

The copyright of the above-mentioned described thesis rests with the author or the University to which it was submitted. No portion of the text derived from it may be published without the prior written consent of the author or University (as may be appropriate). *Short quotations may be included in the text of a thesis or dissertation for purposes of illustration, comment or criticism, provided full acknowledgement is made of the source, author and University.*

**SYSTEMATIC STUDIES ON THE *ANOPHELES*
FUNESTUS (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE) GROUP IN
SOUTHERN AFRICA**

By Lizette Leonie Koekemoer

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of the
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Johannesburg, 1999

DECLARATION

I, L. H. KOEKEMOER declare that this thesis is my own work. It is being submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at this or any other University.

L. H. Koekemoer

29 day of June 1999

This thesis is dedicated to my loving husband, Jan. Thank you for all the love and support you gave me throughout this study and writing of this thesis.

Publications and Presentations Arising From this Study

Publications:

Koekemoer, L.L., Coetzee, M. and R.H. Hunt. 1998. HpaII endonuclease distinguishes between two species in the *Anopheles funestus* group. *Insect Molecular Biology* 7: 237-277.

Koekemoer, L.L., Hunt, R.H. and M. Coetzee. 1999. Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism analysis for identification of four members of the *Anopheles funestus* group (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* 36: 124-130.

Presentations:

Koekemoer, L.L. 1997. Molecular techniques to identify members of the *Anopheles funestus* group. *Proceedings of the Joint Congress of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa (11th congress) and the African Association of Insect Scientists (12th congress)*, Stellenbosch, 30 June -4 July.

Koekemoer, L.L., Coetzee, M. and R.H. Hunt 1998. Molecular identification of four members in the *Anopheles funestus* (Diptera: Culicidae) group using Single-Strand Conformation Polymorphism. *2nd European Congress on Tropical Medicine of the Federation of European Societies for Tropical Medicine and International Health and 4th Residential Meeting of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. September 14-18, 1998 Liverpool, UK, page 141.*

Koekemoer, L.L., M. Coetzee and R.H. Hunt. 1998. Genetic studies on the *Anopheles funestus* Giles group (Culicidae) in southern Africa. *Fourth International Congress of Dipterology, 6-13 September 1998 Keble College, Oxford, UK: page 108.*

Koekemoer, L.L. 1999 Molecular identification of four members in the *Anopheles funestus* (Diptera:Culicidae) group using Single-Strand Conformation Polymorphism. *MIM African Malaria Conference. 14-19 March. Durban, South Africa.*

Koekemoer, L.L. and J.P. La Grange. 1999. Sporozoite infections in female Anopheline mosquitoes from Mpumalanga. *MIM African Malaria Conference. 14-19 March. Durban, South Africa.*

ABSTRACT

Members of the *An. funestus* group occur abundantly in malarious areas in Africa, and are difficult to identify morphologically. Identification using polytene chromosomes is limited to half gravid female mosquitoes. This study tried to establish the role of the *Anopheles funestus* group in malaria transmission and aimed to develop a molecular method for rapid identification of members of the *An. funestus* group. Wild caught female *An. funestus* group were collected at various localities in Africa. Morphological and polytene chromosome identifications were performed. Identified specimens were analysed to locate variable regions of the rDNA for the development of a species-specific PCR assay. A PCR-Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP) was able to distinguish between four members of the group, *An. funestus*, *An. vaneedeni*, *An. rivulorum* and *An. lesoni*. The PCR-SSCP assay appears to be a valuable tool for the identification of members of the *An. funestus* group and will prove useful to malaria control programme managers for their mosquito surveillance activities.

Isoenzyme analysis was conducted on fresh and frozen specimens to establish if isoenzyme systems can be used for either identification or population genetic studies. Isoenzyme studies revealed nine diagnostic systems as well as thirteen systems that can potentially be used in population genetic studies.

