65
5.5	Severity of low-back pain	66
5.6	Correlational analysis.....	68
5.6.1	Smoking.....	68
5.6.2	Static work posture.....	69
5.6.3	Total years of driving and low- back pain	70
5.6.4	Age.....	70
5.7	Limitations of the study.....	71
5.8	Recommendations.....	71
5.9	Areas for future research.....	72
5.10	Conclusions.....	72
5.11	Summary.....	73
REFERENCES.....		74

LIST OF APPENDICES

1	Approval letter truck stop.....	88
2	Subject information and consent form.....	89
3	Questionnaire.....	92
4	Approval letter.....	99
5	Ethics clearance.....	100