Morphologically identified *An. funestus* group from Mpumalanga province, South Africa, were sent to the SAIMR to establish malaria parasite infection rate in these specimens and to identify infected species once a molecular technique was established. ELISA analysis revealed an abnormally high infection rate of *P. falciparum* in specimens collected during April 1997. A PCR assay for detecting *P. falciparum* DNA was used to confirm these results. The majority

of specimens were negative on PCR analysis, indicating the absence of *P. falciparum* DNA. PCR-SSCP analysis on positive-ELISA specimens revealed abnormal banding patterns, not diagnostic for members of the *An. funestus* group. Morphological analysis performed on the remains of wings identified them as *An. demeilloni* and member (s) of the *An. marshalli* group.

of specimens were negative on PCR analysis, indicating the absence of *P. falciparum* DNA. PCR-SSCP analysis on positive-ELISA specimens revealed abnormal banding patterns, not diagnostic for members of the *An. funestus* group. Morphological analysis performed on the remains of wings identified them as *An. demeilloni* and member (s) of the *An. marshallii* group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Prof. M. Coetzee for initiating this study, teaching me about the morphology and rearing of the *An. funestus* group and advice on isoenzyme electrophoresis. I wish to thank her for the financial assistance, supervision and encouragement throughout this project. I am very grateful to Dr. R.H. Hunt for providing me with specimens collected on his field trips and offering advice on the colonization of the *An. funestus* group. Polytene chromosome identifications were conducted by Dr. R.H. Hunt and Prof. M. Coetzee. Staff members of the Department of Medical Entomology are thanked for assisting me in various ways. Mrs. J. Segerman helped tremendously in the mounting of all the larval and pupal pelts and in pinning adults for morphological analysis. Mr. D. Horne, Mr. B. Brook and Prof. M. Coetzee are thanked for assistance during field collections and comments on the thesis. Mrs. E. Rankoe assisted with sample preparation for ELISA analysis.

Mr. J. La Grange and Mr. J. Govere, Department of Health, Mpumalanga, provided me with a constant supply of specimens for ELISA analysis and provided assistance during field trips. Mrs. T. Fumurela and Mr. P. Kruger, Department of Health, Northern Province, are thanked for assistance during field trips. I am also grateful for the assistance of the following people, with respect to field collections: Profs. C. Shiff and J. Minjas (Bagamoyo Bed Net Project, Tanzania); Dr. S. Laventure (Institut Pasteur de Madagascar); Drs. R. Khan and E. Maganu (Ministry of Health, Botswana). Specimens received from Senegal were sent and chromosomally identified by Dr. L. Lochouart.

Dr. R. Butlin is thanked for inviting me to visit his research group at the University of Leeds, UK, and for the training I received in SSCP electrophoresis. Dr. R. Sharpe from the same laboratory is thanked for supplying primer sequences used in this study. Prof. V. Mizrahi,

Department of Molecular Biology, SAIMR, Prof. M. Ramsay, Department of Molecular Genetics, SAIMR, and the researchers in their respective groups are thanked for use of equipment and consumables during this study, valuable advice on technical details and for comments on manuscripts and this thesis.

This project was funded in part by a University of the Witwatersrand Research Grant, the Foundation for Research and Development, the SA Medical Research Council and a UNDP/World Bank/W.H.O special programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) grant to L. Lochouart.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
Declaration	ii
Dedication	iii
Publications and Presentations Arising From this Study	iv
Abstract	vi
Acknowledgements	viii
Chapter 1	
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 VECTOR CONTROL - CURRENT AND NEW APPROACHES	2
1.2.1 Future prospects for vector control	4
1.2.1.1 Transposable elements	5
1.2.1.2 Symbiotic bacteria	5
1.3 SPECIES CONCEPTS	6
1.3.1 Biological species concept: The Isolation concept	7
1.3.2 The Recognition species concept	8
1.4 THE <i>Anopheles funestus</i> GROUP	9
1.4.1 A historical overview of the <i>An. funestus</i> group	10
1.4.2 Members of the <i>An. funestus</i> group, their biology, vector status and distribution	11
1.4.3 Identification of the species	17
1.4.3.1 Morphological differences between members	17

of the *An. funestus* group

1.4.3.2 Identification using polytene chromosomes	20
---	----

1.5 MALARIA SITUATION IN SOUTH AFRICA	20
---------------------------------------	----

1.6 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	22
-----------------------------	----

Chapter 2

COLLECTION OF WILD CAUGHT MATERIAL AND *A PRIORI* IDENTIFICATION

2.1 INTRODUCTION	24
------------------	----

2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
---------------------------	----

2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	28
----------------------------	----

2.3.1 <i>A priori</i> identification	28
--------------------------------------	----

2.3.1.1 Morphological identification	28
--------------------------------------	----

2.3.1.2 Chromosome identifications	31
------------------------------------	----

2.3.2 Rearing of wild caught <i>An. funestus</i> group	32
--	----

2.3.3 Colonizing <i>An. funestus</i>	33
--------------------------------------	----

Chapter 3

Sequencing

3.1 INTRODUCTION	35
------------------	----

3.1.1 rDNA	36
------------	----

3.1.2 D3 domain	39
-----------------	----

3.1.3 Cytochrome oxidase II	41
-----------------------------	----

3.1.4 Primer Design	42
---------------------	----

3.2 OBJECTIVE	44
---------------	----

3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	44
---------------------------	----

3.4 RESULTS	50
-------------	----

3.4.1 The ITS1 region	50
3.4.2 The Cytochrome oxidase II region	51
3.4.3 The D3 region	52
3.5 DISCUSSION	56

Chapter 4

RESTRICTION FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM

4.1 INTRODUCTION	60
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	63
4.3 RESULTS	64
4.4 DISCUSSION	67
4.5 Koekemoer, L.L., M. Coetzee and R.H. Hunt. 1998. HpaII endonuclease distinguishes between two species in the <i>Anopheles</i> <i>funestus</i> group. <i>Insect Molecular Biology</i> 7: 273-278.	69

Chapter 5

SINGLE-STRAND CONFORMATION POLYMORPHISM (SSCP)

5.1 INTRODUCTION	74
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	77
5.3 RESULTS	79
5.4 DISCUSSION	81
5.5 Koekemoer, L.L., Lochouart, L.L., Hunt., R.H. and M. Coetzee. 1999. Single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis for identification of four members of the <i>Anopheles</i> <i>funestus</i> (Diptera: Culicidae) group. <i>Journal of Medical Entomology</i>	83

Chapter 6

ISOENZYME ANALYSIS ON THREE MEMBERS OF THE *AN. FUNESTUS* GROUP

6.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO ISOENZYME ELECTROPHORESIS	89
6.1.1 History of enzyme electrophoresis	89
6.1.2 Principals of isoenzyme electrophoresis	90
6.1.3 Applications of isoenzyme electrophoresis	92
6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	94
6.3 RESULTS	95
6.4 DISCUSSION	104

Chapter 7

INFECTION RATES OF *P. FALCIPARUM* IN THE *AN. FUNESTUS* GROUP

7.1 INTRODUCTION	109
7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	112
7.3 RESULTS	114
7.4 DISCUSSION	119

Chapter 8

CONCLUSION	123
8.1 MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATIONS	123
8.2 MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATIONS	126
8.3 ELISA STUDIES BEFORE AND AFTER MOLECULAR	128

IDENTIFICATION	
8.4 ISOENZYME STUDIES	129
8.5 CONCLUSIONS	130
APPENDIX I	131
MORPHOLOGICAL DIFFERENCES IN THE <i>AN. FUNESTUS</i> GROUP	
APPENDIX II	134
ALIGNMENTS OF SEQUENCED PCR PRODUCTS	
APPENDIX III	136
ISOENZYME PROTOCOL	
REFERENCES CITED	141

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Showing wing variation on the second main dark area on the first vein between <i>An. rivulorum</i> type form and <i>An. rivulorum</i> var. <i>garnhamellur</i> . (p.a = pre-accessory sector spot; s= sector spot; a.s.= accessory sector spot). A- <i>An.rivulorum</i> ; B and C- <i>An. rivulorum</i> var. <i>garnhamellur</i> , D- very rare (Evans and Leeson, 1937).	16
Figure 1.2 Depicting malairia cases in South Africa during 1971 to 1997.	21
Figure 3.1 Scematic representation of a rDNA transcription unit (Adapted from Adams <i>et al.</i> , 1992)	38
Figure 3.2 PCR products after amplification with the ITS1 primers. Lane 1: 1-Kb size marker, 2, 4: <i>An. funestus</i> , 3: <i>An. vaneedeni</i>	54
Figure 3.3 Partial COII sequence alignments between <i>An. funestus</i> and <i>An. vaneedeni</i> . Exact sequence matches are indicated by (.), deletions by (-) and sequence differences are indicated with the appropriate bold nucleotide.	52
Figure 3.4 Amplified COII fragment for <i>An. funestus</i> (Lane2 and 3). Lane 1: 1-Kb size marker.	54
Figure 3.5 D3 amplified fragment for <i>An. funestus</i> (Lane 2) and <i>An. vaneedeni</i> (Lane 3). Lane 1: 1-Kb size marker.	54
Figure 3.6 Sequence alignment of D3 fragment amplified from <i>An. funestus</i> , <i>An. vaneedeni</i> , <i>An. rivulorum</i> , <i>An. lesoni</i> and <i>An. gambiae</i> .	55
Figure 4.1 Breaks in double strand DNA molecule made by restriction enzymes. Arrow indicates the cleavage site. Dashed line is the centre of symetry in the DNA sequence.	61

Figure 4.2a Restriction map of the amplified D3 fragment of <i>An. vaneedeni</i>	65
Figure 4.2b Restriction map of the amplified D3 fragment of <i>An. funestus</i>	66
Figure 4.3: Restriction map of <i>Hpa</i> II on the D3 amplified product.	67
Figure 6.1A An example of variation observed between male members of the <i>An. funestus</i> group for Esteraseses. Lane 1: Hemoglobin, 2: KGB (<i>An. arabiensis</i>), 3-8: <i>An. rivulorum</i> , 9-13: <i>An. funestus</i> , 14-19: <i>An. vaneedeni</i> . Note the polymorphism in EST-3 between male individuals even within one family. Lane 3, 4 and 8 are from one family of <i>An. rivulorum</i> as is lane 5 and 7. Lane 9, 10, 12 and 13 are originating from the same <i>An. funestus</i> family. Lane 14, 16-19 of <i>An. vaneedeni</i> are all sublings of the same family.	101
Figure 6.1B An example of variation observed between female members of the <i>An. funestus</i> group for Esteraseses. Lane 1: KGB (<i>An. arabiensis</i>), 2: Hemoglobin, 3-8: <i>An. rivulorum</i> , 9-13: <i>An. funestus</i> , 14-19: <i>An. vaneedeni</i> . Note the absence of the elevated EST-3 present in male specimens. Lane 3, 4, 6 and 8 are from one family of <i>An. rivulorum</i> . Lane 12 and 13 are originating from the same <i>An. funestus</i> family. Lane 14-19 of <i>An. vaneedeni</i> are all sublings from the same family.	101
Figure 6.2 Variation observe in MPI electromorphs between three members of the <i>An. funestus</i> group. Lane 1, 4, 5 and 6- <i>An. rivulorum</i> , 2: KGB (<i>An. arabiensis</i>), 3: Hemoglobin, 7- 10, 13, 21: <i>An. vaneedeni</i> , 11,12, 14-20, 22-24: <i>An. funestus</i> , 25: KGB (<i>An. arabiensis</i>).	101
Figure 6.3A Electromorph pattern observe after staining for AO.	102

6% gel using 1X TBE as electrophoresis buffer. Lane 1: Hemoglobin,
Lane 2-9: *An. funestus*, 10- 12, 14-15: *An. vaneedeni*, Lane 13,16-19:
An. rivulorum, Lane 20: KGB (*An. arabiensis*).

Figure 6.3B Electromorph pattern observe after staining for ME. 102

7.5% gel using 1X TBE as electrophoresis buffer. Lane 1-2: *An. funestus*,
3-5: *An. vaneedeni*, 6-7: *An. rivulorum*, 8-13: *An. funestus*, 14-15: *An. vaneedeni*,
16: *An. rivulorum*.

Figure 6.3C Electromorph pattern observe after staining for PGM. 102

7.5% gel using 1X TC as electrophoresis buffer. Lane 1: Hemoglobin,
Lane 2: KGB (*An. arabiensis*), Lane 4-11: *An. funestus*,
Lane 3, 12-18: *An. vaneedeni*, Lane 19-20: *An. rivulorum*.

Figure 6.3D Electromorph patterns observed after staining for HAD. 7.5% 102

gel using 1X TC as electrophoresis buffer. Lane: Haemoglobin, 2: KGB
(*An. arabiensis*), 3-10: *An. funestus*, 11-22: *An. vaneedeni*,
23-24: *An. rivulorum*, 25: KGB (*An. arabiensis*).

Figure 6.3E Electromorph pattern observe after staining for 103

GPD. 7.5% gel using 1X TC as electrophoresis buffer. Lane 1 and
24: KGB (*An. arabiensis*), Lane2-3: *An. rivulorum*, Lane 4-12:
An. vaneedeni, Lane 13-23: *An. funestus*.

Figure 6.3F Electromorph pattern observe after staining for 103

LDH. 7.5% gel using 1X TC as electrophoresis buffer. Lane 1:
Hemoglobin, Lane 2-6: *An. funestus* Lane 7-15: *An. vaneedeni*,

Lane 16-19: *An. rivulorum*.

Figure 6.3G Electromorph pattern observe after staining

103

for MDH. 7.5% gel using 1X TC as electrophoresis buffer.

Lane 1: Hemoglobin, Lane 2-6: *An. funestus*, lane 7-15:

An. vaneedeni, Lane 16-19: *An. rivulorum*.

Figure 7.1 Banding patterns observed after SSCP electrophoresis. Lane 1: *An. demeilloni*,

2: *An. marshalii* group, 3: *An. rivulorum*, 4: *An. lesoni*, 5: *An. vaneedeni*, 6: *An.*

rivulorum, 7: *An. funestus*, 8: 1-Kb size marker.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Required character divergence for the four species concepts	7
Table 1.2 Virus isolation from <i>An. funestus</i> (Gillies and Coetzee, 1987).	13
Table 2.1 Specimens used in this study with the locality, collection method and date	25
Table 2.2 Species identification after <i>a priori</i> identification on wild caught specimens.	29
Table 3.1 Primer sequences used in this study for amplification and sequencing. Optimal annealing temperatures for amplification are indicated	47
Table 3.2 Species of the <i>An. funestus</i> group sequenced in this study	50
Table 3.3 Primer pairs to identify species in a PCR assay, D3A is used as a universal forward primer for all species in question	53
Table 5.1 Specimens used in SSCP analysis of four members of the <i>Anopheles funestus</i> group.	78
Table 6.1 Enzyme systems and electrophoresis conditions used in this study. Sample size includes specimens of all three species tested. <i>Anopheles vaneedeni</i> and <i>An. funestus</i> were generally in equal numbers, but due to limited availability of <i>An. rivulorum</i> fewer specimens were included per gel.	95
Table 6.2 Allele frequencies of MPI for three members of the <i>An. funestus</i> group. N= sample size and frequencies are indicated in brackets.	97
Table 6.3 HW analysis performed on MPI data of <i>An. funestus</i> group specimens. Results were considered significant when $P < 0.01$.	98
Table 6.4 Results obtained for isoenzyme electrophoresis for three members	98

of the *An. funestus* group.

Table 7.1 Specimens analysed for ELISA assays. 115

Table 7.2 Localities and PCR results for confirmation of ELISA 116

results for samples collected during 4/1997 and 5/97.

Table 7.3 Positive-ELISA specimens analysed for species identification linked 117

to positive-PCR results. DNA contamination might explain the high percentage

of positive rates after PCR analysis

Table 7.4 Species identification, ELISA and PCR analysis for *P. falciparum* 118

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Insects are responsible for transmitting a wide variety of pathogenic organisms to humans. This is particularly true in tropical regions where these diseases cause major health problems. Parasitic diseases such as malaria, filariasis, leishmaniasis, river blindness and various viral diseases such as yellow fever are transmitted by insects. The most important of these is malaria, which, despite enormous efforts over many years, is an increasingly important health problem with more than 40% of the world population exposed to malaria (Crampton *et al.*, 1994). Recent estimates indicate that malaria is endemic in 102 countries worldwide and 2 billion people, representing almost half the world's population, are at risk from the disease. There are an estimated 200 million malaria infections and 1-3 million deaths annually (World Health Report, 1996). This one disease has an enormous impact on the health and economies of many tropical countries (Strürchler, 1989; Collins and Paskewitz, 1995; World Health Report, 1996).

Malaria is caused by infection with the protozoan parasite of the genus *Plasmodium*. The most severe malaria is caused by the predominant species in tropical Africa, *Plasmodium falciparum*. The main mode of transmission is exclusively by a few species of mosquitoes within the genus *Anopheles* (Gillies, 1988). Prior to the 1950s malaria was mainly controlled by prevention of contact between mosquitoes and humans, eg. mosquito bednets and the screening of houses (Gillies, 1988). Larviciding in the first half of the century was also popular as a means of reducing mosquito numbers. These measures, however were not very successful (Hooey,

1974). G. Giemsa used pyrethrum to kill culicine mosquitoes in German cellars during 1910 and Ingram and De Meillon found the same situation in their experiments with houseflies (as recorded in Coetzee and Hunt, 1993). During 1936 De Meillon submitted a report to the Pan-African Health Conference of the League of Nations, covering the studies that were carried out in Natal to control adult mosquitoes in houses using pyrethrum spraying. A similar study was conducted in India using De Meillon's idea and was most successful (Coetzee and Hunt, 1993). The work done by De Meillon laid the foundation for the World Health Organization's 1950's campaign to eradicate malaria world wide.

The history of malaria and its transmission dates back to the end of the nineteenth century when it was discovered that *Anopheles* mosquitoes were transmitting malaria parasites to humans. Twenty percent of the almost 500 *Anopheles* species described have been implicated as vectors, but most are minor or incidental vectors (Gillies, 1988). In sub-Saharan Africa, the main vectors involved in transmission are two species of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex, *An. gambiae* Giles and *An. arabiensis* Patton, and a third species, *An. funestus* Giles. *Anopheles gambiae* and *An. funestus* are both highly anthropophilic and endophilic preferring to feed on humans and rest in human habitations (Gillies and De Meillon, 1968). *Anopheles arabiensis*, on the other hand, is both zoophilic and anthropophilic and will rest indoors or outdoors. In certain areas of Tanzania it has been shown that another species of the *An. gambiae* complex, *An. merus*, is as good a vector of malaria as the three mentioned above (Temu *et al.*, 1998).

1.2 VECTOR CONTROL - CURRENT AND NEW APPROACHES

One of the most important problems in malaria control is the difficulty of controlling transmission of the parasite. In most African countries the use of prophylactic medicine is too

expensive and cannot be prescribed for long periods of time. The second problem associated with parasite control is the presence of widespread drug resistance. Considerable variation in malaria epidemiology exists (even within a country) due to geography, ecology and human activities, which complicates vector control programmes since no single control tool or approach can be applied (World Health Statistics Quarterly, 1992).

For effective vector control it is essential to understand all the aspects involved such as the malaria incidence, risk factors, vector/ human host contact and environmental conditions. Vector control can be achieved with varying success by indoor spraying of houses with residual insecticides, personal protection such as insecticide treated bed nets, biological control, larviciding and environmental management (Coluzzi, 1992).

The aim of vector control is to reduce or eliminate the vector population. This can be done by reducing the adult population, reducing the life span of the adult female and by the prevention of contact between humans and vectors (Coluzzi, 1992). Once vector control has been implemented it is important to determine what the effect of vector control is and whether it is effective or not. It is important to monitor the insecticide resistance in the area and to monitor the presence of plasmodial sporozoites in salivary glands of malaria vectors. The presence of sporozoites is also an indication of the vector status of that specific species in which the sporozoites were found (Beier *et al.*, 1991). The sporozoite rate can also give information regarding the estimated inoculation rate of human biting mosquitoes, which is a key factor in quantitative analysis of natural transmission.

1.2.1 Future prospects for vector control

Modifying insects rather than eradicating them is an alternative method of control, since eradication with harmful chemicals is not recommended in a complex ecological network. Chemical eradication of insects leads to the occurrence of resistance, concern over environmental issues and is an extremely expensive method to maintain continuously (Beard *et al.*, 1993a). Genetically incompetent mosquitoes, unable to transmit the parasite, would be expensive to develop, but would be cheaper to maintain in the long run.

The search for a single 'magic' control tool against malaria has been, and will probably continue to be, the driving force for many scientists involved in malaria vector research. The introduction of molecular biology has opened up a new field of research aimed at genetically transforming vector mosquitoes into non-vectors. The advantages of genetic engineering lie in the potential to exploit genes across species barriers and in the ability to introduce defined DNA sequences without the genome disruption that accompanies conventional selection and crossing procedures (Coluzzi, 1992).

Naturally occurring refractoriness to *Plasmodium* parasites has been recorded over the years in several mosquito species, but does not seem to have a selective advantage for the vector. The aim of researchers is to isolate these genes, study them, and using the knowledge gained, genetically engineer a vector and spread the engineered refractoriness through the natural population (Beard *et al.*, 1993a). This refractoriness, however, is probably a complicated system with multiple genes playing a role in the process. A more realistic way would be to interfere with the pathogen by inserting a gene(s) into the vector which produces anti-pathogenic products.

A transformation vector system will be needed to insert and express these foreign gene(s) that render the vector refractory. Two main transformation vector systems are being investigated, the first being transposons and the second symbiotic bacteria.

1.2.1.1 Transposable elements

Transposable elements may provide a good system for driving the gene or gene complex through a natural population. Transposons are vertically transmissible and have been demonstrated in *Drosophila* (Spradling and Rubin, 1982; Kiszewski and Spielman, 1998). The P-element mediated germline transformation in *Drosophila* but not in other insect species (Beard *et al.*, 1993a). Success has been achieved using this approach in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*, which was successfully transformed using a modified *Hermes* transposable element (Jasinskiene *et al.*, 1998).

1.2.1.2 Symbiotic bacteria

Symbiotic bacteria on the other hand could be used to introduce and express the product of the foreign gene. The product is then secreted by the bacterial system. Some arthropods commonly carry symbiotic microorganisms to provide additional supplements to the diet (Beard *et al.*, 1993a). This product can be either extracellular (symbiont of *Rhodnius prolixels*) or intracellular (*Rickettsia*-like organisms) (Beard *et al.*, 1993a). The transformed symbiont must be maintained through successive generations and must not have a negative or lethal effect on the organism. Bacterial-gene spreading has advantages over mechanisms such as transposons because it can spread genes into the same population more than once. Horizontal transmission occurs naturally (Beard *et al.*, 1993a).

Transgenic technology has the advantage of crossing species barriers, with specific DNA being introduced into the genome. In conventional crosses the genome is disrupted with lethal consequences (Warren and Crampton, 1994). If the introgression hypothesis between *An. gambiae* and *An. arabiensis* is shown to be correct (Besanskey *et al.*, 1997) it may play a major role in the introduction of transgenic mosquitoes for malaria control. Whatever the outcome of this research may be, the exclusion of the third major African vector *An. funestus* from these studies could yet prove to be the downfall of the system as an effective control tool.

1.3 SPECIES CONCEPTS

The morphological differences observed between populations are not always an indication that two species are present. Likewise, morphological differentiation need not accompany speciation events. It is appropriate, therefore, to examine the concepts surrounding speciation and what defines a species.

Vrba (1995) describes four species concepts in relation to complex systems. The first concept is the phylogenetic concept which requires that species are strictly monophyletic. This concept is based clearly on nested homologies at the level of organisms and their constituents. Templeton (1989) tried to include asexual as well as sexual forms into a species concept called the Cohesion Concept. Templeton defined cohesion as genetically based phenotypic cohesion. The other two species concepts (the biological and recognition species concepts) will be discussed in more detail below. The various ideas on species concepts are so intricately complicated that to summarize them in a single chapter is extremely difficult. The basic differences between these four species concepts are summarized in Table 1.1 (Adapted from Vrba, 1995).

Table 1.1 Required character divergence for the four species concepts

Species concept	Required character divergence						
	Pre-fertilization system		Post-fertilization system		Habitat adaptation		Any other
Recognition	Yes						
Isolation	Yes	or	Yes				
Cohesion	Yes	or	Yes	or	Yes		
Phylogenetic	Yes	or	Yes	or	Yes	or	Yes

1.3.1 Biological species concept: The Isolation concept

This species concept was mainly defined by Dobzhansky during 1935 and 1937 and Mayr (1942, 1963). Mayr (1942) introduced the term sibling species and defined them as “morphologically similar and identical natural populations that are reproductively isolated”. Reproductive isolation is caused by pre- and/ or post-fertilization mechanisms.

Pre-fertilization and pre-mating isolating mechanisms can be due to ecological isolation. In ecological isolation the potential mates do not meet because they mate in different habitats or in different seasons. Ethological isolation is defined as where potential mates meet, but do not mate. Post-mating isolation, before fertilization takes place, happens when there is a mechanical isolating mechanism such as no sperm transfer after copulation. Another example of post-mating isolation is gametic mortality or incompatibility where the egg is never fertilized even though sperm transfer occurs.

Post-fertilization (post-zygotic) isolation occurs when the egg was successfully fertilized. Four modes of isolation are grouped in this class. Firstly, F_1 inviability, occurring because the zygote

dies or produces a hybrid of reduced viability. Secondly where the F_1 is viable but sterile either completely or partially. Thirdly, when the F_2 or backcross hybrid breaks down due to reduced viability or fertility. Fourthly, where the endoparasitic or cytoplasmic infection of a population results in fertility or viability breakdown after mating with uninfected individuals, even though the infected individuals are infertile (Mayr, 1963).

Sibling species are generally discovered due to variation observed in habitats, host preferences, vector status and so forth. In the *An. gambiae* complex sibling species were recognized by hybridization tests and differences in karyotypes (Davidson, 1962; Paterson, 1962; Davidson, *et al.*, 1967).

Within the biological species concept, natural selection also plays an important role in the isolation of two species. The biological species concept however, does not explain how *ad hoc* isolation mechanisms (adaptations) arise in an allopatric situation (Macnamara and Paterson, 1984). Despite this, natural selection is supposed to reinforce incipient isolation of populations living in sympatry. Natural selection shifts the genetic composition of a population in response to environmental demands (Carson, 1976) and this can then be seen in the phylogenetic analysis of that population.

1.3.2 The Recognition species concept

This species concept defines a species as that “most inclusive population of individual, biparental organisms which share a common fertilization system in their preferred habitat” (Paterson, 1985). It is these fertilization mechanisms which determine the limit of the species gene pool (Macnamara and Paterson, 1984). The pre-fertilization system is the focus of the